Anaphylactic reactions due to pantoprazole: case report of two cases
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Background: Drug-induced hypersensitivity reaction is of great clinical significance in therapeutics. The objective of this reporting of two cases is to show that anaphylaxis reaction can occur with pantoprazole.

Case summaries: A 38-year-old female reported to the emergency ward in a critical condition, with a history of periorbital edema, edema of the skin, pruritus, nausea, vomiting, and difficulty breathing 20 minutes after ingestion of a pantoprazole 40 mg tablet. A 32-year-old female reported to the emergency ward in a critical condition, with complaints of rashes all over the body, itching on the whole body, and swollen lips and eyes after ingestion of a pantoprazole 40 mg tablet.

Conclusion: It is necessary for all health care providers to know that pantoprazole can cause anaphylaxis, which is a life-threatening reaction, and to be cautious while prescribing it.
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Introduction
Drug-induced hypersensitivity is an immune-mediated reaction. Drug hypersensitivity reactions are commonly encountered in clinical practice, and approximately 15% of adverse drug reactions are observed in drug therapy. Pantoprazole, a drug from the proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) group, is widely used for treatment of gastroesophageal disease and peptic ulcer. PPIs act on proton pump or H+/K+-ATPase selectively, which is the last stage of the process needed for gastric acid secretion. The process of H+ secretion is regulated by this enzyme, which plays an essential role. PPIs exert a very specific action on the parietal cell as they need an environment with very low pH levels, which exist only in this cell.

Thus, identification of these hypersensitive reactions, the causative drug, and a causality relationship between the drug and the reaction is essential to optimize therapeutic safety. This case series deals with anaphylactic reactions experienced by two patients after an intake of pantoprazole.

Case report
First case
A 38-year-old woman came to the emergency ward in a state of shock. She was triaged and given an Australasian triage score (ATS) 2. She was shifted to the resuscitation area, where management was started. She was experiencing periorbital edema, edema of the skin, pruritus, nausea, vomiting, and difficulty breathing. A primary survey was...
done. Her airway and breathing were clear. Her blood pressure (BP) was found to be 80/50 with a pulse rate of 100/min. Oxygen Saturation by Pulse Oximeter (SPO2) was 94% in room air. Oxygen was given as she was having difficulty breathing. Intravenous (IV) access was opened with two large-bore cannulas, and 30 mL/kg of crystalloids were given as a bolus dose. At the same time, she was diagnosed as having anaphylaxis, so adrenaline 1 mL (1 in 10,000) IV stat was also given with 100 mg of hydrocortisone. After resuscitation, she improved as itching decreased, breathing improved, BP improved to 110/80, and pulse rate was 88/min. After the primary assessment, her history revealed that the signs and symptoms appeared 20 minutes after intake of pantoprazole 40 mg, which was prescribed by a physician for dyspepsia. The patient confirmed that she had taken no other drugs with pantoprazole when she presented in the emergency ward with signs and symptoms. She was kept under observation for the next 12 hours. However, her stay during this period proved uneventful, so she was discharged with advice not to take pantoprazole before undergoing an allergen test with pantoprazole.

**Second case**

A 32-year-old female presented in the emergency ward with complaints of rashes all over her body, itching on the whole body, and swollen lips and eyes. She was immediately evaluated. Her history showed that she had taken a pantoprazole 40 mg tablet 30 minutes prior to the development of signs and symptoms. Her examination revealed that her BP was 110/70 mmHg, pulse rate 90/min, respiratory rate (RR) 22/min, and SPO2 98% in room air with normal body temperature. She was given tab avil (pheniramine maleate) 25 mg orally, cetirizine hydrochloride 10 mg, and hydrocortisone 200 mg. She was then kept under observation. In the next 1 hour, her signs and symptoms improved, and she felt comfortable, with no rashes and no pruritus, and her lips and eyes returned to normal. She was kept under further observation for 12 hours and then discharged. In this case, it was confirmed that other medication was not taken (apart from pantoprazole).

**Discussion**

The discovery of PPIs has revolutionized the treatment of gastric acid–related disorders. PPIs are usually well tolerated, with minimum adverse effects. The Uppsala Monitoring Centre database reported that the adverse effects of both H2 receptor antagonists and PPIs account for only 0.2%–0.7% of all incidences of anaphylaxis. These are well-tolerated drugs, with very few reports of drug hypersensitivity.

The reason behind the increased incidence of anaphylactic reactions to H2 receptor antagonists and PPIs may be overprescription of these drugs in daily practice by health care providers. These drugs are often sold in pharmacies without any prescription.

In both cases presented, the patients developed acute episodes of urticaria, edema, and hypotension, and these were associated with the ingestion of the tablets, leading to their classification as anaphylactic reactions. Anaphylactic reactions are known as anaphylaxis, a clinical symptom, which is often life threatening and causes respiratory and cardiovascular problems. On encountering the drug which causes the anaphylaxis, proinflammatory mediators are released from the mast cells and basophils, leading to severe allergic conditions. Anaphylaxis is also diagnosed if a symptom like angioedema, laryngeal edema, and bronchospasm or spasms with skin manifestations such as urticaria, itching and erythema are present.

The literature cites very few cases reported as anaphylaxis due to pantoprazole. A case report by Ottervanger et al showed that a patient developed anaphylaxis within a few minutes following an IV injection of omeprazole 40 mg. They also stated that the same patient developed urticaria a few minutes after having taken oral omeprazole 20 mg 6 weeks earlier. In the same case, they reported that the rapid development of urticaria was a type I or immunoglobulin E–mediated allergic reaction.

Another case report, by Haeney, revealed that there were repeated incidences of angioedema and urticaria in a patient after consuming 20 mg of omeprazole orally. The patient developed these conditions immediately after consuming this tablet. It was also confirmed by the challenge test that the anaphylaxis was due to the drug and not the capsule shell. To confirm this finding, a challenge test was done with the omeprazole granules alone, without the capsule shell, as reported by Bowby and Dickens.

A few case reports suggest that pantoprazole may lead to anaphylactic shock. A 50-year-old male in China also experienced anaphylactic shock due to IV injection of pantoprazole during general anesthesia. A unique case report also suggested that a 57-year-old male in Britain, suffering from gastroesophageal reflux, was unresponsive to ranitidine and was therefore prescribed pantoprazole, which resulted in acute interstitial nephritis.

Another theory proposed for the occurrence of allergy reaction due to pantoprazole is that on a PPI such as pantoprazole, omeprazole substantially decreases the gastric acidity, resulting in a substantial increase in the bacteria in the oral cavity and in the upper gastrointestinal tract. At the same
time, by inhibiting H+/K+-ATPase, which is found in fungal and bacterial cell membranes. PPIs exert an antimicrobial effect on certain microbes. Microbiome changes occurring during antiulcer drug treatment and the known influence of the intestinal bacterial composition on food allergies could offer a further mechanistic explanation for the observed association between pharmaceutical gastric acid suppression and allergy development.\textsuperscript{12}

**Conclusion**

The current evidence shows that the increasing trend of using PPIs can cause anaphylactic reactions. Further detailed studies are needed to provide more information on the increasing incidence of anaphylactic reactions following the consumption of pantoprazole. As anaphylaxis has proven to be a serious reaction, health care providers must be more cautious in prescribing pantoprazole.
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