Role of diaphragmatic rapid shallow breathing index in predicting weaning outcome in patients with acute exacerbation of COPD
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Background: The diaphragmatic rapid shallow breathing index (D-RSBI), which is the ratio between respiratory rate (RR) and the ultrasonographic evaluation of diaphragmatic displacement (DD), is a new and promising tool to predict weaning outcome. Its accuracy in predicting weaning failure, in ready-to-wean acute exacerbation COPD (AECOPD) patients, needs to be evaluated.

Patients and methods: A prospective observational study was carried out on ready-to-wean AECOPD patients. During a T-tube spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) evaluation of the right hemidiaphragm displacement (ie, DD), M-mode ultrasonography to calculate the D-RSBI, as well as the RSBI (RR/tidal volume [VT]) were carried out simultaneously. Outcome of the weaning trial was recorded. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were used to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of D-RSBI and RSBI.

Results: A total of 50 AECOPD patients requiring mechanical ventilation for more than 48 h who were ready to perform a SBT were included. Of these, 37 (74%) were successfully liberated from mechanical ventilation. Among the 13 patients who failed the weaning trial, 8 (62%) failed the SBT and reconnected to the ventilator, 2 (15%) were reintubated within 48 h of extubation and 3 (23%) required NIV support within 48 h of extubation. The areas under the ROC curves for D-RSBI and RSBI were 0.97 ($p<0.001$) and 0.67 ($p<0.06$), respectively.

Conclusion: D-RSBI (RR/DD) is superior to the traditional RSBI (RR/VT) in predicting weaning outcome in AECOPD patients.
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Introduction

Acute exacerbations of COPD (AECOPD) are a common cause of comorbidities and COPD-related mortality. Invasive ventilation (IV) is essential among AECOPD patients to treat acute respiratory failure. In such cases, strategies should be implemented to discontinue IV as soon as possible to avoid time-dependent complications that may arise as a result of prolonged IV. Furthermore, extubation failure is associated with a risk of mortality, ranging from 40 to 50%. Weaning failure is believed to be multifactorial. However, diaphragmatic dysfunction plays a major role in ventilator dependency and diaphragmatic function is still poorly assessed among critically ill patients. Although useful for research purposes, direct measurements of diaphragmatic strength are invasive and need considerable expertise. Diaphragmatic ultrasonography has recently been introduced as a non-invasive and bedside tool to measure diaphragmatic displacement (DD).
Diaphragmatic dysfunction (defined as DD < 10 mm) has been shown to be a good predictor of failure to wean from mechanical ventilation.12

The rapid shallow breathing index (RSBI) (defined as the ratio of respiratory rate to tidal volume [RR/VT]) is one of the most widely used predictors of weaning outcome.13 But unfortunately, it has variable sensitivity and specificity.14–16 So recently, Spadaro et al17 introduced the diaphragmatic RSBI (D-RSBI) by substituting VT with DD in the RSBI (ie, D-RSBI = RR/DD). This avoids masking the underlying diaphragmatic dysfunction caused by the contribution of the accessory muscles in generating VT that could impair the diagnostic accuracy of the RSBI.17

The aim of this study was to validate the D-RSBI as a new predictor of weaning outcome in patients with AECOPD and to compare its accuracy with that of the traditional RSBI.

Patients and methods

Patients

This prospective observational study was carried out in the Respiratory ICU of the Chest Department, Zagazig University Hospitals during the period from December 2016 to May 2017. It included all patients with AECOPD at their first spontaneous breathing trial (SBT). The exclusion criteria were 1) age < 18 years; 2) presence of thoracostomy, pneumothorax or pneumomediastinum; 3) presence of rib fractures; 4) neuromuscular disease; 5) use of muscle-paralyzing agents within 48 h before the study and 6) history or new detection of paralysis or paradoxical movement of a single hemidiaphragm on diaphragmatic ultrasonography.

The study was approved by the Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University Ethics Committee. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Methods

All studied patients were subjected to the following:

1) A thorough medical history.
2) Full clinical examination (general and local examination).
3) Plain chest X-ray (posteroanterior or anteroposterior view according to circumstances).
4) Routine laboratory investigations:
   a) complete blood picture (CBC)
   b) liver functions
   c) kidney functions
   d) serum electrolytes (Na, K, Cl).
5) Arterial blood gases analysis (ABGs).

6) Diagnosis of AECOPD according to Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) criteria: NHLBI/WHO (GOLD) supported by spirometric evidence of airflow obstruction (forced expiratory volume in 1 s [FEV1]/forced vital capacity [FVC] < 0.70) when clinically stable; with clinical criteria of exacerbation including (increased dyspnea, increased sputum volume or sputum purulence).18
7) Calculation of Simplified Acute Physiology Score (SAPS) II19 and assessment of cough strength and amount of endotracheal secretions.20
8) Patients intubated and mechanically ventilated for more than 48 h were considered ready for SBT (ie, spontaneous ventilation through a T-tube with the FiO2 set at the same level used during mechanical ventilation) if they met all of the following criteria: 1) clinical improvement of the underlying acute cause of respiratory failure; 2) favorable cough reflex; 3) absence of excessive and/or purulent tracheobronchial secretion; 4) stable cardiovascular status (ie, heart rate < 120 beats/min; systolic blood pressure, 90–160 mmHg; and no vasopressor use); 5) stable metabolic status (ie, electrolytes and blood sugar within normal range, temperature < 38°C; hemoglobin ≥ 8–10 g/dL); 6) adequate oxygenation (ie, arterial oxygen saturation [SaO2] > 92% with inspiratory oxygen fraction [FiO2] ≤ 0.5 with positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) ≤ 8 cmH2O) and 7) adequate pulmonary function (ie, RR ≤ 30 breaths/min with VT ≥ 5 mL/kg ideal body weight (IBW) and no significant respiratory acidosis).17
9) Ultrasonographic scanning of the right hemidiaphragm after 30 min. from the beginning of the SBT. The patients were lying in the semi recumbent position, with the head of the bed elevated at an angle between 30° and 45°. Diaphragmatic movement was measured using a 3.5-MHz US probe placed over one of the lower intercostal spaces in the right anterior axillary line with a SonoScape ultrasound machine (SonoScape SSI-4000, SonoScape Medical Corp., Guangdong, China; EC REP SonoScape Europ S.R.L., Rome, Italy). With the probe fixed on the chest wall during respiration, the ultrasound beam was directed to the hemidiaphragmatic dome at an angle of not less than 70°. During inspiration, the normal diaphragm contracts and moves caudally toward the transducer; this is recorded as an upward motion of the M-mode tracing. The amplitude of excursion was measured on the vertical axis of the tracing from the baseline to the point of maximum height of inspiration on the graph. Six measurements were recorded and averaged. All measurements were performed during tidal breathing at 6–12 mL/kg, excluding smaller or deeper breaths. The whole US examination was accomplished.
in 5 min. Negative excursion was an indicator of paradoxical diaphragmatic movement. M-mode ultrasonography of the diaphragm of a patient with a conserved right hemidiaphragm function (DD = 16 mm) is shown in Figure 1. Diaphragmatic ultrasonography was performed for all patients by two independent operators to measure the DD. All patients’ recordings were analyzed twice by both operators. All measurements were tested for intraobserver and interobserver reproducibility.

10) Measurement of RR and VT during the SBT using a portable spirometer (Spirotube PC Spirometer, Thor Laboratories, Budapest, Hungary). This PC spirotube has an ultrasonic respiratory sensor with automatic internal calibration.

11) Calculation of RSBI (RR/VT) and D-RSBI (RR/DD).

12) Assessment of weaning outcome. A successful weaning trial was reported when patients were extubated and breathed spontaneously for more than 48 h. The reinstitution of mechanical ventilation during or at the end of the SBT, reintubation within 48 h or the use of non-invasive ventilation (NIV) within 48 h of extubation were reported as a failed weaning trial.

Statistical analysis
In Spadaro et al’s study, the area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) for D-RSBI was 0.89. Accordingly, assuming that the null hypothesis for AUROC was 0.5 at a power of 80%, confidence limit of 95%, and a prevalence of 39% weaning failure in COPD, a sample size of 41 patients was considered adequate. After estimating a 10% dropout (refusal or interruption of the measurement), we choose a sample size of 45 patients.

Continuous variables were expressed as the mean ± SD, and the categorical variables were expressed as a number (percentage). Continuous variables were checked for normality by using the Shapiro–Wilks test. Independent samples Student’s t-tests were used to compare between two groups of normally distributed variables. Percent of categorical variables were compared using Pearson’s chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test as appropriate. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was used to identify optimal cutoff values of DD, RSBI and DSBBI with maximum sensitivity and specificity for prediction of weaning failure. The AUROC was also calculated, criteria to qualify for AUC were as follows: 0.90–1 = excellent, 0.80–0.90 = good, 0.70–0.80 = fair, 0.60–0.70 = poor and 0.50–0.60 = fail. The optimal cutoff point was established at the point of maximum accuracy. All tests were two sided. A p-value <0.05 was considered significant. All statistics were performed using SPSS 22.0 for windows (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) and MedCalc windows (MedCalc Software bvba 13, Ostend, Belgium).

Results
The flow chart of the study is illustrated in Figure 2. Initially, 64 patients were screened. A total of 53 of them met the inclusion criteria, while 11 were excluded due to thoracostomy (3 patients), chest wall deformity (1 patient), rib fractures (2 patients) and ventilation for less than 48 h (5 patients). Additionally, three more patients were excluded because of hemidiaphragm paralysis detected by paradoxical movement of the hemidiaphragm during diaphragmatic ultrasonography. So finally, 50 patients were included and analyzed. Of these, 37 (74%) were successfully liberated from mechanical ventilation. Among the 13 patients who failed the weaning trial, 8 (62%) failed the SBT and reconnected to the ventilator, 2 (15%) were reintubated within 48 h of extubation and 3 (23%) required NIV support within 48 h of extubation.

Table 1 shows no statistically significant differences in preweaning parameters between weaning success and weaning failure groups as regard all demographic and clinical characteristics of the studied patients.

![Figure 1](https://www.dovepress.com/1657.jpg)

**Figure 1** M-mode ultrasonography of the diaphragm of a patient with a conserved right hemidiaphragm function (DD = 16 mm).

**Note:** DD was measured on the vertical axis of a frozen image from the baseline, at the end of expiration, to the point of maximum height of inspiration.

**Abbreviation:** DD, diaphragmatic displacement.
64 AECOPD patients at their 1st T-piece trial were screened

53 patients met the inclusion criteria and underwent ultrasonographic examination of the diaphragm

3 patients were excluded due to paradoxical movement of the diaphragm

50 patients were studied

13 patients failed weaning:
• reconnected to MV after SBT (n=8)
• reintubated (n=2)
• NIV after SBT (n=3)

37 patients succeeded weaning

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of all studied patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>All (n=50)</th>
<th>Weaning success (n=37)</th>
<th>Weaning failure (n=13)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34 (68%)</td>
<td>27 (79.4%)</td>
<td>7 (20.6%)</td>
<td>0.203†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>16 (32%)</td>
<td>10 (62.5%)</td>
<td>6 (37.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.9±7.47</td>
<td>61.45±7.67</td>
<td>63.07±7.03</td>
<td>0.508§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>50.96±10.7</td>
<td>52.08±10.6</td>
<td>47.8±10.4</td>
<td>0.21§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>84.1±1.6</td>
<td>84.2±1.6</td>
<td>83.8±1.7</td>
<td>0.5§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>57.9±9.96</td>
<td>57.7 (4.7)</td>
<td>58.5±5.7</td>
<td>0.6§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (years)</td>
<td>8.4±2.60</td>
<td>8.3±2.48</td>
<td>6.6±3.17</td>
<td>0.737§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous MV</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>27 (54%)</td>
<td>21 (77.8%)</td>
<td>6 (22.2%)</td>
<td>0.509†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23 (46%)</td>
<td>16 (69.6%)</td>
<td>7 (30.4%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPS II on admission</td>
<td>39.94±2.87</td>
<td>39.76±3.06</td>
<td>40.46±2.29</td>
<td>0.453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3</td>
<td>13 (26%)</td>
<td>12 (92.3%)</td>
<td>1 (7.7%)</td>
<td>0.202†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4</td>
<td>20 (40%)</td>
<td>14 (70%)</td>
<td>6 (30%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 5</td>
<td>17 (34%)</td>
<td>11 (64.7%)</td>
<td>6 (35.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of secretions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>28 (56%)</td>
<td>19 (67.9%)</td>
<td>9 (32.1%)</td>
<td>0.264†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>22 (44%)</td>
<td>18 (81.8%)</td>
<td>4 (18.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Independent samples Student’s t-test; †Chi-square test; p < 0.05 is significant. Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation; categorical variables are expressed as number (percentage).

Abbreviations: FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; MV, mechanical ventilation; SAPS, simplified acute physiology score.

Discussion

Mechanical ventilation is a life-saving modality of intervention that is essential for many critically ill patients, including those with AECOPD, but it is associated with a lot of complications as well as patient discomfort. So, early safe and effective liberation of patients from mechanical ventilation remains a challenge for clinicians. It is needed, whenever the underlying condition that led to mechanical ventilation has adequately resolved and the patient can breathe spontaneously with sufficient gas exchange.23

Two large weaning trials illustrated that clinicians frequently underestimate the ability of patients to breathe spontaneously when liberated from the ventilator.24,25 Furthermore, available weaning predictors; like the RSBI lack adequate predictive value to render them routinely used to judge the capability of patients to wean, particularly those at high risk of extubation failure including patients with hypercapnia, COPD or congestive heart failure.25 Moreover, due to the complexity of the derangement of the mechanical properties of the respiratory system, COPD patients probably need different weaning indices or different threshold values compared to a general ICU population.26

Results of this study confirm the superiority of the diaphragmatic-RSBI (D-RSBI), a new index first adopted by Spadaro and coworkers,17 over the traditional RSBI in predicting weaning outcome; even in patients with AECOPD who are at increased risk of extubation failure.
Table 2 Spontaneous breathing trial ventilatory parameters in all studied patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>All (n=50)</th>
<th>Weaning success (n=37)</th>
<th>Weaning failure (n=13)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR (breaths/min)</td>
<td>25.1±8.27</td>
<td>23.6±6.18</td>
<td>29.3±11.27</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT (L)</td>
<td>0.37±0.14</td>
<td>0.36±0.12</td>
<td>0.40±0.20</td>
<td>0.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD (mm)</td>
<td>14.6±4.01</td>
<td>16.5±2.4</td>
<td>9.2±2.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-RSBI (breaths/min/mm)</td>
<td>1.9±0.96</td>
<td>1.43±0.32</td>
<td>3.27±0.84</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSBI (breaths/min/L)</td>
<td>70.4±13.76</td>
<td>68.4±13.59</td>
<td>76.15±13.10</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *Independent samples Student’s t-test; p<0.05 is significant. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

Abbreviations: RR, respiratory rate; VT, tidal volume; DD, diaphragmatic displacement; D-RSBI, diaphragmatic rapid shallow breathing index; RSBI, rapid shallow breathing index.

The present work supports the evidence that substitution of VT with DD in calculating RSBI could represent an accurate weaning predictor in a special population of ICU patients, ie, COPD patients with acute exacerbation.

Weaning failure is multifactorial. So, an accurate predictive tool should reflect all pathophysiological determinants of failure, including increased mechanical workload applied on the respiratory muscles, diaphragmatic dysfunction, weaning-induced cardiac failure and a reduced ability to clear secretions and to maintain open airways. Most of the above-mentioned pathways lead to rapid shallow breathing. Hence, Yang and Tobin13 developed the RSBI which quantitates the extent of rapid shallow breathing taking into account the ratio between RR and VT.

In contrast, patients who failed the weaning attempt in this study had a lower RSBI (76.15±13.10 breaths/min/L) than the cutoff value of 105 to predict weaning failure originally described by Yang and Tobin.13 Also, several other studies have documented a wide range of predictive values for RSBI, which could reflect differences in methodology, classification of outcomes, and study populations.27,28

The present study found that the D-RSBI was significantly higher in COPD patients who failed SBT compared to those who succeeded to wean (3.27±0.84 versus 1.43±0.32, respectively), while the traditional RSBI was not significantly different between the two studied groups (p=0.082). Also, the D-RSBI predicted weaning failure accurately at a threshold value more than 1.9 (AUROC=0.97, p<0.001). Although, the cutoff value for the D-RSBI reported by Spadaro and his colleagues27 was lower (ie, >1.3) than this study. This could be explained by the difference in the study population, as the present work included only COPD patients who usually show a lower degree of diaphragmatic excursion.29

The D-RSBI was speculated to be more accurate than the RSBI because DD reflects more closely the diaphragmatic function as compared to VT. In the presence of diaphragmatic dysfunction, the diaphragm movement is depressed and the accessory muscles of inspiration assume a greater role in generating VT, but for a limited period of time as they are less efficient and easily fatigable.28,30 In these situations, the VT is less linked to the function of the diaphragm than DD, which reflects the ability of the diaphragm to generate inspiratory volume.31

Ultrasound examination of the diaphragm is a non-invasive, easy to do and bedside tool that does not require patient collaboration.10,32,33 Diaphragmatic M-mode ultrasonography has been recently applied to measure the amount of diaphragmatic excursion (ie, DD) during either spontaneous or assisted

Table 3 Accuracy of DD, D-RSBI, RSBI and RR in predicting weaning failure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutoff values</th>
<th>Sensitivity % (95% CI)</th>
<th>Specificity % (95% CI)</th>
<th>PPV% (95% CI)</th>
<th>NPV% (95% CI)</th>
<th>Accuracy (95% CI)</th>
<th>AUROC (95% CI)</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DD ≤12</td>
<td>92.3 (64–99.8)</td>
<td>91.9 (78.1–98.3)</td>
<td>80 (51.9–95.7)</td>
<td>97.1 (84.8–99.9)</td>
<td>92 (74.4–98.7)</td>
<td>0.975 (0.886–0.999)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-RSBI &gt;1.9</td>
<td>84.6 (54.6–98.1)</td>
<td>100 (90.5–100)</td>
<td>100 (71.5–100)</td>
<td>94.9 (82.7–99.4)</td>
<td>96 (81.2–99.5)</td>
<td>0.969 (0.876–0.998)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSBI &gt;70</td>
<td>69.2 (38.6–90.9)</td>
<td>61.2 (44.8–77.5)</td>
<td>39.1 (19.7–61.5)</td>
<td>85.2 (66.3–95.8)</td>
<td>63.3 (94.3–81)</td>
<td>0.669 (0.522–0.796)</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR &gt;19</td>
<td>100 (75.3–100)</td>
<td>29.7 (15.9–47)</td>
<td>33.3 (19.1–50.2)</td>
<td>100 (71.5–100)</td>
<td>48 (31.3–60.8)</td>
<td>0.652 (0.504–0.781)</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *p<0.05 is significant.

Abbreviations: PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value; AUROC, area under receiver operating characteristic curve; CI, confidence interval; DD, diaphragmatic displacement; D-RSBI, diaphragmatic rapid shallow breathing index; RSBI, rapid shallow breathing index; RR, respiratory rate.
breathing.\textsuperscript{11,12,34} Herein, the DD was significantly higher in the successfully weaned than in the failed to wean COPD patients. Furthermore, the diagnostic accuracy of DD was superior to that of RSBI but still lower than that of the D-RSBI. These results confirm the superiority of D-RSBI in assessing both diaphragmatic function and prediction of extubation outcome.

Finally, many experts consider that clinical judgment, based upon careful inspection, is superior to and more accurate than available predictors of weaning outcome.\textsuperscript{24–26} However, integrating this clinical judgment with a relatively easy to do bedside index like the D-RSBI may at least help intensivists in their decision to extubate.\textsuperscript{17}

Regarding limitations of the current work. First, the reported cutoff value of D-RSBI in the studied COPD patients cannot be generalized to all ICU population and future studies should be done to confirm the validity of the D-RSBI in predicting the weaning outcome in different clinical situations. Second, one can say that ultrasonography is an operator dependent and measurements of DD might change between operators, but in the current work, interobserver and intraobserver reproducibility were tested.

Conclusion
D-RSBI (RR/DD) is a new and promising tool that is superior to the traditional RSBI (RR/VT) in predicting weaning outcome in COPD patients with acute exacerbation.

Acknowledgment
We wish to thank all the medical staff on the respiratory ICU, Zagazig University, Egypt.

Author contributions
AA and SE were responsible for the study design. AA and MW were responsible for patient selection, data collection and performing diaphragmatic ultrasonography for all selected patients according to the adopted protocol.

All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Disclosure
The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


