Nuclear factor-κB p65 regulates glutaminase 1 expression in human hepatocellular carcinoma
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) ranks as the sixth most common cancer and is one of the leading causes of death globally. More than 500,000 new cases are diagnosed every year. Although the diagnosis and treatment of HCC have been improved a lot, the prognosis remains poor for most patients. The development and progression of HCC are the results of aberrant genetic and epigenetic changes that lead to dysregulated signaling pathways. The complexity of these regulating networks makes current treatment ineffective; therefore, there is an urgent need for new therapeutic targets.

Tumorigenesis is a process depending on metabolic reprogramming as the result of activation of oncogenes and/or loss of function of tumor suppressors. For example, dysregulated glucose and glutamine metabolism has been demonstrated in human tumors. Glutamine, a conditionally essential amino acid, can be utilized for energy production, as an nitrogen source, and for antagonizing reactive oxygen species, thus contributing to various biological processes of human tumors. The key enzymes for glutamine metabolism include two isoforms of glutaminase (GLS): GLS1 and GLS2. GLS1 is elevated in HCC tissues compared to normal liver and other liver diseases, including hepatocellular adenoma, dysplastic nodules, focal nodular hyperplasia, and fibrotic liver; moreover, elevated GLS1 expression correlates with poor prognosis.
highlighting that GLS1 can be targeted as a therapeutic candidate. Although GLS2 has been extensively studied in HCC, its biological function remains elusive. Some studies showed that restoration of GLS2 suppresses the growth of HCC cells both in vitro and in vivo, and elevated GLS2 expression correlates with longer survival; while another study demonstrated that GLS2 promotes the proliferation of Hepa 1.6 cells in mouse xenograft in a liver receptor homologue-1 (LRH-1)-dependent manner. Various mechanisms are involved in the regulation of GLS1 expression in HCC, such as the miR-192/-204–HOTTIP axis, p53, LRH-1, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-α; however, it remains unclear whether other mechanisms also regulate GLS1 expression.

Nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB), as a transcription factor, regulates various aspects of cell cycle progression, immune responses, cell and tissue differentiation, and DNA damage repair. The NF-κB family includes NF-κB1 (p50/p105), NF-κB2 (p52/p100), RelA (p65), RelB, and c-Rel, which form dimers and regulate gene transcription. Among these dimers, the most commonly activated one is formed by p50 and p65 subunits. Several studies have demonstrated that NF-κB activation facilitates the production of cytokines and chemokines and the maintenance of an inflammatory environment, leading to the development of HCC. NF-κB p65 regulates the expression and activity of GLS1 in human breast cancer cells and leukemic cells, and knockdown of GLS1 compromises cell proliferation.

In this study, online cancer Genomewise databases from Oncomine and cBioPortal were utilized to identify factors that co-overexpress with GLS1 in human HCC; thereafter, genetic manipulation or chemical treatment was performed to consolidate the correlation between NF-κB p65 and GLS1 in HCC cell lines; lastly, the biological function and clinical significance of GLS1 were analyzed.

Materials and methods
Patient samples
Six HCC tumor tissues and their normal counterparts were collected from the Department of Pathology at Cangzhou Hospital of Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine (TCM-WM), Hebei, China.

Ethics approval and informed consent
All patients whose tissue samples were used in this research have provided written informed consent, and the Institutional Review Board for Human Research from Cangzhou Hospital of Integrated TCM-WM approved this study.

Oncomine analysis
Oncomine analysis was performed using the online cancer microarray database. The expression of c-Myc, p65, and GLS1 was analyzed at the transcriptional level in selected HCC data sets.

cBioPortal analysis
The cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics was accessed. It provides a good source through which to visualize, analyze, and download different cancer genomic data sets. Among the HCC data sets, Liver Hepatocellular Carcinoma (LIHC) (The Cancer Genome Atlas [TCGA], Provisional) with 442 samples was selected for further analyses of GLS1 and neoplasm histological grade.

Cell culture
Human HCC HepG2 and Hep3B cells were obtained from the Chinese Academy of Sciences Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection (CBTCCAS, Shanghai, China). The cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 100 U/L penicillin–streptomycin, and maintained at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO2.

Chemicals and antibodies
Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (PMA) (P8139) and BAY 11-7082 (B5556) were bought from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Bis-2-(5-phenyl acetamido-1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-yl)ethyl sulfide (BPTES) (S7753) was purchased from Selleck Chemicals (Houston, TX, USA).

Mouse anti-GLS1 (ab60709), rabbit anti-GLS1 (ab156876), and rabbit anti-p65 (ab16502) antibodies were bought from Abcam (Cambridge, UK); c-Myc (sc-40), β-actin (sc-130300), and Lamin B (sc-6216) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz (Dallas, TX, USA).

siRNA transfection
Prior to transfection, cells were seeded into 60 mm dishes and cultured overnight. On the following day, the medium was removed and fresh Opti-MEM (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was added. siRNAs were transfected at a concentration of 100 nM. Six hours later, fresh complete medium was added to the cells. Four-eight hours post-transfection, the cells were collected for further analysis. Control, c-Myc, p65, and GLS1 siRNAs were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)
Upon relative treatment, cells were lysed, and total RNA was isolated using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific,
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Waltham, MA, USA). Total mRNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using the iScript™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. After the cDNA was synthesized, qRT-PCR was performed using the SsoAdvanced™ Universal SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) on the CFX96 Touch™ Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). Human GLS1 primers were 5′-CTCCAAGAATCACAAGTC-3′ and 5′-TTACAACAATCCATCAAGA-3′, and β-actin primers were 5′-ATATGAGATGGTTGTTA-3′ and 5′-AAGATTTAAGCCGAAGAT-3′. Data were analyzed in CFX Manager software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA).

Protein fractionation
Cells treated with NF-κB activator or inhibitor were fractionated to test the localization of p65 in the cytoplasm and nucleus. In general, after treatment, cells were collected in harvest buffer (10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 M sucrose, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0.5% Triton X 100) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors plus 1 mM DTT. Pelleted nuclei were washed in buffer A with 10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 10 mM KCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0.1 mM EGTA, and then lysed in buffer C including 10 mM HEPES pH 7.9, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM EGTA, and 0.1% NP-40. Both cytoplasmic and nuclear extracts were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C and resolved by Western blot.

Western blot analysis
For total protein isolation, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1.0% NP-40, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], and 0.5% deoxycholic acid) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors. The same amount of protein was resolved by 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Immobilon P; Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA) using standard procedures. After blocking in 5% non-fat milk, membranes were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Upon incubation with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies, the signal was monitored using enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) reagent (Pierce, Waltham, MA, USA).

Cell proliferation
HepG2 and Hep3B cells, transfected with control and GLS1 siRNA or treated with BPTES, were seeded in 24-well plates with a starting number of 2×10³. At 6, 24, 72, and 120 h post-seeding, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min and then stained with 0.1% crystal violet for 20 min. After washing, the crystal violet signal was extracted using 10% acetic acid. The signal was read at 595 nm in a Bio-Tek Epoch Microplate Reader (Winooski, VT, USA). The 6 h signal was set as Day 0, and the “fold change” was calculated by dividing data of Day 1, Day 3, and Day 5 by data of Day 0.

Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining
Paraffin-embedded sections were microwaved, blocked, and incubated with p65 and GLS1 antibodies, and the signal was amplified using the SP-9000 kit (Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Co., Beijing, China) and detected by Elivision Plus (Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Co., Beijing, China). Following IHC staining, all sections were counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, and mounted. Normal rabbit immunoglobulin G was used as a negative control. Sections were assessed by two independent pathologists who were blinded to the clinical information. The staining index was evaluated as previously reported.27,28

SurvExpress survival analysis
SurvExpress is an online biomarker database that can be used to explore patients’ survival rate with specific biomarkers.29,30 The tool takes a gene list as an input and generates Kaplan–Meier curves as well as gene expression heatmaps. In this study, two data sets with the most HCC samples were chosen. The default setting of SurvExpress was set to perform the correlation analysis between p65/GLS1 and patients’ outcome.

Statistical analysis
SPSS version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Student’s t-test, one-way analysis of variance, and Kruskal–Wallis test were used to compare data. For survival analysis, survival rates were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method, and statistical significance was identified using the log-rank test. The significance level was set at 0.05 for all the analyses.

Results
Oncomine analysis indicates co-overexpression of GLS1 with p65 in HCC
To directly test the expression of c-Myc, p65, and GLS1 in HCC, Oncomine analysis was performed. Oncomine is an online cancer microarray database that is suitable for genome-wide expression analyses.31 Three independent data sets were chosen from the eight liver cancer data sets: Wurmbach Liver, with 35 HCC and 10 normal tissues; Roessler Liver, with 22 HCC and 21 normal tissues; and
Roessler Liver 2, with 225 HCC and 220 normal tissues. A clear upregulation of GLS1 in HCC was detected in all three data sets. Elevated NF-κB p65 expression was also monitored in these data sets (Figure 1A, B, D, E, G, and H), however, c-Myc did not change accordingly (Figure 1C, F, and I), suggesting co-overexpression of GLS1 with p65 but not c-Myc in HCC samples.

**Knockdown or suppression of p65 reduces GLS1 expression in HCC cells**

To investigate how GLS1 is regulated in HCC, c-Myc knockdown did not alter GLS1 expression in HCC cells (Figure 2F). Consistent with the Oncomine data, c-Myc knockdown did not alter GLS1 expression in the Wurmbach liver data set. Knockdown of p65 reduced GLS1 mRNA levels (Figure 2C), highlighting a transcriptional regulation. To address the importance of p65 in controlling GLS1 expression, chemical compounds were applied to either activate (PMA) or inhibit (BAY 11-7082) the NF-κB signaling pathway. p65 activation is indicated by its localization to the nucleus. Western blot indicated the localization of p65 in the nucleus upon PMA treatment, while BAY 11-7082 antagonized its activation (Figure 2D and G). Transcriptionally, PMA induced GLS1 mRNA while BAY

---

**Figure 1** c-Myc, p65, and GLS1 expression in HCC indicated by Oncomine analyses. (A) p65 mRNA is upregulated in HCC samples compared to normal counterparts in the Wurmbach Liver data set. (B) GLS1 mRNA is upregulated in HCC samples compared to normal counterparts in the Wurmbach Liver data set. (C) c-Myc mRNA expression in HCC samples compared to normal counterparts in the Wurmbach Liver data set. (D) p65 mRNA is upregulated in HCC samples compared to normal counterparts in the Roessler Liver data set. (E) GLS1 mRNA is upregulated in HCC samples compared to normal counterparts in the Roessler Liver data set. (F) c-Myc mRNA expression in HCC samples compared to normal counterparts in the Roessler Liver data set. (G) p65 mRNA is upregulated in HCC samples compared to normal counterparts in the Roessler Liver 2 data set. (H) GLS1 mRNA is upregulated in HCC samples compared to normal counterparts in the Roessler Liver 2 data set. (I) c-Myc mRNA expression in HCC samples compared to normal counterparts in the Roessler Liver 2 data set. **Abbreviations:** GLS1, glutaminase 1; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma.
11-7082 reversed the induction (Figure 2F and I). Consistent with the changing of mRNA levels, GLS1 protein was also induced by PMA while BAY 11-7082 reversed the induction (Figure 2E and H).

**GLS1 facilitates the proliferation of HCC cells**

GLS1, the key enzyme to catalyze glutaminolysis, facilitates the production of ATP and building blocks for cell proliferation.\(^{31}\) The biological significance of GLS1 was studied by siRNA knockdown in HCC cells. Western blot showed the knockdown of GLS1 in HepG2 and Hep3B cells (Figure 3B and E). GLS1 knockdown suppressed cell proliferation in both cells (Figure 3A and D). To assess whether this finding can be developed for clinical treatment, BPTES, a small molecular GLS1 inhibitor, was applied to HCC cells. Crystal violet assay demonstrated that BPTES successfully inhibited the cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 3C and F).

**p65 regulates GLS1 expression in human tissues**

To further test the importance of p65 in regulating GLS1, HCC tumor samples and their normal counterparts were collected for IHC staining. Haematoxylin & Eosin staining showed normal and HCC tissues (Figure 4A). IHC staining demonstrated higher p65 and GLS1 in tumor than in normal tissues (Figure 4B-D). cBioPortal data analysis indicated that...
The Warburg effect defines high aerobic glycolysis even in which glutamine is actively catabolized by GLS to favor tumorigenesis and tumor progression. Two isoforms of GLS have been identified: GLS1 and GLS2. Oncogenes, such as c-Myc, epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (ErbB2), and epidermal growth factor (EGF), promote glutaminolysis through upregulating or activating GLS1. On the other hand, increased GLS1 expression promotes tumor cells’ dependency on glutamine for survival. Because of its role in regulating cell survival and proliferation, GLS1 is an ideal candidate to be developed as a therapeutic target.

Although its diagnosis and treatment have been improved, HCC remains one of the most lethal cancers and the patients’ prognosis remains poor owing to tumor invasion, metastasis, and recurrence. These conditions mean that there is an urgent need to look for novel therapeutic targets. The reprogramming of cancer metabolism has provided a new direction to solve this problem. In recent years, more and more studies have investigated the metabolic reprogramming of human HCC, among which glutamine metabolism has been extensively studied. Clinically, elevated GLS1 associates with poor prognosis of HCC, while there is still disagreement over the role of GLS2. In this study, GLS1 was chosen because of its importance in regulating cell proliferation. Our study demonstrated consistent results with previous reports: GLS1 is a prognostic factor for HCC;
importantly, GLS1 shows a strong correlation with neoplasm histological grade.

Mechanistically, c-Myc is a well-known oncogene that controls GLS1 expression through downregulating microRNA, miR-23a/b. In one study, human HCC samples were collected to compare both mRNA and protein levels of GLS1 and GLS2 in tumor and normal tissues. GLS1 mRNA was upregulated, while GLS2 mRNA was downregulated in most tumors; moreover, IHC staining indicated high GLS1 expression while the changing of GLS2 protein levels was variable. Although a clear increase in c-Myc mRNA levels was detected, its expression did not correlate with GLS1 mRNA levels, indicating that a factor other than c-Myc controls GLS1 expression in HCC. Our previous study demonstrated that ErbB2 can upregulate GLS1 via NF-κB activation in human breast cancer cells. Oncomine microarray data sets implicated that NF-κB p65 and GLS1 co-overexpress in human HCC samples; moreover, knockdown of p65 further strengthens our hypothesis that the correlation between p65 and GLS1 is direct, and this regulation is through transcription. Treatment with chemical compounds also ascertained our proposed model. Although GLS1 is regulated by p65 in HCC cells, the detailed mechanism remains elusive. We and another group found that no NF-κB binding sites exist in the GLS1 promoter region, while a mechanism depending on miR-23a was demonstrated. Further study is proposed to unveil the mechanism of GLS1 regulation in HCC cells.

**Conclusion**

Our study identified a novel signaling pathway, independent of c-Myc, that controls GLS1 upregulation in HCC through
NF-κB p65 activation. Elevated GLS1 promotes tumor cell proliferation and correlates with neoplasm histological grade; furthermore, SurvExpress analyses indicate that p65-GLS1 expression correlates with poor prognosis of HCC patients. Further studies are required to search for upstream factors that control GLS1 expression through the activation of NF-κB p65.
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