Comparative evaluation of methods for the detection of biofilm formation in coagulase-negative staphylococci and correlation with antibiogram
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Introduction: Coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) are normal commensals of human skin and mucous membranes. The objective of the study was to determine the prevalence of CNS among clinical isolates, characterize them up to species level, compare the three conventional methods for detection of biofilm formation, and study their antimicrobial susceptibility pattern.

Materials and methods: CNS were obtained from various clinical samples including blood, urine, central venous catheter tips, endotracheal tube aspirate, and pus during a 1-year period (July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015). Characterization up to species level was done using biochemical tests, and biofilm formation was detected by tube adherence, Congo red agar, and tissue culture plate method. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed following Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines.

Results: A total of 71 CNS isolates, comprising of seven species were obtained. *Staphylococcus epidermidis* was the most common species followed by *S. saprophyticus* and *S. haemolyticus*. We detected biofilm formation in 71.8% of isolates. Considering the fact that tissue culture plate method is the gold standard, sensitivity of tube adherence method and Congo red agar method was found as 82% and 78%, respectively. The isolates exhibited high resistance toward penicillin (90%), azithromycin (60%), co-trimoxazole (60%), and ceftriaxone (40%), while all were susceptible to vancomycin and linezolid. Biofilm former isolates showed higher resistance than the non-formers.

Conclusion: Among 71 CNS isolated, *S. epidermidis* was the most common isolate followed by *S. saprophyticus* and *S. haemolyticus*. Biofilm formation was detected in 71.8% of the isolates. All of the methods were effective in detecting biofilm-producing CNS strains. The antimicrobial resistance was significantly higher in biofilm formers than non-formers.
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Introduction

Coagulase-negative staphylococci (CNS) are normal commensals of human skin and mucous membranes. They are typical opportunistic pathogens, especially in nosocomial settings, and have a substantial impact on human life and health. The use of implanted prosthetics or indwelling devices, which are now used invariably in modern medicine, is a major risk factor for CNS infection. CNS are now ranked most common infective agent in prosthetic valve infective endocarditis and third most common in native valve infective endocarditis, which demonstrates its significance in the clinical
setting. Among various CNS, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* is the major cause of infections associated with catheters, surgical wounds, peritonitis, osteomyelitis, bloodstream infection, and endophthalmitis. *S. saprophyticus* is a common pathogen of urogenital tract infections, particularly in young, sexually active men and women, and is the second most common CNS causing human infection.

Biofilm formation is one of the major virulence factors associated with these organisms which facilitate its adherence to and colonization in artificial materials. The biofilm protects CNS against the patient’s immune system and also against the action of antibiotics administered for the treatment of these infections. Biofilm-associated bacteria are usually less susceptible to antibiotics than planktonic bacteria; this can be explained by different mechanisms, such as the binding of antibiotics to biofilm components, reduced penetration of the antibiotic, slower growth of the microorganisms in the biofilm, high bacterial density, and altered gene expression in the bacteria present in the biofilm.

Testing for the formation of biofilm is important in deciding the pathogenicity of CNS and should be routinely performed in diagnostic laboratories. The newer methods, such as confocal laser scanning microscopy, RNAseq, microarrays, and RT-qPCR, are expensive and difficult to perform in routine laboratories. Hence, reliable, convenient, and inexpensive methods are needed to identify CNS isolates and detect biofilm formation. These methods should be accessible to most diagnostic laboratories, particularly those located in resource-limited countries. In this regard, the objective of the study was to determine the prevalence of CNS among clinical isolates, characterize them up to species level, compare the three conventional methods for detection of biofilm formation, and study their antimicrobial susceptibility.

**Materials and methods**

**Study design**

A cross-sectional study was conducted at the Department of Microbiology, B.P. Koirala Institute of Health Sciences from July 1, 2014, to June 30, 2015. Among 5705 bacteria isolated during the period, we obtained 71 clinically significant CNS isolates from various clinical samples, i.e., blood, urine, pus, endotracheal tube (ETT) aspirate, and central venous catheter (CVC) tips, submitted to microbiology laboratory for routine and sensitivity testing. An attempt was made to establish significance by correlation with the clinical features and repeat culture of the specimens, whenever possible.

**Isolation, identification, and characterization of CNS**

All clinical samples excluding blood and urine were inoculated onto blood and MacConkey agar. The urine sample was plated on cysteine lactose electrolyte-deficient medium. All inoculated plates were incubated overnight at 35°C. Blood sample was inoculated in brain heart infusion broth and incubated overnight at 35°C before subculturing onto blood agar and MacConkey agar. Isolates which grew white opaque colonies, Gram-positive cocci in clusters on Gram staining, produced catalase, were slide and tube coagulase negative, and did not ferment mannitol were identified as CNS. Then, we characterized them up to species level using a battery of biochemical tests and antimicrobial discs following the identification model proposed by Kloos and Bannerman (Table 1).

### Table 1 Biochemical tests for the identification and speciation of CNS isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Urease</th>
<th>Acetoin production</th>
<th>Novobiocin</th>
<th>Polymyxin B</th>
<th>Slide coagulase</th>
<th>Tube coagulase</th>
<th>Alkaline phosphatase</th>
<th>Pyroglutamidase</th>
<th>Ornithine decarboxylase</th>
<th>Trehalose</th>
<th>Mannitol</th>
<th>Mannose</th>
<th>Xylose</th>
<th>Malose</th>
<th>Sucrose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. epidermidis</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. saprophyticus</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. haemolyticus</em></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. hominis</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. capitis</em></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. warneri</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. lugdunensis</em></td>
<td>D</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** +, positive; –, negative; S, sensitive; R, resistant; D, differential. Adapted from Kloos WE, Bannerman TL. Update on clinical significance of coagulasenegative staphylococci. *Clin Microbiol Rev*. 1994;7(1):117–140. Amended with permission from American Society for Microbiology.

**Abbreviation:** CNS, coagulase-negative staphylococci.
Study of biofilm formation

We studied the biofilm production of the isolates by tube adherence method, tissue culture plate (TCP) method, and Congo red agar (CRA) method. We considered TCP method as the gold standard for the detection of biofilm formation and interpreted the results accordingly. We used *S. epidermidis* ATCC 35984 and *S. epidermidis* ATCC 12228 as positive and negative control, respectively, for biofilm formation.

**Tube adherence method**

We inoculated a loopful of colony suspension from an overnight culture into the trypticase soy broth (TSB) medium (HiMedia, Mumbai, India) and incubated it for 24 hours at 35°C. Then, we inverted the tubes and washed it with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) of pH 7.3. After drying, we stained them with 0.1% crystal violet (HiMedia). We rinsed the tubes multiple times with running tap water to remove excess stains. We kept the tubes in an inverted position and observed for biofilm formation. The experiment was performed in triplicate.

**TCP method**

This method, proposed by Christensen et al., is the gold standard method for detection of biofilm formation. We inoculated the isolates in TSB medium (HiMedia) and incubated it for 18–24 hours at 37°C in aerobic conditions. Then, we inverted the tubes and washed it with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). We air-dried, fixed, and stained the plate gently for the removal of free-flowing bacteria and culture suspension. After overnight incubation, we tapped filled the individual wells of 96-well TCPs with 100 µL of *S.  haemolyticus* and incubated it aerobically at 35°C for 18–24 hours. The experiment was performed in triplicate.

**CRA method**

This method was proposed by Freeman et al. We prepared the CRA by mixing 37 g brain infusion broth, 50 g sucrose, 0.8 g Congo red dye, and 10 g agar (all from HiMedia) in 1 L distilled water. Then, we inoculated the isolates on CRA and incubated it aerobically at 35°C for 18–24 hours. The strains which produced biofilm formed black colonies while non-forming isolates developed red colonies. The experiment was performed in triplicate.

**Quality control**

*S. epidermidis* ATCC 35984 was used as positive control for biofilm formation and *S. epidermidis* ATCC 12228 was used as negative control.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed by Kirby Bauer disc diffusion method following the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines against these antimicrobials: amikacin, ceftriaxone, cefoxitin, ofloxacin, penicillin, co-trimoxazole, and linezolid. Vancomycin susceptibility was tested by calculating the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of vancomycin against the isolates using agar dilution method. The antimicrobial discs were selected on the basis of CLSI guidelines. Methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative staphylococci (MR CNS) and MIC

Methicillin resistance in CNS was detected by using cefoxitin disc diffusion test (30 µg). It was further confirmed as MR CNS by calculating the MIC of oxacillin against the isolates using the agar dilution method.

**Quality control of antimicrobial susceptibility testing**

All the antimicrobial discs were tested against *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 to ensure the potency of the discs.

**Data analysis**

The data were entered into Microsoft Excel 2013 and analyzed by using SPSS version 16 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square test was applied and *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Isolates and identification**

A total of 5705 bacteria were isolated during the study period, 71 (1.24%) of them were CNS. Among the 71 isolates, 17 were obtained from blood, 16 from urine, 13 from pus, 17 from ETT, and 8 from CVC. A total of seven species were identified. *S. epidermidis* (40%, n=28) was the most common species followed by *S. saprophyticus* (18%, n=13), *S. haemolyticus* (14%, n=10), and *S. lugdunensis* (11%, n=8; Figure 1).

**Study of biofilm production**

Among the 71 CNS isolates, 51 (71.8%) were biofilm producers. With respect to the clinical sample, biofilm formation was detected among 94% (16/17) of isolates obtained from ETT, 87% (7/8) from CVC tube, 70% (12/17) from blood, 84.6% (11/13) from pus, and 31% (5/16) from the urine sample. *S. epidermidis*, the most common isolate, showed...
biofilm formation in 82% (23/28) of isolates, while *S. haemolyticus* showed 90% (9/10) biofilm forming ability. The least biofilm formation was observed in *S. saprophyticus*, i.e., 15% (2/13; Table 2).

Among the 51 biofilm forming CNS isolates, tissue culture method detected biofilm production in 50 isolates, tube method in 42 isolates, and CRA method in 40 isolates. Statistical analysis was done using 2×2 table to calculate the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy of CRA and tube adherence method considering TCP method as the gold standard method (Table 3). The sensitivity and accuracy of the tube adherence method (82% and 85.9%) was higher than standard method (78% and 83%). However the specificity, PPV, and NPV were almost the same.

**Antimicrobial resistance**

Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the isolates showed a variable level of resistance; 90% to penicillin, 40% to ceftriaxone, 60% to co-trimoxazole, and 60% to azithromycin. All the isolates were susceptible to vancomycin and linezolid. Forty percent of the isolates were MRCNS which was confirmed by MIC of oxacillin against the isolates.

The antimicrobial susceptibility was also studied on the basis of biofilm forming ability of the isolates. Biofilm former strains showed higher resistance than the non-former isolates. Forty-two percent of biofilm formers were resistant to amikacin while the figure for biofilm non-formers was 14%, which is statistically significant (*p*-value <0.05). A similar type of resistance pattern was seen with most of the antimicrobials used (Table 4).

**Discussion**

CNS are important causative agents of implanted device-related infections, endocarditis, bloodstream infections, urinary tract infections (UTIs), ophthalmitis, and soft tissue infections. However, a large proportion of CNS are reported as possible laboratory contaminants without identifying their species. Since many species of CNS have their own clinical significance and are associated with biofilm production, their characterization up to species level and association of biofilm production should be prioritized in diagnostic laboratories. Therefore, simple, reliable, and inexpensive methods should be the focus in resource-constrained settings.

In the present study, we isolated 71 clinically significant CNS from various clinical samples, i.e., blood (n=17), urine (n=16), ETT (n=17), CVC (n=8), and pus (n=13). Device (ETT, CVC tip) and blood samples were the major source of CNS isolates in our study. Similar results have been reported by Oliveria and Cunha Mde, who obtained 50 isolates from catheter tips and 30 from blood. Foreign body-related infections, also known as “device associated health-care-associated infections (DA-HAI)”, are the most significant clinical entity associated with CNS.

We identified a total of seven CNS species. *S. epidermidis* (40%, n=28) was the most common species followed by *S. saprophyticus* (18%, n=13), *S. haemolyticus* (14%, n=10), *S. lugdunensis* (11%, n=8), *S. capitis* (7%, n=5), *S. warneri* (6%, n=4), and *S. hominis* (4%, n=3). The finding of our study is consistent with many other studies. *S. epidermidis* is
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**Figure 1** Different species of CNS isolated from various clinical samples (n=71).

**Abbreviation:** CNS, coagulase-negative staphylococci.

**Table 2** Biofilm formation with respect to the species and clinical samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Blood (n=17)</th>
<th>Urine (n=16)</th>
<th>Pus (n=13)</th>
<th>ETT (n=17)</th>
<th>CVC (n=8)</th>
<th>Number of biofilm formers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus epidermidis</em> (n=28)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. saprophyticus</em> (n=13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. haemolyticus</em> (n=10)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. lugdunensis</em> (n=8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. capitis</em> (n=5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. warneri</em> (n=4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. hominis</em> (n=3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>51/71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** ETT, endotracheal tube; CVC, central venous catheter.
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Table 3 Statistical evaluation of Congo red agar and tube adherence method for detection of biofilm formation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Congo red agar</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube adherence method</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>85.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.

Table 4 Antimicrobial resistance of the biofilm former isolates vs non-formers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Biofilm former (n=50) (resistance in %)</th>
<th>Biofilm non-former (n=21) (resistance in %)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azithromycin</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefoxitin</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-trimoxazole</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ofloxacin</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *No resistance was observed against these antimicrobials. p-value could not be calculated.

Biofilm formation is one of the major virulence factors of CNS. The bacteria present inside a biofilm are protected against the action of the host immune system and antimicrobial drugs, thus permitting their survival. In our study, we documented that 71.8% (51/71) of the isolates were biofilm producing. This finding is consistent with the study conducted by Chokr et al, who detected biofilm formation in 73% of CNS isolates. In some studies, higher rates of biofilm formation have been reported. In a study conducted by Oliveira and Cunha Mde, 81% of the CNS isolates were biofilm formers. Similar findings have been reported by Cafiso et al (83%) and Soumya et al (87%). However, lower positive rates have been reported by Wojtyczka et al (37.5%) and Thilakanthy et al (39.5%). The higher rate of biofilm formation in our study (71.8%, n=51) may be due to the fact that most of our isolates were obtained from ETT and CVC tip, in which biofilm formation occurs invariably.

We investigated biofilm production using three methods, i.e., tube method, TCP method, and CRA method. Among 51 biofilm forming isolates, tissue culture method detected the biofilm production in 50 isolates, tube method in 42 isolates, and CRA method in 40 isolates. Statistical analysis was done using a 2 × 2 table considering TCP as the gold standard method.

The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy of the tube adherence method was 82%, 95%, 97.5%, 64.5%, and 83.5%, respectively, while that of CRA method was 78%, 95.2%, 97.6%, 64.5%, 83%, respectively. The sensitivity of the tube adherence method (82%) was slightly higher than the CRA method (78%) while specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy of both tube adherence method and CRA methods were similar. The finding of our study is consistent with the study by Oliveira and Cunha Mde. According to the authors, the sensitivity of the tube method was 100% as compared to 89% of CRA, but specificity of both methods was 100%. The finding is also supported by many other studies which report the superior performance of the tube adherence method as compared to CRA method for detection of biofilm formation. Although the sensitivity of CRA method is less than tube adherence method, since it is less laborious, quicker, and requires less equipment than tube adherence method for detecting slime production, it would be very useful in clinical microbiology laboratories.

All the CNS isolates obtained from clinical samples should be characterized and the biofilm forming ability should be assessed by any of these methods in routine microbiology laboratories.

Biofilm forming bacteria are usually less susceptible to antibiotics than planktonic bacteria. The reduced penetration of the antibiotic, binding of antibiotics to biofilm components, high bacterial density, and slower bacterial growth inside biofilm could be the factors contributing to the higher antimicrobial resistance.
Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the isolates showed a variable level of resistance. None of the isolates were resistant to vancomycin and linezolid, while 90% of the isolates were resistant to penicillin. Resistance against ceftriaxone and cefoxitin were 40%. The result of our study is similar to those of the study conducted by Jain et al. The authors showed that 94% of the CNS isolates were resistant to penicillin and none of them were resistant to vancomycin.28

The resistance pattern, when compared between the biofilm producers and non-producers, showed significant differences. The result of our study is similar to those of a study by Soumya et al.33 In contrast to our results, Hassan et al concluded that the differences in antimicrobial resistance between biofilm formers and non-formers are statistically insignificant.37 However, other studies suggest that significant differences in antimicrobial susceptibility between biofilm formers and non-formers exist.38,39 These differences could be explained by the fact that biofilm protects the bacteria from the action of antimicrobials making them resistant to most antimicrobials.2

CNS are emerging multidrug-resistant pathogens, and hence, studies on their local species distribution, antibiotic sensitivity, and prevalence of biofilm-formation are very important.

Conclusion
A total of 71 CNS were isolated from various clinical samples. S. epidermidis was the most common isolate followed by S. saprophyticus and S. haemolyticus. Biofilm formation was detected in 71.8% of the isolates. CRA, tube adherence method, and tissue culture method are all effective in detecting biofilm formation. Antimicrobial resistance is significantly higher in biofilm formers than the non-former strains.
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