**Cost and outcome of occupation-based practice for community dwelling frail elderly: a pilot study**

**Objective:** The purpose of this pilot study was to determine the effectiveness and costs of the occupation-based practice for community dwelling frail elderly.

**Design:** Pilot pre-post design without a control group.

**Setting:** A care management center involving 37 local elderly.

**Subject:** The final analysis included 26 frail elderly in a community dwelling center.

**Intervention:** The intervention was occupation-based practice involving setting of client-centered goals, observation of real living situations, and provision of advice on the individual problem of real occupation.

**Outcome:** The outcome was the Frenchay Activities Index (FAI), which is used to evaluate the instrumental activities of daily living (IADL). Additionally, the frequency, duration, and cost of the intervention were calculated.

**Results:** Regarding the FAI score before and after the interventions, there were significant improvements in all items except work ($P<0.05$, effect size [$r$]: 0.67–0.93). A total of 15 people out of 26 (57.7%) showed improvement in activities of daily living. The frequency of interventions was 3.7 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 2.83–4.48), and the duration was 7.4 weeks (95% CI: 5.27–9.42). The average intervention cost was $258 (95% CI: 200.4–317.4).

**Conclusion:** The results of this study showed that occupation-based practice has a potential to improve IADL in frail elderly, with low frequency of intervention, within a short-term, and direct cost reduction. We believe that this pilot study will contribute to future clinical studies for frail elderly, and the findings can be easily applied to daily clinical intervention. A well-designed prospective randomized-controlled trial is necessary to verify these results.
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**Introduction**

Frailty is an important clinically identifiable state that increases the vulnerability to adverse outcomes, because of the decline in reserve and functionality of multiple physiological systems. The phenotype of frailty is defined as having three or more of the following criteria: unintentional weight loss, self-reported exhaustion, weakness, slow walking speed, and low physical activity. Frailty is a significant predictor of the incidence and worsening of activities of daily living (ADL) and poses limitations in instrumental activities of daily living (IADL) for community dwelling elderly; subsequently, this is associated with increased health care costs. Therefore, reducing the prevalence of frailty is likely to be greatly beneficial for the individual, their families, and society as a whole.

A previous systematic review and meta-analysis showed that home- and community-based occupational therapy had significant positive effects on daily living activities,
social participation, and the mobility of frail elderly. However, in that review, there were variations in the frequency and duration of the interventions. Although an efficient preventive intervention may involve a short duration and low frequency, its effectiveness and the appropriate duration are unclear. Understanding these characteristics of the intervention is required.

Subsequently, we need to determine what type of occupational therapy is most effective in the short-term for community dwelling frail elderly. Occupation-based practice evaluation and interventions should be based on occupations, including purposeful and meaningful activities for the individual in a social context, rather than focusing on impairments or body structure. Evaluation and interventions involving meaningful activities might enhance autonomy and the sense of purpose in life, and it may help maintain or improve ADL in elderly. Occupation-based practice may significantly improve occupational performance in older, home-dwelling adults with physical health problems.

Therefore, we have focused on occupation-based practice, because this intervention method focuses on daily activities, and reports have shown its short-term effectiveness in disabled elderly. We hypothesized that short-time and low-frequency occupation-based practice is effective in improving ADL and IADL for frail elderly. The purpose of this pilot study was to determine the effectiveness and costs of the occupation-based practice in community dwelling frail elderly, with regard to the number of interventions, intervention duration, and cost.

Methods
This was a pilot study with a pre–post design; assessments were made before and after an intervention, without a control group. This study was approved by the ethics committee of the Tokyo Metropolitan University (No 16062) and The Japanese Association of Occupational Therapists (No 201604). All participants provided informed written consent before the study.

Participants
The participants of this study were community dwelling frail elderly who received preventive services in 37 local elderly care management centers (recruitment period from October 2016 to February 2017). We defined frailty among the participants of this study as being under the cutoff point in the KIHON checklist (KCL) or being certified as requiring support by Long-Term Care Insurance (the KCL cutoff point is shown in Appendix 1). This is the standard definition for receiving nursing care prevention services in Japan. KCL is a self-reported comprehensive health checklist designed by a study group from the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare as a screening tool to identify community dwelling older adults who are vulnerable to frailty and having a higher risk of becoming dependent. The KCL comprises 25 items (yes/no) divided into seven categories: physical strength, nutrition, eating, socialization, memory, mood, and lifestyle; each category is rated on a pass (0)/fail (1) basis, and the sum of all indices ranges from 0 (no frailty) to 25 (severe frailty) where a higher score indicates worse functioning.

Participants were included if they had been certified as having frailty according to the abovementioned criteria, were ≥65 years old, had difficulty performing IADL, and were only receiving home services. The exclusion criteria were receiving home services as well as day-care or other services. Additionally, we excluded participants who were judged by a primary physician or occupational therapist as being unable to undergo rehabilitation intervention.

Intervention
The intervention in this study was occupation-based practice conducted by occupational therapists. Occupation-based practice evaluation and interventions should be based on real-life activities, rather than focusing on impairments or body structure. Typically, the therapists did not conduct direct therapeutic intervention (such as relaxation and manual therapy) for motor or body function, but rather provided the necessary advice with regards to the specified real-life occupation (doing meaningful activities in their real environment) for each participant. Intervention involved encouraging the
subject to personally solve the problem within a short time. The procedure is described in the following text.

**Interview and client-centered goal setting**

The occupational therapists identified the real-life occupation through interviews conducted at the homes of the participants. Therapists informed the participants that no direct therapeutic interventions would be performed. Goal setting was done considering the following 4 viewpoints: 1) to include the name of daily activities, 2) to include the period necessary for goal setting, 3) to indicate one goal, and 4) to document in the words of the participants.

**Discussing the medical risks of participants with other professionals**

Therapists confirmed the medical risks of the participants with other professionals. When necessary, therapists also collaborated with other professionals to provide support in the daily activities of participants.

**Observation of real-life occupations at home**

The therapist observed real-life occupations at home and evaluated improvement in body/cognitive function and the possibility of changing the local environment and procedure.

**Advice for real-life occupations**

Based on the results from the observations, therapists provided advice on real-life occupations. Most importantly, participants were encouraged to solve their own problems. Some advice included the need 1) to adjust the difficulty level of daily activities; 2) to reconsider a habit; 3) to reconsider the tools; 4) to reconsider the roles; 5) to break down daily activities; 6) to change the environment; and 7) to use an assistive device.

**Outcome**

The outcome of this study was the Frenchay Activities Index (FAI), which is used for evaluating the IADL. The FAI evaluates each of the 15 items in IADL based on a score of 0–3 points according to the frequency of activity for 3 months (45 points in total). We used the Japanese version of the FAI, which calculates the total score and sub-score of each of the following items: indoor housework, outdoor housework, outdoor activities, hobbies, and work area score. The FAI was scored by an occupational therapist before and after the intervention. We also calculated the frequency and duration of the intervention to examine its cost. The intervention cost was the same as the cost of home-based rehabilitation in long-term care insurance (20 min/4000 JPY), which was converted to EUR and USD at the rate as of February 2017 (http://www.x-rates.com/).

**Statistical methods**

The total FAI scores were compared using paired t-test. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to determine whether there was a difference in sub-scores before and after the intervention. For all analyses, a P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. In addition, we calculated each effect size (r) and its 95% confidence interval (CI). For analyzing the frequency of interventions, duration, and the intervention cost, we calculated the mean and 95% CI. Data were processed using Stata 14.2 software.

**Results**

**Participants and baseline characteristics**

The final number of participants was 26 (Figure 1). The mean age of the participants was 76.7 ± 7.84 years of age, with the majority living together with a spouse (42.3%) (Table 1). Among all conditions, orthopedic disorders were most common (46.2%). With regards to “going out”, once a week was the most frequent response (76.9%) (Table 1).

**Outcome**

The FAI scores were significantly improved after the intervention for all items except work (P<0.05) (Table 2). In total, ADL in 15 people (57.7%) improved. The mean frequency of interventions was 3.7 (95% CI: 2.83–4.48), and the mean duration was 7.4 weeks (95% CI: 5.27–9.42), corresponding to approximately one intervention per 2-week period. The average intervention cost was €243 (95% CI: 188.2–297.7).
Discussion

In this pilot study, the effectiveness and costs of occupation-based practice for frail elderly were investigated, based on a longitudinal comparison design without a control group. The results showed that occupation-based practice is effective in improving IADL in frail elderly, with low frequency and short-term intervention, suggesting the possibility of direct cost reduction. Although a few studies have examined the cost-effectiveness of other interventions,\(^1\)\(^2\)\(^9\) the intervention cost of this study was lower than that of previous interventions (intervention costs: $1,998\(^2\)\(^0\) or $859 [€728]\(^9\)).

We believe that this pilot study contributes to future clinical studies for frail elderly, and our findings can be easily applied to daily clinical interventions.

We propose two reasons that low frequency, short-term occupation-based practice may have been effective. First, it involved client-centered goal setting; therefore, the client’s problem-solving skills might be improved by participating in the goal setting, consequently motivating them to maintain their own health. Client-centered goal-setting and intervention processes ensure client empowerment and strengthen important aspects of effectiveness for frail elderly and their environment.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^2\)\(^1\) Second, by evaluating real living situations, therapists were able to advise on individual problems regarding real occupations and thereby modify the real environment. By carefully analyzing ADL, the occupational therapist adapts skills or activities to the individual’s demands, thus improving ADL performance.\(^2\)\(^1\)

Several limitations of this study are acknowledged. First, the influence of possible confounding factors is undeniable since there was no control group. Therefore, it is necessary that prospective studies verify these results using a more appropriate randomized controlled trial (RCT) design. However, previous studies have reported that IADL declines in frail elderly,\(^4\) and the occupation-based practice of the present study resulted in a large effect size, suggesting that this intervention is likely to be effective. Second, owing to the small sample size, it is impossible to determine which participants were positively affected by the intervention. However, the

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number (%)</th>
<th>n=26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age [mean (SD)]</td>
<td>76.7 (7.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>13 (50.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohabiter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitude</td>
<td>6 (23.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband-wife household</td>
<td>11 (42.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 2 households</td>
<td>9 (34.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopedic disease</td>
<td>12 (46.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>6 (23.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>2 (7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>2 (7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>4 (15.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of going out</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than once/week</td>
<td>20 (76.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than once/month</td>
<td>3 (11.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Almost without</td>
<td>2 (7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (3.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** SD, standard deviation.

### Table 2 Outcome measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frenchay Activities Index</th>
<th>Baseline score mean (SD)</th>
<th>Post-score mean (SD)</th>
<th>Change in score mean (95% CI)</th>
<th>Number of changed scores increase (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Effect size (r)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoor housework</td>
<td>7.0 (5.67)</td>
<td>8.2 (5.50)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.34–2.03)</td>
<td>11 (42.3%)</td>
<td>0.004(^a)</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor housework</td>
<td>1.4 (1.33)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.62)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.20–1.19)</td>
<td>9 (34.6%)</td>
<td>0.003(^b)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor activities</td>
<td>3.6 (2.91)</td>
<td>4.8 (2.94)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.43–2.03)</td>
<td>12 (46.2%)</td>
<td>0.001(^b)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hobby</td>
<td>2.0 (1.96)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.88)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.04–0.80)</td>
<td>5 (19.2%)</td>
<td>0.026(^b)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work</td>
<td>0.2 (0.46)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.72)</td>
<td>0.1 (−0.06–0.29)</td>
<td>2 (7.7%)</td>
<td>0.157(^b)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.1 (9.15)</td>
<td>18.7 (8.50)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.525.78)</td>
<td>15 (57.7%)</td>
<td>0.002(^b)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of interventions (frequency)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.83–4.48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>7.4 (5.27–9.42)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>29,231 (22,643.9–35,817.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>243 (188.2–297.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (95% CI)</td>
<td>258 (200.4–317.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** \(^a\)Wilcoxon signed-rank test; \(^b\)paired t-test.

**Abbreviations:** SD, standard deviation; CI, confidence interval.
participants had a common characteristic, as frail elderly, and therefore the adaptation range of this intervention method did not seem limited. Third, we calculated only the intervention cost. In future, it is necessary to calculate the intervention costs as well as all care and medical costs to better analyze the cost-effectiveness alongside a control group.

Conclusion
In summary, the results of this study show that occupation-based practice, with low frequency and short-term intervention, is effective in improving IADL for frail elderly, suggesting the possibility of direct cost reduction. However, the influence of possible confounding factors on the results cannot be denied, because there was no control group. In the future, it is necessary to verify these results with a well-designed RCT.
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