The Efficiency Index (EFFi), based on volumetric capnography, may allow for simple diagnosis and grading of COPD
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Background: Spirometry, the main tool for diagnosis and follow-up of COPD, incompletely describes the disease. Based on volumetric capnography (VCap), an index was developed for the diagnosis and grading of COPD, aimed as a complement or alternative to spirometry.

Methods: Nine non-smokers, 10 smokers/former smokers without COPD and 54 smokers/former smokers with COPD were included in the study. Multiple breath washout of N2 and VCap were studied with Exhalyzer D during tidal breathing. VCap was based on signals for flow rate and CO2 and was recorded during one breath preceding N2 washout. Efficiency Index (EFFi) is the quotient between exhaled CO2 volume and the hypothetical CO2 volume exhaled from a completely homogeneous lung over a volume interval equal to 15% of predicted total lung capacity.

Results: EFFi increased with increased Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) stage and the majority of subjects in GOLD 2 and all subjects in GOLD 3 and 4 could be diagnosed as having COPD using the lower 95% confidence interval of the healthy group. EFFi also correlated with N2 washout (r = 0.73; p < 0.001), forced expiratory volume in 1 second (r = 0.70; p < 0.001) and diffusion capacity for carbon oxide (r = 0.69; p < 0.001).

Conclusion: EFFi measures efficiency of tidal CO2 elimination that is limited by inhomogeneity of peripheral lung function. EFFi allows diagnosis and grading of COPD and, together with FEV1, may explain limitation of physical performance. EFFi offers a simple, effortless and cost-effective complement to spirometry and might serve as an alternative in certain situations.
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Introduction

Spirometry is the main diagnostic test for COPD and other obstructive diseases.1,2 COPD commences with bronchiolitis and centrilobular emphysema for which spirometry has limited sensitivity and, thus, provides incomplete information about physiological aberrations in COPD.2-5 Additionally, spirometry requires proper patient collaboration and can, therefore, be problematic to perform in children and mentally/physically incapable subjects. Diagnoses may therefore be delayed for years, leading to increased burden on patients and society.6,7 Inhomogeneity of pathology reduces diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide over the lung (DlCO) and retards washout of nitrogen in the multiple breath washout of nitrogen (MBW N2). These tests require complex equipment and are demanding with respect to patient cooperation. In contrast, volumetric capnography (VCap) requires just a few tidal breaths through a pneumotachograph and a CO2 analyzer followed by simple computer analysis, leading to a
more facilitated method of obtaining and analyzing data. In COPD, the shape of the curve from a single breath test for CO₂ (SBT CO₂) differs from that of a healthy subject by a slower rise of CO₂ towards an alveolar plateau that, furthermore, has a higher slope.8–11

Our objectives were to obtain an index of efficiency of tidal ventilation with respect to CO₂ exchange (Efficiency Index, EFFi) and to test the hypothesis that EFFi may be used for diagnostics and grading of COPD. To do this, we modified a concept originally proposed by Fletcher and Jonson and applied in healthy subjects by Aström et al,12 so that all relevant information in the SBT CO₂ was taken into account, while non-relevant information was excluded.13

Materials and methods

Lung function measurements have been previously described in the “Materials and methods” section of the study by Jarenbäck et al.14 Subjects were recruited from a research cohort and from an existing pool of patients attending our research clinic. Exclusion criteria were cardiorespiratory disease other than COPD, including respiratory tract infection during the last 3 weeks and any history of asthma. Study subjects were instructed to refrain from smoking, intake of caffeine and high nitric containing food 2 hours before visiting the clinic. They performed spirometry, DlcO₂ and MBW N₂ (including VCap) in the given order. All lung function measurements were performed without bronchodilator (except for in very severe subjects who could not refrain from their standard medications due to dyspnea). Ethical permission was given by the Regional Ethical Board in Lund (431/2008), and written informed consent was obtained from each subject. This study followed the guidelines set in the Declaration of Helsinki.

Nine non-smokers, 10 smokers/former smokers without COPD and 54 smokers/former smokers with COPD (Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease [GOLD] 1–4)1 were included. All smokers and former smokers had >10 pack years. For spirometry and for DlcO₂ Master Screen BODY and Master Screen PFT (Erich Jaeger GmbH, Würzburg, Germany) were used, respectively. Reference values for spirometry were defined by Crapo et al15 and for DlcO₂ by Quanjer et al.16

MBW N₂ and VCap were studied with Exhalyzer D (Eco Medics, Dürnten, Switzerland). Tidal breaths, ~1 L deep, were taken under guidance from a computer screen (Spiroware, Eco Medics). Ventilation heterogeneity in the acinar airways (Sₐ₀₋₋₀₋₋₀) that expresses inhomogeneity of the acinar airways is then calculated.17 Exhalyzer D uses a mainstream capnograph and an ultrasound flow meter connected to the subject via a mouthpiece and an anti-microbial filter (Electrostatic Filter; GVS Filter Technology, Zola Predosa, Italy). VCap was based on signals for flow rate and fraction of expired CO₂ (FE₂CO₂) during the MBW N₂. From one breath, before N₂ washout with pure oxygen was started, flow and CO₂ signals were sampled at a rate of 200 per second and transferred to Microsoft Excel for analyses of the SBT CO₂ (Figure 1). The analyses started after expiration of pure airway dead space, which was when FE₂CO₂ reached 0.002 and continued over the “analyzed volume” (VAN) which was standardized to 15% of predicted total lung capacity (TLC). For breaths not covering the whole VAN, the alveolar plateau was extrapolated as in previous studies.8,18 The volume of CO₂ exhaled over VAN equals area “A”. The hypothetical volume of CO₂ exhaled from a lung with a completely homogenous function would correspond to the rectangular area (A+B). EFFi was calculated as:

\[
\text{EFFi} = \frac{A}{(A+B)}
\]

EFFi represents the ratio between eliminated CO₂ over the volume eliminated from a theoretical “ideal” lung and expresses the efficiency of the breath in elimination of CO₂.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS version 23 for Windows (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). Differences between groups were analyzed using the Kruskal–Wallis

Notes:

- Analyzed volume starts when the CO₂ fraction reaches 0.002 and continues to 15% of predicted TLC. For breaths not covering 15% of TLC, the alveolar plateau was extrapolated. EFFi was calculated as the quotient between the volume of CO₂ exhaled, area A, and the hypothetical volume of CO₂ exhaled from a completely homogenous lung, area (A+B).

Abbreviations: EFFi, Efficiency Index; TLC, total lung capacity.

Figure 1 Single breath test curve for CO₂ for calculations of EFFi.

Analyzed volume

CO₂ fraction

Volume expired, mL

A

B

0

0.01

0.02

0.03

0.04

0.05

0

200

400

600

800
test with Dunn’s post hoc test to compare all groups to each other. Correlations were performed using Spearman’s correlation test. All correlations were checked graphically to confirm association. Categorical data between groups were analyzed using the Chi-square test. A value of $p<0.05$ was considered significant. Table data are presented as median with interquartile range. Data in graphs are presented as individual dots with median.

**Results**

The different groups were similar in age and height, but lung physiology data showed deterioration with increasing disease severity (Table 1). Figure 2 illustrates SBT CO$_2$ for the subjects with median EFFi in each group. The curves were normalized so that the volume axis covered 0–100% of $V_{AN}$, while the CO$_2$ axis covered the range from 0 to 100% of the value at the end of $V_{AN}$.

The distribution of EFFi in the different groups is illustrated in Figure 3A. The majority of subjects in GOLD 2 and all subjects in GOLD 3 and 4 showed EFFi below the 95% confidence interval of healthy subjects. Similar findings were observed for $D_{L,CO}$ and $S_{cin}$ (Figure 3B and C, respectively).

EFFi correlated with forced expiratory volume in 1 second (FEV$_1$) ($r=0.70$; $p<0.001$; Figure 4A), FEV$_1$/forced vital capacity ($r=0.58$; $p<0.001$; Figure 4B), $D_{L,CO}$ ($r=0.69$; $p<0.001$; Figure 4C) and $S_{cin}$ ($r=-0.73$; $p<0.001$; Figure 4D).

**Discussion**

We found that by analyzing EFFi from a SBT CO$_2$ curve, information about lung pathology could be accessed. This may be used as a simple, inexpensive way to identify subjects with COPD or as a complement to spirometry.

Along a principle related to that used for calculation of Effi, Åström et al calculated a dead space volume based on information limited to Phase II. In that case, the information related to the high slope of the alveolar plateau in COPD is then ignored. Our goal was to include all relevant information in the SBT CO$_2$ curve, ie, Phase II and III. Initial fraction of $V_{AN}$ contained little CO$_2$ and contributed to low efficiency, while later fractions with higher CO$_2$ content were more efficient. Accordingly, $V_{AN}$ must be standardized to avoid influencing EFFi by the volume range of analysis. Tidal volume depends on several factors and is a confounding, non-relevant factor. To compare subjects with different lung size, it was reasoned that predicted TLC is a proper parameter for standardization of $V_{AN}$. $V_{AN}$ was set to 15% of predicted value for TLC, in line with previous studies.

Accordingly, $V_{AN}$ commenced when CO$_2$ fraction in expired air became significant and ended after expiration of a further 15% of predicted TLC. When needed, the alveolar plateau was extrapolated to the end point of $V_{AN}$. Future studies based on completely spontaneous ventilation and a lower tidal volume may show if an alternative definition of $V_{AN}$ might be favorable.

Area $A$, as shown in Figure 1, represents the expired CO$_2$ volume while area (A+B) reflects the theoretical volume of CO$_2$ exhaled from an ideal homogenous lung. Accordingly, A/(A+B), ie, EFFi, is an index of the efficiency of the lung with respect to CO$_2$ elimination. EFFi is considered easier to comprehend than any parameter related to alveolar dead space. Being dimensionless, EFFi is independent on the level of CO$_2$ in alveolar gas, on calibration of the CO$_2$ meter and in which unit CO$_2$ content is expressed. The normalization of both expired volume and fraction of CO$_2$ that is inherent in EFFi lends itself to a graphical presentation that facilitates

### Table 1 Patient demographics and characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender (male/female)</th>
<th>Never smokers (n=9)</th>
<th>Smoking controls (n=10)</th>
<th>GOLD 1 (n=8)</th>
<th>GOLD 2 (n=22)</th>
<th>GOLD 3 (n=14)</th>
<th>GOLD 4 (n=10)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>7/3</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>12/10</td>
<td>9/5</td>
<td>2/8</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(former/current)</td>
<td>0/0</td>
<td>7/3</td>
<td>5/3</td>
<td>12/10</td>
<td>9/5</td>
<td>2/8</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>64 (62–69)</td>
<td>69 (67–70)</td>
<td>70 (68–71)</td>
<td>68 (63–70)</td>
<td>69 (61–72)</td>
<td>64 (62–69)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>172 (166–173)</td>
<td>175 (164–178)</td>
<td>174 (165–189)</td>
<td>173 (166–178)</td>
<td>174 (171–178)</td>
<td>169 (156–173)</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>66 (62–78)</td>
<td>84 (65–91)</td>
<td>84 (70–93)</td>
<td>74 (70–83)</td>
<td>75 (68–84)</td>
<td>69 (52–74)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$ (L)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.89–1.00)</td>
<td>95 (90–100)</td>
<td>92 (84–96)</td>
<td>64 (56–70)</td>
<td>40 (34–42)</td>
<td>26 (20–28)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$/FVC (%)</td>
<td>2.47 (2.40–2.97)</td>
<td>3.02 (2.13–3.35)</td>
<td>3.11 (2.29–3.47)</td>
<td>1.86 (1.53–2.15)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.91–1.41)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.53–0.76)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV$_1$/FVC (%)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.74–0.81)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.73–0.78)</td>
<td>0.65 (0.60–0.67)</td>
<td>0.55 (0.49–0.61)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.35–0.42)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.33–0.64)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{L,CO}$ (%)</td>
<td>95 (85–99)</td>
<td>81 (71–96)</td>
<td>86 (70–104)</td>
<td>72 (56–79)</td>
<td>48 (28–56)</td>
<td>21 (15–25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_{cin}$ (L$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.08–0.21)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.05–0.25)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.15–0.22)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.21–0.43)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.35–0.54)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.35–0.64)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Data are presented as n/n and median (interquartile range).

**Abbreviations:** %, % of predicted value; GOLD, Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; $D_{L,CO}$, diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide over the lung; FEV$_1$, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; ns, not significant; $S_{cin}$, ventilation heterogeneity in the acinar airways.
Jarenbäck et al

Comparison between groups (Figure 2) or between results of consecutive follow-up in patients. Most previous studies are based on limited information from the SBT CO\textsubscript{2} such as slope of Phase II and/or the slope of the alveolar plateau, Phase III\textsuperscript{11,19–21} Analysis of slope, the derivative of a mathematical function, is inherently sensitive to signal noise. The alveolar plateau is upward convex rather than linear and minor variation in the algorithm may lead to large variation in calculated slope.\textsuperscript{22} EFFi is based on integration of all data over \(V_{\text{AN}}\), a stable, comprehensible mathematical process refractory to noise. Kars et al found that Phase II of the SBT did not provide information sensitive enough for diagnosis of emphysema.\textsuperscript{10} This may reflect that the analysis was limited to a narrow volume interval of the SBT CO\textsubscript{2} without relation to predicted lung size.

Low EFFi reflects a combination of: 1) a widened range of alveolar CO\textsubscript{2} caused by inhomogeneity of ventilation/perfusion quotient (V/Q) among lung compartments; and 2) that compartments with high V/Q and low CO\textsubscript{2} content during expiration empty sooner than compartments with low V/Q and high CO\textsubscript{2} content.\textsuperscript{23} These two phenomena are concurrent in COPD and other obstructive lung diseases. In pulmonary embolism, compartments with high and low V/Q empty in synchrony leading to a SBT CO\textsubscript{2} with normal form, but a depressed alveolar plateau.\textsuperscript{8,18}

In COPD, the alveolar plateau extrapolated to 15% of TLC leading to a CO\textsubscript{2} value indicating the level of arterial

![Figure 2](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 2** SBT CO\textsubscript{2} curves from the subject with median value of EFFi in each group. **Note:** The analyzed volume is normalized with respect to TLCp, and CO\textsubscript{2} is normalized to the value at 15% TLCp. **Abbreviations:** EFFi, Efficiency Index; GOLD, Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; SBT CO\textsubscript{2}, single breath test for CO\textsubscript{2}; TLCp, predicted value for total lung capacity.
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**Figure 3** Grading COPD with small airway parameters. **Notes:** Grading of COPD severity based on (A) EFFi, (B) \(D_{L\text{CO}}\) %p and (C) \(S_{\text{acin}}\). 95% confidence interval based on the healthy group is depicted for each parameter. **Abbreviations:** %p, % of predicted value; EFFi, Efficiency Index; GOLD, Global initiative for chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; \(D_{L\text{CO}}\), diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide over the lung; \(S_{\text{acin}}\), ventilation heterogeneity in the acinar airways.
pressure of CO$_2$ (PaCO$_2$). However, before partial pressure of CO$_2$ (PCO$_2$) at expiration of 15% of TLC is used as an indicator of PaCO$_2$, studies in wider COPD populations are needed.

COPD leads to emphysema, mainly of centrilobular nature. Centrilobular bullae represent high V/Q compartments, while better preserved, more peripheral alveolar structures represent low V/Q compartments. During expiration, gas from central bullae is expired sooner than gas from peripheral alveoli. The two prerequisites for a low EFFi are thereby fulfilled. These compartments are connected in series with one another. Uneven airway obstruction is another pathological feature of COPD. More obstructive lung compartments represent low V/Q compartments which during expiration empty slower than less obstructive, high V/Q compartments. These compartments are connected in parallel. VCap does not indicate to what extent serial and parallel compartments contribute to a low EFFi.

A recent analysis emphasizes that ventilatory inefficiency and increased dead space contribute to exertional dyspnea in COPD. It was concluded that ventilatory inefficiency may progress despite only modest changes in FEV$_1$ and that serial measurements of ventilation over CO$_2$ elimination might prove valuable to track disease progression. This is exactly what EFFi offers in a most simple way. FEV$_1$ (percentage of predicted value) indicates the patient’s ventilatory capacity, while EFFi indicates the efficiency of ventilation. FEV$_1$ and EFFi may together explain how physical performance is limited in COPD.

In all GOLD 3 and 4 subjects, EFFi was lower than in healthy subjects. Spirometric criteria for COPD for grade GOLD 1 and 2 have important limitations. While EFFi allows diagnosis of advanced COPD, further studies are needed to define the usefulness of VCap and EFFi for diagnosis of early COPD. Between all groups, EFFi correlated similarly with $D_{LCO}$ and $S_{acini}$ (Figure 4). The overall agreement between EFFi, $D_{LCO}$ and $S_{acini}$ is explained in that all three measurements reflect uneven ventilation. $D_{LCO}$ and MBW $N_i$ are frequently used as a complement to FEV$_1$ with respect to how the disease affects gas exchange.

Figure 4 Correlation between EFFi and lung function parameters. 
Note: Correlation between EFFi and (A) FEV$_1$ %p, (B) FEV$_1$/FVC ratio, (C) $D_{LCO}$ and (D) $S_{acini}$.

Abbreviations: %p, % of predicted value; EFFi, Efficiency Index; $D_{LCO}$, diffusion capacity of carbon monoxide over the lung; FEV$_1$, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; $S_{acini}$, ventilation heterogeneity in the acinar airways.
and ventilatory inhomogeneity. EFFi would be a simpler alternative that directly indicates how the diseases affect CO₂ exchange.

This study is based upon data from previous studies to illustrate physiological aberrations in COPD of varying GOLD grades. ¹²,²⁷,²⁸ EFFi was measured in one single breath among the few breaths available before oxygen was administered during the N₂ wash out test. The material is small and does not allow consideration of age, which has known effects on V/Q inequality and VCap. ¹²,²⁹ Notably, age did not differ between the groups in this study.

This study is suggestive and calls for further studies in larger cohorts before the method can be reliably used for diagnosis of COPD or other obstructive lung diseases. With respect to modelling, it would then be of value to study alternative values of V₃₅₅. In unpublished data from Jonson et al (B Jonson, Lund University, unpublished data, 2000), children and adults with cystic fibrosis point to that an index of the same nature as EFFi may indicate deterioration earlier than spirometry. Therefore, studies of obstructive diseases other than COPD are justified.

Conclusion

EFFi measures efficiency of tidal CO₂ elimination that is limited by inhomogeneity of peripheral lung function. It may be suitable for diagnosis and grading of COPD and may, together with FEV₁, explain limitation of physical performance. EFFi may offer an easy, effortless and cost-effective complement to spirometry and might, in some situations, serve as an alternative when spirometry is not possible.
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