Age distribution and age-related outcomes of olfactory neuroblastoma: a population-based analysis
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Objective: The objective of the study was to describe the age distribution and to evaluate the role of prognostic value of age on survival in patients diagnosed with olfactory neuroblastoma (ONB). A population-based retrospective analysis was conducted.

Materials and methods: The population-based study of patients in the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) tumor registry, who were diagnosed with ONB from 1973 to 2014, were retrospectively analyzed.

Results: The cohort included 876 patients with a median age of 54 years. There was a unimodal distribution of age and ONBs most frequently occurred in the fifth to sixth decades of life. Kaplan–Meier analysis demonstrated overall survival (OS) and cancer-specific survival (CSS) rates of 69% and 78% at 5 years. Multivariable Cox regression analysis showed that age, SEER stage, and surgery were independent prognostic factors for CSS. The risk of overall death and cancer-specific death increased 3.1% and 1.6% per year, respectively. Patients aged >60 years presented significantly poor OS and CSS compared with patients aged ≤60 years, even in patients with loco-regional disease or in those treated with surgery.

Conclusion: This study highlights the growing evidence that there is a unimodal age distribution of ONB and that age is an important adverse prognostic factor.
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Introduction

Olfactory neuroblastoma (ONB) is a rare cancer arising from the upper nasal cavity and ethmoid sinus. It constitutes 3% of all nasal cavity and sinus disease. To our knowledge, there have been no prospective, randomized clinical trials conducted on ONBs due to its rarity. Many aspects of the disease remain ill defined, such as the cell of origin, clinical behavior, staging, standard treatment, and outcomes. There is no consensus on the influence of age distribution and the prognostic value of age on the survival of ONB patients.

Regarding age distribution, two opposing standpoint views exist. In 1978, Elkon et al reported a literature review with 97 patients showing a bimodal age distribution, with peaks in the second and sixth decades of life. Tumors were infrequent in the <10 and >70 years age groups. In contrast, Platek et al reported 511 patients from Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) data and observed that only 8% of all ONBs are diagnosed prior to 25 years of age. Most patients (62%) were in the fourth to sixth decades of life, which demonstrated that ONBs were in a unimodal distribution.

Age has also been suggested as one of the most important independent factors associated with outcomes. However, different opinions have been promoted: several previous
studies showed that ONBs have been observed to behave differently and tend to be more aggressive in the younger population.8–12 Meanwhile, others suggest that there were no significant differences between children and adults.13,14

Due to its rarity, the age distribution and prognostic factors were concluded from single institution and were inconsistent.3,8,9 In order to describe the age distribution and to assess the prognostic predictive value of age in ONBs, an observational study from SEER data was conducted.

Materials and methods
A retrospective study was performed using the SEER tumor registry database. The database from the SEER 18 (1973–2014) registry was used to extract appropriate cases.

Identification of cases
The SEER database for the years 1973–2014 was used to examine management strategies for ONBs. The histological diagnosis code of 9522/3 (ONB) was queried, and all records were found in the following sites: C30.0 (nasal cavity), C31.0 (maxillary sinus), C31.1 (ethmoid sinus), C31.2 (frontal sinus), C31.3 (sphenoid sinus), C31.8 (overlapping lesion of accessory sinus), and C31.9 (accessory sinus, not otherwise specified [NOS]). Information regarding race, age, gender, year at diagnosis, primary site, SEER stage, and treatment (including surgery, surgery type, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy) were included in this study. No specific staging information such as Dulguerov and modified Kadish classifications was available for these cases; thus, related disease information, including SEER historic stage, was collected from SEER data. The data accessed from SEER database is freely available, and this study did not require a research ethics board/institution review board approval.

Statistical analysis
Primary outcomes included overall survival (OS) and cancer-specific survival (CSS). The Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test were used to estimate and compare OS and CSS. Covariates were assessed for predictive performance with univariate and multivariate analyses with regard to OS and CSS. Factors identified as significant on univariate analysis were included in multivariate analysis. Comparisons between groups were deemed statistically significant at P<0.05. Data analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0.

Results
Patient data
A total of 876 cases of ONB reported from 1976 to 2013 were included in this analysis. Patients’ characteristics are shown in Table 1. Except 157 patients, 719 patients were histologically diagnosed ONB, with specimen from surgery. Most patients (79%) were white, and more male (57%) than female. Primary site was mostly located in nasal cavity (78%) rather than in the nasal sinus. The majority of cases (82%) were treated with surgery. Among 719 patients receiving surgery, 43% were partial resection, 27% were gross total resection (GTR), and others were with unknown surgery type. A total of 68% of patients were treated with radiotherapy, and only 28% of patients were treated with chemotherapy.

Age distribution
The median age was 54 years (range 1–91 years). There was a unimodal distribution of age, with most ONBs occurring in the fifth to sixth decades of life. The age distribution is shown in Figure 1. Tumor incidence was infrequent in the age groups of <10 and >80 years. There were differences in the
mean age of patients with or without surgery. Patients treated with surgery had a mean age of 51 years compared to a mean age of 59 years for patients treated without surgery \( (P=0.03) \).

**Age-related risk for OS and CSS**

The results of univariate analysis are displayed in Table 2. Patients with localized disease treated with surgery showed significantly superior OS and CSS than patients with regional/distant disease or those without surgery. Age, as a continuous variable, was demonstrated as having an adverse effect on OS and CSS. Males showed superior survival than females, with a significant difference observed in OS \( (P=0.001) \) and a marginal difference in CSS \( (P=0.065) \). Regrettably, no statistically significant difference was observed in OS and CSS between patients treated with partial resection and GTR and no statistically significant differences were demonstrated in OS and CSS in patients treated with or without radiotherapy.

To adjust for interaction between covariates, Cox multivariate analysis was conducted. Factors with \( P \)-value <0.05 were included in Cox multivariate analysis. The analysis confirmed that patients with early-stage disease treated with surgery performed better than advanced disease and without surgery, as shown in Table 3. The Cox multivariate analysis confirmed that the risk of cancer-specific death increased correspondingly with age by 3.1% per year for overall death (hazard ratio \( [HR] = 1.031 \) [95% CI: 1.024–1.039], \( P=0.000 \)) and 1.6% per year (HR = 1.016 [95% CI: 1.008–1.025], \( P=0.000 \)) for cancer-specific death.

Furthermore, receiver operating curves (ROC) were plotted to identify a proper cutoff value. The area under curve of 0.662 \( (95\%\ CI: 0.623–0.700), P=0.000 \) for overall death, and 0.550 \( (95\%\ CI: 0.505–0.595), P=0.026 \) for cancer-specific death, with a cutoff value of 60 years was obtained from our data.

Patients were subsequently categorized as aged >60 and \( \leq 60 \) years. Patients aged \( \leq 60 \) years presented with a significantly superior survival than those aged >60 years (5-year OS was 78 vs 53%, \( P=0.000 \); 5-year CSS was 82 vs 69%, \( P=0.000 \)). Parallel survival trends were also observed in patients with loco-regional disease (5-year OS was 85 vs 61%, \( P=0.000 \); 5-year CSS was 85 vs 77%, \( P=0.000 \)) and patients treated with surgery (5-year OS was 81 vs 64%, \( P=0.000 \); 5-year CSS was 85 vs 75%, \( P=0.000 \)), as shown in Figure 2 (OS) and Figure 3 (CSS).

Children and adolescents (aged \( \leq 20 \) years) showed no significant differences in OS (5-year OS was 71 vs 69%, \( P=0.065 \)) and CSS (5-year CSS was 72 vs 78%, \( P=0.640 \)) compared with adult patients (aged >20 years).

**Discussion**

In order to describe the age distribution of ONB patients and to assess the prognostic value of age, a retrospective, population-based data analysis was conducted. To the best of our knowledge, this study of the SEER database represents the largest collection of ONB cases. According to our results, ONBs presented with a unimodal distribution, with
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**Figure 1** Age distribution of all the patients.

**Table 2** Results of univariate analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Overall survival</th>
<th>Cancer-specific survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>( P )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female vs male)</td>
<td>1.430 (1.148–1.782)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (continuous variable)</td>
<td>1.034 (1.026–1.041)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Racial (non-White vs White)</td>
<td>1.066 (0.821–1.385)</td>
<td>0.629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary site (sinus vs nasal cavity)</td>
<td>0.938 (0.764–1.252)</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEER (regional vs localized)</td>
<td>1.816 (1.319–2.501)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEER (distant vs localized)</td>
<td>4.302 (3.108–5.955)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery (no vs yes)</td>
<td>2.790 (2.184–3.564)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery type (partial resection vs GTR)</td>
<td>0.860 (0.619–1.193)</td>
<td>0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy (no/unknown vs yes)</td>
<td>1.058 (0.839–1.335)</td>
<td>0.591</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** GTR, gross total resection; HR, hazard ratio; SEER, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results.
the disease most frequently occurring in the fifth and sixth decades of life. Age is an independent factor associated with both OS and CSS. The risk of death and cancer-specific death was increased accordingly with age by 3.1% (death) and 1.6% (cancer-specific death) per year. Significant differences in survival existed in patients aged >60 and ≤60 years (P=0.000), and similar survival trends were observed in loco-regional disease or patients treated with surgery.

Over past three decades, ONBs reported in SEER data suggested that there is a significant increase in numbers registered. A total of 62 cases were diagnosed from 1980 to 1989, 166 cases were diagnosed from 1990 to 1999, and 400 cases were diagnosed from 2000 to 2009. The sharp increase in cases reported in SEER data may reflect a greater clinical awareness of this tumor in addition to an increase in the incidence of ONB. Nevertheless, ONBs remain a rare and

### Table 3 Results of multivariate analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Overall survival</th>
<th>Cancer-specific survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female vs male)</td>
<td>1.363 (1.092–1.700)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (continuous variable)</td>
<td>1.031 (1.024–1.039)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEER stage (regional vs localized)</td>
<td>1.691 (1.227–2.331)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEER stage (distant vs localized)</td>
<td>3.983 (2.866–5.533)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery (no vs yes)</td>
<td>2.059 (1.601–2.647)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** HR, hazard ratio; SEER, Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results.

### Figure 2

**Figure 2** OS curves of patients aged >60 and ≤60 years.

**Notes:** (A) Overall patients. (B) Patients treated with surgery. (C) Patients with loco-regional disease. (D) Patients with distant disease.

**Abbreviation:** OS, overall survival.
uncommon disease, accounting for just 3% of nasal cavity and nasal sinus malignant disease.1

Since the first description of ONB in 1924 by Berger et al,18 the age distribution of ONB has been controversial. Two opposite standpoints exist. Several studies supported the opinion that ONB showed a bimodal age distribution, while others reported a unimodal distribution. Skolnik et al15 showed a bimodal distribution of ONBs, with a first peak in the second decade and second peak in the fourth decade. In 1979, Elkon et al6 reviewed ONBs reported from 1966 to 1979, totaling 97 cases. These data also showed a bimodal distribution with a peak in the age group 11–20 years (17%), with a second, higher peak in the age group 51–60 years (23%). However, Ow et al16 retrospectively analyzed 70 ONBs from MD Anderson Cancer Center and showed a unimodal distribution with ONB most frequently occurring in the fourth to sixth decades. As ONB is an uncommon disease, it is difficult to draw a conclusion as to the age distribution from small numbers of cases from a single center. A nationwide population-based data analysis is warranted, so in this study, ONBs diagnosed from 1973 to 2014 recorded in SEER data were identified. According to our results, the incidence of ONBs is steadily rising with a peak in the fifth to sixth decades, demonstrating a unimodal age distribution. Child and adolescent ONBs are an extreme rarity, <20% for patients aged <20 years.

There is also no consensus regarding the prognostic value of age in ONBs.17 Elkon et al showed a difference in mean ages of patients with early disease and those with more advanced disease. Stage C patients had a mean age of 30.4 years compared to that of 43.1 years for Stage A and 49.8 years for Stage B.6 Other studies from Kadish et al7 and Bisogno et al19 also demonstrated that ONBs behave differently and tend to be
more aggressive in the younger population. In contrast, Eich et al.\(^{13}\) reviewed 17 ONBs in children and adolescents aged ≤20 years with 5-year OS of 73%, which is consistent with adult patients. Whether age is an important prognostic factor associated with survival is not clear.\(^{13}\) In order to identify the influence of age on survival, univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were conducted. The results suggest that the risk of overall death and cancer-specific death increases accordingly with age. The risk of death increased with age by 3.1% per year, and the risk of cancer-specific death was 1.6% per year according to our study. And according to our results, patients aged ≤20 years presented survival equivalent with patients aged >20 years. There is no significant difference in OS and CSS between children/adolescents and adult patients. Moreover, age 60 years was selected as the cutoff value through ROC of OS and CSS. Patients aged >60 years present significantly poorer OS and CSS than patients aged ≤60 years, which is independent of disease stage and surgery status. Even in patients with loco-regional disease or in those treated with surgery, similar survival trends were observed in patients aged ≤60 or >60 years.

The major limitation of this analysis is that this is a retrospective analysis, so there was unavoidable selection bias in this analysis. Other limitations are some intrinsic limitations from SEER data: for example, the Hyams histological grading system is the only grading system for ONB and was not available for all the patients, so this was not analyzed in this study. Another limitation is that the most widely used stage system, the Kadish staging system, is also not available. The SEER stage was therefore used instead, which categorized the disease into localized, regional, and distant disease.

**Conclusion**

This analysis of the largest series of ONBs from the SEER database suggests that ONB presents with a unimodal age distribution and most frequently occurs in the fifth and sixth decades of life. Age is one of the most important prognostic factors in predicting OS and CSS. The risk of death increased with age by 3.1% per year, and the risk of cancer-specific death was 1.6% per year according to our study.
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