The utilization of next-generation sequencing to detect somatic mutations and predict clinical prognosis of Chinese non-small cell lung cancer patients
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Purpose: The development of next-generation sequencing (NGS) has revolutionized the understanding of oncogenesis of multiple types of cancer, including non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). However, there has been some debate over the utility of NGS for predicting patient prognosis and determining molecular targeted therapy. Therefore, we sought to demonstrate the numerous applications of NGS in the prognostic predictions and treatment of NSCLC patients.

Materials and methods: We performed NGS on either liquid or tissue tumor biopsies obtained from 53 NSCLC patients. The sequences were analyzed for oncogenic mutations, which were then correlated to clinical prognosis and smoking history.

Results: NGS of tumor biopsies detected both well-known driver mutations as well as rare or novel mutations. EGFR was the most frequently mutated gene, accounting for 32.4% (33/102) of the somatic mutations in this study. The EGFR mutations detected included rare variants such as EGFR exon 19 insertion (K745_E746insIPVAIK) and in cis H835L+L833V. Additionally, novel RET fusion mutations PCM1–RET and ADD3–RET were detected in two adenocarcinoma patients. To demonstrate the functional applications of NGS, we correlated mutations with patient characteristics, outcomes of matched targeted therapy, and outcomes based on allelic frequency of the EGFR-T790M mutation. Finally, we demonstrated that circulating tumor DNA can be used both to measure response to targeted therapy and as a predictor of clinical outcome, by presenting a case study of a single patient.

Conclusion: We demonstrated that NGS can be used in multiple applications to effectively identify potential oncogenic driver mutations, guide mutation-targeted therapy decisions, and predict clinical outcomes in Chinese NSCLC patients.
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Introduction

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) has become a central technology used to detect somatic gene mutations in human cancer.¹ NGS has multiple advantages over older, conventional genomic sequencing techniques. First, this high-throughput method can simultaneously detect numerous genetic variations over larger genomic regions.² Second, NGS can be done accurately with a low input of DNA, which enables it to be used with a small amount of patient sample. Third, the high sensitivity and specificity makes NGS an effective and accurate tool for simultaneously identifying various mutation types, such as single-nucleotide variants, insertions and deletions, copy number variations, and gene fusions.
NGS may be able to revolutionize the treatment of lung cancer, which is a tumor type with a high mutational burden.\(^3\) Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), one of the leading causes of cancer deaths worldwide, can be subdivided into adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and large cell carcinoma.\(^4\) Additionally, a subset of NSCLC patients harbor oncogenic mutations to the \(EGFR\) gene, which subsequently promotes tumorigenesis and disease progression.\(^5\) \(EGFR\) transcribes the EGFR receptor tyrosine kinase protein, which activates multiple signaling pathways including cell proliferation, migration, and angiogenesis.\(^6,7\) The most frequent \(EGFR\) activating mutations in NSCLC are the deletion of exon 19 (19del) and L858R substitution. Together, these mutations occur in 10%–15% of western NSCLC patients and 40% of Asian NSCLC patients.\(^8,9\)

Recently, targeted therapies have been developed to specifically inhibit cancer growth of tumors with certain mutations.\(^10–12\) For example, tumors with the \(EGFR\) 19del and L858R mutations displayed initial responses to first-generation tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) such as gefitinib and erlotinib.\(^13\) However, over 50% of patients experience disease progression after 9–14 months of treatment. This progression results from the development of the resistance-conferring \(EGFR\) T790M mutation.\(^14–17\) However, the third-generation \(EGFR\)-TKI osimertinib is a potent and irreversible \(EGFR\) inhibitor. Osimertinib effectively slows disease progression in NSCLC patients with both exon 19del and L858R mutations and T790M resistance mutations. The efficacy of osimertinib was first demonstrated in the AURA3 study, a Phase III clinical trial which investigated osimertinib vs erlotinib.\(^18\) Osimertinib effectively slows disease progression after 9–14 months of treatment. This progression was called with an in-house algorithm based on sequencing depth.\(^19\) and VarScan.\(^22\) Gene rearrangements were called with Fusion Analysis ToolKit 3.2,\(^21\) Picard (http://picard.sourceforge.net), and VarScan.\(^22\) Gene rearrangements were called with Fusion And Chromosomal Translocation Enumeration and Recovery Algorithm (FACTERA), and copy number variation was analyzed with an in-house algorithm based on sequencing depth.\(^23\) Variants were filtered using the VarScan filter pipeline, with loci with depth <100X filtered out. At least two supporting reads were needed for insertions and deletions in plasma samples and five supporting reads were needed for insertions and deletions in tissue samples. Single-nucleotide variants needed eight supporting reads to be called in both plasma

Materials and methods

Patient selection

This study recruited 53 patients with NSCLC and was approved by the Institutional Review Board at Xiangya Hospital. All patients provided written informed consent for this study.

Tissue DNA and plasma cell-free DNA extraction

Tissue DNA was extracted from biopsy samples using a QIAamp DNA FFPE tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Circulating cell-free DNA was recovered from 4 to 5 mL of plasma using the QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid kit by Qiagen. After extraction, DNA concentration was quantified using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

NGS library preparation and capture-based targeted DNA sequencing

DNA shearing was performed using Covaris M220. End repair and A-tailing was followed by adaptor ligation. The ligated fragments with size of 200–400 bp were selected by beads (Agencourt AMPure XP Kit; Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA), hybridized with probe baits, selected by magnetic beads, and amplified by polymerase chain reaction. Indexed samples were sequenced on a Nextseq500 sequencer (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), with paired-end reads.

NGS data analysis pipeline

All the reads were trimmed with Trimomatic for adaptor and then mapped to the human genome (hg19) with the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner.\(^19,20\) Local alignment optimization, mark duplication, and variant calling were performed using Genome Analysis ToolKit 3.2,\(^21\) Picard (http://picard.sourceforge.net), and VarScan.\(^22\) Gene rearrangements were called with Fusion And Chromosomal Translocation Enumeration and Recovery Algorithm (FACTERA), and copy number variation was analyzed with an in-house algorithm based on sequencing depth.\(^23\) Variants were filtered using the VarScan filter pipeline, with loci with depth <100X filtered out. At least two supporting reads were needed for insertions and deletions in plasma samples and five supporting reads were needed for insertions and deletions in tissue samples. Single-nucleotide variants needed eight supporting reads to be called in both plasma
and tissue samples. According to the ExAC, 1000 genomes, dbSNP, and ESP6500SI-V2 databases, variants with a population frequency over 0.1% were grouped as single-nucleotide polymorphisms and excluded from further analysis. Remaining variants were annotated with ANNOVAR and SnpEff v3.24,25

Statistical analysis
All data were analyzed using Software R. Kaplan–Meier analyses were performed to investigate the survival functions, and log-rank test was used to compare the difference between survival groups. Difference in EGFR frequency was calculated and presented using paired, two-tailed Student’s t-test in p-value. For all statistical tests, p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Patient characteristics
A total of 53 NSCLC patients were enrolled in this study. The baseline patient clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Of the patients enrolled, 23 (43.4%) were female and 30 (56.6%) were male. The median age was 63 years (range: 36–82). Twenty-seven (50.9%) patients had a history of smoking and 23 patients (43.4%) were never smokers; information from 3 patients was lost. Among the histologic subtypes, 32 (60.4%) patients were diagnosed with adenocarcinoma, 13 (24.5%) with squamous cell carcinoma, and 1 (1.9%) had large cell lung cancer; the other 7 (13.2%) patients were also NSCLC patients, but without subtype records. Three (5.7%) patients were diagnosed as stage III; 33 (62.3%) patients were at stage IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Summary of baseline patient characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patient characteristics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic types</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LUSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LCLC, large cell lung cancer; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; N/A, not applicable.

Mutation spectrum overview
A total of 53 biopsies, consisting of 32 liquid samples and 21 tissue samples, were obtained from the 53 NSCLC patients. We performed capture-based targeted ultra-deep sequencing on these biopsies to identify somatic mutations by a well-established panel with high sensitivity and specificity.26 Corresponding white blood cells were used as a control to differentiate germline and somatic mutations. We achieved a mean average sequence depth of 12,628X for plasma samples and 1,214X for tissue samples.

We identified 102 somatic gene mutations in 35 (66%) patients. The remaining 18 (34%) patients had no somatic mutations detected. Of the mutations detected, there were 53 single-nucleotide variations, 17 insertions or deletions, 24 copy number amplifications, 3 fusions, 3 splice-site mutations, and 2 frame-shift mutations (Figure 1A). EGFR mutations were the most frequent, detected in 21 patients (39.6%). Three other well-established oncogenic driver mutations were also detected among the 53 enrolled patients: KRAS G12X (3.8%), RET rearrangement (1.9%), and ERBB2 20ins (1.9%), as shown in Figure 1B.

NGS can be used to identify oncogenic driver mutations to direct drug selection for patients
The specific EGFR mutation variant can be an independent prognostic factor and also direct a patient’s therapy. To better understand which specific EGFR mutation variants were present in patients, we analyzed the 33 detected EGFR mutations detected in 21 patients. EGFR mutation subtypes identified in this cohort included exon 19del, T790M+exon 19del, L858R, T790M+L858R, exon 19ins (K745_E746insIPVAIK), H835L+L833V, and L861Q. K745_E746insIPVAIK was an uncommon insertion in exon 19 of EGFR, which was detected in an adenocarcinoma patient of our cohort (Figure 2A).27 We detected another EGFR rare mutation, in cis H835L+L833V, which was located on the same allele in an adenocarcinoma patient (Figure 2B). Based upon the NGS result, the H835L+L833V patient received gefitinib treatment and has achieved a PFS of 394 days till now. She had not experienced progressive disease (PD) and was continuing with gefitinib treatment with a good tolerance when this manuscript was submitted for publication.
Figure 1 Baseline somatic gene aberrances identified by NGS.

Notes: (A) Mutation oncoprint identified at baseline of the 53 biopsies. Different colors show different types of baseline mutation. The top bar indicates the number of mutations identified in each individual patient; the side bar represents the number of patients having a certain mutation. Bottom categories with different colors indicate histologic subtypes, past TKi treatment history, and gender. (B) The schematic diagram demonstrates oncogenic driver mutations identified in our cohort. The outer ring displays the treatment history. The number in the outer ring indicates the number of patients who received corresponding past treatment.

Abbreviations: chemo, chemotherapy; CN_amp, copy number amplification; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; Mut, mutation; NA, not available; NGS, next-generation sequencing; TKi, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.
In addition to EGFR mutations, we also identified two patients with three different variations of RET fusions, which are postulated to be an oncogenic mutations. First, a 40-year-old advanced adenocarcinoma patient harbored both a PCM1–RET fusion and an EGFR exon 19del (Figure 2C). This is the first report of PCM1–RET fusion in lung adenocarcinoma, which has only been described in patients with papillary thyroid carcinoma.28 This patient

---

**Figure 2** IGV screenshots displaying the reads of each mutation subtype identified by NGS.

**Notes:** (A) EGFR exon 19 insertion K745_E746insPVAIK. (B) EGFR double-site mutation H835L+L833V located in cis. (C) PCM1–RET fusion. (D) KIF5B–RET fusion. (E) ADD3-RET fusion.

**Abbreviations:** IGV, Integrative Genomics Viewer; NGS, next-generation sequencing.
received chemotherapy of cisplatin plus gemcitabine and achieved a PFS of 26 days before disease progression, suggesting that this fusion protein confers resistance to standard chemotherapy. We also identified a 60-year-old female with advanced adenocarcinoma who harbored two different RET fusions – ADD3-RET and KIF5B-RET (Figure 2D and E). The KIF5B-RET fusion is an in-frame fusion of the KIF5B (the kinesin family 5B gene) transcript and the RET oncogene. This fusion leads to abnormal activation of the RET kinase. It has previously been detected in 1%-2% of lung adenocarcinomas in both Japanese and American patients. In light of the recent reports of the KIF5B-RET fusion in lung adenocarcinoma, this mutation is postulated to be a driver mutation in these patients. The ADD3-RET fusion detected in this patient is an Intron2_Intron11 fusion, which has not been reported before. Therefore, further investigation is needed to validate the function of ADD3-RET in lung adenocarcinoma oncogenesis.

NGS validation of an increased frequency of EGFR mutations in NSCLC patients who have never smoked

Previously, we reported that the EGFR sensitizing mutations occur more frequently in Chinese NSCLC patients without a history of smoking. We sought to validate these results with this study. Therefore, we collected detailed information of the clinical characteristics and baseline molecular alterations in 39 of the 53 patients sequenced in this study. We correlated the presence of EGFR sensitizing mutations and smoking history in this sub-cohort. Of the patients analyzed, EGFR sensitizing mutations were significantly more frequent in never smokers (51%) than in smokers (24%; p=0.023; Figure 3A). The result further validated previous research demonstrating that the EGFR sensitizing mutations may drive oncogenesis in nonsmokers.

Utilizing NGS to select mutation-targeted therapy and increase PFS

Next, we sought to understand whether the utilization of NGS to treat patients with matched targeted therapy (MTT) correlated with patient prognosis. We collected patients who were either treated with MTT or not after the identification of driver mutation by NGS and performed Kaplan–Meier analysis to study the relationship of MTT and PFS. The result revealed that patients who received mutation-MTT achieved significantly longer median PFS than patients who did not (275 vs 80 days, p<0.0001; Figure 3B). Therefore, we concluded that NGS results should inform therapy decisions in patients with NSCLC when possible, as it significantly increases PFS.

Patients with EGFR activating mutations have excellent response to MTT with first-generation EGFR-TKIs. After treatment with these TKIs, patients frequently develop the EGFR T790M mutation, which can be targeted with the third-generation EGFR-TKI osimertinib. However, patient response to osimertinib is variable. To determine whether variation in allelic fraction of the T790M mutation could be a prognostic indicator of response to osimertinib, we analyzed the relationship of EGFR T790M allelic fraction and clinical outcomes. Patients harboring T790M mutation and receiving osimertinib treatment were divided into two groups: “allelic fraction high” and “allelic fraction low”. The result showed that patients with a higher T790M allelic fraction had a shorter median PFS than patients with a lower T790M allelic fraction (238 vs 378 days, p=0.059; Figure 3C). Therefore, the allelic fraction may be a prognostic indicator of response to osimertinib for patients with the T790M mutation.

Utilization of serial liquid biopsies to predict disease progression

Liquid biopsies of ctDNA can be used as a noninvasive method to monitor disease progression. Prior research suggests that using serial liquid biopsies in a patient after completion of chemotherapy can predict disease progression and help select targeted therapy based on the patient’s mutational profile. Here, we performed longitudinal sequencing to further validate the ctDNA value for disease prediction.

To validate this technique, a single patient with stage IIIb squamous cell carcinoma who had experienced clinical PD after initial therapy with icotinib was selected. When enrolled in the study, the initial NGS analysis of his liquid biopsy revealed he had an EGFR L858R substitution and KRAS amplification. He was next treated with a combination of pemetrexed, carboplatin, and bevacizumab, which resulted in clinically stable disease (SD). Exactly 122 days after therapy, a liquid biopsy was taken for NGS. Results of NGS demonstrated a significant reduction of total ctDNA, which confirmed his SD. Additionally, the patient had a significant decrease of the allelic fraction of the EGFR L858R substitution and the KRAS amplification previously detected. Six months after initiation of therapy, the patient underwent a third liquid biopsy. At this time, ctDNA sequencing revealed an increased allelic frequency of EGFR L858R, KRAS amplification, and ctDNA. This ctDNA change preceded the
detection of clinical PD revealed by radiographic imaging by 46 days (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

In this study, we performed capture-based targeted ultra-deep sequencing on 53 biopsies from Chinese NSCLC patients. Our results demonstrated that molecular profiling of biopsies from cancer patients can be used to stratify outcomes and predict disease progression in patients.

Using NGS, we identified several rare mutations in this lung cancer cohort. Here, we reported the first case of PCM1–RET fusion in adenocarcinoma, which was previously only discovered in papillary thyroid carcinoma. We also identified a patient with a triple fusion of ADD3-RET, which has never been described in any cancer subtype. Previous research has demonstrated that RET fusions promote oncogenesis both in solid tumors and in hematologic malignancies. Additionally, the patient with the ADD3-RET mutation experienced poor outcomes to therapy, suggesting it may be an indicator of poor prognosis. Future research investigating the oncogenic functions of these two RET fusions will be important to understand their role in disease progression and provide basic knowledge for developing targeted therapies to these fusions.
therapy with the TKI gefitinib. The response was maintained for 34 weeks after gefitinib treatment.39

In addition to the identification of rare genetic mutations, we correlated patient prognosis with baseline oncogenic mutations. Previously, an analysis of Japanese lung cancer patients who underwent potentially curative pulmonary resection reported that EGFR mutations occur more frequently in patients with no history of smoking (66%) than in those patients who smoked (22%).30 In our study, Chinese NSCLC patients with diverse treatment histories confirmed the conclusion that EGFR mutations occur more frequently in patients who had never smoked \((p=0.023)\). One possible assumption is that EGFR mutation might be caused by carcinogens other than those contained in tobacco.

The EGFR T790M mutation accounts for >50% of acquired TKI resistance and can be overcome by the EGFR-TKI osimertinib. Oxnard et al reported that NSCLC patients with the T790M mutation had better outcomes to osimertinib (overall response rate [ORR], 69%; PFS=16.5 months) than T790M-negative patients (ORR, 25%; PFS=2.8 months).40

Our study demonstrated not only the presence of T790M mutation, but also the allelic frequency correlated with patient outcomes. In this cohort of patients, the EGFR T790M allelic frequency was inversely associated with PFS.

The development of mutation-targeted therapies, coupled with NGS, suggests that a patient’s molecular profile can be used to guide therapy. However, the clinical benefit of targeted therapy vs conventional therapy remains a controversy. A small study of patients who underwent targeted therapy reported that 27% of cancer patients achieved a 30% increase in PFS after targeted therapy, as compared to their previous, nontargeted treatment.41 Similarly, a retrospective study demonstrated that patients treated with targeted therapy demonstrated improved PFS and overall survival.42

On the other hand, a French study carried out in 741 cancer patients reported that no significant difference occurred between patients who received molecular targeted therapy compared with those receiving conventional treatment.43

In our study, we demonstrated that patients receiving matching targeted therapy achieved a significantly longer median PFS than patients who did not. Potentially, the heterogeneity of oncogenic driver mutations in different cohorts can lead to different responses to targeted drugs. Therefore, further study is necessary to understand the impact of these genetic mutations on patient outcomes.
prospective investigation of the benefits of targeted therapy in specific patient populations can address the challenge of patient heterogeneity and identify the most representative patients for targeted therapy. Plasma ctDNA can be used not only to estimate tumor burden at diagnosis, but also as a predictor of tumor progression by dynamically monitoring the clonal changes.44,45 Previously, a study of patients with stage II colon cancer demonstrated that the presence of ctDNA after operation was strongly correlated with disease recurrence.33 An increase in ctDNA has also been demonstrated to be an earlier predictor of clinical response and disease progression than other biomarkers, such as CA 15-3.33 In this study, we presented a case study demonstrating the value of dynamically monitoring ctDNA to predict disease progression. The increase in levels of ctDNA occurred much earlier than clinical PD by radiographic imaging. It highlights the notion that plasma ctDNA is a noninvasive tool for disease monitoring and PD early detection.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that NGS has numerous applications which can be used to understand the molecular characteristics of NSCLC. The ever-decreasing costs of applying the technology in the clinic make it a realistic tool that physicians can utilize to identify mutations which may guide therapy decisions. Future studies to prospectively demonstrate the application of NGS in both NSCLC and other tumors are needed to confirm the benefit of this tool to cancer treatment.
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