Botulinum neurotoxin formulations: overcoming the confusion
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Abstract: Botulinum toxin A is produced by anaerobic spore-forming bacteria and is used for various therapeutic and cosmetic purposes. Botulinum toxin A injections are the most popular nonsurgical procedure worldwide. Despite an increased demand for botulinum toxin A injections, the clinical pharmacology and differences in formulation of commonly available products are poorly understood. The various products available in the market are unique and vary in terms of units, chemical properties, biological activities, and weight, and are therefore not interchangeable. For safe clinical practice and to achieve optimal results, the practitioners need to understand the clinical issues of potency, conversion ratio, and safety issues (toxin spread and immunogenicity). In this paper, the basic clinical pharmacology of botulinum toxin A and differences between onabotulinum toxin A, abobotulinum toxin A, and incobotulinum toxin A are discussed.
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Introduction
Botulinum toxin is produced by the anaerobic spore-forming bacteria of the genus Clostridium. It consists of a complex mixture of proteins containing botulinum neurotoxin (BoNT) and several nontoxic proteins. BoNTs are the most potent toxins known to mankind and can cause botulism.1 There are eight distinct BoNT serotypes (A–G) produced by different strains of Clostridium botulinum.2,3 The human nervous system is susceptible to BoNT-A, B, C, E, F, and G and unaffected by D.1,4–6 Recent advances have resulted in the discovery of genes encoding for many new BoNTs that may be grouped within an existing serotype but with various amino acid sequences. In addition, there are some chimeric BoNTs, for example, BoNT-DC. All serotypes have a similar molecular architecture.7 Only serotypes A and B are widely used for clinical applications as their effect is longer lasting than other serotypes.8 BoNTs differ with each other in terms of protein size of the neurotoxin complex, the amount of neurotoxin in the activated or nicked form, potency, and intracellular protein target. These properties vary among different preparations of the same serotype.9

BoNTs enter peripheral cholinergic nerve terminals where they cleave one or two of the three core proteins of the neuroexocytosis apparatus. This results in temporary and reversible inhibition of neurotransmitter release.10 Paresis occurs 2–5 days after injection, reaches its maximal point at 5–6 weeks, and lasts for approximately 2–3 months.8,11 BoNT seems to be preferentially taken up by hyperactive nerve terminals. Nerve stimulation has been reported to increase the rapidity of BoNT poisoning.10
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The recovery of muscle contraction happens gradually and in two stages:12

• Stage 1 involves the return of exocytosis: reappearance of vesicle turnover in the sprouts and functional recovery of the neurones
• Stage 2 involves the return of the vesicle turnover in the original terminals and regression of the sprouts.

The initial functional recovery is mediated by the terminal sprouts followed by reestablishment of the original terminals.12 Rogozhin et al reported that the new synaptic contacts play a relatively minor role in functional recovery. The original neuromuscular junction is reported to play a more significant role than previously thought.13

The specificity of action of BoNT has made this neurotoxin a useful therapeutic agent. The range of clinical application of BoNT is vast and fast growing with some of the clinical uses summarized in Table 1. BoNT-A is extensively used for aesthetic purposes worldwide and has been shown to have direct analgesic effects, mediated through blockade of substance P, glutamate, and calcitonin gene-related peptide.11 The basis of popular use of BoNT is its potency, neurospecificity, and complete reversibility.10

BoNT-A formulations

There are several BoNT-A-containing products available on the market, and they vary in terms of the following:13,14

• Composition
• Amount of neurotoxin
• Units
• Toxin complex size
• Molecular weight
• Chemical properties
• Biological activity
• pH
• Storage
• Risk of antigenicity
• Indication of use
• Geographic distribution.

The three botulinum toxin formulations that have been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration and are well known in the Western hemisphere are as follows:

• Onabotulinum toxin A (ONA; Botox®/Vistabel®; Allergan Inc., Dublin, Ireland)
• Abobotulinum toxin A (ABO; Dysport®/Azzalure®; Ipsen, Paris, France/Galderma, Lausanne, Switzerland)
• Incobotulinum toxin A (INCO; Xeomin®/Bocouture®, NT 201; Merz Pharmaceuticals GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany)

Each of these products are approved for various and limited indications in various countries. However, companies are evolving, and approved indications in various countries are constantly changing. Despite having similar efficacies, there is an ongoing debate regarding the comparability of these various preparations. Each of these neurotoxins is formulated differently, has a different manufacturing process, and demonstrates unique characteristics, and subsequently, these products are not interchangeable (Table 2).15 For safe clinical practice and achieving optimal results for a given indication, the practitioners need to understand the clinical issues of potency, conversion ratio, and safety (toxin spread and immunogenicity). A correct and optimal treatment plan and procedure requires an in-depth knowledge of the product(s) used, anatomy, and injection technique.8

The effect of BoNT depends on the administered location, concentration, and volume which are in turn relative to the location, depth, and size of the target muscle.1 Numerous published studies compare the characteristics of these products. However, when reviewing the literature on efficacy, diffusion, and spread of various products and in comparison to each other, it is important to take into consideration all the possible contributing factors including the following:16

• Intrinsic properties of the toxins available
  ○ Protein load
• Muscle selection
  ○ Muscle activity pattern
  ○ Muscle architecture
  ○ Fascial planes
• Injection technique
  ○ Dilutions
  ○ Volumes
  ○ Doses.

Molecular architecture

BoNT-A is synthesized as macromolecular protein complexes in nature.9 The progenitor toxins are known as protein complexes and consist of nontoxic accessory proteins (NAPs). The BoNT-A protein has a molecular weight of 150 kDa, and the NAP is associated to this active neurotoxin. The NAP composition of different products varies.3

There is amino acid sequence variability and immunogenic differences between various serotypes. However, they
**Table I** Some of the clinical uses of botulinum toxin A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cosmetic</th>
<th>Therapeutic</th>
<th>Ophthalmology</th>
<th>Neurology</th>
<th>Otolaryngology</th>
<th>Pain</th>
<th>Autonomic dysfunction</th>
<th>Gastrointestinal diseases</th>
<th>Cardiovascular diseases</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperfunctional facial lines: glabellar frown lines, crow’s feet, forehead lines, lateral oblique forehead lines, perioral lines, platysmal bands</td>
<td>Strabismus</td>
<td>Strabismus</td>
<td>Hemifacial spasm</td>
<td>Vocal tics</td>
<td>Migraine</td>
<td>Frey’s syndrome</td>
<td>Gastroparesis</td>
<td>Restenosis</td>
<td>Refractory vaginismus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brow ptosis, brow repositioning</td>
<td>Blepharospasm</td>
<td>Facial asymmetry</td>
<td>Blepharospasm</td>
<td>Facial asymmetry</td>
<td>Stuttering</td>
<td>Tension headaches</td>
<td>Sialorrhea</td>
<td>Delayed gastric emptying</td>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperhidrosis: palmar, axillary</td>
<td>Nystagmus</td>
<td>Oromandibular dystonia</td>
<td>Nystagmus</td>
<td>Oromandibular dystonia</td>
<td>Spasmodic dysphonia</td>
<td>Knee, shoulder, neuropathic pain</td>
<td>Rhinorrhea</td>
<td>Chronic anal fissure</td>
<td>Cardiac arrhythmias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial contouring</td>
<td>Concomitant and non-concomitant misalignment</td>
<td>Cervical dystonia</td>
<td>Concomitant and non-concomitant misalignment</td>
<td>Cervical dystonia</td>
<td>Oromandibular dystonia</td>
<td>Spasmodic dysphonia</td>
<td>Chronic lower back pain</td>
<td>Achalasia</td>
<td>Erectile dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reducing excessive columellar show</td>
<td>Primary or secondary esotropia or exotropia</td>
<td>Spasmodic torticollis</td>
<td>Primary or secondary esotropia or exotropia</td>
<td>Spasmodic torticollis</td>
<td>Cricopharyngeal achalasia</td>
<td>Lateral epicondylitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive gingiva display</td>
<td>Duane’s syndrome</td>
<td>Achalasia</td>
<td>Excessive gingiva display</td>
<td>Achalasia</td>
<td>Gustatory sweating</td>
<td>Hemifacial spasm</td>
<td>Temporomandibular joint disorders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urethral and bladder dysfunctions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
all have a similar molecular structure and architecture. The BoNTs are produced as a single-chain polypeptide (150 kDa) that is inactive. Proteases nick the polypeptide chain resulting in a toxin that is pharmacologically active and consists of two chains: a heavy chain (100 kDa) and a light chain (50 kDa) connected together by a disulfide bond. In addition, there are noncovalent interactions, and the N terminus of the heavy chain encircles the globular light domain.7,17,18 The heavy chain has two 50 kDa domains with two terminal parts, the amino- and the carboxy-terminal parts.7 Each of these chains has different functions in the mechanism of action of the neurotoxin (Figure 1).19 The single disulfide bridge and its integrity play an integral role in biological activity of BoNT, making it highly fragile to various environmental variations and influences.20

**Composition**

The therapeutic preparations of botulinum toxin consist of the following (Figure 2):5,20

- BoNT
- NAPs
- Excipients (lactose, sucrose, gelatin, dextran or serum albumin [for stabilization], buffer systems [for pH calibration]).

It has been reported that in all mentioned products (ONA, ABO, INCO), the neurotoxin is derived from the identical Hall strain of *C. botulinum* type A.21,22 However, there is evidence that Hall strains are different to each other, and the strain information for the products apart from ONA (Allergan Botox®) is unknown.23–25

The molecular weight of the BoNT-A progenitor toxins varies between 300 and 900 kDa. This weight variation depends on the composition of NAPs and the manufacturing process.28 INCO contains only the 150 kDa neurotoxin and does not include complexing proteins.20,21 The 150 kDa neurotoxin is part of a complex with other proteins (complexing

---

**Table 2** Properties of different therapeutic botulinum toxin preparations5,58,59,114,123,124

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand name</th>
<th>Toxic preparation</th>
<th>Country of production</th>
<th>Pharmaceutical preparation</th>
<th>Storage conditions</th>
<th>Shelf life un-reconstituted</th>
<th>Excipients</th>
<th>Viability after reconstitution</th>
<th>Shelf life reconstituted</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Botox®/Vistabel®</td>
<td>Onabotulinum toxin A</td>
<td>westport, Ireland</td>
<td>Powder</td>
<td>Below 8°C</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>Human serum albumin, NaCl</td>
<td>Refrigerated within 24 hours</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysport®/Azzalure®</td>
<td>Abobotulinum toxin A</td>
<td>wrexham, UK</td>
<td>Powder</td>
<td>Below 8°C</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>Human serum albumin, lactose</td>
<td>Refrigerated within 24 hours</td>
<td>24 months</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xeomin®/Bocouture®</td>
<td>Incobotulinum toxin A</td>
<td>Frankfurt, Germany</td>
<td>Powder</td>
<td>Below 25°C</td>
<td>36 months</td>
<td>Human serum albumin, sucrose</td>
<td>Refrigerated within 24 hours</td>
<td>36 months</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1** Botulinum neurotoxin consists of two amino acid chains connected by a disulfide bridge: a heavy amino acid chain with a molecular weight of 100 kDa and a light amino acid chain with a molecular weight of 50 kDa.20
proteins) in ONA and ABO. ONA is composed of a 900 kDa complex, and the size of the ABO complex is unknown.

After dilution, drying, and reconstitution of the product, the neurotoxin rapidly dissociates from the complexing proteins. As such, it has been debated that molecular weight (protein complex size) does not influence the biological activity and pharmacological properties of BoNT. Complexing proteins do not contribute toward diffusion properties, seem not to contribute to the therapeutic effect, and are not required for the stabilization of the neurotoxin in the pharmaceutical formulation. However, more studies are required to assess if the complexing proteins increase formation of antibodies against botulinum toxin type A.

**Mode of action, pharmacology, and clinical assessment**

The mechanism of action of BoNT on the nerve terminals can be summarized into five main steps: 1) binding of the BoNT to the peripheral cholinergic nerve terminals with high affinity and specificity, 2) internalization of the BoNT, 3) translocation (the light chain is translocated across the vesicle membrane), 4) release of the light chain and dissociation of the disulfide bond, and 5) cleavage of the SNARE proteins (the light chain cleaves SNAP-25) resulting in blockade of neurotransmitter release and therefore neuroparalysis. This inhibits synaptic exocytosis and incapacitates neural transmission resulting in blockade of the release of acetylcholine at the neuromuscular junction and hence blocking the muscle contraction.

BoNT-elicited inhibition of neuroexocytosis depends on various factors including the toxin serotype, dose used, type of cholinergic nerve terminal affected, and also the animal species. Size of the denervation field of BoNT is determined by dose and volume of the solution injection. Clinical observation, wrinkle severity scales, area of anhidrotic effect, and electromyography evaluations are some of the methods used to examine the size of denervation field of different products. However, when evaluating such studies, the difference between the potency and dose equivalences of different preparations should be taken into consideration. Shaari and Sanders reported that in comparison to volume, the dose injected was a stronger predictor of area of paralysis. The proximity of the injections to the motor end plates plays a key role.

**Direct and indirect effects**

BoNT has been reported to have direct and indirect effects. The direct effects include inhibition or blockage of the cholinergic neuromuscular or the cholinergic autonomic innervation of exocrine glands and smooth muscles. Presence of BoNT in the peripheral blood at measurable levels, after intramuscular or intradermal injection at the recommended doses, is not expected and has not been reported. The indirect effects include effects on the central nervous system such as:

- Reflex inhibition
- Normalization of reciprocal inhibition
- Intracortical inhibition
- Somatosensory evoked potentials.

The long-distance effects of BoNT do not happen by passive spread, but by an active retroaxonal transport. BoNT-A can retrotransport to the central nervous system. This was studied by tracing the cleavage of the SNARE proteins within the central nervous system neurons post peripheral injection of BoNT. Retrograde transport of BoNT-A has been reported via sensory neurons. There is evidence for antinociceptive activity of BoNT-A; however, no other associated symptoms due to BoNT acting within the central nervous system post peripheral injection have been reported. The direct and indirect effects are advantageous depending on the purpose of administration and the injection.
target. For example, the central action can contribute to functional improvements in spastic gait and can be beneficial in pain management.49

Duration of action

The duration of persistence of the clinical results (eg, elimination of the wrinkles) is one of the key measures for BoNT efficacy. This has been reported to have significant influence on patient satisfaction in cosmetic patients and may be related to individual patient’s genetics and the target muscle (mass, size, thickness, and depth below the skin and structure).41,42 The specific administered dose of BoNT influences the efficacy and duration of effect.43

The methods for assessment of onset of action in the clinic are not universal or fully validated and rely on reduced or diminished muscle activity assessed by the practitioner or reported by the patient. The onset of effect is different for different formulations. There is lack of consensus in the literature on the reason for this.44 Studying and understanding the onset of action requires further comprehension and needs to be clearly defined. Onset of action of BoNT could be explained on a molecular level or as the presentation of the molecular events that take place (the biological effects). On a molecular level, the onset of action happens as soon as BoNT is injected into the muscle.45,46

- Resides in the extracellular space (toxin uptake only takes place by the nerve terminals at the endplate)
  - In the muscle for a short time (minutes or at most a few hours) and the uptake is rapid
  - Binds to the surface of plasma membranes
- Receptor-mediated endocytosis
- pH-induced translocation across the endosome membrane
- In the presynaptic terminal, cleavage of the SNARE proteins (SNAP-25) – essential for exocytosis
- Blockade of transmitter release
- Paralysis.

As such, the time taken for the toxin to be taken up and the time for the clinical response to become evident differ considerably.45

When discussing the time interval of onset to response, it is once again important to explain what is meant by “response”:

- Partial elimination of the lines and wrinkles/reduced lines and wrinkles
- Complete elimination of the lines and wrinkles
- Agonist muscle(s) action affected
- Antagonist muscle action affected
- The desired balance between the agonist and antagonist muscles achieved.

The mentioned events happen at different times and may not be fully achieved by the time patients are reviewed after injections which is normally in 7–14 days after injections.

Paresis occurs after 2–5 days post injection of BoNT into a striate muscle. This effect lasts 2–3 months before wearing off gradually as the original nerve terminals recover.11,13 Three studies conducted with ONA reported mean or median duration of effect for various doses to be approximately 4.5 months.47 Examination of the literature has shown that patients treated with BoNT-A for aesthetic purposes can expect their results to last at least 3 months. However, the results can last 4–5 months depending on the area treated, the dose, and the formulation used.48 Although the mechanism is not fully understood, the results may last longer for some patients, especially after repeated treatment.49–51 Muscle atrophy and reduced number of BoNT-A targets available are thought to be related to the prolonged effect.44 Dolly and Aoki reported that there is no atrophy of the nerve endings upon blockade of neurotransmitter.52 Rogozhin et al reported that repeated exposure to BoNT-A results in delayed restoration of neuromuscular transmission back to normal. In addition, it results in profound abnormalities in the structure of the neuromuscular junction and the intramuscular nerve.13

In a randomized, double-blind study, Rapp et al reported that in female subjects, the onset of treatment effect happened earlier and the treatment effect duration was longer when a similar dose was applied. In their study, the onset of treatment effect was seen earlier in both sexes for INCO compared to ONA and ABO. The duration of treatment effect (the time taken for the glabellar muscle activity to return to the baseline level) was reported to be longer for INCO in comparison to the other two products.53 Glogau et al studied duration of effect of aesthetic treatments with BoNT using data obtained from four Phase III clinical trials with similar designs and a total of 625 subjects treated with ONA. They reported that there was sustained clinical effect for 4 months (in more than 50% of subjects) after treatment of glabellar lines with 20 units of ONA.54

Poor response to the treatment could be due to insufficient or incorrect dosing, errors in drug handling during preparation or storage or administration, and anatomical variations.44

Dose–effect correlation

The extent of provoked paresis is correlated to the amount of BoNT administered. Dressler and Rothwell studied the relationship between the dose of BoNT-A and induced reduction
of the maximal electromyographic amplitude in the sternocephalomastoid muscle. They reported a dose–effect relationship. In a prospective multicenter placebo-controlled double-blind dose-ranging study, Poewe et al reported that higher doses of ABO resulted in greater magnitude and duration of improvement in patients with rotational torticollis. They recommended lower starting doses as higher doses used resulted in significantly more adverse events. Dressler et al reported that relatively low BoNT doses produce substantial paresis. The dose–effect correlation can be used for optimization of BoNT treatment.

Dose–duration correlation

Duration of effect of treatments is an important factor as it influences treatment intervals and determines patient satisfaction to some extent. When lower doses of BoNT are used, the duration of its action is correlated to the amount injected. However, the duration of action is thought to saturate on approximately 3 months when higher BoNT doses are used. Carruthers and Carruthers, in a prospective, double-blind, randomized, parallel-group, dose-ranging study, reported that the higher doses of BoNT were more effective (duration, peak response rate, improvement from baseline) in reducing glabellar lines in men. A dose-dependent increase was reported in the duration of effect, as well as the response rate at maximum frown with no increase in incidence of adverse effects. They also reported that their participants reported a dose-dependent reduction in frowning, and enhanced feelings of attractiveness, confidence, and satisfaction. In another study, Carruthers et al reported that lower units of BoNT-A (10 units) were less effective than higher doses in treating glabellar rhytids and the relapse rate was significantly higher at 4 months.

Muscle atrophy

BoNT induces paresis of striate muscle which in turn produces muscle atrophy and reduction of the diameter of the target muscle. Muscle atrophy caused by BoNT injection can be the aim of the treatment and hence a desirable effect or an unintended and undesirable effect. Injection of masseter muscles to reduce the appearance of square lower face and or an unintended and undesirable effect. Injection of masseter muscles to reduce the appearance of square lower face and chin can be the aim of the treatment and hence a desirable effect. In order for the neurotoxin to be activated, the single-chain protein administered per injection. and reported to resolve in all patients several months after recovery of muscle function.

Potency

The biological potency of BoNT formulations is based on the median lethal dose of the neurotoxin after intraperitoneal injection in female Swiss-Webster mice and is also known as median lethal dose (LD50) assay. Potency used to be explained by the quantity of toxin required to achieve a median lethal dose (LD50) unit. Therefore, 1 unit of toxin (1 mouse LD50) is the dose of toxin or neurotoxin that can kill 50% of a group of mice. During the production process, potency and stability tests are required at several stages, and at the final stage, a large number of animals are required. A cell-based potency assay is a new modality for assessment of units in BoNT-A and has resulted in elimination of the LD50 assay in some laboratories. This method uses a specific cell line and can carry out evaluation of all four phases of botulinum toxin action (binding, internalization, translocation, and SNAP-25 cleavage). This method is superior as it reduces the need for animal testing, has been successfully cross-validated with the LD50 assay, and is appropriate to be used in a high-capacity and in a highly quality-controlled environment. In addition, it has been reported to be more accurate and reproducible. Allergan Inc. (produces ONA) has developed a cell-based potency assay for potency testing, which has received regulatory approval. In 2014, Merz Pharmaceuticals GmbH (produces INCO) also completed the validation of cell culture-based assay for INCO.

The dose of product for treating patients is determined by each manufacturer’s result of LD50 or cell-based potency assay. This is dependent on multiple variables including mouse strain, sex, age, volume, route of injection, time of examination after injection, delivery vehicle, and reconstituting buffer. These are not standardized across manufacturers. The standards and in-house diluents for these LD50 potency assays are different for each manufacturer, and therefore, the unit of measurement for the three commercially available BoNT-A preparations is proprietary to each manufacturer. As such, the direct comparisons of potency between products are not valid. The specific potency explained as the potency per unit weight of toxin protein means the level of protein administered per injection.

The toxin moiety (the 150 kDa neurotoxin) is the same in all abovementioned pharmaceutical preparations. Potency of a product depends on the amount of active toxin available.
150 kDa neurotoxin must be cleaved into a dichain molecule which must dissociate from the NAPs. Apart from INCO, all commercially available formulations are composed of the 150 kDa neurotoxin with NAPs. The molecular weight of the BoNT-A progenitor toxins varies (300–900 kDa) depending on the composition of NAPs and the manufacturing process. From a clinical point of view, this means that the potency and therapeutic profiles can be affected by different forms of the complex despite the same active molecule.

Reconstitution

All the above products are in powder form and need to be reconstituted prior to application. There is debate with regard to reconstitution with normal saline with no preservatives and preserved (0.9% benzyl alcohol) saline. The manufacturer’s suggested diluent is normal saline with no preservatives. Several authors have reported equivalent clinical effectiveness with BoNT-A diluted with preserved saline. However, there is a debate on whether the preservatives in the saline deactivate the toxin partially. Alam et al, in a double-blind, randomized controlled trial, reported that preserved saline containing benzyl alcohol used in reconstitution of ONA did not affect its potency or clinical outcome and made the injections less painful. This was confirmed by two other studies.

It has been traditionally believed that shaking, bubbling, and storing the vial for a long period of time reduces the efficacy of BoNT. However, a few clinical studies have contradicted this. Trindade De Almeida et al compared muscle paralysis in a split-face study. They injected gently reconstituted ONA without foam formation on one side of the face and rapidly reconstituted ONA with foam formation “with as many bubbles as possible” on the other side. They reported that the potency and the short- or long-term effects of the product were not affected by foaming during the reconstitution process. This was supported by a prospective, double-blinded, randomized study carried out by Kazim and Black who used a Vortex Touch Model 232 at maximum speed for 30 seconds (vigorous reconstitution of ONA). The 2004 consensus panel has reported that the fragility of BoNT-A is not as previously reported. It is now understood that a lot of the precautions, mainly recommended by the manufacturers, are “too restrictive”. Studies and the literature show that BoNT may be less fragile and more resistant to degradation than previously thought.

It is paramount to remember that suboptimal reconstitution of BoNT preparations can reduce or diminish their efficacy. Inaccuracy of actual units injected and therefore compromised treatment.

Niamtu has shown that conventional methods of reconstitution can result in an average loss of 5 units. The size of needle plays a key role in loss of volume/units of product. A 30 G needle results in loss of 0.03 mL of the product in the dead space. Use of no dead space needles could ensure minimal to no loss of solution. In addition, new devices/syringes (eg, 3Dose Vlow Medical) with a triangular plunger help reduce/eliminate loss of solution and are easy to use and read number of units for different dilutions.

Use of fixed needles to syringes is less advisable. Upon drawing the product into the syringe, the needle goes through the silicone rubber stopper; hence, the needle becomes blunt, injections might be more painful, and there will be molecules of silicone in the needle. The silicone molecules will end up as foreign bodies in the muscle or skin. In addition, some insulin syringes have a silicone-coated needle. There has been reported cases of silicone granulomas and siliconoma with acupuncture needles and in diabetic patients due to repeated use of silicone oil-coated needles.

Dose equivalence

Numerous studies have proved that all three mentioned products are effective. However, the practitioners need to understand the differences to be able to have a comparable clinical outcome. The debate on conversion ratios might have been emphasized, understandably, from a commercial point of view.

There are different amounts of the 150 kDa toxin (and NAPs)/LD50 unit for different formulations of BoNT-A. Therefore, it is important for the clinicians to understand the equivalence ratio of the dose.

Clinical and preclinical analyses have demonstrated a clinical conversion ratio between ONA and INCO very close to 1:1. Prager et al reported that both these products had no statistically significant differences between them and showed high efficacy and good tolerability with a dose ratio of 1:1. Kane et al carried out a prospective, randomized, double-blinded, parallel-group study where a single treatment with INCO or ONA was carried out in 250 females. This was followed by a 4-month observational period. They reported clinical equivalence with similar safety and efficacy profiles and patient-reported outcomes.

A number of studies have reported an ONA/INCO:ABO conversion factor of 1:3. However, this dose conversion is a topic of debate, and studies have reported ranges from 1:1 to as high as 1:11. The clinical and preclinical data available
suggest a conversion ratio of 1:3 or 1:2.5. A higher conversion ratio may lead to the following:

- Excessive dose
- Increased incidence of adverse events
- Underdosing when switching ABO to ONA.3

Identical potency labeling between ONA and INCO allows easy exchange of these two drugs in clinical settings, and direct comparison of the efficacy, adverse effects, and costs. In summary, ABO and ONA have been reported to have nonparallel dose–response curves, and therefore differ in their relative potencies.6 The presumed clinical effect of 1 unit of BoNT is not interchangeable between formulations.7

**Toxin spread**

One of the factors contributing to remarkable safety record of BoNT therapy is the ability of the toxin to remain relatively localized at the site of injection.96–99 However, the effect of BoNT on areas away from the injection site is known as the toxin spread or field of effect.3 Toxin spread to unwanted areas can be undesirable as it may increase the risk of adverse effects and complications. This is of particular importance when treating the face with BoNT as the injection sites and target muscles are very close to untargeted muscles. Therefore, to minimize unwanted effects, it is important that the toxin does not spread and affect the adjacent untargeted muscles. For example, eyelid ptosis is a serious complication and can be devastating for patients who have BoNT injections to improve their appearance. It can happen post BoNT-A treatment in the periorbital area due to unintended spread of the product to the levator palpebrae superioris muscle and consequently its reduced activity. The levator palpebrae superioris muscle is adjacent to the target muscles and injection sites recommended for the treatment of the upper face rhytides using BoNT. These include the following (Figure 3):

- Procerus
- Depressor supercillii
- Corrugator
- Orbicularis oculi muscle.22

There is a lack of consistency and much confusion about the terminology used regarding spread of the toxin (Table 3). Spread is an actual physical phenomenon that depends on several variables which are related to the injection done. It is a mechanistic effect and describes the physical movement of toxin from the original site of injection.

---

**Figure 3** Glabellar complex muscles and position of levator palpebrae superioris muscle.

*Note:* Top right hand image provided courtesy of Allergan and top left hand image and bottom image acquired from Shutterstock.
Diffusion is a passive phenomenon, and indicates the kinetic dispersion of the toxin outside its original injection site. The effect of dose on diffusion is thought to be significant. Arezzo exhibited a dose-dependent spread of biological activity to adjacent non-injected muscles. Carruthers et al injected 30 units of ONA at different volumes used to reconstitute the vials for the treatment of glabellar lines. They reported that although there was no obvious relationship between the actual volume injected and response, higher dilutions can result in greater areas of “diffusion” and hence greater incidence of adverse effects. Hsu et al reported in their prospective, randomized, controlled study that the area affected by the BoNT-A injection was 50% greater in the side with the larger volume with equivalent units of BoNT-A.

Migration is the distal effect, or the “retrograde transport” phenomena via the nerves (neuroaxonal transport) or by blood (hematogenous transport). Two phenomena take place after injection and rapid dissociation of the complex: Diffusion: passive function, occurs from a very high to low concentration Spread: physically driven, occurs active and fast.

Fick's law of diffusion explains diffusion related to molecular weight. As such, the spread of neurotoxin into adjacent tissue is reported to be slower with the high-molecular-weight complex in comparison to the lower-molecular weight or free neurotoxin. However, this is debatable, and further clinical studies are required. Kerscher et al have demonstrated that ONA with complexing proteins and a molecular weight of 900 kDa and INCO without complexing proteins and a molecular weight 150 kDa have a comparable spread. In addition, progenitor toxin size may be irrelevant with this regard as progenitor complexes are thought to dissociate in the vial on reconstitution with normal saline and under physiological conditions. Molecular weight and the presence of complexing proteins do not seem to affect spread or diffusion of the product.

Complexing proteins are believed to aid in the uptake and transcytosis of BoNT through the intestinal epithelium (protection from gastric pH extremes, resistance against stomach and intestinal proteases, and transportation across the intestinal epithelium) when ingested orally. However, in cosmetic and therapeutic setting where BoNT is not taken orally, the mentioned functions of the complexing proteins are not relevant to clinical efficacy.

A few studies have reported that both ONA and INCO have comparable spread. Hexsel et al reported that similar action halos (muscular and sweat gland activity) were observed with standardized injections (equivalence ratio, same volume, depth, and technique) of ONA and ABO. However, ABO has been reported to have significantly greater spread than ONA/INCO.

Several factors are thought to affect the potential for spread of BoNT, which include the following: Clinical dose Solution Concentration Injection technique Type of target site Post-injection massage Location of injection within the muscle Level of muscle hyperactivity Depth of injection.

**Immunogenicity**

The ability of a protein product to elicit antibody formation is known as immunogenicity. Secondary nonresponse and primary nonresponse are different from immunogenicity (Table 4). BoNT preparations available commercially, excluding the excipient albumin, contain nonhuman proteins. These may act as antigens and elicit antibody formation when injected into a patient. Botulinum toxin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunogenicity, and primary and secondary nonresponse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immunogenicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary nonresponse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary nonresponse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Correct definitions to apply to botulinum toxin products for clinical administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spread</td>
<td>Physical movement of toxin (caused by, for example, volume of injection)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fast and active process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion</td>
<td>Kinetic dispersion of toxin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dispersion beyond the injection site (for example, movement to receptors)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Slow and passive process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migration</td>
<td>Distal effects, or the “retrograde transport” phenomena</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4** Immunogenicity vs primary nonresponse vs secondary nonresponse

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunogenicity, and primary and secondary nonresponse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immunogenicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary nonresponse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary nonresponse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
has the potential to induce an immune response, similar to other therapeutic proteins, and is regarded as foreign by the host. This is particularly true with repeated administration. Immunogenicity can result in development of neutralizing antibodies, which in turn may or may not result in secondary treatment failure. Antibodies can be produced against the 150 kDa neurotoxin itself or the nontoxic complexing proteins (40–60% of treated patients) or both. The antibodies produced against the nontoxic complexing proteins are non-neutralizing antibodies and do not affect the neurotoxin activity. When antibodies are produced against the neurotoxin itself, the pharmacological effect of the neurotoxin is blocked. The rate of secondary treatment failure could potentially be reduced using purified neurotoxin preparations (INCO). Inactivated or nonactivated neurotoxins influence antibody formation and increase the risk of formation of neutralizing antibodies.

BoNT-A products have shown to exhibit lower clinically detectable levels of antibodies in comparison to other approved biological products. Antibodies that may develop as a result of BoNT administration are not likely to cross-react with endogenous proteins; however, it is possible that the therapeutic response is lost. Factors that impact the immunogenicity of BoNTs include the following:

- Product-related factors
  - Manufacturing process
    - The three-dimensional structure of therapeutic proteins plays an important role in their clinical performance
  - Toxin source
  - Inactive toxin
    - The 150 kDa core BoNT of BoNT products is in an inactive form and can be immunogenic
  - The antigenic protein load
    - The 150 kDa core BoNT can stimulate the formation of neutralizing antibodies and is known as the antigenic protein load. The overall neurotoxin protein amount is not the same as the antigenic protein load and includes both the core neurotoxin and NAPs
  - Accessory proteins and excipients
- Treatment-related factors
  - Dose: the overall toxin dose
  - Treatment intervals/booster injections
    - The risk for neutralizing antibody formation and nonresponse may increase with shorter treatment intervals of less than 2 months apart
  - Prior exposure
    - The immune response to botulinum toxin products may be influenced by previous exposures.

**Storage**

ONA and ABO need to be stored under special temperatures, and this is critical. INCO can be stored at room temperature. The manufacturers for the products recommend optimal storage condition for their products. The manufacturers recommend that BoNT-A should only be used within the first 4 hours after reconstitution of ONA or within 1 hour after reconstitution of ABO. The recommendation is for the reconstituted product to be kept at 2°C–8°C and administered within 24 hours after reconstitution. It also explains that the product should be used immediately considering a microbiological point of view.

Studies have shown that there is no alteration in potency of ONA even when it is refrigerated or refrozen for up to 1 week or for up to 2 weeks after reconstitution. Although warned about sterility issues, Park and Ahn reported no degradation of the potency of reconstituted ONA by refrigeration for 4 weeks. Hexsel et al reported that with correct sterile handling and storage under refrigeration, ONA within up to 6 weeks after reconstitution did not result in a significant alteration in the detectable clinical response from both patient’s and the observer’s point of view. Soares et al studied the efficacy of INCO after prolonged storage (7 days) at room temperature (25°C) for treatment of dynamic lateral canthus lines. Their study was a randomized, double-blinded, split-face study, and evaluation was carried out over a 4-month period. They reported that prolonged storage at room temperature did not significantly alter its efficacy or longevity.

**Adverse effects**

The distant effect of BoNT is of concern as it can cause local or systemic adverse effects. Systemic adverse effects are due to the effect of BoNT on the tissues distant from the injection site and are due to transport within the blood. The associated adverse effects are generally of three types:

- Adverse effects related to expected effects of the BoNT, for example, excessive local muscle weakness
- Adverse effects due to the spread of the BoNT to the surrounding muscles that were not injected or the target
- Adverse effects due to systemic distribution of the toxin.
An increased frequency of local adverse effects with ABO compared to ONA has been reported when used for treating cervical dystonia.\textsuperscript{126}\ Al\though the reason for this is unclear, this could be due to increased spread as demonstrated by animal studies or incorrect conversion factors.\textsuperscript{20}

The most effective dose at the smallest volume will achieve maximal dose response and minimal side effects. This means for targeted treatment, small volumes and high doses are effective and superior to large injection volume and low dose. The combination of small volume and high dose localizes the toxin, in addition to containing the biological effect of the toxin (muscle paralysis).\textsuperscript{1,127} Large volume and low dose weaken the muscle and may not result in complete muscle paralysis, resulting in an overall smoothing effect with an associated risk of toxin spread to adjacent untargeted muscles.\textsuperscript{128,129}

**Conclusion**

Botulinum toxin products are used for therapeutic and cosmetic purposes, and their injections have been reported as the most popular noninvasive cosmetic procedure requested and carried out. The popularity of BoNT as a therapeutic and aesthetic agent is due to its potency, neurospecificity, efficacy, safety, complete reversibility, and a low rate of antibody formation. BoNT blocks presynaptic acetylcholine release resulting in reduced or diminished muscle contraction. It is used to temporarily treat wrinkles that are the result of muscle contraction and normal facial movement. This results in temporary improvement in the appearance of the areas affected by lines and wrinkles, for facial contouring, reducing sweating, and improving the skin. The different products available in the market vary in terms of units, chemical properties, biological activities, and weight and hence are not interchangeable. The products’ manufacturing process is different. Manufacturing process and conditions such as pH, temperature, formulation, and concentration are extremely crucial. Alterations in these process and conditions can increase the likelihood of formation of inactivated toxoid proteins, which in turn may be immunogenic. For safe clinical practice, and to achieve optimal results, the practitioners need to understand the clinical issues of potency, conversion ratio, and safety issues (toxin spread and immunogenicity). All three FDA-approved and popular preparations (ONA, INCO, ABO) are similar. The efficacy of ONA is comparable, and ONA and INCO have a 1:1 conversion ratio. These products are reported to have therapeutic equivalence in different indications. The conversation ratio of ABO is different from the other two preparations. The ratio is reported to be approximately 1:2.5. It is important to keep in mind that these products are not interchangeable. To reduce and minimize potential antibody resistance, the smallest effective dose should be used, treatment intervals should be less than 2–3 months, and booster injections should be avoided. The key factors affecting the clinical response to BoNT injections are individual anatomy, toxin preparation, dose–response relationship, reconstitution, length of storage after reconstitution, and immunogenicity. Injection patterns, techniques, dilutions, diffusion, and injection volumes of a particular formulation of BoNT are not interchangeable with other formulations. Understanding of clinical pharmacology of BoNT-A therapy will be useful for standardizing techniques used and achieving consistent and optimal therapeutic results. In addition, products and procedures must be selected and prescribed according to individual needs and aims of treatment.
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