Influence of FTO rs9939609 polymorphism on appetite, ghrelin, leptin, IL6, TNFα levels, and food intake of women with morbid obesity
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Background: The fat mass and obesity-related (FTO) gene has a strong relationship with obesity, extreme obesity and inflammatory state, and may also be associated with food intake regulation.

Objective: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the influence of the rs9939609 single-nucleotide polymorphism of the FTO gene on appetite, ghrelin, leptin, interleukin 6 (IL6), tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) levels and food intake of morbidly obese women.

Materials and methods: The study comprised 70 women, aged between 20 and 48 years, from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The participants were selected according to the body mass index between 40 and 60 kg/m². Anthropometric and biochemical data were measured during fasting. Hormones and inflammatory data were measured before and after the participants ate an isocaloric meal. Dietary records were calculated and analyzed using a nutritional assessment program. Visual analog scales were used for behaviors of the sensations of appetite and food preferences. The FTO rs9939609 variant was genotyped using real-time polymerase chain reaction.

Results: Participants with the AA genotype had lower values of ghrelin and IL6 and higher values of leptin than those with TT and TA in the postprandial period. Comparing the plasma concentrations of ghrelin, insulin, IL6 and TNFα in intragenotypes, it was observed that those with TT had decreased leptin and increased IL6 at the postprandial period. Subjects with TA showed increased postprandial IL6, and those with AA had decreased postprandial ghrelin. There was no difference in TNFα intra- and intergenotypes. The postprandial sensations of hunger were lower in AA than those with TT. There were differences between genotypes regarding ingested grams of protein by weight, cholesterol, B3, B5, B6 and B12 vitamins, and selenium potassium and sodium minerals.

Conclusion: These findings suggest that genetics may exert an influence on physiologic factors and might alter eating behavior.
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Introduction

Today, the prevalence of obesity continues to increase and is one of the most challenging public health problems. Recent data show that the percentage of obese people has doubled in more than 70 countries between 1980 and 2015.¹ Currently, more than 1.9 billion individuals are overweight, of which more than 600 million are obese.² Furthermore, the medical costs associated with obese individuals is ~30% greater than that of normal-weight people.³

In Brazil, ~32 million adults had a body mass index (BMI) indicative of obesity in 2015.⁴ Between 2013 and 2014, our country was ranked fifth in the world in terms of obesity.⁵ ⁶ Recently, the Risk and Protective Factors Surveillance Survey for Chronic
Diseases Telephone Survey indicated an increase in obesity in Brazil by 60% in the past 10 years, from 11.8% in 2006 to 18.9% in 2016, that is, almost one in five Brazilians are obese. The prevalence for morbid obesity in the population is low (0.81%), but led to costs totaling $64.2 million in 2011, which amounts to almost a quarter of the costs attributable to obesity in the public health system.

Body weight gain in the population is due to changes in dietary patterns and lifestyle, with increased energy intake and decreased physical activity. These changes appear to be the major factors leading to the increase of the global obesity epidemic in recent decades. However, there are clear differences in susceptibility between individuals or communities.

The contribution of genetics to the development of obesity has been extensively investigated in genome-wide association studies, where the most common forms of obesity are polygenic, with the discovery of at least 77 loci associated with this disease and 32 loci with a strong association in the increase of BMI. First described in 2007, it was demonstrated by bioinformatics tools that the fat mass and obesity-related (FTO) gene encodes a protein of the superfamily Fe (II) 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases, with high similarity to the sequence of the DNA repair enzyme of Escherichia coli AlkB and its eukaryotic homologs AlkB 2 and 3 (ABH2 and 3). This gene also encodes a RNA adenosine demethylase, where the main substrate is N6-methyladenosine (m6A). The enzyme m6A RNA demethylase influences nucleic acid demethylation, which is important for epigenetic regulation (modifications of chromatin and DNA that activate or inhibit certain genes). The FTO gene is located on the long arm of chromosome 16 on position 12.2 and consists of nine exons, occupying an area of >400 kb.

The FTO gene was recently described and is strongly associated with obesity, extreme obesity, and inflammatory state, and may also be associated with food intake regulation. FTO polymorphism has been studied in recent years and has been indicated as a predictor of obesity in several populations, where about 50% of the world population are the carriers of the “risk” allele. These studies indicated the association of FTO gene variants with food intake, raising the hypothesis of influence on hunger and satiety regulation probably related to the postprandial plasma concentrations of leptin and ghrelin hormones.

The most studied FTO single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) is rs9939609, which comprises the A and T alleles, where the risk allele A seems to be directly related to increased accumulation of body fat, especially when presented as an AA homozygote. In a pilot study published recently in Nigeria, rs9939609 was studied in a group of 103 obese and 98 controls, with mean age of 20 years. The results suggested that factors such as physical inactivity, high energy intake and sitting time had a mediating effect on the FTO gene variant for BMI, and that these variables may be playing an important role in the development and worsening of obesity.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the influence of rs9939609 on appetite, ghrelin, leptin, interleukin 6 (IL6), tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) and food intake of morbidly obese women in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

Materials and methods

Study group: subjects

The study comprised 70 women, aged between 20 and 48 years, from Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The participants were selected according to BMI (between 40 and 60 kg/m²) and the presence of obesity for at least 5 years. We excluded patients with renal failure, those with congestive heart failure, those diagnosed with dysgeusia, those who were in treatment for cancer, pregnant, those with a diagnosis of hypothyroidism or hyperthyroidism, those under the use of corticosteroids and medicines to lose weight or that influence the sensations of hunger and satiety (sibrutramin, orlistat, fluoxetine, topiramate and liraglutide), those in menopause, those who underwent surgery in the gastrointestinal tract, those who did not complete all of the steps described above, or who presented some intercurrence previously listed during the study. All participants provided written informed consent to participate in this study, and the protocol was performed according to the Declaration of Helsinki (1964). This study was approved by the Ethics and Research Committee of the University Hospital Clementino Fraga Filho, under the protocol CAAE no. 845.537 and registered in the Clinical Trials under the number NCT02598037.

Clinical measurements

The anthropometric data collected were body weight in kilograms, height, BMI, waist circumference (WC) and hip circumference (HC). The WC was measured at the midpoint between the lower margin of the last palpable rib and the top of the iliac crest. HC was measured around the widest portion of the buttocks. Waist-to-hip ratios were calculated by dividing WC by the HC.

Serum samples were collected after 12 hours of an overnight fasting. Triglycerides (TGs), total cholesterol, high-density lipoproteins (HDLs), low-density lipoproteins...
Our results showed that hunger was higher at 60, 120 and 180 minutes and gastric fullness was lower at 30 minutes during the study.

Visual analog scales (VASs) were used for behaviors of the sensations of appetite and food preferences. These sensations were assessed using a 10 cm VAS and were applied at intervals of 30 minutes during the study.

DNA extraction and genotyping

DNA was extracted from whole blood using a commercial DNA extraction kit (Invitrogen™ PureLink™ Genomic DNA). The FTO variant rs9939609 was genotyped using real-time polymerase chain reaction with a TaqMan® assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Amplification was performed in the Step One Plus™ and the genotypes were identified by SDS 2.3 software. Negative controls (all components excluding DNA) were included in all plates.

Statistical analysis

The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to verify the distribution of variables. Data with non-Gaussian distribution were presented as median and quartile interval. The Kruskal–Wallis tests were used to analyze the data between groups, Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney for analyses of paired and unpaired data and chi-square to evaluate the association between variables. Friedman test was used to compare the distributions of the quantitative variables and to verify that there was a significant variation in TT, TA and AA over the postoperative period (30–180 minutes), for hunger, satiety, gastric fullness, desire to eat savory and appetizer in those who presented differences between genotypes. All results were analyzed with the statistical analysis program SPSS version 21.0, considering significant values of P<0.05.

Results

In this present study, we analyzed the FTO rs9939609 polymorphism in our sample. The calculation of the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium was performed, and it showed that genotypes were in equilibrium ($\chi^2=0.045$; $P=0.832$).30,31 Our sample was stratified into groups according to genotype distribution, in which the frequencies were TT (33%), TA (50%) and AA (17%). Moreover, the allele frequencies were T (58%) and A (42%). Low physical activity was observed in 57% of women with TT genotype, 69% with TA genotype and 75% with AA genotype.

Our results showed that women carrying the AA genotype have a higher level of preprandial insulin. There were no differences in other variables among genotypes (Table 1).

The effects of rs9939609 on hormone levels were analyzed in fasting and postprandial states. Table 2 shows that there was a difference between genotypes for ghrelin, leptin and IL6, in which the AA had lower values of ghrelin and IL6 and higher values of leptin than TT and TA in the postprandial period.

Comparing the plasma concentrations of ghrelin, insulin, IL6 and TNFα intragenotypes, it was observed that TT had decreased leptin and increased IL6 during the postprandial period. TA showed increased postprandial IL6 and AA decreased postprandial ghrelin. There was no difference in TNFα intra- and intergenotypes.

Considering the results found for the hormones previously referred to, it is important to complement with data related to the sensations of hunger and satiety, as well as food intake behavior.

Our results showed that hunger was higher at 60, 120 and 150 minutes in TT than in TA. Hunger in AA was lower than TT at 120 and 180 minutes. Satiety was higher at 30, 60 and 90 minutes in TA than in TT and AA. At 180 minutes, AA shows higher satiety than TT. Gastric fullness was lower at 90 minutes...
in TT than in TA. Desire to eat was lower in TA at 120 minutes than in TT and at 180 minutes than in TT and AA (Figure 1).

Desire to eat savory was lower at preprandial and postprandial 30, 120 and 150 minutes in TT than in TA and AA. In the postprandial period, desire to eat appetizer was lower at 60 minutes in TT than in TA and lower at 90, 120, 150 and 180 minutes than in TA and AA. There is no difference between genotypes for desire to eat sweet and fatty (Figure 2).

Additionally, it was observed that women carrying TT and TA genotypes were considered without binge eating and AA genotype with moderate binge eating according to the binge eating scores 14, 16 and 20, respectively.

Finally, 3-day dietary records were taken in order to analyze whether rs9939609 influences food intake of morbidly obese subjects. There were differences between genotypes regarding ingested grams of protein per kilogram of body weight (PTN/kg BW); cholesterol; B3, B5, B6 and B12 vitamins and selenium potassium and sodium minerals. TT genotype consumed less PTN/kg BW, B3 and B12 vitamins and potassium than TA and AA genotypes. Subjects carrying the AA genotype consumed larger quantities of cholesterol, B5 and B6 vitamins and selenium and sodium than those with TT and TA genotypes. There is no difference in the food intake of the other parameters (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to investigate the hunger and satiety sensations, the release of hormones involved in this

---

### Table 1 Anthropometric and biochemical characteristics of the population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total (70)</th>
<th>TT (23)</th>
<th>TA (35)</th>
<th>AA (12)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>36.0 (30.0; 41.0)</td>
<td>34.0 (28.0; 38.0)</td>
<td>35.0 (30.0; 42.0)</td>
<td>37.0 (36.0; 40.0)</td>
<td>0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anthropometrics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>122.2 (112.9; 135.6)</td>
<td>121.5 (113.6; 130.2)</td>
<td>123.5 (110.9; 135.0)</td>
<td>130.9 (108.7; 143.30)</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>46.5 (42.4; 50.8)</td>
<td>46.6 (42.4; 49.0)</td>
<td>47.2 (42.4; 52.6)</td>
<td>47.8 (43.8; 52.3)</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist (cm)</td>
<td>121.3 (110.9; 132.1)</td>
<td>118.0 (110.5; 131.0)</td>
<td>122.0 (112.0; 132.0)</td>
<td>122.7 (106.2; 136.2)</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip (cm)</td>
<td>140.0 (133.0; 147.3)</td>
<td>140.0 (135.0; 145.5)</td>
<td>136.4 (132.5; 148.0)</td>
<td>142.7 (136.1; 150.0)</td>
<td>0.269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHR</td>
<td>0.87 (0.81; 0.92)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.81; 0.92)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.83; 0.92)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.78; 0.91)</td>
<td>0.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biochemical</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting, insulin (mcU/mL)</td>
<td>20.9 (14.8; 27.9)</td>
<td>19.9 (13.1; 23.4)</td>
<td>21.8 (16.7; 30.6)</td>
<td>25.2 (19.5; 34.6)</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>104.3 (91.5; 108.0)</td>
<td>96.0 (90.0; 108.0)</td>
<td>97.0 (92.0; 108.0)</td>
<td>101.5 (89.5; 116.5)</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>109.5 (75.0; 129.3)</td>
<td>110.0 (73.0; 127.0)</td>
<td>109.4 (69.0; 140.0)</td>
<td>98.7 (72.6; 123.5)</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>22.2 (15.0; 25.0)</td>
<td>22.0 (15.0; 25.0)</td>
<td>22.6 (14.0; 28.0)</td>
<td>23.9 (15.2; 24.7)</td>
<td>0.559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>44.0 (39.0; 49.2)</td>
<td>43.0 (38.0; 51.0)</td>
<td>44.9 (36.0; 49.0)</td>
<td>41.5 (39.0; 49.5)</td>
<td>0.599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mg/dL)</td>
<td>105.5 (95.0; 126.5)</td>
<td>103.0 (88.0; 119.0)</td>
<td>114.3 (95.0; 132.0)</td>
<td>115.7 (90.0; 135.2)</td>
<td>0.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol</td>
<td>171.5 (150.5; 198.0)</td>
<td>170.0 (148.0; 187.0)</td>
<td>178.0 (151.0; 217.0)</td>
<td>183.6 (151.7; 203.2)</td>
<td>0.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA IR</td>
<td>0.87 (0.81; 0.92)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.81; 0.92)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.83; 0.92)</td>
<td>0.87 (0.78; 0.91)</td>
<td>0.564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: HOMA IR index. Data of the population were expressed as median (interquartile). Kruskal–Wallis test used for comparison between genotypes, considering P-value <0.05. Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test for unpaired data considering P-value <0.05 for TT versus AA.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; HOMA IR, Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; TC, total cholesterol; TG, triglycerides; VLDL, very low-density lipoproteins; WHR, waist-to-hip ratio.

---

### Table 2 Hormone and cytokine data of the population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total (70)</th>
<th>TT (23)</th>
<th>TA (35)</th>
<th>AA (12)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fasting, ghrelin (pg/mL)</td>
<td>42.9 (27.4; 68.3)</td>
<td>41.6 (22.2; 77.6)</td>
<td>41.9 (33.7; 57.6)</td>
<td>35.2 (15.4; 47.9)</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial, ghrelin P (pg/mL)</td>
<td>35.8 (23.6; 52.4)</td>
<td>49.3 (26.8; 58.8)</td>
<td>39.8 (21.9; 53.7)</td>
<td>29.4a (16.9; 52.6)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting, leptin (pg/mL)</td>
<td>35.989 (26.57; 47.512)</td>
<td>40.542 (27.14; 53.681)</td>
<td>33.653 (25.48; 45.697)</td>
<td>40.090 (29.125; 47.021)</td>
<td>0.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial, leptin (pg/mL)</td>
<td>35.952 (26.21; 44.568)</td>
<td>32.2979 (21.85; 54.222)</td>
<td>34.199 (25.719; 40.925)</td>
<td>46.555 (37.303; 55.283)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting, IL6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.8; 6.3)</td>
<td>4.7 (2.7; 6.2)</td>
<td>4.3 (2.7; 7.1)</td>
<td>3.8 (3.2; 4.4)</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial, IL6 (pg/mL)</td>
<td>5.1 (3.0; 7.1)</td>
<td>5.6 (3.0; 6.9)</td>
<td>5.3 (2.6; 8.3)</td>
<td>3.5 (3.0; 4.9)</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasting, TNFα (pg/mL)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.0; 4.5)</td>
<td>3.5 (2.7; 5.3)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.8; 3.8)</td>
<td>2.9 (1.3; 2.9)</td>
<td>0.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprandial, TNFα (pg/mL)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.9; 4.9)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.9; 4.2)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.2; 5.0)</td>
<td>3.2 (1.9; 4.9)</td>
<td>0.723</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data of the population were expressed as median (interquartile). Kruskal–Wallis test used for comparison between genotypes and Wilcoxon test for paired data, considering P-value <0.05. Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test for unpaired data considering P-value <0.05 for TT versus AA and TT versus AA.

Abbreviations: IL6, interleukin-6; TNFα, tumor necrosis factor-alpha.
regulation, inflammatory cytokines and food intake in the different genotypes of FTO rs9939609 polymorphism. The main findings of the present study were a reduction of postprandial ghrelin in AA and higher postprandial ghrelin in TT and TA, compared to AA; increased postprandial leptin in AA, a decrease in this hormone in TT and higher postprandial leptin in AA than in TT; higher sensation of hunger in TT and greater sensation of satiety in AA during the postprandial period; desire to eat an appetizer during the postprandial period in individuals with risk allele (A) and lower protein intake per kilogram of weight in the TT genotype and higher consumption of cholesterol and sodium in AA.

The A allele proportion of the FTO rs9939609 reported in this study is in agreement with earlier studies. The research is contradictory in terms of differences in the anthropometric parameters. While several studies have shown an increase in body weight, waist and HCs, and especially in BMI in individuals with the risk allele, other authors do not present differences between the genotypes, similarly to the present study, which can be explained by the population selection, that was homogeneous regarding these parameters.

No differences were found between investigated rs9939609 SNP biochemical parameters (cholesterol total, LDL, HDL, VLDL, TG, glucose and Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance). However, it was observed that insulin levels were higher in AA than in TT and TA genotypes. Different findings in the literature for insulin have been cited. For example, De Luis et al found an increased release of insulin in the TT genotype and Shahid et al in the AA genotype, similar to our study.

Most of the women in this study were considered as inactive, which was more prevalent in women who have the risk allele, corroborating with other studies. Although the prevalence of inactive women is high in the AA genotype, studies show that there was no relation between FTO and the level of physical activity in adults, suggesting that the presence of the risk allele is not influencing the level of physical activity in these women. For example, it has been shown that people who carry the A allele benefit from practicing physical activity.

Binge eating disorder is associated with current morbid obesity (BMI ≥40 kg/m²), and it is observed in over 40% of such individuals. Some studies evaluating the relationship between FTO gene polymorphism and the presence of eating disorder in individuals with current morbid obesity (BMI ≥40 kg/m²), and it is observed in over 40% of such individuals.
disorders show the role of the rs9939609 SNP in satiety responsiveness, which could be explained by high FTO expression in the hypothalamic regions of the brain associated with appetite regulation. In addition, a more recent study of 250 lean subjects with eating disorders found that the presence of the risk allele was associated with binge eating behavior. Interestingly, it was observed in the present study that patients with the AA genotype presented moderate binge eating according to the binge eating score.

In the literature, it has been established that ghrelin affects appetite and food intake. However, researches have shown that polymorphism may influence the release of this hormone in patients with obesity. The association between rs9939609 and ghrelin secretion is still unknown. Arrizabalaga et al observed that ghrelin at the baseline showed no difference between rs9939609 genotypes, similar to our findings. Interestingly, it was observed in the present study that patients with the AA genotype presented moderate binge eating according to the binge eating score.

This study evaluated pre- and postprandial leptin, showing a decrease of this hormone in the TT genotype 3 hours after an isocaloric meal. Some studies evaluating leptin in the baseline show that there is no difference between genotypes presenting the risk allele, corroborating with our findings. Otherwise, other studies observed a positive association of rs9939609 with leptin.

No differences were found in the literature on inflammatory cytokines between genotypes with or without the risk allele in the baseline. Our findings showed increased IL6 in TT and TA, and TT presented higher IL6 than AA. Among all adipokines related to inflammatory processes, IL6, TNFα, leptin and adiponectin have received special attention in the literature. Leptin synthesis is stimulated by TNFα and IL6, contributing to satiety and maintaining the inflammatory state.

Studies have shown that carriers of the rs9939609 A genotype have altered postprandial satiety levels as recorded by VAS completed periodically. While some studies have shown lower postprandial fullness and higher postprandial appetite levels in AA homozygotes compared to AA

---

Figure 2 Behavior of food preferences by genotype in the pre- and postprandial periods.

Notes: Kruskal–Wallis test used for comparison between genotypes, considering P-value <0.05. Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test used for unpaired data considering P-value <0.05 when TT versus TA, TA versus AA and TT versus AA. The y-axes are measured in intensity according to the visual analog scale.
Influence of FTO gene on morbid obesity

Table 3 Food intake data of the population expressed in median and quartile

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Total (70)</th>
<th>TT (23)</th>
<th>AT (35)</th>
<th>AA (12)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calories</td>
<td>2,229 (1,758; 2,672)</td>
<td>2,158 (1,796; 2,404)</td>
<td>2,362 (1,644; 2,672)</td>
<td>2,700 (2,029; 3,762)</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (%)</td>
<td>51 (47; 54)</td>
<td>50 (47; 53)</td>
<td>52 (47; 56)</td>
<td>50 (44; 54)</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteins (%)</td>
<td>17 (11; 29)</td>
<td>17 (14; 18)</td>
<td>18 (15; 19)</td>
<td>18 (15; 20)</td>
<td>0.086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTN/kg BW</td>
<td>0.73 (0.56; 0.88)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.53; 0.78)</td>
<td>0.79 (0.56; 0.88)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.7; 1.4)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fats (%)</td>
<td>32 (25; 35)</td>
<td>33 (28; 36)</td>
<td>30 (24; 35)</td>
<td>33 (27; 36)</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT (%)</td>
<td>24 (17; 27)</td>
<td>24 (20; 25)</td>
<td>23 (17; 28)</td>
<td>26 (12; 29)</td>
<td>0.644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFA (%)</td>
<td>17 (12; 21)</td>
<td>16 (12; 20)</td>
<td>17 (12; 21)</td>
<td>16 (11; 21)</td>
<td>0.728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg)</td>
<td>2685.0 (1934.2; 2881.9)</td>
<td>2268.8 (1684.8; 3900.2)</td>
<td>2883.2 (2858.5; 1325.4)</td>
<td>5899.8 (3850.0; 4626.4)</td>
<td>0.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg)</td>
<td>2482.0 (1653.1; 2859.8)</td>
<td>2345.6 (1653.1; 2859.8)</td>
<td>2240.1 (1620.7; 2604.4)</td>
<td>2756.2 (1868.9; 4191.1)</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mg)</td>
<td>1661.7 (1144.6; 2198.4)</td>
<td>1382.5 (1077.0; 1758.2)</td>
<td>1144.8 (912.2; 1322.2)</td>
<td>1995.4 (1147.4; 3141.8)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (mg)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.6; 1.2)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.6; 1.0)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.6; 1.2)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.5; 2.7)</td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (mg)</td>
<td>7.4 (5.4; 11.6)</td>
<td>6.6 (4.9; 9.3)</td>
<td>8.7 (5.6; 11.8)</td>
<td>8.6 (6.0; 11.8)</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese (mg)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.8; 1.7)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.8; 1.3)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0; 1.8)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.5; 1.8)</td>
<td>0.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mg)</td>
<td>1661.7 (1144.6; 2198.4)</td>
<td>1382.5 (1077.0; 1758.2)</td>
<td>1803.9 (1211.9; 2317.4)</td>
<td>1995.4 (1147.4; 3141.8)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Notes: Data of the population were expressed in median and quartile. Kruskal–Wallis test was used for comparison between genotypes, considering P-value <0.05. Wilcoxon–Mann–Whitney test for unpaired data considering P-value <0.05 when TT versus TA, TT versus AA and TA versus AA. Abbreviations: MFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; PTN/kg BW, grams of protein per kilogram of body weight; SFA, saturated fatty acid. TT homozygotes for rs9939609, our results showed the opposite. The TT genotype women had elevated hunger and decreased satiety, agreeing with the study carried out by Den Hoed et al. Surprisingly, fullness was lower in the TT genotype, and desire to eat an appetizer was higher in women with the risk allele throughout the postprandial period.

This is the first time an analysis of the food intake, among morbidly obese individuals, is performed in detail, showing the values of carbohydrates, fibers, proteins, saturated and unsaturated fats, dietary cholesterol, vitamins and minerals. Participants with the TT genotype showed lower consumption of protein per kilogram of body weight and increased consumption of cholesterol and sodium, suggesting higher intake of food from animal products, despite there being no differences in protein (%) and total fat intake (%).

Although the presence of polymorphism in rs9969309 seems to contribute to the alteration of appetite and food intake, several articles in the literature are controversial regarding the energy and macronutrients intake, and these divergences may be explained by inaccuracies of measuring dietary intake in the obese. While most of the research does not show differences in energy and macronutrient intake between genotypes, some authors note a high-energy intake in individuals carrying the risk allele. However, it remains to be confirmed which components of food intake are predominantly FTO targets.

In this study, we used a well-characterized cohort of participants. Furthermore, we analyzed the role of the genetic variant on hormone release and the feelings of hunger and satiety, as well as eating habits and physical activity in a population of Brazilian women with morbid obesity, who tend to be more difficult to recruit. However, limitations should also be observed: 1) this study was carried out in a group with a low level of schooling, which may influence the lack
of understanding of certain answers; 2) many participants live in poorer communities and, therefore, may have increased difficulty accessing certain services (e.g., supermarkets, gyms and hospitals); and 3) only one FTO SNP was evaluated in this study, and it is not possible to clarify whether this gene has a direct relationship with feeding behavior.

**Conclusion**

The presence of the risk allele (A) occurred in almost half of the women with morbid obesity, and this risk allele increases the prevalence of low physical activity. AA homozygotes did not completely affect dietary intake, but dietary sodium and cholesterol intake was high in this group, deserving further investigation in relation to nutritional quality of the diet.

The levels of ghrelin decreased postprandially in women with AA genotypes, and these findings corroborated with the sensations of lower hunger observed in this group, as occurred in the TT genotype, where leptin levels increased in the postprandial period and the feeling of satiety was lower.

An interesting finding was in relation to the desire to eat an appetizer throughout the postprandial period – every 30 minutes – in women with the AA genotype and the presence of moderate binging. It is suggested that these women had the urge to eat all the time, even with the release of hormones involved in hunger and satiety. Additionally, the presence of the risk allele may interfere both in physiologic responses and in eating behavior in cases of morbid obesity.

These findings suggest that genetics may exert an influence on physiologic factors and might alter eating behavior. However, further clarification involving other genes, environmental factors and lifestyle is needed to better understand all the organic complexity involved in extreme obesity.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank the students of scientific initiation and, in particular, the volunteers. This work was supported by grants from the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES).

**Disclosure**

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

**References**

26. Sampaio LR, Silva MdCMd, Roriz AKC, Leite VR. Inquérito Alimen-
        Atividade Física (IPAQ): Estudo de validade e reprodutibilidade no
        Brasil [International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ): Study of
        validity and reproducibility in Brazil]. Revista Brasileira de Atividade
        para o português da Escala de Compulsação Alimentar Periódica].
29. Weinberg W.
30. Hardy GH. Mendelian proportions in a mixed population.
        Jahresh Vuert Ver vaterl Natkd; 1908.
32. Loos RJ, Yeo GS. The bigger picture of FTO – the first GWAS-identified
33. De Luis DA, Aller R, Izaola O, Primo D, Romero E. Association of the
        rs9939609 gene variant in FTO with insulin resistance, cardiovascular
34. Shahid A, Rana S, Aeed S, Imran M, Afzal N, Mahmood S. Common
        variant of FTO gene, rs9939609, and obesity in Pakistani females.
35. Muc M, Pdez C, Manco L. Influence of physical activity on the associa-
        tion between the FTO variant rs9939609 and adiposity in young adults.
36. Kilpelaïinen TO, Qi L, Brage S, et al. Physical activity attenuates the
        influence of FTO variants on obesity risk: a meta-analysis of 218,166
37. de Luis DA, Aller R, Conde R, et al. [Relation of the rs9939609 gene
        variant in FTO with cardiovascular risk factor and serum adipokine levels
38. Douglas A, Yaqoob P, Givens DI, Reynolds CK, Minihane AM. The
        impact of obesity-related SNP on appetite and energy intake. Br J Nutr.
39. den Hoed M, Westerterp-Plantenga MS, Bouwman FG, Mariman EC,
        Westerterp KR. Postprandial responses in hunger and satiety are associ-
        ated with the rs9939609 single nucleotide polymorphism in FTO. Am J
40. Castellini G, Franzago M, Bagnoli S, et al. Fat mass and obesity-
        associated gene (FTO) is associated to eating disorders susceptibility
        and moderates the expression of psychopathological traits. PLoS One.
41. Arrizabalaga M, Larrarte E, Margareto J, Maldonado-Martin S, Bar-
        renchea L, Labayan I. Preliminary findings on the influence of FTO
        rs9939609 and MC4R rs17782313 polymorphisms on resting energy
        expenditure, leptin and thyrotropin levels in obese non-morbid pre-
42. Karra E, O’ Daly OG, Choudhury A, et al. A link between FTO,
        on obesity, inflammation and cardiovascular disease risk biomarkers in
        2013:14:123.
44. Labayan I, Margareto J, Maldonado-Martin S, et al. Independent and
        combined influence of the FTO rs9939609 and MC4R rs17782313
        polymorphisms on hypocaloric diet induced changes in body mass and
        composition and energy metabolism in non-morbid obese premeno-
        common FTO rs9939609 variant on inflammatory markers throughout
46. Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy
        Publish your work in this journal
        Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome and Obesity: Targets and Therapy is
        an international, peer-reviewed open-access journal committed to
        the rapid publication of the latest laboratory and clinical findings
        in the fields of diabetes, metabolic syndrome and obesity research.
        Original research, review, case reports, hypothesis formation, expert
        opinion and commentaries are all considered for publication. The
        manuscript management system is completely online and includes a
        very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit
        http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php to read real quotes from
        published authors.
        Submit your manuscript here: https://www.dovepress.com/diabetes-metabolic-syndrome-and-obesity-targets-and-therapy-journal