Monitoring methotrexate-induced liver fibrosis in patients with psoriasis: utility of transient elastography
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Abstract: Increasingly, existing evidence indicates that methotrexate-associated liver injury is related to comorbid risk factors such as diabetes, alcoholism, and obesity, rather than to methotrexate itself. Despite this fact, significant effort continues to be expended in the monitoring of low-dose methotrexate in patients with psoriasis. The gold standard investigation has been liver biopsy, but this is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. As methotrexate-induced liver injury is uncommon, the risk/benefit ratio of liver biopsy has been questioned. Fortunately, a number of new technologies have been developed for the diagnosis of chronic liver disease, including transient elastography (TE). TE is a type of shear wave ultrasound elastography, which measures the speed of shear waves used to estimate hepatic tissue stiffness. Several meta-analyses show very high pooled sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of hepatic cirrhosis (87% and 91%, respectively) in a variety of chronic liver disorders. It has a negative predictive value for cirrhosis of >90% and a positive predictive value of 75%. Recent European guidelines now advocate the use of TE as the first-line test for the assessment of fibrosis in alcohol- or hepatitis-related liver disease, including nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD). As the prevalence of obesity and metabolic syndrome, including NAFLD, is significantly elevated in patients with psoriasis, TE may be worth considering as a routine investigation for any patient with psoriasis. Although high-quality studies comparing TE with standard liver biopsy in the monitoring of psoriatics on low-dose methotrexate are lacking, the evidence from multiple small cohort studies and case series demonstrates its effectiveness. A recent Australasian position statement recommends that TE should be considered as a routine investigation for monitoring methotrexate therapy, repeated every 3 years if kPa <7.5 and yearly if kPa >7.5. Liver biopsy should be considered for patients with a kPa >9.5.
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Introduction

Despite its over 60 years of use, and the advent of biologic therapies, methotrexate remains an essential component of anti-psoriasis treatment, owing to its low expense, relatively low toxicity, and ready availability.1–4 The one ongoing concern is the potential for drug-induced liver injury (DILI), with the risk of hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis initially thought to correlate with the cumulative dose of methotrexate.5,6 More recently, the risk of liver disease in patients with psoriasis has been related to comorbid risk factors such as diabetes, alcoholism, and obesity, rather than being methotrexate-induced.7

Obesity and consequent metabolic syndrome have become the leading causes of liver enzyme derangement worldwide due to associated nonalcoholic fatty liver disease.
NAFLD. NAFLD has a worldwide prevalence of 25% and advances to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) in ~15% through progressive hepatocyte damage and fibrosis. Most current epidemiological studies of psoriasis demonstrate the significant prevalence of both obesity and metabolic syndrome in patients with psoriasis.

Liver biopsy has been the gold standard investigation for hepatic fibrosis, but it is invasive, with 1% of patients experiencing significant morbidity (e.g., bleeding, pneumothorax, and shock) and a mortality rate of 0.01%–0.1%. Liver biopsy as a screening test is limited in 20%–30% of cases by both sampling error and interpathologist variation in interpretation. The procedure also carries a significant expense over other noninvasive tests. Given these factors, liver biopsy for the routine monitoring of patients with psoriasis varies considerably, with newer methods of monitoring, including biochemical markers and imaging, becoming commonplace (Figure 1).

Transient elastography (TE) using FibroScan® (Echosens™, Paris, France) was first introduced in the early 2000s as a noninvasive measure of liver fibrosis. It quickly gained popularity as an alternative to liver biopsy in patients with hepatitis C virus (HCV) and other chronic liver diseases. There is now significant interest in the clinical use of TE in monitoring of liver fibrosis in patients on methotrexate. This review examines the literature on the use of TE for monitoring methotrexate-associated liver fibrosis and discusses its utility in dermatological practice.

Methotrexate-induced liver fibrosis

Methotrexate inhibits dihydrofolate reductase, depleting stores of intracellular folate available for DNA and protein synthesis. At high doses, this results in reduced epidermal replication and has a potent effect on T-lymphocytes and macrophages. However, the therapeutic anti-inflammatory effects found with low doses (<0.4 mg/kg/week) are thought to be due to changes in adenosine signaling rather than folate metabolism. The inhibition of 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide ribonucleotide formyltransferase by methotrexate leads to increased extracellular adenosine, which acts as a natural anti-inflammatory compound. Adenosine released in the liver in response to toxins (e.g., ethanol, viruses, or drugs),

Figure 1 Examples of noninvasive modalities for the assessment of hepatic fibrosis.

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SWE, shear wave elastography; USS, ultrasound scan.
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However, promotes fibrosis, a form of sustained wound healing in response to injury through the upregulation of collagen production and suppression of metalloproteinases.

Methotrexate is well recognized to cause asymptomatic elevation of hepatic transaminases in 15%–50% of patients on chronic low-dose therapy. These abnormalities are mild and self-limiting. Interestingly, supplementation with folate can reduce transaminase levels in patients taking methotrexate although the role of folate in preventing liver fibrosis is unclear.

The prevalence of significant hepatic fibrosis in patients taking methotrexate is estimated at 5% (range: 0%–33%) and cirrhosis at 1%–2% (range: 0%–26%; Table 1). Aithal et al reviewed liver biopsies in 66 patients with psoriasis taking methotrexate. They found that the risk of significant fibrosis ranged from 0% in patients with a cumulative dose of 1.5 g to 8% in those with a cumulative dose of 6 g, but failed to show statistical correlation as the numbers were low. A meta-analysis of 636 patients on methotrexate from 15 studies did not show a correlation between cirrhosis and cumulative dose, but it did show an increased risk of hepatic fibrosis in patients who drank ≥100 g alcohol/week (17.8% vs 4.5%) and who had psoriasis versus rheumatoid arthritis (7.7% vs 2.7%).

A recent Dutch population-based study of 1,535 individuals, of whom 74 had psoriasis, showed that 8.1% of psoriasis patients had advanced liver fibrosis compared with 3.6% of nonpsoriatics, even after adjustment for demographics, lifestyle characteristics, and laboratory findings (odds ratio [OR]: 2.57; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.0–6.6). However, a meta-analysis showed that methotrexate was associated with an increased risk of liver fibrosis compared with psoriasis patients not treated with methotrexate, with a pooled risk difference of 0.22 (95% CI: 0.04–0.41). A significant confounder of methotrexate and liver disease reports, though, is that methotrexate is given to patients with more severe psoriasis, making it difficult to tease out the cause and effect of drug versus disease severity.

Histologically, methotrexate-induced liver damage demonstrates steatosis, Ito cell hypertrophy, nuclear pleomorphism, and portal fibrosis. The Roenigk pathological grading of hepatic fibrosis in patients with psoriasis treated with methotrexate has been shown to be a reliable and clinically useful indicator of methotrexate-induced liver damage (grade F0/F1 – normal, F2 – no fibrosis but moderate or severe fatty changes, F3 – moderate or severe fibrosis, and F4 – cirrhosis), although this classification system is relatively conservative compared with other staging systems.

Patients with methotrexate-associated liver disease have very similar risk factors to NASH, including type 2 diabetes mellitus and obesity (Figure 2). In addition, NASH itself is a significant cause of liver injury in patients with psoriasis.

---

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Significant fibrosis (%)</th>
<th>Cirrhosis (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reese et al</td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zachariae et al</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robinson et al</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanse et al</td>
<td>1985</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell et al</td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Themido et al</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Dooren-Greebe et al</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boffa et al</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malatjalian et al</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zachariae et al</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aithal et al</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berends et al</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosenberg et al</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindsay et al</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bray et al</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 2 Pathogenesis of methotrexate-induced hepatic fibrosis.
methotrexate, which may occur at lower cumulative doses of methotrexate. Overall, the literature suggests a cumulative effect of steatosis, alcohol, obesity, and DILI in the etiology of liver fibrosis in patients with psoriasis on methotrexate.

**Current monitoring guidelines for methotrexate-induced liver fibrosis**

Various guidelines for the use of methotrexate in psoriasis have been published. The 2009 American guidelines stratify patients into low or high risk based on risk factors (eg, metabolic syndrome, alcohol consumptions, and pre-existing liver disease). No baseline liver biopsy is advised for low-risk patients. For this group, liver biopsy can be considered at a cumulative dose of 3.5–4.0 g, or alternative options include continuous monitoring of liver biochemistry or switching to an alternative systemic agent. For high-risk patients, a delayed baseline biopsy can be considered and should be repeated after a cumulative dose of 1.0–1.5 g. Noninvasive monitoring such as TE is not discussed.

The 2016 British guidelines advocate baseline testing of procollagen type III N-terminal peptide (P3NP) along with routine liver biochemistry. P3NP is released and cleaved during collagen synthesis. It is a nonspecific marker of fibrosis and is less useful in patients with psoriatic arthritis due to inflammation leading to persistent elevation, as well as in younger (<20 years) and older (>70 years) patients. It is suggested that this test can be used as a screening tool; patients with P3NP >8 mg/mL require further liver evaluation. P3NP can also be used as a monitoring tool by being repeated every 3 months. The pooled sensitivity for P3NP was 0.74, with a pooled specificity of 0.77 giving a pooled positive likelihood ratio of 5.32, and a pooled negative ratio of 0.35. However, the low incidence of methotrexate-induced liver fibrosis makes the economics of this testing debatable.

The British methotrexate guidelines do not recommend the routine use of liver biopsy. TE is discussed, but the authors comment that its use has not yet validated in the specific population of methotrexate-treated patients with psoriasis.

A 2017 Australasian recommendation based on expert opinion and literature review discusses the negative risk–benefit ratio of routine liver biopsy for monitoring methotrexate-related liver fibrosis and explores alternate forms of monitoring. The authors note that, in current practice, significant DILI from methotrexate is quite rare, and the majority of deaths in patients with psoriasis, who have advanced liver disease, is from cardiovascular events, rather than from liver failure. This consensus statement suggests that baseline TE be considered and repeated every 1–3 years.

**TE**

TE is a type of shear wave ultrasound elastography, which measures the speed of shear waves used to estimate hepatic tissue stiffness. An ultrasound transducer is mounted on a vibrator that transmits a low-frequency (50 Hz) vibration to create an elastic shear wave that travels through the tissue. The transducer measures the velocity of the shear wave, which is used to calculate tissue stiffness. The result is expressed in kPa and ranges from 1.5 to 75 kPa with normal values around 5 kPa, higher in men and in patients with low or high body mass index (BMI; a U-shaped distribution).

The test is repeated with the final result being the median of 10 successful measurements. At least 60% of attempted readings should be successful, and the interquartile range of repeated measurements should not exceed 30% of the median; otherwise, the test is considered invalid. A TE measurement of >7 kPa is suggestive of advanced fibrosis in most chronic liver disorders; there is some variation in the cutoffs for diagnosing cirrhosis, with optimal thresholds being >10 kPa for NAFLD and >12.5 for HCV.

The transducer is positioned over the right 9th, 10th, or 11th intercostal space to measure the elasticity of the right lobe of the liver (Figure 3). Sampling error can be reduced by varying the position of the transducer. However, it is important to note that the final measurement does not represent the entirety of hepatic tissue. The presence of ascites and significant adipose tissue interferes with shear wave propagation, and these are important limitations of TE. In a prospective study of more than 2,000 liver stiffness measurements, TE had a failure rate of 4.5%. In multivariate analysis, increased BMI was the only factor positively associated with failure (although this study excluded patients with
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ascites). In another large TE series of 13,369 examinations, the failure rate was 3.1%, with suboptimal results being found in 15.8%, mostly due to obesity.51

FibroScan has low interobserver variability and is easily performed in the outpatient clinic, making it ideal for screening or periodic monitoring. However, it cannot determine the etiology of any fibrosis/cirrhosis; therefore, liver biopsy or other liver-specific investigations may be required.

**Performance of TE in the diagnosis of liver fibrosis of any cause**

There is strong, high-quality evidence to support the use of TE as a noninvasive measure of liver fibrosis. A 2007 meta-analysis of nine studies comparing the diagnostic accuracy of TE with liver biopsy in patients with liver fibrosis due to various causes (but predominantly chronic HCV infection) found a pooled sensitivity and specificity for hepatic cirrhosis of 87% and 91%, respectively.52 The analysis showed a large positive likelihood ratio of 11.7 (95% CI: 7.9–17.1), indicating that TE can provide strong diagnostic evidence for hepatic cirrhosis. A meta-analysis by Adebajo et al also found a large positive likelihood ratio of seven for TE in the diagnosis of cirrhosis in patients with recurrent HCV infection (95% CI: 3.4–7.2). Overall, there is some heterogeneity in specificities (range: 65%–98%, pooled specificity 84%) for the diagnosis of cirrhosis using TE, whereas sensitivity outcomes were more consistent (range: 93%–100%; pooled sensitivity: 98%). TE has a negative predictive value for cirrhosis of >90% and a positive predictive value of 75% and is better for the detection of cirrhosis than for the detection of significant fibrosis.

A Cochrane review examined TE for the diagnosis of liver fibrosis in patients with alcoholic liver disease.54 This study excluded patients with other causes of liver disease, including drug-induced hepatotoxicity. The authors comment on the variability of TE cutoff values for identifying stages of fibrosis, and the values used for analysis were based on those most frequently used in studies: 7.5 kPa – significant fibrosis (F2) or worse, 9.5 kPa – severe fibrosis (F3) or worse, and 12.5 kPa – cirrhosis or advanced scarring (F4). The authors advise caution in using these cutoff values, given limited available evidence. For the diagnosis of significant fibrosis (F2) or worse, the pooled positive and negative likelihood ratios were 8.2 and 0.07, respectively.

The accuracy of TE is best when it is negative (ie, <7.5 kPa), as severe fibrosis or cirrhosis can then be excluded. However, while liver stiffness measurement on TE has been correlated with fibrosis stage,50 diagnostic accuracy is reduced for lesser stages of fibrosis.55

Recent European guidelines now advocate the use of FibroScan as the first-line test for the assessment of fibrosis in alcohol- or hepatitis-related liver disease.46,56,57 They suggest prescreening with a biomarker, such as the enhanced liver fibrosis test, to select patients who should proceed to FibroScan. They also recommend that patients with significant fibrosis should be screened using TE every 2–3 years to monitor for progression toward cirrhosis.

**TE and psoriasis**

TE has been used to investigate liver disease in patients with psoriasis. The Rotterdam study investigated 74 psoriatics not on systemic therapy and, using a cutoff TE of >9.5 kPa, demonstrated severe fibrosis in 8.1% of patients compared with 3.6% of 1461 nonpsoriatic controls (OR: 2.57; 95% CI: 1.0–6.6).30

**TE and methotrexate**

There are limited high-quality data of the performance of TE in monitoring methotrexate in patients with Crohn’s disease, rheumatoid arthritis, or psoriasis. A study on patients with Crohn’s disease showed similar median TE scores for those who had received >1500 mg methotrexate (n=21, 5.5 kPa) to methotrexate-naïve patients (n=33, 4.5 kPa).58 Two methotrexate patients had abnormal TE scores; liver biopsy showed Roenigk grade 2 changes with significant steatosis of NAFLD (TE score: 9.2 kPa; BMI: 38 kg/m2) and Roenigk grade 4 with cirrhosis (TE score: 24.5 kPa), attributed to methotrexate.

In a further study of 46 patients with inflammatory bowel disease treated with a mean cumulative dose of 1.2±1.3 g of methotrexate, the TE was 4.7±6.9 kPa. Using cutoffs of 7.1 (F≥2), 9.5 (F≥3), and 12.5 kPa (F≥4), there were 35 patients (76.1%) with grade F0/1, eight patients (17.4%) with F2, and three patients (6.5%) with F≥3. There were no differences in liver stiffness depending on sex, age, type of inflammatory bowel disease, or cumulative dose of methotrexate. Three patients with grade F≥3 had comorbidities of long-term alcohol abuse, obesity (BMI: 38.9 kg/m²), or polypharmacy. The study was limited by no confirmation with liver biopsies.59

In a study of FibroScan in 518 patients (Crohn’s disease, 124; rheumatoid arthritis, 149; psoriasis, 111), 390 patients were being treated with methotrexate.60 Using a cutoff of TE >7.9 kPa, 8.5% (44) patients were considered to have severe fibrosis. Ten of these patients underwent liver biopsy, eight had features of NASH, two had alcoholic liver damage, one...
had hemochromatosis, and the remaining two had chronic liver lesions associated with mild fibrosis. In a multivariate analysis, factors associated with liver fibrosis were the BMI >28 kg/m² and high alcohol consumption, but not the duration of methotrexate nor cumulative dose.

An early study of 24 psoriasis patients on methotrexate used FibroTest (six biochemical markers) and TE in those who had undergone routine liver biopsy within the previous 18 months.61 Four patients failed TE due to obesity (17%), but in the remaining 20 patients, TE ranged from 3.3 to 18.4 kPa. TE had good negative predictive value and correctly identified 88% of those without significant fibrosis. Limitations of this study included retrospective design, operators performing TE not blinded to liver biopsy findings, and the potential for interval change from biopsy to TE and low numbers (only six patients had significant fibrosis).

The high failure rate of TE due to obesity in patients with psoriasis (17%) is echoed in further studies. A New Zealand study found a failure rate of 25% in 133 patients on methotrexate for dermatological disorders despite the use of the XL probe designed for use in obese patients.62 Other reported failure rates ranged from 1.8% (Thailand) to 52% (UK).63,64

The sensitivity of TE in methotrexate-treated psoriasis patients is high. A sensitivity of 100% with a 67% specificity was found in one study of 10 patients with valid TE measurements; the median lag time between TE and liver biopsy was 61 days.64 There were three false positives on TE, but no false negatives. In a systematic review the pooled sensitivity for P3NP, fibroscan, ultrasound and radionuclide scintigraphy was 0.74, 0.60, 0.55 and 0.60 respectively. The pooled specificity was 0.77, 0.80 , 0.49 and 0.77, giving a respective pooled positive likelihood ratio of 5.32, 2.65, 0.81 and 2.51 for P3NP, fibroscan, ultrasound and radionuclide scintigraphy.80

In a study of Asian patients with psoriasis, 10 of 41 patients had methotrexate-associated liver injury (Roenigk grade ≥3) with two of them having significant liver fibrosis (METAVIR fibrosis stage ≥2) diagnosed by liver biopsy. Using a cutoff TE of 7.1 kPa, the sensitivity was 50% and the specificity was 83.9% for detecting methotrexate-associated liver injury; for identifying significant liver fibrosis, the sensitivity was 50% and specificity was 76.9%.85

Lynch et al reported TE findings in 77 patients with psoriasis on methotrexate.66 Five had liver biopsy, of whom two had significant fibrosis. One of these had abnormal TE, and the other had an invalid scan. The remaining three without fibrosis had normal TE. In this study, abnormal findings on TE were associated with obesity rather than cumulative methotrexate dose, but the low proportion of patients having liver biopsy makes it difficult to draw any conclusion.

A Swedish study compared patients with psoriasis on methotrexate (divided into groups based on treatment duration <2 or ≥2 years) with a control group of patients with psoriasis on biologics.67 Two hundred and one patients underwent TE and biochemical tests including liver biochemistry, platelet count, and P3NP. There was no significant difference in liver stiffness measurements on TE between the groups. Fourteen had TE showing advanced fibrosis; only four of them had elevated P3NP. Just over half (8 of 14) of patients with abnormal TE went on to liver biopsy; six had advanced fibrosis and two had mild fibrosis. Only patients with abnormal TE had liver biopsy; therefore, a false-negative rate for TE could not be calculated.

A New Zealand study found that 80% of 100 patients on methotrexate (mostly for psoriasis) had normal TE, 13% had mild fibrosis, 3% had moderate fibrosis, and 2% had severe fibrosis/cirrhosis.62 Only one patient, with a kPa of 14.3, suggestive of severe fibrosis (F4), went on to have liver biopsy; this showed features of fibrosis consistent with methotrexate-associated injury.

Unfortunately, high-quality studies of sequential TE measurement in psoriatic patients, backed up with liver biopsy and adjusted for confounding factors, remain to be performed.

**Recommendations**

As patients with psoriasis, particularly those with comorbidities of obesity, diabetes, and metabolic syndrome, are at an increased risk of developing NAFLD, it may be beneficial to consider a baseline TE, even if methotrexate is not being considered. Early evidence suggests that clinical response to systemic therapies, including anti-tumor necrosis factor biologics, is reduced in patient with obesity, metabolic syndrome, and fatty liver. If there is evidence of liver fibrosis on TE, strategies to help to modify lifestyle should be strongly encouraged.

For patients starting on methotrexate, consider a baseline TE within the first 6 months of starting methotrexate and, if TE <7.5 kPa, repeat every 3 years. If the kPa is in the range of 7.5–9.5, consider repeating the test in 1 year and consider a referral to a hepatologist. If the TE is ≥9.5 kPa, liver biopsy or other liver-specific investigations may be appropriate.
Conclusion
Ideally, a noninvasive test of liver fibrosis should be “specific to the liver, be easy-to-perform in any laboratory, reflects the stage of fibrosis, be cost-effective, and be reproducible between laboratories, and the results should be independent of any associated inflammation”. No of the available tests fulfill all of these criteria; therefore, monitoring should be tailored to the individual patient and their comorbidities. At present, a combination of testing methods is the optimal approach, using biochemistry, TE, and when appropriate liver biopsy. The utility of TE in patients with psoriasis is twofold: 1) in the investigation of NAFLD- or NASH-associated fibrosis, whether on methotrexate or not, and 2) in the monitoring of methotrexate therapy. However, both are partly limited by the relatively high failure rate of the test due to obesity.

While TE has largely replaced liver biopsy as the diagnostic test for liver fibrosis in many clinical settings, available studies on methotrexate-treated psoriasis patients remain limited. However, it is unlikely that large high-quality studies will be conducted, as methotrexate-induced liver injury is uncommon. Evidence must therefore be generalized from studies with less targeted populations, which indicates that TE will be a valuable test in the monitoring of patients with psoriasis.
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