Intra-arterial chemotherapy improved survival of stage 2–3 gallbladder cancer after curative resection
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Objective: To investigate the impact of postresection intra-arterial chemotherapy (IAC) on prognosis of stage 2–3 gallbladder cancer (GBC) after curative resection.

Methods: Between May 2010 and August 2014, 76 cases of GBC accepted curative surgery in our center and were pathologically staged as 2–3. After resection, 37 underwent 4 courses of intravenous chemotherapy (IVC) following 2 courses of IAC (ART group), and 39 received 6 courses of IVC (SYS group). Both the IAC and IVC regimens consisted of oxaliplatin (85 mg/m²) on day 1 and gemcitabine (800 mg/m²) on day 1 and day 8. Chemotherapy-related complications, disease-free survival (DFS), overall survival (OS), and hepatic metastases-free survival (HMFS) were retrospectively analyzed.

Results: Patient characteristics and chemotherapy complications did not differ between the two groups. There was no significant difference in 3-year DFS of the two groups (p=0.0822, HR=0.6270; 95% CI, 0.3627 to 1.0838). The ART group had significantly higher 3-year OS (p=0.0378, HR=0.5460; 95% CI, 0.3025 to 0.9856) and 3-year HMFS (p=0.0414, HR=0.5187; 95% CI, 0.2706 to 0.9940) than the SYS group.

Conclusions: IAC could effectively and safely decrease postresection hepatic metastases and improve 3-year HMFS and OS of stage 2–3 GBC.
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Introduction

In spite of the advancement in chemotherapy and surgery, gallbladder cancer (GBC) remains a disease with an unfavorable survival and the 5-year overall survival (OS) ranges from 50% in stage 1 to 2% in metastatic disease.¹ Surgery offers a chance of cure, but most patients experience a recurrence despite curative resection.² Therefore, considerable interest exists in applying adjuvant therapy in resected GBC, although high-quality evidence supporting this practice is lacking and therapy largely relies on retrospective observational data.³

Chemotherapy is still one of the most commonly applied postoperative adjuvant therapies for GBC, but most of the chemotherapy regimes cannot offer a favorable survival benefit and usually cause serious side effects.⁴ Therefore, it is urgent to seek alternative adjuvant therapeutic methods. The liver is the most common site of recurrence after radical resection of GBC.⁵ We previously found that intra-arterial chemotherapy (IAC) could significantly decrease metachronous liver metastases from colorectal cancer and improve long-term survival.⁶ In this retrospective study, we aimed to figure out whether IAC could decrease hepatic recurrence and improve prognosis of stage 2–3 GBC after curative resection.
Method

Patients
Between May 2010 and August 2014, 76 patients with GBC underwent curative surgery in our center and were pathologically staged as 2–3 according to American Joint Committee on Cancer guidelines, 7th edition. After resection, 37 underwent 4 courses of intravenous chemotherapy (IVC) following 2 courses of IAC (ART group), and 39 underwent 6 courses of IVC (SYS group). Criteria for enrollment were: histologically confirmed as stage 2–3 GBC, no previous anticancer treatment, Karnofsky performance score $\geq 70$, postoperative life span $\geq 6$ months, sufficient liver, hematopoietic, and kidney function, and age from 18 to 75. According to the patients’ medical documents, the patient characteristics, chemotherapy-related complications, disease-free survival (DFS), OS, and hepatic metastases-free survival (HMFS) were retrospectively analyzed. Patients selected their chemotherapy regime and signed a consent form after reading the instruction about each chemotherapy regime. This study obtained approval from the ethics committee of First People’s Hospital affiliated to Huzhou Normal College.

Chemotherapy administration
Chemotherapy was initiated within 3 weeks after surgery and repeated every 28 days. Both the IAC and IVC regimens consisted of oxaliplatin (85 mg/m$^2$) on day 1 and gemcitabine (800 mg/m$^2$) on day 1 and day 8.

IAC was administered using a micropump through a catheter of which the end was placed into the common hepatic artery or proper hepatic artery. At the end of the infusion, the catheter was removed. All patients were supervised and complications were appropriately treated. The severity of chemotherapy toxicities were assessed according to the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, version 4.0. Treatment was ceased if unacceptable toxicities occurred or on the patient’s request.

Follow-up
All patients were followed up every month in the first postoperative year and every 3 months thereafter. Recurrence was diagnosed by enhanced computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging and, if necessary, biopsy. Alternative chemotherapy regimens or palliative treatment were administered if recurrence was diagnosed.

Statistical analysis
All measurements were presented as mean±SD. Student’s t-test, chi-square test, or Fisher’s exact test was appropriately utilized to analyze the clinical data. Survival curves were calculated using the Kaplan–Meier method. The difference of the survival was evaluated using the log-rank test. All statistical analyses were carried out with statistical software package SPSS for Windows (version 17.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). $p<0.05$ was considered significant.

Results

Patient characteristics
Table 1 shows that patient characteristics did not differ between the 2 groups, such as age, tumor size, blood loss during surgery, level of carbohydrate antigen 19-9, gender, operating time, tumor stage, and tumor differentiation.

Disease-free survival
Within the first 3 postoperative years, recurrence was detected in 23 cases of the ART group and 29 of the SYS group. Details of recurrent sites are shown in Table 2. The 3-year DFS did not differ between the 2 groups ($p=0.0822$, hazard ratio $[HR] =0.6270$; 95% CI, 0.3627 to 1.0838) (Figure 1).

Overall survival
Within the first 3 postoperative years, 19 cases of the ART group and 26 of the SYS group died. The 3-year OS of the ART group was significantly higher than the SYS group ($p=0.0378$, HR=0.5460; 95% CI, 0.3025 to 0.9856) (Figure 2).

Table 1 Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>SYS group (n=39)</th>
<th>ART group (n=37)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59.3±7.2</td>
<td>58.6±6.8</td>
<td>0.6647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum CA 19-9 (U/mL)</td>
<td>475.4±45.6</td>
<td>479.3±46.7</td>
<td>0.7137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td>1.8±0.5</td>
<td>2.0±0.6</td>
<td>0.1179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating time (min)</td>
<td>118.6±29.3</td>
<td>124.2±31.2</td>
<td>0.4223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood loss during surgery (mL)</td>
<td>475.2±48.7</td>
<td>462.2±46.4</td>
<td>0.2378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIa</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIIb</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor differentiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.8951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Data are presented as mean±SD or number.

Abbreviations: ART group, 4 courses of intravenous chemotherapy following 2 courses of intra-arterial chemotherapy; CA, carbohydrate antigen; SYS group, 6 courses of intravenous chemotherapy.
Hepatic metastases-free survival

Within the first 3 postoperative years, 15 cases of the ART group and 22 of the SYS group developed hepatic metastases. The 3-year HMFS of the ART group was significantly higher than the SYS group ($p=0.0414$, HR$=0.5187$; 95% CI, 0.2706 to 0.9940) (Figure 3).

Complication and toxicity

Table 3 lists the chemotherapy toxicities of the 2 groups and no difference was found. All patients underwent 6 courses of chemotherapy. Hematoma of the femoral artery puncture site was documented in 4 cases of the ART group and was controlled by pressure dressing. All complication and toxicity was managed by conservative treatment.

Discussion

GBC is the most aggressive biliary tree cancer (BTC) and only radical resection can offer the chance for cure. Never-theless, incidence of postoperative relapse is still high, even in patients with resectable GBC (stage 2–3) who received curative resection, including extensive lymph node dissection and adjacent organ resection. The liver is the most common site of recurrence after radical resection of GBC. IAC is an effective treatment and prophylactic option for recurrence in the liver from primary carcinoma. Several studies demonstrated that IAC could offer significant survival benefit both in unresectable and resected GBCs. Moreover, IAC might be a safer and more effective adjuvant therapy strategy because administration of chemotherapy drugs via the hepatic arterial circulation can reach higher regional drug concentrations with lighter systemic toxicities than intravenous injection.

Clinical trials were performed to investigate the survival benefit of different postoperative chemotherapy regimens for GBC. It was demonstrated that the 5-fluorouracil-based adjuvant chemotherapy offered no survival benefit for...
resected GBC. A chemotherapy regime consisting of gemcitabine and oxaliplatin could offer a modest antitumor activity and is well tolerated in patients with biliary cancer including GBC. Due to the efficacy and safety of the gemcitabine and oxaliplatin chemotherapy regime, it was recognized as the first-line chemotherapy regime for BTCs. In the present study, we included resectable (stage 2–3) GBC patients and both the IAC and IVC regimens consisted of gemcitabine and oxaliplatin.

In the current study, the ART group had significantly higher 3-year OS and HMFS than the SYS group. However, the 3-year DFS did not differ, implying that the survival benefit of IAC may result from the decrease of hepatic metastases.

This study further verified the safety of the IAC regime. The comparison of toxicities showed no difference between the ART group and the SYS group. All of the patients tolerated 6 courses of chemotherapy and the toxicities were controllable.

Conclusion
IAC could effectively and safely decrease postresection hepatic metastases and improve 3-year HMFS and OS of stage 2–3 GBC. However, because of the limitation of the retrospective characteristic and single-center experience, prospective and multicenter studies are required to verify the results of the current study.
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