Targeted therapy and immunotherapy for platinum-refractory advanced ovarian adenosquamous carcinoma: a case report
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Background: Ovarian adenosquamous carcinoma is an extremely rare type of ovarian histology. Platinum-refractory disease is also uncommon, but can be fatal because of the lack of available treatment options. To date, there is no study or case report on platinum-refractory ovarian adenosquamous carcinoma or its relevant treatment.

Case presentation: Herein, we report the case of a 38-year-old Chinese woman with platinum-refractory advanced ovarian adenosquamous carcinoma who received clinical benefit from poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase and programmed death-1 inhibitors after failure of prior multiline chemotherapies and antiangiogenic agents. The targeted therapy and immunotherapy-controlled disease deterioration and improved performance status. Thus far, the patient has survived longer than 15 months, and she is taking nivolumab as maintenance treatment.

Conclusion: Targeted therapy and immunotherapy may be options for rare categories of ovarian cancer, but this warrants more clinical evidence of efficacy and toxicity.
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Background

Ovarian cancer is the leading cause of death among gynecologic malignancies. Adenosquamous carcinoma is an extremely rare primary malignant tumor of the ovary, accounting for <1% of all ovarian malignancies.1 We searched the PubMed database (up to April 14, 2018) using the search terms “ovarian adenosquamous carcinoma” and “adenosquamous carcinoma” and ‘ovary.’” Our search generated 11 articles, most of which were case reports without an established therapeutic regimen for ovarian adenosquamous carcinoma.

Standard initial multiple modality treatment for ovarian cancer includes primary debulking surgery followed by platinum-based chemotherapy regimens.2 However, about 20%–25% of patients with ovarian cancer relapse during first-line chemotherapy;3 these cases are classified as platinum-refractory by the Gynecologic Oncology Group. Platinum-refractory ovarian cancer is usually fatal because there are no other treatment options. No standard second-line or beyond chemotherapy regimens are superior in safety or efficacy4 for platinum-refractory ovarian cancer.

To date, there is no study or case report on platinum-refractory ovarian adenosquamous carcinoma or any relevant treatment options. However, there may be targeted treatment options that have shown efficacy in other tumor types. Tumor angiogenesis plays a pivotal role in the growth and metastasis of ovarian cancer,
mediated by vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) binding and signaling through cell surface VEGF receptors. Approximately 10%–15% of patients with ovarian cancer have deleterious germline BRCA1/2 mutations. Programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1), an immune checkpoint receptor ligand, is expressed in many types of human tumors and allows the tumor to escape the host immune system via programmed cell death-1 (PD-1) signaling. These signaling pathways may represent new treatment options for ovarian cancer. We present a woman with platinum-refractory advanced ovarian adenosquamous carcinoma treated using targeted and immune agents after failure to respond to multiple chemotherapies.

Case presentation

A 38-year-old woman was admitted to the Changhai Hospital in Shanghai on August 22, 2016, for abdominal aching pain and lumbago. She had been previously diagnosed with ovarian endometrial cysts through ultrasound at the Obstetrics and Gynecology Hospital of Fudan University in 2009. We determined that the patient had a mixed mass 7.0×5.2×6.5 cm³ in the left adnexal area and moderate ascites. The patient underwent debulking surgery on September 27, 2016, including curettage, total hysterectomy, bilateral adnexectomy, greater omentum resection, and appendectomy. Pathological examination revealed endometrioid adenocarcinoma with moderate differentiation; however, intermixed squamous differentiation in partial region was observed in the result of consultation from Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center. Referring to immunohistochemical reevaluation, which was CAM5.2 (+), CK7 (+), PAX8 (+), CK20 (+) partly, Ki-67 (50%), vimentin (+) partly, P53 (-), WT1 (-), CD10 (+) partly, P63 (+) partly, β-catenin (+), ER (-), PR (-), and P16 (+) partly, adenosquamous carcinoma was confirmed ultimately (Figure 1). The patient’s serum CA125 level was 245.00 U/mL and her serum CA19-9 level exceeded 1,200 U/mL on August 22, 2016, preoperatively, and they had decreased to 8.9 and 20.8 U/mL, respectively, on October 19, 2016, after surgery. She was diagnosed with International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics 2010 stage IIA disease according to the pathology and treated with intravenous docetaxel (75 mg/m²) and intravenous united intraperitoneal carboplatin (area under the cute =5, on days 1 and 2) for 2 cycles. During the first cycle, the serum CA19-9 increased over the normal range (Figure 2). The response evaluation before the third cycle indicated PD according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors 1.0 criteria. The patient’s positron emission tomography-computed tomography results revealed metastases in the apex of the left lung, retroperitoneal lymph nodes, left armpit, and right side of the pelvic cavity, which was further clarified by pelvic magnetic resonance imaging (MRI; Figure 3A). In addition, her serum CA19-9 level almost tripled within a month.

After her first relapse, the patient was treated with intravenous paclitaxel (135 mg/m²) and nedaplatin (80 mg/m²) as second-line chemotherapy. During the treatment, the patient’s serum CA19-9 level decreased to within the normal range. However, as with the first-line chemotherapy, after 2 cycles, her serum CA19-9 level was found to be increased to 43.41 U/mL, and pelvic MRI indicated that the mass in the right side of the pelvic cavity had increased in size since the previous report (Figure 3B). We recommended that the patient be administered the antiangiogenic therapy bevacizumab. She received 1 cycle of epirubicin (48 mg/m²), cyclophosphamide (4 mg/kg), and bevacizumab (15 mg/kg), which was discontinued because multiple new metastases were observed by liver MRI just a month later (Figure 4A). The patient then switched antiangiogenic therapies from bevacizumab to oral apatinib (500 mg/d) on April 6, 2017. However, apatinib treatment did not adequately control the
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disease, and the patient experienced grade 2 fatigue, hand–foot skin reaction, and proteinuria, which were not well managed. Her Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status was 3. The patient stopped apatinib treatment after a month because of the side effects.

The patient underwent whole-exome sequencing by gene capture, high-throughput sequencing, and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-Q-PCR) in April 2017, which revealed moderate plasma expression of PD-L1 (Figure 5) and mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 at 7% and 5% frequency, respectively. This indicated that the patient might be sensitive to PD-1/PD-L1 and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors. As the relevant medicines have not been approved on the Chinese mainland, the patient went to Hong Kong Integrated Oncology Centre to seek further therapy.

In this medical institution, the patient was treated with olaparib (300 mg, bid) and pembrolizumab (100 mg, q21d) beginning May 9, 2017, and the dosage of olaparib was increased (350 mg, bid) a month and a half later. After 4 cycles, pelvic MRI showed that the mass in the right side of the pelvic cavity had been reduced approximately 65% (Figure 3C).

In the first cycle of this regimen, her serum CA19-9 level decreased to 5.31 U/mL. However, during following cycles, the patient’s serum CA19-9 and CA125 levels gradually increased to all-time highs of 264.35 and 146.2 U/mL, respectively. Liver MRI indicated an increased number of metastases and enlarged lymph nodes in the retroperitoneum and both the lesser and greater curvature of the stomach on August 2, 2017 (Figure 4B). The patient was then switched to nivolumab (160 mg, q14d) and pazopanib (600 mg, qd) for 8 cycles beginning August 7, 2017. The patient’s serum CA19-9 and CA125 level decreased sharply and returned to within the normal range in 2 months, followed by a slow
increase (Figure 2). After 6 cycles, liver MRI revealed that the metastases in the retroperitoneal lymph nodes and both the lesser and greater curvature of the stomach had shrunk (Figure 4C). During the treatment, the patient felt pain in the neck, and cervical MRI showed new metastases in the C4–C7 vertebral body and part of the adnexa. The 2 agents were administered until her serum CA19-9 and CA125 level increased remarkably again on November 17, 2017. On November 21, 2017, the doctors at the Hong Kong Integrated Oncology Centre administered a combination of nivolumab with nab-paclitaxel (270 mg, q14d). The patient has received 3 cycles of this regimen and has seen a decline in the serum level of the tumor markers. Since receiving immunotherapies, the patient has experienced pneumonia and intermittent moderate fever, which were controlled by antibiotics, and her Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status was 1. Thus far, she has survived for more than 15 months. The patient provided written informed consent for the publication of the case details and the accompanying images.

Discussion and conclusion

Approximately 80% of ovarian cancer patients go into remission after standard initial multiple modality treatment,
including primary debulking surgery followed by platinum chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{2,6} Platinum sensitivity is a major determinant of prognosis and, therefore, patients with platinum-refractory disease have poor prognosis. Platinum-refractory ovarian cancer patients have shorter progression-free survival and overall survival (OS) than progressive platinum-resistant patients with ovarian cancer.\textsuperscript{7}

Ovarian endometriosis can lead to squamous differentiation in endometrioid adenocarcinomas,\textsuperscript{8} which are classified as adenosquamous carcinomas if they are malignant. Despite only part of the tumor consisting of malignant squamous cells, adenosquamous carcinoma was confirmed by immunohistochemical reevaluation in this case. Previous reports about ovarian adenosquamous carcinomas, almost all case reports, have been unable to determine prognosis of this type of malignant tumor. In the 5 cases where outcome was assessed, reported by Shoji et al,\textsuperscript{9} the median OS was 12 months (range: 3–36 months), and 4 of the 5 patients with stage Ic disease showed recurrence and died within 13 months. Despite adverse prognostic factors, which were platinum-refractory and advanced-stage disease (stage IIa), the patient’s OS has exceeded 15 months in our case.

Tumor angiogenesis, mediated through VEGF and VEGF receptors, plays a pivotal role in the growth and metastasis of ovarian cancer.\textsuperscript{10} Bevacizumab, a monoclonal anti-VEGF-A antibody targeting tumor angiogenesis, has been investigated and widely adopted for cancer treatment in both first-line and recurrent settings.\textsuperscript{11} Apatinib is one of the latest oral small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitors that inhibits VEGFR-2 and has encouraging preclinical and clinical data in the treatment of ovarian cancer.\textsuperscript{12,13} Pazopanib is also an oral small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor that inhibits VEGFR-1, -2, and -3. In a Phase III randomized clinical trial, pazopanib maintenance therapy provided a median improvement of 5.6 months (hazard ratio, 0.77) in progression-free survival in patients with advanced ovarian cancer who had not progressed after first-line chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{14} We used bevacizumab and apatinib successively combined with chemotherapy, but there seemed to be little response in this patient. The exact effect of pazopanib in combination with nivolumab cannot be determined, although taking into account previous experience, we would be inclined to ascribe a greater effect to nivolumab. El Helali et al\textsuperscript{15} demonstrated that acquired platinum resistance could be associated with an improved response to antiangiogenic agents; however, as far as we know, anti-angiogenesis-targeted platinum-refractory ovarian cancer remains to be investigated.

Olaparib is a PARP inhibitor approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as monotherapy for ovarian cancer patients with germline BRCA mutations who have been treated with 3 or more prior lines of chemotherapy. This approval was primarily based on a clinical trial involving 193 patients with platinum-resistant epithelial ovarian cancer (relapse within 6 months of platinum therapy) rather than patients with platinum-refractory disease.\textsuperscript{16} The efficacy of olaparib is associated with platinum sensitivity. Another indication from the European Medical Agency described platinum sensitivity as a condition and allowed olaparib monotherapy in patients with relapsed BRCA1/2-mutant epithelial ovarian, fallopian tube, or primary peritoneal cancers. In a cohort of 50 ovarian cancer patients with germline BRCA mutations who received olaparib, the clinical benefit rate was only 23% in patients with platinum-refractory disease, compared with 69% in platinum-sensitive patients.\textsuperscript{17}

PD-1, an immune checkpoint receptor expressed on T lymphocytes, is overexpressed in many types of human tumors in order to aid escape from the host immune system via PD-1/PD-L1 signaling. Nivolumab and pembrolizumab, anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies, block PD-1 signaling, and have been used to treat many tumors. Both nivolumab and pembrolizumab have been approved by the FDA for the treatment of metastatic melanoma.\textsuperscript{18,19} The FDA has also approved pembrolizumab as first-line therapy and beyond for patients with metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer whose tumors express PD-L1.\textsuperscript{20} To the best of our knowledge, only a few Phase II trials of pembrolizumab in recurrent ovarian cancer are ongoing or completed, some of which focus on platinum-resistant cases.\textsuperscript{21–23} In a Phase II trial involving 20 nivolumab-treated patients with platinum-resistant ovarian cancer, the best overall response was 15%, and the disease control rate was 45%.\textsuperscript{24} Sun et al\textsuperscript{24} found that nivolumab increases the antitumor effects of cisplatin in platinum-resistant ovarian cancer cells by inducing apoptosis and cell-cycle arrest in cancer cells. A large amount of data about similar indications, efficacy, and toxicity of nivolumab and pembrolizumab indicate their interchangeability.\textsuperscript{25} Because anti-PD-1 mAbs nonspecifically activate T-cells, immune-mediated damage of tissue or immune-related adverse events can occur.\textsuperscript{27} Hypophysitis, colitis, hepatitis, pneumonitis, and rash are the most common adverse effects of combining immunotherapy with other therapies, but these are usually reversible. A meta-analysis demonstrated that patients treated with PD-1 inhibitors showed a significantly lower rate of grade 3–4 adverse effects than did patients receiving chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{28} In our case, the patient’s performance status improved compared to her performance status during other therapies, and she did not experience toxicities generally observed during
treatment with conventional antitumor chemotherapy. She did not contract pneumonia, which was well managed.

Strickland et al. demonstrated that BRCA1/2-mutant ovarian cancers exhibit increased CD3+ and CD8+ TILs and increased PD-1/PD-L1 expression in the tumor microenvironment. Cross talk between PARP inhibitors and tumor-associated immunosuppression supports the combination of PARP inhibitors and PD-L1/PD-1 immune checkpoint blockade as a potential therapeutic approach. The patient received benefit from the combined regimen after little response to platinum chemotherapy, non-platinum chemotherapy, and angiogenesis inhibitors, and she was facing rapid disease progression. However, this patient seemed to be progressively resistant to these drugs. Multiple resistance mechanisms against PARP inhibitors have been described, including somatic mutations in 53BP1, upregulation of drug efflux transporters such as Pgp, and somatic mutations in BRCA1 genes leading to restoration of the open reading frame and thus BRCA function. As far as we know, there is no report of resistance to PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors.

There is no established chemotherapy regimen for ovarian adenosquamous carcinoma and no standard second-line and beyond chemotherapy regimen for platinum-refractory ovarian cancer. In our case, targeted therapies and immunotherapies were far superior to routine chemotherapy in controlling deterioration and improving performance status, leading to a prolongation of OS. We expect that more evidence of the efficacy and safety of single or combined regimens including targeted therapies and immunotherapies will be accumulated for rare categories of ovarian cancer in the future.
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