Influence of dose, gender, and cigarette smoking on clozapine plasma concentrations
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Introduction: Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of clozapine is a very useful method for verifying both the correct intake and the interindividual variability of its metabolism, thereby avoiding the risk of toxicity. The purposes of this paper were to discover how many patients using clozapine in common clinical practice have clozapine plasma concentration (PC) levels in the proposed reference range and to identify factors that influence clozapine PC levels.

Methods: Our study included 100 inpatients (diagnosed with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder) taking standard doses of clozapine (100–700 mg/day). Clozapine concentration was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography. Correlations between doses and PC levels and the influence of smoking and gender on clozapine PC levels were calculated.

Results: A large number of the patients (67%) had PC levels outside the proposed reference range. The clozapine PC levels were influenced by dose, gender, and cigarette smoking.

Conclusion: The correlations between dose, gender, and cigarette smoking and clozapine PC levels highlighted by our study overlap other research. It was surprising to find such a large number of patients with clozapine PC levels outside the therapeutic range. This result suggests the importance of clozapine TDM due to misunderstood inter- and/or intraindividual variability or misestimated partial therapeutic compliance.
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Introduction

In individuals with clearly defined treatment-resistant schizophrenia, clozapine should be introduced as the treatment of choice because of its superior efficacy in this regard.1 Clozapine treatment reduces the number of patients hospitalized and the number of bed days.2 Although approximately one-third of patients with schizophrenia show treatment resistance, the number of patients treated with clozapine is lower.3 However, clozapine prescription is increasing in most investigated countries. In most of the 17 observed countries, clozapine use peaks at age 40–59 years; there is a male preponderance in clozapine use.3 The early-onset group (15–20 years of age) of patients with schizophrenia shows the highest cumulative clozapine use rate.4 Clozapine can cause a broad spectrum of adverse effects. Most are benign, tolerable, and manageable,5 but some can be severe and life-threatening, including myocarditis, ileus, and agranulocytosis.6 There is a strong correlation between clozapine plasma concentration (PC) and seizures; most other clozapine side effects seem to be independent from clozapine PC.7,8

Clozapine PC levels show large interindividual variability9,10 and a considerable percentage of patients have clozapine PC outside the reference range.11,16,17 Clozapine PC can be influenced by many factors: dose,11–13 gender,13–16 age,13,15–17 body weight,16 smoking,11,15,16,18–20 caffeine,12,21,22 and comedication11,12 (Table 1).
Table 1  Factors influencing clozapine plasma concentration (PC) in studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference, number of patients</th>
<th>Influence of dose of clozapine</th>
<th>Influence of gender</th>
<th>Influence of age</th>
<th>Influence of body weight</th>
<th>Influence of smoking</th>
<th>Influence of caffeine</th>
<th>Influence of comedication</th>
<th>PC outside proposed RR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rajkumar et al[12] (N=101)</td>
<td>Dose and PC correlation (p&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Caffeine intake associated with PC (p=0.04)</td>
<td>Valproate associated with PC (p=0.005)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaertner et al[11] (N=233)</td>
<td>Dose and PC correlation (p&lt;0.0001)</td>
<td>No significant influence</td>
<td>No significant influence</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Smoking influences PC (p&lt;0.0001); 35% lower PC in S</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Fluv (p&lt;0.0001); depends on dose; clo PC 82% higher in patients taking fluv</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lane et al[13] (N=162)</td>
<td>Every 1 mg of clozapine can increase PC by 0.31%</td>
<td>No significant influence</td>
<td>Each additional year of age increased PC by 1.1%</td>
<td>No significant influence</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jann et al[14] (N=40)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PC decreased in men</td>
<td>PC increased in women</td>
<td>PC increased in aged patients</td>
<td>PC increased in NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulrich et al[15] (N=86; BS=404)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PC increased in women</td>
<td>PC increased in aged patients</td>
<td>PC 34.9% higher in women</td>
<td>PC 4% for every 5 years (reference 40 years)</td>
<td>PC 5% for every 10 kg BW (reference 80 kg)</td>
<td>PC increased in NS</td>
<td>PC below RR in 50% samples</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostami-Hodjegan et al[16] (N=3,782; BS=9,894)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PC +17% in women</td>
<td>PC 4% for every 5 years (reference 40 years)</td>
<td>PC 48% in NS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Large interindividual variability, up to eightfold at a given dose (400 mg, N=18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang et al[17] (N=193)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PC higher in women (p&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>No significant difference in PC between patients =&lt;40 and &gt;40 years old</td>
<td>No significant difference in PC between male S and NS, despite higher clo dosage for S</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowskill et al[18] (N=778; BS=1930)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No significant difference (dose higher in men, more men smokers)</td>
<td>Higher PC after the age of 65, despite dose reduction (N=196)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>32% PC under RR, 37% above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsuda et al[19] – MA of 4 studies, (N=120 S; 76 NS)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PC/dose ratio lower in S (p&lt;0.0001); to have the same PC, NS needs 100 mg and S 200 mg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seppälä et al[20] (N=44)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No significant difference</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PC 40% lower in S; no significant influence of number of cigarettes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ng et al[21] (N=197; BS=519)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Lower PC in men (higher oral clearance)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Lower PC in S (higher oral clearance)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hägg et al[22] (N=12 healthy volunteers)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>19% increase in the mean clo AUC during caffeine intake (p&lt;0.05) (mean caffeine daily dose 550 mg)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raaska et al[23] (N=12)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Trough PC 20% higher during the caffeine phase</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AUC, area under curve; BS, number of blood samples; BW, body weight; clo, clozapine; fluv, fluvoxamine; MA, meta-analysis; NA, not available; NS, nonsmokers; RR, reference range; S, smokers.
There is a significant difference in clozapine PC between men and women. When administered the same clozapine dose, women have higher PC than men.\textsuperscript{10,13–16,20} The difference between the genders is explained by lower cytochrome P450 1A2 (CYP1A2) activity in women.\textsuperscript{23} Other influential factors include slower gastric emptying in women, more adipose tissue in women, lower glomerular filtration rate, renal tubular secretion, reabsorption in women,\textsuperscript{24} and lower liver blood flow in women.\textsuperscript{25} Other potential factors include the effects of estrogen, as an inhibitor of CYP1A2,\textsuperscript{27} and oral contraceptives. Higher progesterone levels may induce cytochrome P450 3A4 (CYP3A4).\textsuperscript{3} This issue requires more investigation.

The influence of age, which is based on findings that the activity of CYP1A2 decreases with increasing age,\textsuperscript{21} seems to be mild. However, a study by Relling did not confirm these findings.\textsuperscript{26} The results of research investigating the impact of body weight or of BMI on clozapine PC were inconsistent.\textsuperscript{13,16}

Clozapine is metabolized by CYP1A2 and CYP3A4 and partially also by cytochrome P450 2C19 (CYP2C19). Inducers of these enzymes decrease and inhibitors increase PC. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in cigarettes are inducers of CYP1A2.\textsuperscript{27} Therefore, smokers need higher doses of clozapine to reach recommended clozapine PC levels than nonsmokers do. A considerable number of studies have examined the influence of smoking on clozapine PC; the most significant seems to be the meta-analysis by Tsuda et al\textsuperscript{19} (Table 1). One question is whether the number of cigarettes smoked per day plays a role. The results are inconsistent. According to Haslemo et al, the maximal induction of CYP1A2 is with 7–12 cigarettes a day, and this does not increase with a higher number of cigarettes. However, this small study (N=40) did not include any smokers who smoked fewer than seven cigarettes a day.\textsuperscript{28} In 118 healthy volunteers in a study by Dobrinas, the number of cigarettes smoked per day influenced CYP1A2 activity.\textsuperscript{29} According to EPA Guidance 2014 (EPA Guidance on tobacco dependence and strategies for smoking cessation in people with mental illness), PC should be measured just after smoking cessation in cases of medication where such measurement is necessary (for instance, on clozapine).\textsuperscript{30} To simplify the issue of the influence of smoking on clozapine PC, a male smoker needs higher doses of clozapine than a female nonsmoker to have the same PC, which is the practical message included in many cited studies.

Caffeine in daily doses of 400–1,000 mg inhibits the metabolism of clozapine to an extent that might be clinically significant in certain individuals. According to Mitchell, there are 75–165 mg of caffeine in an 8 ounce (237 mL) cup of coffee.\textsuperscript{31} The influence of caffeine on clozapine PC seems to be rather mild\textsuperscript{21,22} (Table 1).

In this context, therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) of clozapine is very useful in practice, because it is of great importance for patients to take an appropriate dose of clozapine. According to the “Consensus Guidelines for Therapeutic Drug Monitoring in Neuropsychopharmacology: Update 2017”, TDM of clozapine is highly recommended.\textsuperscript{7}

The purposes of this paper were to discover how many patients using clozapine in common clinical practice have clozapine PC levels in the proposed reference range and to identify factors that influence clozapine PC levels.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

The patient population was 100 inpatients diagnosed with schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder. The diagnoses were made by a treating clinician according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems 10th Revision. These patients were consecutively hospitalized at the Department of Psychiatry at University Hospital Brno from October 2011 to October 2014. Pharmacoresistance was defined as the failure of two therapeutic attempts with antipsychotic medications from two different receptor groups after a sufficient time. The minimal time of treatment with two other antipsychotic medications before clozapine was 2 months in total: two patients had at least 3 weeks with the first antipsychotic and at least 5 weeks with the second antipsychotic; in the other patients, the previous time of treatment was longer.

All patients provided written informed consent and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University Hospital Brno, Czech Republic.

**Clinical assessment**

The psychopathologic status of the patients was measured by Clinical Global Impression – Severity Scale (CGI-S). The patients who were switched to clozapine during the hospitalization were measured just before switching. The patients who had been taking clozapine before the hospitalization were measured at the beginning of the hospitalization.

Patient age (years) and duration of education (years) were registered. Relationship status was simplified into two groups: in a relationship (married patients and patients who had a partner) or not in a relationship (single patients and divorced patients). Employment status was also simplified into two groups: employed patients (patients with a job and students) or unemployed (disability pensioned patients and...
patients without a job). Other registered parameters were the duration of illness (months) and duration of clozapine treatment, which was counted from the day of the final, therapeutic dose (months).

During the hospitalization, the patients smoked in the smoking room at the psychiatric department in hospital, where smoking was permitted. The number of smoked cigarettes a day was identified by questioning the patients. No patient ceased smoking during the hospitalization. The height and weight of each patient were measured before taking a blood sample. The patients were allowed to drink no more than one cup of coffee a day. The exact amount of caffeine received per day was not measured.

Only a few patients received comedication that could influence clozapine plasma level. No patient took fluvoxamine, four patients took proton-pump inhibitors, and six patients took valproate.

Genetic tests were not performed, so information about patients’ CYP450 gene polymorphism is not known.

Clozapine dosing
During the hospitalization, clozapine PC was measured. Nurses consistently verified that the pills were swallowed. The clozapine dose was from 100 to 700 mg/day. The daily dosages were divided into two or three doses, except for one patient who took the whole daily dose of 100 mg in the evening. The morning dose was taken at 8 am, the afternoon dose at 1 pm, and the evening dose at 8 pm. In the patients who were switched to clozapine during the current hospitalization, clozapine was slowly titrated, usually starting at 12.5–25 mg clozapine a day and increasing up to 50 mg a day to the target therapeutic dose.

Sampling and drug analysis
Blood samples were drawn after at least 7 days at the target therapeutic dose to keep four elimination half-times and steady state. Some patients had taken clozapine before hospitalization, but even their blood samples were taken after at least 7 days of taking the therapeutic dose at the department. Peripheral blood samples were taken at 6 am, before the morning dose of clozapine, into biochemical test tubes.

The clozapine PC was measured using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) at the Institute of Forensic Medicine, St Anne’s University Hospital in Brno. The blood sample (0.5 mL) was processed by extraction into 5 mL 1-chlorobutane after pH adjustment by 200 μL of 0.2 M solution of sodium carbonate in water. Next, 4.5 mL of separated organic layer of 1-chlorobutane was transferred to a second test tube and re-extracted into 200 μL of 0.05 M water solution of sulfuric acid. Calibration solutions of standards were processed analogically. The obtained re-extract was analyzed using a method of HPLC coupled to photodiode-array detector using the HPLC Gynkotek device. Chromatographic software Chromleon, v. 6.80, was used for evaluation and verification. Clozapine was detected on the basis of the measurement of the UV/VIS absorption spectra (200–595 nm), and quantification was performed at 220 nm. The method was validated in accordance with the recommended guidelines of the National Authorisation Centre for Clinical Laboratories, J. E. Purkyne Czech Medical Society.

The limit of quantification for clozapine is 0.008 mg/L (8 ng/mL).

Comparisons and statistics
Standard descriptive statistics were applied in the analysis; median supplemented by 5th–95th percentile range for continuous data, absolute and relative frequencies for categorical data. The statistical significance of between-group differences was tested using the Mann–Whitney U-test for continuous data and Fisher’s exact test for categorical data. Characteristics influencing clozapine PC levels were evaluated using univariate and multivariate linear regression models; logarithmic transformation was applied when necessary. Analysis was computed using SPSS 25.0.0.1 (IBM Corporation, 2017).

Results
Patient characteristics
These results relate to 98 patients (68 men, 30 women). Two completely noncompliant patients were excluded (even from statistics). (To verify their complete noncompliance, the PC levels of these two patients were measured repeatedly and were repeatedly negative.)

All the patients were White Europeans. The average age was 35.67 ± 11.90 years. The average body weight was 84.72 ± 17.42 kg, and the average body mass index was 27.59 ± 6.06. Of the 98 patients, 76 had been diagnosed with schizophrenia (62 paranoid, six hebephrenic, three catatonic, four undifferentiated, and one residual), and 22 with schizoaffective disorder (1 manic, 16 depressive, and five mixed types). The duration of illness varied widely, from patients with their first episode (criteria of pharmacoresistance had been met) to patient with 38 years duration (average duration 149.18 ± 140.47 months; median 120). The duration of clozapine treatment also varied, from 7 days at the therapeutic
dose (not including days of titration) to 17 years and 7 months. Most of the patients were markedly to extremely ill (16 patients were scored CGI-S=7, 36 patients were scored CGI-S=6, and 29 patients were scored CGI-S=5). A smaller number were borderline to moderately ill (10 patients were scored CGI-S=4, four patients were scored CGI-S=3, and three patients were scored CGI-S=2).

Clozapine doses and clozapine PCs
On daily clozapine doses of 100–700 mg/day (the average daily dose was 344±122.5 mg a day) the measured clozapine PC level ranged from “negative” (<8 ng/mL) to 1,900 ng/mL, with the average clozapine PC level at 480.6±335.5 ng/mL.

Figure 1 shows a correlation between daily dose and clozapine PC levels. The clozapine PC levels of 67% of patients were outside the proposed reference range (proposed reference range 350–600 ng/mL); 28% the levels of 43% were above and 24% of patients had levels below the proposed reference range. The highest clozapine PC level was 1,900 ng/mL when taking 600 mg clozapine per day. This patient was hospitalized for anticholinergic delirium caused by clozapine intoxication when he stopped smoking nicotine cigarettes before the hospitalization (the patient had been smoking 60 cigarettes a day, then stopped smoking and did not inform his doctor). Six other patients with clozapine PC levels above the laboratory alert level (1,000 ng/mL)28 had usual side effects such as hypersalivation, obstipation, and sedation; none of them experienced severe side effects such as epileptic seizures, myocarditis, or neutropenia. Nevertheless, the daily dose of clozapine was reduced.

Only one patient experienced epileptic seizures related to clozapine treatment. One patient had to discontinue clozapine subsequently during the hospitalization because of urinary retention. Both of these patients had clozapine PC levels below the proposed reference range.

There was a significant correlation between the daily dose of clozapine and clozapine PC level ($p<0.001$).

Influence of smoking on clozapine PC
There were 46 smokers and 52 nonsmokers. In smokers, the average daily number of cigarettes was 24.2 cigarettes (ranging from three to 45 cigarettes per day). There were more men among the smokers. Smokers were more often in relationships, had higher body weights, and were more often diagnosed with schizoaffective disorder than nonsmokers. Smokers had lower CGI-S than nonsmokers (Table 2).

There was a negative correlation between smoking and clozapine PC level ($p<0.001$; Table 2).

However, there was no significant correlation between the number of cigarettes per day and clozapine PC level ($p=0.862$).

Influence of gender on clozapine PC
There were 68 men and 30 women. There were more smokers among the men than among the women, the men had higher body weights, were taking higher clozapine doses, and had lower CGI-S than the women (Table 3).

There was no statistically significant difference in clozapine PC between genders. But when taking clozapine daily doses and body weights into consideration, there was a statistically significant difference in clozapine PC between men and women, with women having higher clozapine PC ($p=0.021$).

Influence of other factors
When using a regression model and identifying predictors associated with clozapine PC, only smoking and clozapine daily dose were statistically significant (in both univariate and multivariate models) ($p<0.001$). The severity of psychopathology (CGI-S >5) was statistically significant only in the univariate model; when considering other factors in the multivariate model, there was no statistical significance (Table 4).
Body weight, body mass index, age, duration of illness, and duration of clozapine treatment had no statistically significant influence. Neither did the diagnosis schizophrenia versus schizoaffective disorder, relationship status, employment status, or education (Table 4).

**Discussion**

This study examined whether patients taking standard doses of clozapine have the clozapine plasma levels in the proposed reference range. The factors influencing clozapine plasma levels were also investigated.

We had expected a high number of the patients to have clozapine PC outside the proposed reference range, but the very high percentage (67%) was surprising. This percentage was high even though most of the patients were treated with a standard daily dose of clozapine (average dose was 344 mg/day). The number of values outside proposed reference range in our study is similar to the results of a study...
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Table 4 Predictors associated with clozapine plasma concentration in linear regression model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictor</th>
<th>Univariate model</th>
<th></th>
<th>Multivariate model</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta (95% CI)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>Beta (95% CI)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>−0.114 (−0.445; 0.122)</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>−0.432 (−0.802; −0.323)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education (years)</td>
<td>0.042 (−0.525; 0.799)</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>−0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>−0.023 (−0.371; 0.296)</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>−0.052; 0.186</td>
<td>0.010; 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship</td>
<td>0.019 (−0.323; 0.389)</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>−0.323; 0.369</td>
<td>0.263; 0.369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>−0.400 (−0.764; −0.280)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>−0.432 (−0.802; −0.323)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>0.121 (−0.251; 1.010)</td>
<td>0.235</td>
<td>0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>0.126 (−0.227; 0.988)</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis F25</td>
<td>0.034 (−0.263; 0.369)</td>
<td>0.740</td>
<td>0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clozapine dose (mg/day)</td>
<td>0.341 (0.270; 0.954)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.023 (−0.010; 0.012)</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of disease (months)</td>
<td>0.114 (−0.052; 0.186)</td>
<td>0.264</td>
<td>0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of disease &gt;1 year</td>
<td>0.133 (−0.119; 0.588)</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of clozapine usage &gt;1 month</td>
<td>−0.092 (−0.382; 0.143)</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S</td>
<td>0.195 (−0.002; 0.218)</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S &gt;4</td>
<td>0.069 (−0.229; 0.465)</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.405 (0.423; 1.033)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGI-S &gt;5</td>
<td>0.226 (0.038; 0.552)</td>
<td><strong>0.025</strong></td>
<td>0.071 (−0.144; 0.330)</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: All continuous predictors with the exception of age were log transformed prior to regression analysis; the evaluated variable clozapine PC was also log transformed. Significant p-values (p<0.05) are shown in bold.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CGI-S, Clinical Global Impression – Severity Scale; F25, schizoaffective disorder.

by Couchman in which 70.9% of the values were outside the proposed reference range. In contrast to the study by Couchman, in which more PC levels were below the proposed reference range (42.5% under and 28.4% above), in our study more PC levels were above (43% above and 24% under). Couchman’s analysis was conducted on a considerably larger group of patients (N=26,796 patients, and 104,127 blood samples).9

Figure 1 shows the broad range of clozapine PC in our data. For example, if we focus on patients taking 300 mg clozapine a day, the value is reflected in a wide range of 120–1,490 ng/mL, which shows the strong interindividual variability of clozapine PC.

Full noncompliance was discovered in two patients, despite consistent monitoring of medication administration at the department. These two patients had negative clozapine PC, even in repeated measurement. (These patients were subsequently treated by long-lasting antipsychotic injections.)

There was a statistically significant correlation between clozapine daily doses and clozapine PC levels. This result had been expected and correspond with other published studies conducted with similar or larger numbers of patients.1,12

There was a negative correlation between smoking and clozapine PC in our study (p<0.001), which had been expected. It is in accordance with the induction of CYP1A2 by polyaromatic hydrocarbons in cigarettes. An influence of smoking on clozapine PC was observed in the meta-analysis by Tsuda et al.18 In our study, there was no significant correlation between the number of cigarettes smoked a day and clozapine PC. That could be explained by the results of the study by Haslemo et al,26 in which the maximum induction of CYP1A2 was with 7–12 cigarettes a day, and the induction did not increase with a higher number of cigarettes.

A difference between genders, taking into consideration clozapine daily doses and body weights, in our data is evident. Women had significantly higher clozapine PC than men, which is in accordance with other studies.3,10,13–16,20

If we consider strong interindividual variability, the influence of smoking, and the risk of potentially dangerous side effects of clozapine, TDM of clozapine can be a very useful method in practice. This is particularly true for patients who are being treated with clozapine for the first time, who do not have sufficient therapeutic efficacy on clozapine, and who experience side effects. Hiemke et al highly recommend TDM of clozapine.7 A significant proportion of patients considered to be treatment resistant have subtherapeutic antipsychotic plasma levels,12 and this is associated with subsequent admission.31

Patients who switched to clozapine are treatment resistant and need to set the therapy as effectively as possible with optimal doses. Clozapine can cause potentially dangerous side effects. Taking one blood sample is usually not a burden for patients, even in the context of regular monitoring of white cells. Nevertheless, some patients can ask for voluntary discontinuation because of discomfort with the mandatory blood monitoring scheme.34 If we consider all these facts, there is a...
clear benefit of TDM of clozapine in patients who switched to clozapine. Furthermore, TDM of clozapine seems to be particularly important for patients who start or stop smoking.

If noncompliance is suspected, TDM can be a useful method of detection. Complete noncompliance can be easily discovered; however, it is nearly impossible to discover partial noncompliance.

Our results suggest the importance of clozapine TDM in order to improve the efficacy and the safety of clozapine treatment. Further studies are necessary to investigate the inter/intraindividual variability of clozapine metabolism and to better evaluate the treatment adherence of patients.

The advantages of this study are related to its naturalistic observation: data are from common clinical practice and patients were not selected.

The study has some limitations: the number of smoked cigarettes a day was ascertained according to information from patients and was not verified by staff. The exact amount of caffeine intake per day was not measured. However, no more than one cup of coffee a day is permitted at this department. This amount of received caffeine is probably negligible, because one cup (237 mL) of coffee contains ~75–165 mg of caffeine.\(^{31}\) Caffeine in daily doses of 400–1,000 mg increases clozapine PC of 19%.\(^{21}\) Comedication was not taken into consideration, although only a few patients took medication that could influence clozapine metabolism, as reported. Completely but not partially noncompliant patients were discovered. TDM of clozapine was undertaken repeatedly in a minority of patients (for clinical reasons, such as after dose change); the other patients underwent just one blood sample analysis; therefore, it was not possible to establish if they were completely compliant. False-negative and false-positive results are not probable, but possible.
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