Comparison of changes in oxygenated hemoglobin during the tree-drawing task between patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls

Background: Tree-drawing test is used as a projective psychological test that expresses the abnormal internal experience in patients with schizophrenia (SZ). Despite the widely accepted view that the cognitive function is involved in characteristic tree-drawing in patients with SZ, no study has psychophysiologically examined it. The present study aimed to investigate the involvement of cognitive function during tree-drawing in patients with SZ. For that purpose, we evaluated the brain function in patients with SZ during a tree-drawing task by using near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) and compared them with those in healthy controls.

Patients and methods: The subjects were 28 healthy controls and 28 patients with SZ. Changes in the oxygenated hemoglobin ([oxy-Hb]) concentration in both the groups during the task of drawing a tree imagined freely (free-drawing task) and the task of copying an illustration of a tree (copying task) were measured by using NIRS.

Results: Because of the difference between the task conditions, [oxy-Hb] levels in controls during the free-drawing task were higher than that during the copying task at the bilateral frontal pole regions and left inferior frontal region. Because of the difference between the groups, [oxy-Hb] levels at the left middle frontal region, bilateral inferior frontal regions, bilateral inferior parietal regions, and left superior temporal region during the free-drawing task in patients were lower than that in controls.

Conclusion: [oxy-Hb] during the tree-drawing task in patients with SZ was lower than that in healthy controls. Our results suggest that brain dysfunction in patients with SZ might be associated with their tree-drawing.
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Introduction

Schizophrenia (SZ) is a psychiatric disorder showing positive symptoms, such as hallucination and delusion, and negative symptoms such as a disorder of affect and motivation. Recently, the importance of cognitive impairment has also been indicated.1,2

The tree-drawing (Baum) test is a useful, systematized, projective psychological test that involves instructing patients to draw a fruit-bearing tree carefully based on the original method of Koch.3 It is considered that the test can detect an abnormal internal experience with a reduced burden on patients. This test is often used in patients with SZ, and it has been reported that such patients draw pathognomonic trees, such as branches and trunk bases being open, compared with healthy subjects.4 However, since subjects perform this test based on their own free will and their drawings widely vary, objective analysis is difficult. At this point, the question arises of why tree-drawing...
in patients with SZ tends to be different from that in healthy subjects. However, it has been mainly studied from the viewpoints of psychology and psychopathology, because it is considered as a projective psychological test. On the other hand, Stanzani et al examined the cognitive function and drawing contents of the tree-drawing test in patients with dementia and reported that the tree height and trunk-to-crown ratio are predictors of cognitive impairment. Therefore, we considered that the cognitive function might be involved in the characteristic tree-drawing in patients with SZ and thought that it was necessary to investigate this possibility psychophysiological.

Regarding the relationship between drawing and cognitive function, a study involving infants reported that the executive function and capacity of working memory (WM) play important roles in the early development of drawing flexibility. Since the tree-drawing test involves drawing by imagining a completed tree, a subject should perform the task while appropriately controlling behaviors to achieve the goal. Therefore, we must also consider the involvement of WM and attention/executive functions in tree-drawing. Furthermore, a drawing of a tree is elicited from individual mnemonic representations or past context, being a form of mnemonic retrieval. Regarding mnemonic retrieval, Tulving et al reviewed previous studies and reported that the frontal lobe advances the coding and retrieval of episodic memory.7 In addition, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies that examined the brain function during mentally generating specific images reported activation in the parietal and superior temporal cortex.8-11 However, due to restrictions on fMRI measurement, the tasks in those studies do not represent typical drawing conditions.

Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) can be used for the noninvasive determination of relative concentrations of oxygenated hemoglobin ([oxy-Hb]) and deoxygenated hemoglobin ([deoxygen-Hb]) in blood vessels on the surface of the brain by using scattering light of near-infrared rays. Although its spatial resolution is lower (2–3 cm), its temporal resolution is more favorable (0.1 seconds) than that of other blood flow evaluation methods. Furthermore, NIRS involves a relatively small and portable apparatus, and it is possible to easily measure neural activation of the cerebral cortex; thus, many studies have recently been performed by using this method.12-14 NIRS has also been applied to studies of the cognitive function in patients with SZ, and it was revealed that activation of the prefrontal cortex associated with attention/executive function and WM decrease during the trail-making test (TMT) and verbal fluency task.15,16 In addition, subjects are not restrained in a gantry, such as in fMRI, and so NIRS can be applied to a natural experimental setting, and it is suitable for measuring the hemodynamic response during drawing such as tree-drawing. Shoyama et al used NIRS and observed frontal and temporal activation during clock-drawing.17 Furthermore, an NIRS study involving healthy subjects by Igimi compared the brain activation on drawing an imagined tree with that on copying an illustration of a tree.18 Based on the results, he suggested that WM is important in understanding the physiological mechanism of tree-drawing, since the activities of the left frontal region and right parietal region increase more in the former task. However, no study has psychophysiological compared the results of the tree-drawing test in patients with SZ and healthy controls.

Therefore, in this study, we investigated the possibility that the cognitive function is involved in characteristic tree-drawing by patients with SZ. For that purpose, we psychophysiological evaluated the brain function of patients with SZ by using the tasks of drawing a tree imagined freely and copying an illustration of a tree combined with NIRS and then compared the results with those of healthy controls. We hypothesized that brain regions activated in healthy controls during the tree-drawing task would not be activated in patients with SZ.

Patients and methods

Subjects

We examined 28 patients with SZ (14 male and 14 female patients, age: 30.8±5.3 years) and 28 healthy age- and sex-matched volunteers (14 male and 14 female participants, age: 30.8±5.1 years) by multi-channel NIRS during the tree-drawing task. All the patients were diagnosed using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR).19 They had been treated as outpatients at the Department of Psychiatry, Kurume University Hospital. Their intelligence quotient (IQ) was estimated using the Japanese version of the National Adult Reading Test.20 All the patients were taking atypical antipsychotic medication (ie, olanzapine [n=8], risperidone [n=14], aripiprazole [n=2], quetiapine [n=2], and blonanserin [n=6]). The mean daily antipsychotic dose expressed as a haloperidol equivalent was 8.1±2.4 mg. The clinical state of the patients was assessed by using the Positive and Negative Symptom Scale (PANSS) by two psychiatrists within 1 week after NIRS recording.21 No controls had a history of psychiatric or neurological diseases, cerebrovascular disease, brain injury, or substance abuse including alcohol. All the subjects were right-handed as determined by the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory22 and had normal vision. The Ethics Committee
Protocol of the free-drawing and tree-copying tasks

In the present study, we used two types of tree-drawing tasks following the method of Igimi.\textsuperscript{18} One was the task of drawing a tree imagined freely (free-drawing task) and the other was the task of copying an illustration of a tree (copying task). The copying task was used to examine and compare the element of imagination or mnemonic retrieval with that of the free-drawing task. In the free-drawing task, all the subjects were instructed to “Draw a fruit-bearing tree carefully,” and they drew a tree from their imagination in an A4 paper with a 4B pencil. In the copying task, the subjects began to copy a tree illustration in front of them after instruction. Based on Igimi and Fujiki et al.,\textsuperscript{18,23} the task period in the present study was set at 60 seconds (Figure 1). For the control conditions of both tasks as the baseline, all the subjects continued to draw “○” and “×” for 60 seconds. The task and control conditions were alternately repeated four times. Drawing was started from the beginning every time. Typical examples of a tree illustration as a model in the copying task and drawings in each task are shown in Figure 2.

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of controls and patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>30.8±5.1</td>
<td>30.8±5.3</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female/male)</td>
<td>14/14</td>
<td>14/14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated IQ (JART)</td>
<td>103.4±8.9</td>
<td>97.2±12.8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational history (years)</td>
<td>14.9±0.67</td>
<td>14.25±2.4</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness (years)</td>
<td>6.6±4.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive score</td>
<td>23.4±3.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative score</td>
<td>21.1±4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haloperidol equivalent dose (mg/day)</td>
<td>8.3±2.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are presented as means ± SD. An unpaired \( t \)-test was used for testing group differences.

Abbreviations: IQ, intelligence quotient; JART, the Japanese version of the National Adult Reading Test; PANSS, Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale; NS, not significant.

The demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects were approved by the Ethics Committee of Kurume University (No 10086), and written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects prior to the study. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1.
NIRS measurement

The probe was placed so that the row of the most anteroinferior channels was present on the line connecting T2-FPZ-T4 in the international 10–20 system. NIRS measurements were conducted with the ETG-4000 Optical Topography System (Hitachi Medical Co., Kashiwa, Japan) using a total of 44 channel arrays of optodes that covered an area of 12 × 6 cm on one side of the head. The distance between optodes was 30 mm. Near-infrared light with wavelengths of 695–830 nm was used to irradiate the subject’s scalp. The reflected light was detected by highly sensitive photodetectors that were also attached to the scalp surface. During the NIRS measurement, the jaw of subjects was fixed using a chin stand to prevent changes in blood flow due to head movement (Figure 3A). With reference to previous studies,

processing of the obtained data was executed based on a previous study. Using the integral mode, the pre-task baseline was set as the mean during the 10 seconds preceding the task period, and the post-task baseline was set as the mean during the 10 seconds from 40 to 50 seconds after the task period (Figure 1). Linear fitting was then applied to the data between these two baselines. For the analysis of the task, average waveforms of the changes in [oxy-Hb] during tasks for 60 seconds that were performed four times were prepared and the approximate area (δ[oxy-Hb]) based on changes in [oxy-Hb] for each 100 ms was used (Figure 3B). Regarding the relationship between each channel and anatomical regions, NIRS data were converted to a normalized brain image template (three-dimensional composition indication unit; Hitachi Medical Co.). We determined the region of interest (ROI) to be analyzed as the frontal pole regions (left Ch19 and right Ch22), middle frontal regions (left Ch11 and right Ch12), and inferior parietal regions (left Ch9 and right Ch5) related to the visual WM and executive function considered to be necessary for tree-drawing. Furthermore, we considered the inferior frontal regions (left Ch20 and

Figure 2 Examples of tree-drawings.
Notes: (A) A typical example of a tree illustration as a model in the copying task. (B) Typical examples of drawings made by a healthy subject and a patient in the free-drawing and copying tasks.
right Ch21) and superior temporal regions (left Ch22 and right Ch19) as the regions associated with retrieval of memory or visual meaning (Figure 3C).

Statistical analysis

In the present study, differences in demographic or clinical data between patients and controls were examined using Bartlett’s t-test (Table 1). Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for $\delta$[oxy-Hb] in each ROI was performed, 2 (group: controls, patients) $\times$ 2 (task condition: free-drawing task, copying task). If ANOVA identified a main effect, a post hoc test was conducted to examine it in more detail. At that time, in order to minimize the probability of being incorrectly significant (family-wise type I error rate), the P-value was corrected by the Bonferroni method. Furthermore, the correlation between $\delta$[oxy-Hb] and the symptom score of PANSS was calculated using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. A significance level of $P<0.05$ was used for the analysis. All statistical processing was done using SPSS for Windows, version 22.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Cortical activation during tasks in each ROI

The average waveforms in the controls and patients during each task are shown in Figure 4A and B.
Figure 4 Average waveforms in the region of interest where significant differences were observed between controls and patients.

Notes: (A) Average waveforms during the free-drawing task in each group. (B) Average waveforms during the copying task in each group. The red lines represent controls, and the blue lines represent patients. Green numbers surrounded by the red circles indicate channels where the significant difference is observed, and they correspond to each figure of waveform. The longitudinal axis indicates the task period from 0 to 60 seconds, and the rest period from 60 to 120 seconds.
Consideration of $\delta$[oxy-Hb] in each ROI

The results of ANOVA in each ROI are shown below. No interaction was observed in any ROIs (Figure 5).

The frontal pole region

Significant main effects of the task condition were shown at the left and right frontal pole regions (left Ch19, right Ch22) ($F=13.563$, $P<0.001$; $F=6.787$, $P=0.010$). Based on the post hoc test, in the control group, $\delta$[oxy-Hb] at the bilateral frontal pole regions during the free-drawing task was higher than that during the copying task ($F=12.651$, $P=0.001$; $F=8.288$, $P=0.005$). However, there was no significant difference between conditions in $\delta$[oxy-Hb] at the left and right frontal pole regions of patients ($F=2.728$, $P=0.102$; $F=0.649$, $P=0.422$).

The middle frontal region

A significant main effect of group was shown only in the left middle frontal region (left Ch11) ($F=8.605$, $P=0.004$). The post hoc test revealed that $\delta$[oxy-Hb] in patients during the free-drawing task was lower than that in controls ($F=7.918$, $P=0.006$).

The inferior frontal region

In the left inferior frontal region (left Ch20), significant main effects of the task condition and group were observed ($F=20.199$, $P<0.001$; $F=4.298$, $P=0.041$). As a result of the post hoc test, in controls, $\delta$[oxy-Hb] during the free-drawing task was higher than that during the copying task ($F=5.331$, $P=0.023$). Also, during both the free-drawing and copying tasks, $\delta$[oxy-Hb] in patients was lower than that in controls ($F=16.167$, $P<0.001$; $F=5.453$, $P=0.021$). Only the main effect of group was shown in the right inferior frontal region (right Ch21) ($F=15.513$, $P<0.001$). As a result of the post hoc test, during both the tasks, $\delta$[oxy-Hb] in patients was lower than that in controls ($F=8.030$, $P=0.005$; $F=7.491$, $P=0.007$).

The inferior parietal region

Only the main effects of group were significant at the bilateral inferior parietal regions (left Ch9, right Ch5) ($F=16.076$, $P=0.001$).
As a result of the post hoc test, during both the free-drawing and copying tasks, $\delta$[oxy-Hb] at the left inferior parietal region in patients was lower than that in controls ($F=11.885$, $P=0.001$; $F=4.916$, $P=0.029$). A lower $\delta$[oxy-Hb] at the right inferior parietal region in patients compared with controls was only noted in the free-drawing task ($F=16.715$, $P<0.001$).

The superior temporal region

Only the main effects of group were significant at the bilateral superior temporal regions (left Ch22, right Ch19) ($F=9.245$, $P=0.003$; $F=8.742$, $P=0.004$). As a result of the post hoc test, only $\delta$[oxy-Hb] at the left superior temporal region in patients during the free-drawing task was lower than that in controls ($F=7.455$, $P=0.007$). Also, $\delta$[oxy-Hb] at the right superior temporal region in patients during both the tasks was lower than that in controls ($F=4.667$, $P=0.033$; $F=4.082$, $P=0.046$).

Relationship between symptoms and $\delta$[oxy-Hb]

During the free-drawing task, the positive symptom score of PANSS was significantly and positively correlated with $\delta$[oxy-Hb] at the left inferior frontal region (left Ch20) ($r=0.410$, $P=0.030$) (Figure 6). Furthermore, a significant positive correlation was noted between the negative symptom score and $\delta$[oxy-Hb] at the left inferior frontal region (left Ch20) ($r=0.406$, $P=0.032$). During the copying task, the positive symptom score was significantly and negatively correlated with $\delta$[oxy-Hb] at the left inferior parietal region (left Ch9) ($r=-0.482$, $P=0.009$). Also, the negative symptom score was significantly and negatively correlated
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**Figure 6** Scatter diagrams of regions showing significant correlations between $\delta$[oxy-Hb] and Positive and Negative Symptom Scale scores.

**Abbreviation:** [oxy-Hb], oxygenated hemoglobin.
with $\Delta$[oxy-Hb] at the left middle frontal region (left Ch11) ($r=-0.392, P=0.039$).

Discusssion

The main purpose of the present study was to investigate the involvement of the cognitive function in the tree-drawing test conducted by patients with SZ. We measured $\Delta$[oxy-Hb], reflecting the brain activation, in patients with SZ and healthy controls during free-drawing and copying tasks using NIRS. To our knowledge, this is the first study to identify a difference between patients with SZ and healthy controls regarding the hemodynamic response during tree-drawing. In the present study, the brain activation in patients was shown to be lower over a wide range compared with that of controls during both the free-drawing and copying tasks.

Regarding the main findings of the present study, we discuss as follows from the perspective of brain regions considered to be important. First, regarding the difference between the task conditions, $\Delta$[oxy-Hb] at the bilateral frontal pole regions and left inferior frontal region in controls was higher during the free-drawing compared with copying task. This result is consistent with Igimi’s study.16 On the other hand, in patients, there was no difference in $\Delta$[oxy-Hb] between the free-drawing and copying tasks. Regarding the difference between groups, $\Delta$[oxy-Hb] in patients during both free-drawing and copying tasks was significantly lower at the bilateral inferior frontal regions and left inferior parietal region compared with controls. At the left middle frontal region, right inferior parietal region, and left superior temporal region, $\Delta$[oxy-Hb] in patients was lower than that in the controls only during the free-drawing task.

The middle frontal region comprises the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC; BA 46/9), which is an important component of WM and the central executive function.24 This function is the mainstay of WM, which involves information processing while controlling other components: the phonological loop, visuospatial sketchpad, and episodic buffer, and it is also involved in focusing, suppression, and shifting of attention.25 In previous studies using WM tasks, lateralization of activation was demonstrated during a semantic fluency task in healthy subjects.26 Moreover, a decrease of lateralization was observed in patients with SZ during visuospatial WM tasks such as the TMT.15,27 Furthermore, activation at the middle frontal area reflecting the WM system has been reported in patients with SZ while concentrating (in a card game).23 Since the TMT and concentration require constant behavioral modification according to the purpose, the importance of not only WM but also the executive function is considered to be marked. Therefore, comparison between the controls and patients in the present study suggested that patients found it more difficult to activate the left middle frontal region related to the executive function during the free-drawing task than controls.

In present study, activation at the left inferior frontal region of patients was weaker than that of the controls during both the free-drawing and copying tasks. An fMRI study using the visual WM task also revealed that patients showed decreased activation of the ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (VLPFC) at the inferior frontal area at an early stage of maintenance after coding visual information.28 Baddeley stated that the episodic buffer of WM interacts with long-term memory.29 In addition, more detailed studies revealed that the inferior frontal area plays an important role in mnemonic retrieval and, notably, demonstrated that the area functions in top-down mnemonic retrieval.30,31 In the present study, based on the results of differences between task conditions in controls, it was considered that the free-drawing task to imagine a tree required more activation in the left inferior frontal region than the copying task. In addition, the activation at the inferior frontal region in patients was lower than that in controls during both the free-drawing and copying tasks. Therefore, it can be stated that the activation at the left inferior frontal region in patients shows a greater decrease during the free-drawing task than in controls. Thus, the abnormality of top-down retrieval to long-term memory associated with this region is important when considering the brain dysfunction of patients with SZ during the tree-drawing test. By the way, the frontal pole region has been reported as a region coordinating DLPFC and VLPFC.32,33 In the present study, the bilateral frontal pole activities during the free-drawing task in controls were greater than that during the copying task. Therefore, it was considered that the free-drawing task requires more coordination of the frontal pole.

The activation of the left and right inferior parietal regions during the free-drawing task in patients was also weaker than that in the controls. At the parietal cortex, previous studies revealed that the intraparietal sulcus (IPS) and inferior parietal lobule together with the superior parietal lobule are regions controlling spatial attention.34–37 Furthermore, concerning WM, a positron emission tomography (PET) study showed that the left inferior parietal region is related to phonological storage.38 For visuospatial WM, it has been demonstrated that activations at the bilateral superior parietal regions are related.39 Moreover, it was reported that the inferior parietal region is responsible for the visuospatial sketch pad and that the frontoparietal network is important for visuospatial WM.40
On the other hand, in patients with SZ, an fMRI study using the Sternberg task, which is a WM task to visually perceive letters, reported their decreased activation at the inferior parietal region.41 Therefore, it is suggested that the free-drawing task reveals the dysfunction of visuospatial attention or WM in patients with SZ.

δ[oxy-Hb] at the left superior temporal region during the free-drawing task in patients was also lower than that in controls. As shown in a previous study, the superior temporal region is considered to be involved in the retrieval of visual meaning,42 and the free-drawing task was considered to require retrieval of the meaning of trees. Also, activation at the prefrontal and temporal regions and verbal semantic retrieval are related.43 Considering that its dysfunction in patients with SZ has been reported,44 patients in the present study might have had problems with processing the meaning of trees during the free-drawing task.

Thus, we identified an association between the tree-drawing task using free drawing and activation in the prefrontal or parietal region and temporal region. Some previous studies obtained results indicating that the frontoparietal network is important in WM and visuospatial attention.44–46 Furthermore, as indicated by studies in patients with unilateral spatial neglect, the dorsal attention network, in which the frontal eye field and IPS are connected, is involved in active attention, and the ventral attention network, in which the middle frontal area and temporoparietal junction are connected, is involved in passive attention.47–49 Recently, in patients with SZ, the results of a study showing functional abnormality at the frontoparietal network were reported.50–52 In addition, a study identified the relationship between a deficit in the maintenance of goals as an executive function and low-level activation at the frontoparietal network in patients with SZ.53 Although the examination of such functional connectivity requires an fMRI study, the decrease of δ[oxy-Hb] at the middle frontal, inferior frontal, inferior parietal, and superior temporal regions in patients observed in the present study suggests the dysfunction of the frontoparietal or frontotemporal network.

Regarding the relationship between δ[oxy-Hb] and symptoms, activation at the left inferior frontal region during the free-drawing task was significantly positively correlated with both the positive and negative symptom scores in patients. In the copying task, activation at the left inferior frontal region was significantly negatively correlated with the positive symptom score and activation at the right middle frontal region was significantly negatively correlated with the negative symptom score. A PET study of untreated patients with SZ reported the presence of hypermetabolism at the prefrontal cortex when positive symptoms predominate.54 In addition, a meta-analysis of functional neuroimaging studies of the executive function in patients with SZ noted that activation at VLPFC was relatively increased, suggesting that it is a compensatory response related to decreased activation in the anterior cingulate gyrus, temporal cortex, and parietal cortex.55 An NIRS study using word generation tasks also identified a positive correlation between the brain activation at the prefrontal region and positive symptom score, suggesting that it is a mechanism to compensate for inefficiency of the brain function.56 Therefore, from the above results, although there was no difference in δ[oxy-Hb] between the free-drawing and copying tasks in patients, during the free-drawing task, patients with severe positive symptoms may be in a state whereby other brain regions must be compensated for by activating the VLPFC.

As above, our NIRS study revealed that changes in [oxy-Hb] at the left middle frontal region, bilateral inferior frontal regions, bilateral inferior parietal regions, and left superior temporal region are low while drawing an imagined tree in patients with SZ. In particular, it should be noted that the left inferior frontal region, which should be more activated by the free-drawing task than the copying task in controls, was less active in patients. This suggests that mnemonic retrieval in patients with SZ may not properly function during the tree-drawing test. For that reason, patients with SZ may have a strong tendency to draw characteristic trees in the tree-drawing test, coupled with the abnormality of attention, executive function, and WM. Therefore, as we hypothesized initially, when interpreting the tree-drawing test of patients with SZ, it is necessary to consider not only the psychological and psychopathological aspects but also the influence of the cognitive function. Furthermore, the application of these findings may contribute to the development of the tree-drawing test as an objective assessment method in patients with SZ. Morita et al reported that malignant indices such as a small trunk-to-crown ratio and opening of the trunk are likely to appear in the tree-drawing test of patients with SZ and that this test is useful for evaluating time-dependent changes in symptoms.57 In future, by examining the association between changes in [oxy-Hb] during the tree-drawing test and such indices related to drawing contents or the results of other neuropsychological tests, a more objective method to assess the tree-drawing test may be identified. In addition, by observing time-dependent changes of these results, prediction of the symptom recovery and drug reactivity in patients with SZ based on the results of the tree-drawing test may become possible. In such a case, it will be necessary to consider the stages and severity of SZ.
The present study had some limitations. First, the number of subjects was small, with 28 subjects in each group. Second, since there was a slight but significant difference between the IQ of healthy controls and patients with SZ, a difference in intellectual ability might have influenced the results. Therefore, further studies need to match the IQ of both the groups. Third, all patients had taken atypical antipsychotics, and so there is some possibility of an association with WM deficit. Meta-analysis studies investigating the influence of atypical antipsychotics on cognitive functions revealed that drugs reduce some cognitive functions and that the effect of improving the cognitive function is not uniform. Thus, future studies should consider drug-naive patients. Fourth, the tree-drawing task in the present study had methodological restrictions, such as setting a time limit, and so did not fully reflect the standard tree-drawing test. Therefore, in future studies, it would be preferable to adopt an actual test situation.

**Conclusion**

Our study showed that changes in [oxy-Hb] at the left middle frontal region, bilateral inferior frontal regions, bilateral inferior parietal regions, and left superior temporal region when drawing a tree imagined freely in the patients with SZ are smaller than that in healthy controls. These results suggested that brain dysfunction in patients with SZ is involved in their tree-drawing. In addition, it might contribute to more objective assessment method of the tree-drawing test.
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