Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of linezolid in plasma/cerebrospinal fluid in patients with cerebral hemorrhage after lateral ventricular drainage by Monte Carlo simulation
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Objective: We investigated the pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) parameters of linezolid in patients who had suffered cerebral hemorrhage after lateral ventricular drainage.

Materials and methods: Ten patients with cerebral hemorrhage after lateral ventricular drainage with stroke-associated pneumonia who were given linezolid were enrolled. Plasma and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) samples were taken at appropriate intervals after the first administration of linezolid and assayed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). Then, PK parameters were estimated, and a Monte Carlo simulation was used to calculate the probability of target attainments (PTAs) for linezolid achieving the PK/PD index at different minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs).

Results: The maximum concentration of linezolid in plasma and CSF was reached at 1.00 h and 3.10 h, respectively. The average penetration of linezolid in CSF was 56.81%. If the area under the plasma concentration vs time curve from zero to the final sampling time (AUC₀–τ) / MIC ≥ 59.1 was applied as a parameter, the PTA of linezolid in plasma could provide good coverage (PTA ≥ 90%) only for pathogens with a MIC of ≤2 μg/mL, whereas it could be achieved in CSF with a MIC of ≤1 μg/mL. If %T > MIC ≥ 40% was applied as a parameter, the PTA of linezolid in plasma/CSF could provide good coverage if the MIC was ≤4 μg/mL.

Conclusions: For patients with infection of the central nervous system and who are sensitive to the drug, the usual dosing regimens of linezolid can achieve a good therapeutic effect. However, for critically ill or drug-resistant patients, an increase in dose, the frequency of administration, or longer infusion may be needed to improve the curative effect.
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Introduction

Ventricular drainage is used to relieve cerebral edema and lower intracranial pressure in various neurologic disorders, but some complications remain: postoperative re-bleeding in the brain, intracranial infection, bleeding in the upper gastrointestinal tract, central fever, hyperglycemia, and renal insufficiency.¹ Intracranial infection can develop into a very serious nosocomial infection that prolongs hospitalization, increases the patient’s medical expenses, and can even cause death directly. Studies have shown that in complications caused by brain surgery, the prevalence of intracranial infection is 1.8 to 8.9% and that of mortality is 3.8 to 30%.²
Gram-positive cocci are the most common causative pathogenic bacteria of intracranial infection after craniotomy. The proportion of methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus has been increasing gradually. For patients with intracranial infection, vancomycin treatment, as recommended by the Infectious Diseases Society of America, is often used, but its penetration into cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is only 0 to 18%. In addition, vancomycin is often accompanied by adverse reactions and bacterial resistance. As a result, intracranial infection is difficult to control, and a mortality prevalence of 20% to 40% has been reported. Improvement of the treatment of intracranial infection has become an urgent problem.

Linezolid is an oxazolidinone, and was approved for marketing by the US Food and Drug Administration in 2000. Linezolid can inhibit the synthesis of bacterial proteins by acting on the 50S ribosomal subunit of bacteria, and only at the initiation stage of translation. Because of its special mechanisms, it has become a better alternative to vancomycin. The clinical indications of linezolid are: nosocomial pneumonia, septicemia caused by vancomycin-resistant enterococci, complicated infections of skin and soft tissue, and community-acquired pneumonia with bacteremia. However, the indications for treatment of intracranial infection after craniocerebral procedures have not been stated.

Due to various pathophysiologic factors (eg, edema, fluid infusion, change in hepatic/renal function), significant individual differences exist in the distribution and elimination of drugs in patients who have undergone cranial surgery but suffered cerebral hemorrhage complicated by central nervous system (CNS) infection.

We wished to evaluate the effect of pathophysiologic changes on linezolid pharmacokinetics (PK) and provide evidence for linezolid use in the treatment of CNS infection in this specific patient population.

Materials and methods

Chemicals and reagents
Linezolid standard (purity of 99.58% in powder form) was purchased from MedChem Express (Monmouth Junction, NJ, USA). Chloramphenicol standard (purity of 99.8% in powder form) was obtained from the National Institutes for Food and Drug Control (Beijing, People’s Republic of China). Linezolid for injection (Zyvox®, Fresenius Kabi Norge AS, Halden, Norway) was purchased from Fresenius Kabi Norge AS (Oslo, Norway). Acetonitrile of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Milli-Q™ water (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used for all samples.

Study participants
The study protocol was in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration and approved by the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College (Bengbu, People’s Republic of China), and written informed consents had been signed by patients or their families.

The study was conducted in the emergency internal medicine department of the First Affiliated Hospital of Bengbu Medical College (the largest tertiary care center in northern Anhui). From May 2016 to December 2017, 10 patients with severe cerebral hemorrhage were enrolled into our study. Inclusion criteria were: age >18 years and stroke-associated pneumonia with no further cerebral bleeding.

Study design and sample collection
Linezolid injection (Zyvox) was administrated as a 1-h infusion of 0.6 g every 12 h and infused with a micro-pump at a steady rate. Blood samples (1 mL) were collected using direct-draw vacuum blood-collection tubes containing heparin immediately before the first infusion as well as 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0 and 12.0 h after infusion. After blood samples had been centrifuged (3,000 rpm, 5 min, room temperature), 0.2 mL of the separated plasma samples were stored at −20°C until assay. CSF samples (1 mL) were collected, processed and stored in the same manner as the blood samples.

Sample preparation
After the addition of 20 μL of internal standard (chloramphenicol, 1 mg/mL) and 0.4 mL of acetonitrile to plasma or CSF (0.2 mL) in a 1.5-mL Eppendorf tube, samples were mixed and centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 5 min at room temperature.
temperature. Then, 10 μL of the organic layer was injected into the HPLC system for analyses.

**Linezolid assay**

When the method for determining concentrations of linezolid was established, we used an HPLC-MS/MS (positive mode, multiple reaction monitoring, 338.2→296.2) and HPLC to measure linezolid in the plasma/CSF, respectively. The results of the two methods were consistent, indicating that there was no interference with metabolites at this retention time. Therefore, we used the HPLC method for its simplicity and economy.

Briefly, the linezolid in the organic layer was placed in a HPLC system (1290; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a quaternary pump, autosampler, thermostat column, and ultraviolet detector. Samples were separated on a Hypersil BDS (Elite, Dalian, People’s Republic of China) C<sub>18</sub> column (2.1 × 100 mm, 3.5 μM) at 30°C. The mobile phase was water–methanol (80:20, v/v) at a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min with a detection wavelength of 254 nm.

**PK**

According to the drug concentration vs time profile of each patient, PK parameters [peak time (T<sub>max</sub>), peak concentration (C<sub>max</sub>), elimination half-life (T<sub>1/2</sub>), area under the curve (AUC<sub>0–t</sub>), apparent volume of distribution (Vd) and total clearance (CL)] were calculated using WinNonlin 6.1 (Certara, Princeton, NJ, USA), and a non-compartmental model was employed.

**PK/pharmacodynamics (PD) simulations**

Linezolid is an antimicrobial agent with a long duration and time-dependent activity. Hence, the value of the area under the curve/minimum inhibitory concentration (AUC/MIC) and the time that the plasma concentration is the MIC (%T > MIC) are used as indices of PD evaluation. Based on the work of Cai et al, we selected AUC<sub>0–t</sub>/MIC ≥ 59.1 and %T > MIC ≥ 40% as target values for effective therapy. A Monte Carlo simulation was employed to calculate the probability of target attainments (PTAs) based on different MIC values from a PK/PD perspective, and Crystal Ball (Oracle, Redwood City, CA, USA) was used.

**Results**

**Patient demographics**

Patient demographics (sex, age, weight, albumin levels, creatinine concentrations) are shown Table 1.
**Table 2 Pharmacokinetic parameters of linezolid in plasma**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>$T_{1/2}$ (h)</th>
<th>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</th>
<th>$C_{\text{max}}$ (µg/mL)</th>
<th>AUC (h µg/mL)</th>
<th>CL (L/h)</th>
<th>Vd (L/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.50</td>
<td>126.00</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>39.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>65.15</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>96.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.61</td>
<td>125.52</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>24.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.97</td>
<td>50.87</td>
<td>11.79</td>
<td>40.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>64.08</td>
<td>9.36</td>
<td>47.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.46</td>
<td>65.30</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>43.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>65.34</td>
<td>9.18</td>
<td>54.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.07</td>
<td>65.44</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>43.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.25</td>
<td>99.21</td>
<td>6.05</td>
<td>50.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.48</td>
<td>66.99</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>46.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SD  4.26±1.52  1.00±0.00  14.80±4.95  79.39±29.26  8.25±1.28  48.75±18.73

**Abbreviations:** $T_{1/2}$, elimination half-life; $T_{\text{max}}$, peak time; $C_{\text{max}}$, peak concentration; AUC, area under the curve; CL, total clearance; Vd, apparent volume of distribution.

**Linezolid concentrations and PK parameters**

Samples of plasma and CSF were analyzed at different time points and PK parameters calculated. Figure 1 shows the HPLC chromatogram of linezolid from CSF 3 h after linezolid administration.

The profile of plasma concentration vs time of linezolid is shown in Figure 2. The plasma PK parameters of linezolid are shown in Table 2. The maximal plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) was 14.80 ± 4.95 µg/mL. The area under the plasma concentration vs time curve from zero to the final sampling time (AUC$_{0-24}$) was 79.39 ± 29.26 h·µg/mL.

The profile of CSF concentration vs time of linezolid is shown in Figure 3. The CSF PK parameters of linezolid are shown in Table 3. The peak concentration in CSF (8.46 ± 1.99 µg/mL) was reached 3.10 ± 0.32 h after intravenous infusion, and AUC$_{0-24}$ was 45.10 ± 8.78 h·µg/mL. The mean penetration of linezolid in the CSF was 56.81%.

**Attainment of PK/PD targets**

PTAs of linezolid in plasma and CSF at different MIC values are shown in Table 4.

When AUC$_{0-24}$/MIC ≥ 59.1 was applied as a parameter, the PTA of linezolid in plasma was 99.21, 74.51, 9.94 and 0.05% when the MIC was 1, 2, 4 and 8 µg/mL; the PTA in CSF was 98.22, 6.00, 0.00 and 0.00%, respectively.

When %T $>$ MIC ≥ 40% was applied as a parameter, the PTA of linezolid in plasma was 99.81, 97.41, 75.88 and 21.16% when the MIC was 1, 2, 4 and 8 µg/mL; the PTA in CSF was 99.54, 90.56, 49.10 and 8.13%, respectively.

**Discussion**

Linezolid is first-line treatment for multiple drug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and vancomycin-resistant bacterial infection. Linezolid plays an important part in the treatment of critically ill patients with severe infection.$^{20-22}$

Existing PK parameters have been based mainly on healthy volunteers. Only a minority of PK parameters in special disease states have been reported.$^{23-25}$ PK parameters have not been reported in the CSF of patients who have suffered cerebral hemorrhage after surgical drainage. We analyzed linezolid in this specific patient population to see if the linezolid concentration could achieve an effective therapeutic range while limiting its toxicity and delaying the development of drug resistance. Our study also provides valuable information for monitoring of the stability of linezolid in blood/CSF in this specific patient population who had ventricular drainage without further bleeding. As shown in Tables 2 and 3, the $T_{1/2}$ of linezolid in plasma was only 4.3 h, whereas the $T_{1/2}$ was 5.3 h in CSF. The main cause of a higher $T_{1/2}$ in CSF than in plasma was drug slow diffusion across the blood-brain barrier, which was similar to previous research.$^{26-28}$

[Figure 3 Cerebrospinal-fluid concentration-time curves of linezolid in patients. Note: Each point represents the mean ± SD (n = 10).]
Linezolid has a long duration of antimicrobial activity which is dependent upon time. The AUC<sub>0–24</sub>$/\text{MIC}$ and %T > MIC are used commonly as indices of PD evaluation. Studies have shown the AUC<sub>0–24</sub>$/\text{MIC}$ ≥ 59.1 and %T > MIC ≥ 40% can be used as target values of a good curative effect. In the present study, because we have difficulty in obtaining MIC data from pathogens in cerebral hemorrhage patients or some other comparable population in our hospital, the typical MICs (1, 2, 4 and 8 μg/mL) were chosen according to the similar literatures and EUCAST guidance.\textsuperscript{29,30} Also, the PTAs were estimated using a Monte Carlo simulation for linezolid to achieve its PK/PD index.\textsuperscript{31}

We found that when AUC<sub>0–24</sub>$/\text{MIC}$ ≥ 59.1 was applied as a parameter, the PTA of linezolid in plasma could provide good coverage (PTA ≥ 90%) only for pathogens with a MIC of ≤2 μg/mL, whereas it could be achieved in CSF with a MIC of ≤1 μg/mL. When %TMIC ≥ 40% was applied as a parameter, the PTA of linezolid in plasma/CSF could provide good coverage if the MIC was ≤ 4 μg/mL. These results suggest that the standard dose and administration of linezolid can achieve a good therapeutic effect in patients who are sensitive to the drug based on a drug-sensitivity test. In critically ill patients or drug-resistant patients, the dose, frequency of administration or duration of intravenous infusion may have to be increased to improve the therapeutic effect.

We found that it was more likely to achieve %T > MIC ≥ 40 than AUC<sub>0–24</sub>$/\text{MIC}$ ≥ 59.1 considering that: (i) we were caring for critically ill patients with cerebral hemorrhage complicated by intracranial infection; and (ii) the average penetration of linezolid in CSF was only 56.81%. We propose stricter use of AUC<sub>0–24</sub>$/\text{MIC}$ ≥ 59.1 for dosing and administration to help increase the drug concentration in CSF. In addition, monitoring of the concentration will help to adjust the drug dose to achieve the best therapeutic effect and, ultimately, to promote the rational use of drugs.
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