Excited delirium: Consideration of selected medical and psychiatric issues
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Abstract: Excited delirium, sometimes referred to as agitated or excited delirium, is the label assigned to the state of acute behavioral disinhibition manifested in a cluster of behaviors that may include bizarreness, aggressiveness, agitation, ranting, hyperactivity, paranoia, panic, violence, public disturbance, surprising physical strength, profuse sweating due to hyperthermia, respiratory arrest, and death. Excited delirium is reported to result from substance intoxication, psychiatric illness, alcohol withdrawal, head trauma, or a combination of these. This communication reviews the history of the origins of excited delirium, selected research related to its causes, symptoms, management, and the links noted between it and selected medical and psychiatric conditions. Excited delirium involves behavioral and physical symptoms that are also observed in medical and psychiatric conditions such as rhabdomyolysis, neuroleptic malignant syndrome, and catatonia. A useful contribution of this communication is that it links the state of excited delirium to conditions for which there are known and effective medical and psychiatric interventions.
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History
The state referred to as agitated or excited delirium has its origins in the mid 1970s when cocaine intoxication was reported to result in cocaine psychoses.¹ Aspects of these psychoses and more severe and lethal physical symptoms such as acute toxic psychosis, seizures, coma, respiratory collapse, and death were also observed in individuals attempting to smuggle packets of cocaine that leaked or broke in their bodies.² Excited delirium was explicitly described in 1985 as a state resulting from cocaine intoxication involving acute intense paranoia, bizarreness, violence, surprising physical strength, hyperthermia, and fatal respiratory collapse within minutes or hours after restraint.³ An individual hospitalized in an excited and delirious state might meet the criteria for substance intoxication delirium and mania. Substance intoxication delirium involves disorientation, disruption of awareness, inability to attend, confusion, perceptual difficulties, and inappropriate responses to changing situations. The excitement can be seen as similar to the excitement of the acute phase of bipolar mania with grandiosity, distractibility and psychomotor agitation.⁴

Excited delirium has been acknowledged as being among several acute excited states such as catatonic excitement or delirious mania.⁵

In this regard, the behavioral excitement aspect of excited delirium is quite similar to the excitement seen in catatonic excitement. Patients’ behavior in catatonic excitement may be “stereotypic with sudden outbursts of talking, singing, dancing, and tearing at their clothes. They become irritable and may damage objects or injure hospital staff”.⁶ Taylor⁶ observes that catatonic excitement is difficult to differentiate from delirious mania. According to Fink,⁷ delirious mania is seen in the emergence of severe “excitement, grandiosity, emotional lability, delusions, and insomnia
characteristic of mania, and the disorientation and altered consciousness characteristic of delirium. Almost all patients exhibited signs of catatonia.” Catatonia signs may involve physical immobility seen as cataleptic or stuporousness, excessive purposeless physical activity, serious negativism or mutism, idiosyncratic voluntary movements, echolalia involving the repetition of words or phrases, or ecopraxia involving repetitive copying of another person’s movements.  

The most likely catatonia signs manifested by persons in a state of excited delirium would be excitement manifested in excessive purposeless physical activity, idiosyncratic voluntary movements, echolalia and/or echopraxia.

**Issues regarding excited delirium**

Excited delirium has gained public notice because it has so often been among the post-mortem explanations offered by medical examiners in the deaths of individuals during the process of being restrained by law enforcement to be taken into custody or while being held in custody. Studies by medical examiners have focused on determining the causes of the deaths of individuals described as being in a state of excited delirium prior to and after being restrained and whether or how restraint may have played a role in the deaths of these individuals. The prevalence of agitated or excited delirium is uncertain and studies have tended to focus on deceased victims. An informative result of the studies is the finding that excited delirium is not only due to substance intoxication but also to psychiatric illness, alcohol withdrawal, head trauma or a combination of these conditions. The case presented below is an example of the context within which many persons in a state of excited delirium are encountered by law enforcement and emergency services.

**A case of untreated excited delirium and sudden death**

This case was abstracted from selected news articles by Babstock on a coroner’s inquest into the death of a man while police were restraining him in order for him to be re-hospitalized. The 34-year-old man had a history of major psychiatric illness which was diagnosed as bipolar disorder when he was 18 years old. It is reported that treatment was occasionally affected by his use of marijuana, hashish, LSD, and amphetamines. During the several years before his death, this patient was seen every few weeks by a psychiatrist who described him as doing well or, at times, as hypomanic or depressed. He was an unpredictable patient who could not be depended upon to take his prescribed medication or avoid using marijuana. Hospitalization was required for a psychotic episode during which he had delusions of persecution and was ranting about religious topics. He was restricted to a locked unit for patients considered dangerous to self and others for two days. By the third day of hospitalization, he was transferred to an open unit on the basis of his improved demeanor and compliance with treatment. He was not permitted to leave the open unit—nor even for a cigarette. Later on the same day that he had been transferred, at about 9:00 PM, he walked off the unit telling a psychiatric attendant pursuing him that he was going for a cigarette. At 9:30 PM, it was determined that he had left the hospital and the police were notified. He had apparently gotten a cab and requested to be taken to several places. The driver stopped the cab after the man grabbed the steering wheel. The patient left the cab and made his way to a lounge. At the lounge, he was reported to be talking to mirrors, asking questions and walking back and forth in front of the bar. His behavior caused the proprietor to call for police assistance. When the police arrived to return him to the hospital, he refused and attempted to avoid being restrained. He was described as sweating, breathing heavily, and with dilated pupils. His attempt to avoid restraint resulted in smashed tables and injury of a police officer. The police used pepper spray and Taser in attempting to restrain him. It took four officers to subdue him and almost immediately after being restrained he stopped breathing. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation was initiated and a call was made for emergency medical assistance. Attempts to resuscitate him were unsuccessful. During the coroner’s inquest it was noted that he was obese, had asthma, an enlarged heart, and had eight Taser wounds. Ultimately, an expert’s testimony attributed his death to excited delirium. His history of substance misuse and psychiatric illness are two possible antecedents to his state of excited delirium. It is noteworthy that this case is similar in its outcome to many others in that the client died while being restrained to be taken into custody (eg, Basti).

**A diagnostic problem**

Concern has been expressed that although the state of excited delirium is given as an explanation for in-custody deaths, it is currently not a recognized medical or psychiatric diagnosis according to either the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IVTR)* of the American Psychiatric Association or the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9) of the World Health Organization. Yet it is important to note that a lack of recognition does not negate the seriousness of the behavioral and physical symptoms referred to as excited delirium. It is noteworthy that one
study found that only 18 of 214 individuals identified as manifesting excited delirium died when restrained and taken into custody. Unfortunately, the study did not report if or whether the survivors were diagnosed or treated.

**Rhabdomyolysis, neuroleptic malignant syndrome, and catatonia**

Cocaine-intoxicated individuals in a state of excited delirium have been reported to have cocaine blood level concentrations ten times lower than individuals who died of cocaine overdoses without excited delirium. The excited delirium and lower cocaine levels is explained as due to modifications of dopamine processing resulting from habitual cocaine use. Studies have noted that survivors of cocaine intoxication with excited delirium have also been reported to develop rhabdomyolysis which results in skeletal muscle breakdown and leakage of muscle substance into the circulatory system that has clinical similarities to neuroleptic malignant syndrome (NMS). Neuroleptic malignant syndrome is manifested in severe muscle rigidity, fever, cognitive confusion, elevated creatinine phosphokinase and/or white blood count levels allegedly related to the administration of neuroleptic medications. A 1991 study found that cocaine abusers treated with neuroleptics developed NMS whereas nonabusers did not. Khaldarov successfully treated a patient, with a history of cocaine abuse and recent treatment with fluphenazine, with lorazepam on the basis of the assumption that cocaine-associated rhabdomyolysis are aspects of the same syndrome that is likely due to chronic cocaine use. The issue of the clinical similarities between cocaine induced rhabdomyolysis and NMS points to the speculation of whether cocaine rhabdomyolysis is a form of NMS. Neuroleptic malignant syndrome is manifested in severe muscle rigidity, fever, cognitive confusion, elevated creatinine phosphokinase and/or white blood count levels allegedly related to the administration of neuroleptic medications. A 1991 study found that cocaine abusers treated with neuroleptics developed NMS whereas nonabusers did not. Khaldarov successfully treated a patient, with a history of cocaine abuse and recent treatment with fluphenazine, with lorazepam on the basis of the assumption that cocaine-associated rhabdomyolysis are aspects of the same syndrome that is likely due to chronic cocaine use. The issue of the clinical similarities between cocaine induced rhabdomyolysis and NMS points to the speculation of whether cocaine rhabdomyolysis is a form of NMS.

Petrides and colleagues report on treating a patient “… in a state of excited delirium following intrathecal administration of an analgesic for chronic pain” (for the original report see Levin and colleagues). Symptoms included purposeless agitation, stiffness, serious negativism or mutism, and staring. Creatine phosphokinase level remained elevated. His temperature was quite high and he required physical restraint. There was no benefit from intravenous haloperidol, lorazepam, or midazolam. The delirium remained refractory and the patient required intensive care with intubation and ventilation. By the 18th day of hospitalization it was determined that electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) should be initiated and five treatments were administered during three days. On the 21st day of hospitalization, after a final ECT, he was able to interact with his wife. He improved and had no memory of his illness and was able to return to part-time work. After three months he was regarded as fully recovered (cf, Levin and colleagues). Petrides and colleagues retrospectively reported this patient as an example of someone manifesting “atypical catatonic features”. Interestingly, in the original case report there was no discussion of catatonia and the administration of ECT appears to have been initiated to treat the delirium (cf, Strömgren; Fink). This is noteworthy in view of the fact that the DSM-IVTR guidelines for catatonia due to a medical condition would not apply to an individual manifesting a delirium to ensure that the medical source of the delirium is resolved. The success of the intervention in this instance suggests that even a delirium due to a medical condition refractory to other interventions may benefit from those specifically designated for catatonia such as high doses of lorazepam (12 to 20 mg/day) or ECT when the lorazepam is not effective.

There are some similarities between the state of excited delirium and catatonia that may be best seen in examining case examples of catatonia when the catatonia involves agitation and excessive physical or motor activity. Below are two case examples of catatonia in individuals with symptoms of agitation and excessive physical activity (cases from Ferrell and Williams).
**Case examples of catatonia**

**Case A**

This is the case of a 75-year-old woman with a history of major psychiatric illness, which was diagnosed as schizophrenia when she was in her 20s. She subsequently suffered a hemorrhagic stroke from an anterior communicating artery aneurysm in her 20s. Both frontal lobes sustained extensive destruction and a more severe mental syndrome emerged after the stroke. Many years of psychiatric hospitalization resulted. The treatments initiated included 80 ECT treatments, many hours of continuous baths, insulin coma therapy, various anti-psychotic medicines and assorted psychotropic drugs, including anticonvulsants. She had severe tardive dyskinesia. At age 75 an episode of extreme agitation with catatonic symptoms of constant motor activity, disrobing, marked negativism, echolalia and echopraxia occurred. Her creatine kinase value was >2400. Treatment was initiated by discontinuing her previously prescribed haloperidol and initiation of lorazepam up to 16 milligrams per day in divided doses. The catatonic syndrome remitted and did not recur. Treatment with low dose lorazepam was continued. Antipsychotic medications were not restarted and there was no return of psychotic symptoms.

**Case B**

A 74-year-old woman first experienced the onset of delusional symptoms at age 21. At that time, she was assessed and attained a full scale IQ of 70. Her symptoms were diagnosed as indications of schizophrenia. She recovered from this initial illness and lived a quiet productive life in the care of her family until age 51. After a “flu-like illness,” she attempted to return to her assembly-line job where she was found standing mute and motionless on the line. She was suffering a state of excited delirium and two with catatonia – who were hospitalized were expeditiously diagnosed and treated. Regarding medical care for excited delirium, Stratton and colleagues have made the observation “... that unexpected sudden death when excited delirium victims are restrained in the out-of-hospital setting is not infrequent and can be associated with multiple predictable but usually uncontrollable factors.”

**Discussion**

It is noteworthy that excited delirium, NMS and catatonia may result in many physical symptoms that are similar such as profuse sweating, dehydration, electrolyte imbalance, fever, tachycardia, hypertension, rapid breathing, and the possibility of death without proper treatment.

Treatment recommended for excited delirium, NMS and catatonia are quite similar. Selected aspects of the treatment approach for excited delirium reported here are based upon recommendations in Karch and Stephens, Petrides and colleagues (cf Levin and colleagues), and Sztajnkrycer and Baez. Individuals manifesting excited delirium should be evaluated for their medical needs on an emergency basis. They should especially receive temperature, oximetry and cardiac monitoring. Temperature management interventions should be initiated as necessary. Due to the psychomotor agitation during the state of excited delirium, treatment with benzodiazepines, and possibly in high doses is recommended. Neuroleptics are not recommended due to the possible adverse influence on temperature regulation, seizure threshold and arrhythmia. If benzodiazepines are not effective, ECT should be considered as it was in the excited delirium case reported by Petrides and colleagues.

In sum, the association between the state of excited delirium and the potentially life-threatening syndromes of rhabdomyolysis, NMS and catatonia give impetus to the need for critical emergency medical care for persons encountered in a probable state of excited delirium. Rigorous effort should be aimed at establishing the best possible understanding of...
relevant medical and psychiatric diagnosis. Correct diagnosis leads to rational and effective treatment. Research into understanding and managing excited delirium may receive considerable benefit from examining the documentation on the diagnosis and care of persons with rhabdomyolysis, NMS and catatonia for which there are known and effective medical and psychiatric interventions.
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