Lymphoma-targeted treatment using a folic acid-decorated vincristine-loaded drug delivery system
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Purpose: B-cell lymphoma is the most frequently diagnosed lymphoid tumor. Folic acid (FA)-decorated systems were found to be preferentially internalized on the B-cell lymphoma cell line which is reported to express the folate receptor. This study was designed to develop an FA-decorated vincristine (VCR)-loaded system for targeted lymphoma treatment.

Methods: FA-decorated lipid was synthesized. VCR-loaded lipid-polymer hybrid nanoparticles (LPNs) were fabricated. In vitro cell lines and an in vivo lymphoma animal model was used to evaluate the anti-B-cell lymphoma effect.

Results: FA-decorated, VCR-loaded LPNs (FA-VCR/LPNs) have shown a targeted effect in delivery to B-cell lymphoma cells. FA-VCR/LPNs also showed the highest anti-tumor effect in murine-bearing lymphoma xenografts.

Conclusion: FA-VCR/LPNs can achieve targeted delivery of VCR, bring about an outstanding therapeutic effect to treat lymphoma, and also reduce the systemic toxicity. FA-VCR/LPNs could be an excellent system for lymphoma therapy.
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Introduction

Non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) is a type of blood cancer, which accounts for over 85% of all lymphoma and approximately 4% of all malignancies.¹–³ Although chemotherapy plays a vital role in treating NHL, the clinical applications are limited because of intolerable side-effects and multi-drug resistance.² Therefore, nanotechnology has recently proved to be a promising therapeutic platform for lymphoma.

Compared to free drugs, incorporating drugs into nanocarriers can increase circulation time and reduce exposure to healthy tissue and, even more, enhance anti-tumor efficacy and reduce side-effects.¹⁴ Liposomes and nanoparticles have been widely applied for carrying free drugs for the treatment of lymphoma. DepoCyt® (Pacira Pharmaceuticals Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) (liposomal cytarabine) has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of lymphoma. Numerous preclinical and clinical trials involving nanoparticles for the treatment of lymphoma are ongoing or have been recently completed: albumin-bound paclitaxel, liposomal vincristine, liposomal doxorubicin, and liposomal cytarabine and polyethylene glycol (PEG)-asparaginase in modified “Linker” Regimen; CD22-targeted PEGylated liposomes, multivalent rituximab lipid nanoparticles, PEGylated poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) nanoparticles, etc.⁴–⁹

Lipid-polymer hybrid nanoparticles (LPNs) are core-shell nanoparticle structures comprising polymer cores and lipid/PEG shells, which exhibit complementary characteristics of both polymeric nanoparticles and liposomes, particularly in terms
of their physical stability and biocompatibility. Based on their distinct functional structures, LPNs have exhibited some unique advantages: high structural integrity, improving drug stability during storage, controlled drug release, and high biocompatibility, while excluding some liposomes’ or nanoparticles’ limitations. In this study, LPNs were chosen as the carrier to deliver the hydrophobic drug – vincristine (VCR).

Different targeting strategies are currently under investigation to enhance the specificity of anti-lymphoma drug carriers, including antibodies, antibody fragments, peptides, folic acid (FA), etc. FA has been used as a targeting device because of the folate receptor, which is highly over-expressed in various tumors and lower in normal tissue. Therefore, LPNs functionalized with FA are studied in this research.

VCR, widely used clinically to treat cancers including acute lymphoblastic leukemia, cervical and breast cancer, is a hydrophobic alkaloid derived from Catharanthus roseus. However, severe side effects such as dose-dependent neurotoxicity and multidrug resistance have limited its clinical application. To the best of our knowledge, there is no published study on folic acid-decorated LPNs drug delivery system for VCR delivery.

In this study, FA-decorated VCR LPNs were designed and researched. FA-decorated lipid was synthesized, VCR-loaded LPNs were fabricated and applied to lymphoma in vitro on lymphoma cell lines and in vivo on a lymphoma animal model. Anti-cancer therapeutic effects and reduced systematic toxicity are expected for this system.

Materials and methods

Materials

VCR, FA, stearic acid (SA), 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT), dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were provided by Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). NH₄H₂-PEG₅₀₀-COOH was obtained from Beijing Kaizheng United Medical Technology Co., Ltd (Beijing, People’s Republic of China). PLGA (50:50, molecular weight: 24,000–38,000) was purchased from Jinan Daigang Biomaterial Co., Ltd (Jinan, People’s Republic of China).

Cells

Human Burkitt’s lymphoma cell line (Raji cells), B-cell lymphoma cell line (A20 cell line), and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI)-1640 medium was used for the culture of cells along with 1% (v/v) of penicillin/streptomycin solution; 2 mg/mL of sodium bicarbonate; and 0.05 mM of 2-mercaptoethanol. Exposing Raji cells to VCR solutions could provide the vincristine-resistant lymphoma cell line (Raji/VCR cells).

Animals

All animal experiments were conducted according to protocols approved by Shandong University and complied with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publications No 8023, revised 1978). The in vivo experiments were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Shandong University (No 100120170076). BALB/c nude mice (4–6 weeks, 18–22 g) were provided by the Medical Animal Test Center of Shandong University (Ji’nan, People’s Republic of China). Raji/VCR cells (5×10⁶ cells in 100 µL PBS) were injected subcutaneously on the right flanks of each mouse. Tumor volumes were estimated using the following equation: \( \text{volume} = \text{length} \times \text{width}^2 / 2 \).

Synthesis of FA-decorated lipid

FA-decorated lipid was designed and synthesized using NH₄H₂-PEG₅₀₀-COOH as a linker to conjugate FA and SA (Figure 1). SA was dissolved in DMSO, then NH₄H₂-PEG₅₀₀-COOH (1:1 with SA, molar ratio), followed by adding DCC and DMAP into the DMSO solution in an ice bath. After stirring at 400 rpm at room temperature for 6 h, PEG-SA was formed. FA (1:1 with SA, molar ratio) dissolved in DMSO was finally added along with DCC and DMAP into the PEG-SA solution, and stirred at 400 rpm for 6 h at room temperature to get FA-PEG-SA. The chemical structure was confirmed using nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H-NMR) spectroscopy.

Fabrication of LPNs

FA-decorated LPNs (FA-LPNs) with polymeric PLGA cores and lipid shells were fabricated by the ultrasonication method (method A). The oil phase was prepared by dissolving PLGA in dichloromethane. The aqueous phase was prepared by dispersing FA-PEG-SA in ultrapure water by sonication. The oil phase was then poured into the water phase and the mixture was sonicated by probe ultrasonicator in a ice bath. The resulting suspension was stirred for 4 h to evaporate the dichloromethane. The suspension was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C, washed three times, resuspended in the water with cryoprotectant and freeze-dried to obtain the fine FA-LPNs powder.

VCR-loaded FA-decorated LPNs (FA-VCR/LPNs) were fabricated by the same method as method A, with a different...
oil phase: the oil phase was prepared by dissolving VCR and PLGA in dichloromethane (method B) (Figure 2).

VCR-loaded LPNs which do not contain FA (VCR/LPNs) were fabricated by the same method as method B, with a different aqueous phase: the aqueous phase was prepared by dispersing PEG-SA in ultrapure water, by sonication.

**Characterization of LPNs**

**Average size and surface charge**

Average particle size, size distribution and zeta potential of the LPNs were tested at pH 7.4 and at 25°C, using a Malvern zetasizer NS (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK).

**Drug encapsulation and loading efficiency**

Drug encapsulation efficiency (EE) was determined by measuring the free drug present in the supernatant and comparing it to the total amount of drug added:21

\[
EE = \frac{\text{Total amount drug} - \text{Amount free drug}}{\text{Total amount drug}} \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

Drug loading efficiency (DL) was calculated based on the amount of encapsulated drug and amount of LPNs:

\[
DL = \frac{\text{Amount of drug in LPNs}}{\text{Amount of LPNs}} \times 100\% \quad (2)
\]

The amount of encapsulated drug was determined by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC).19

**Cellular uptake**

To evaluate cellular uptake efficiency of LPNs, coumarin 6 was used as a model fluorescent molecule, which can be encapsulated into various LPNs for quantitative investigation on cellular uptake.22 The Raji/VCR cells were equilibrated with Hank’s buffered salt solution (HBSS) at 37°C for 1 h before coumarin 6-loaded LPNs were added at concentrations...
of 200 mg/mL. After incubation for 2, 4, and 8 h, the medium was removed and the wells were washed three times with cold PBS solution. Then, cells were washed once with 1 mL of PBS and were detached with trypsin/EDTA. Then, the cells were centrifuged at 1,500 rpm at 4°C for 5 min, the supernatant was discarded, and the cells were re-suspended in 300 μL of PBS and directly introduced to a BD FACScan flow cytometer (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA).

Determination of folic acid receptor (FR)-expression levels of cells cultured in vitro
Western blot analyses were carried out for FR confirmation in the KB, Raji, A20, and HUVEC. 48 h-incubated cells were harvested, centrifuged at 2,000 rpm (4°C) for 10 min and washed in cold PBS. Following another centrifugation, at 6,000 rpm (4°C) for 3 min, cell pellets were resuspended, homogenized in 100 μL of ice-cooled radioimmunoprecipitation (RIPA) buffer (1% NP-40 in 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 2 mM EDTA), and then incubated for 10 min. The proteins were cleared by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm (4°C) for 10 min. Western blot was performed with cell lysates (−40 μg protein) using anti-FR antibody. Western blot signal was quantified using ImageJ software (version 1.51k; NIH, Rockville, MD, USA). Region of interest (ROI) was chosen manually, based on the largest band in the blot. The same ROI was applied in all remaining rows, with the protein band in the middle of the ROI frame. The mean signal of each ROI was standardized to the signal of KB cells, which was set as 100%.23

In vitro cytotoxicity
In vitro cytotoxicity of LPNs in Raji cells, Raji/VCR cells, A20 cell line, and HUVEC was evaluated by MTT assay.24 Different cells were cultured in 10% (v/v) of fetal bovine serum (FBS). MTT assays were performed on Raji cells, Raji/VCR cells and HUVEC upon treatment with free VCR, FA-LPNs, VCR/LPNs, and FA-VCR/LPNs with varying concentrations ranging from 0.1 μg/mL to 100 μg/mL. A microplate spectrophotometer was used to determine the absorbance at 570 nm.

In vivo tissue distribution
In vivo tissue distribution behavior was evaluated on Raji/VCR cell bearing BALB/c nude mice.25 Free VCR, VCR/LPNs, and FA-VCR/LPNs (contained 10 mg/kg of VCR) were intravenously injected via the tail vein, respectively. After intravenous injection, following anesthesia with a lethal dose of pentobarbital injected intraperitoneally, the tissues including the tumor, heart, liver, spleen, lungs, and kidneys were dissected, rinsed with 0.9% NaCl and blotted using tissue paper to remove adherent blood and fatty matter. Approximately 50–100 mg of the tissues were weighed and homogenized. The amount of drugs was quantified by HPLC using the method mentioned in the “Drug encapsulation and loading efficiency” section.

In vivo pharmacokinetics studies
The pharmacokinetics of LPNs were investigated using BALB/c nude mice. Free VCR, VCR/LPNs, and FA-VCR/LPNs (each contained 10 mg/kg of VCR) were intravenously injected via the tail vein, respectively.26 Blood samples (300 μL) were collected at pre-determined times (0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h) in polypropylene microcentrifuge tubes, centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 5 minutes to isolate the plasma, and stored at −80°C and subsequently analyzed by HPLC using the method mentioned in the “Drug encapsulation and loading efficiency” section.

In vivo antitumor activity
In vivo antitumor activity was evaluated on Raji/VCR cell bearing BALB/c nude mice.27 Mice were randomly divided into five groups, each group having six mice (n=6). Each mouse was treated with PBS (control), FA/LPNs, free VCR, VCR/LPNs, and FA-VCR/LPNs (each contained 10 mg/kg of VCR) intravenously twice a week for 3 weeks. Tumor size was measured twice a week and tumor volumes (TV) were estimated using the following equation:

\[ TV = \frac{\text{Length} \times \text{Width}^2}{2} \]  \tag{3}

The tumor weight (TW) was also assessed. Tumor inhibition efficiency (TIE) calculated through TW was according to the following equation:

\[ \text{TIE} = \frac{\text{TW}_{\text{control group}} - \text{TW}_{\text{treated group}}}{\text{TW}_{\text{control group}}} \times 100\% \]  \tag{4}

To evaluate the systemic toxicity, body weight was measured and investigated.

Statistical analysis
Data were expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis was performed by Student’s unpaired t-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to identify
significant differences, unless otherwise indicated. Differences were considered significant at $P < 0.05$.

**Results**

**Synthesis and characterization of FA-PEG-SA**

FA-PEG-SA was synthesized by the reaction between the carboxy and amino groups of FA, PEG, and SA. $^1$H-NMR spectroscopy was presented one to one corresponding to the FA-PEG-SA structure (Figure 1). The peaks of 3, 7, and 10 proved the formation of the amido bond. Other peaks illustrate the presence of FA, PEG, and SA. The production rate was 78%.

**Characterization of LPNs**

The particle size and other physicochemical characteristics of the LPNs’ formulations are presented in Table 1. The particle size of FA-VCR/LPNs was 174.1 nm, with a polydispersity index of 0.182. The size and polydispersity index of FA-LPNs and VCR/LPNs showed no obvious difference with FA-VCR/LPNs. The zeta potential of FA-LPNs, VCR/LPNs, and FA-VCR/LPNs was $-30.6$, $-35.4$ and $-32.7$ mV, respectively. The EE of drugs encapsulated in LPNs were all over 90%. The DL of VCR in VCR/LPNs, and FA-VCR/LPNs was 5.3 and 4.8%.

**Cellular uptake**

Cellular uptake efficiency of the coumarin 6-loaded LPNs was tested (Figure 3). As shown in this figure, cellular uptake efficiency of FA-VCR/LPNs and FA-LPNs was significantly higher than VCR/LPNs ($P < 0.05$). Cellular uptake efficiency of FA-VCR/LPNs reached 73.7% at 8 h posttreatments.

**Determination of FR-expression levels of cells cultured in vitro**

Western blot technique was used to determine relative FR-expression levels in all cancer cell lines (Figure 4).

Among the tested cervical cancer cell lines, KB cells revealed the most prominent FR-expression, followed by Raji cells and A20 cell line. HUVEC showed very low FR-expression. FR-expression was higher in Raji cells than A20 cell line, so Raji cells were chosen for the subsequent experiments.

**In vitro cytotoxicity**

In vitro cytotoxicity of different formulations was illustrated as the cell viability of the treated Raji, Raji/VCR cells, and A20 cell line (Figure 5). It can be seen from the figure that all the formulations complied with a concentration-dependent pattern; the cytotoxicity increased along with the increase of the drug concentrations. FA-VCR/LPNs exhibited higher cell toxicity than undecorated VCR/LPNs ($P < 0.05$). VCR-encapsulated LPNs achieved better efficiency to defeat cancer cells than free VCR ($P < 0.05$). Free VCR exhibited higher cytotoxicity in Raji cells than Raji/VCR cells. FA-LPNs do not contain drugs and showed no obvious toxicity; cell viability was over 80%. LPNs showed lower toxicity in HUVEC.

![Figure 3](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 3** Cellular uptake efficiency of the coumarin 6-loaded LPNs. Abbreviations: VCR, vincristine; FA, folic acid; LPNs, lipid-polymer hybrid nanoparticles.

![Figure 4](https://www.dovepress.com/)

**Figure 4** Quantification of signal intensity obtained from Western blot for FR-expression in KB cells, Raji cells and A20 cell line. The value obtained for KB cells was set as 100%. Abbreviations: FR, folic acid receptor; HUVEC, human umbilical vein endothelial cells.

| **Table 1** Characterization of LPNs (mean ± SD, n=3) |
|---|---|---|
| **LPNs** | **FA-LPNs** | **VCR/LPNs** | **FA-VCR/LPNs** |
| **Formulations** | | | |
| Particle size (nm) | 168.7±4.6 | 171.2±5.2 | 174.1±5.5 |
| Polydispersity index | 0.152±0.019 | 0.169±0.021 | 0.182±0.030 |
| Zeta potential (mV) | $-30.6±2.7$ | $-35.4±3.1$ | $-32.7±3.7$ |
| VCR EE (%) | N/A | 92.2±2.9 | 91.4±3.2 |
| VCR DL (%) | N/A | 5.3±0.8 | 4.8±0.7 |

**Abbreviations:** LPNs, lipid-polymer hybrid nanoparticles; FA-LPNs, folic acid-decorated LPNs; VCR, vincristine; VCR/LPNs, VCR-loaded LPNs; FA-VCR/LPNs, folic acid-decorated VCR-loaded LPNs; EE, drug encapsulation efficiency; DL, drug loading efficiency; N/A, not applicable.
**In vivo tissue distribution**

In vivo tissue distribution of VCR/LPNs, and FA-VCR/LPNs was investigated in Raji/VCR cells in mice after intravenous injection and compared to the distribution of free VCR (Figure 6). In summary, drug distribution of free VCR rapidly reached a high level during the first few hours and reduced over time. VCR distribution of VCR/LPNs and FA-VCR/LPNs was significantly higher in tumor tissue than in the free VCR ($P < 0.05$). The opposite results were found in the heart where a lower distribution of VCR was found in VCR/LPNs and FA-VCR/LPNs groups than in the free VCR group ($P < 0.05$).

**In vivo pharmacokinetics**

The plasma concentration of VCR after the administration of free VCR, VCR/LPNs, and FA-VCR/LPNs is shown in Figure 7 and the pharmacokinetics’ parameters such as area under the curve (AUC), plasma elimination half-life ($t_{1/2}$),...
and mean residence time (MRT) were calculated and presented in Table 2. The AUC, MRT, and $t_{1/2}$ of FA-VCR/LPNs were 377.24 µg·h/mL, 10.32 h, and 6.94 h, respectively, which were significantly higher than that of VCR/LPNs and free VCR ($P < 0.05$).

### Table 2 Pharmacokinetic parameters of VCR after intravenous administration of formulations to mice (mean ± SD, n=6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic parameters</th>
<th>Free VCR</th>
<th>VCR/LPNs</th>
<th>FA-VCR/LPNs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{0\rightarrow\infty}$ (µg·h/mL)</td>
<td>19.34±2.34</td>
<td>387.21±31.19*</td>
<td>412.36±33.25*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{0\rightarrow72}$ (µg·h/mL)</td>
<td>18.29±2.08</td>
<td>346.43±23.28*</td>
<td>377.24±26.17*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MrT (h)</td>
<td>2.89±0.36</td>
<td>8.98±0.76*</td>
<td>10.32±0.95*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{1/2}$ (h)</td>
<td>1.88±0.27</td>
<td>5.86±0.67*</td>
<td>6.94±0.52*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_e$ (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.43±0.06</td>
<td>0.21±0.04*</td>
<td>0.16±0.03*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $^*$ $P < 0.05$ compared with free VCR.

### In vivo antitumor activity

In vivo antitumor activity of LPNs was evaluated along with the free drug (Figure 7). Tumor volume increased rapidly when the mice were treated with PBS or FA-LPNs. The tumor volumes of all the drug-containing groups were inhibited more than in the PBS group (Figure 8A). The tumors of mice treated with FA-VCR/LPNs were considerably smaller than the VCR/LPNs and the free VCR groups ($P < 0.05$). After 3 weeks of administration, the TIE and body weight
of tumor-bearing mice were summarized. FA-VCR/LPNs exhibited the highest TIE (Figure 8B). No significant weight loss was observed in any of the VCR-loaded LPNs’ groups. However, reduction in body weight was observed in the free drug solution and FA-LPNs groups (Figure 8C).

Discussion

FA-decorated LPNs loading anticancer drugs have been developed in this study for the treatment of folate receptor positive lymphoma. Since FA is a crucial vitamin for proliferating cells, namely cancer cells, it would promote drug delivery by mediating internalization of FA-containing lipoplexes, most likely by FA receptor mediated-endocytosis.28 The choice of this ligand is very attractive because of its high binding affinity, low immunogenicity, ease of modification, small size, stability during storage, and low cost. Firstly, FA-PEG-SA was synthesized by the reaction between the carboxy and amino groups of FA, PEG, and SA. The nature and concentration of the targeting ligand is a key variable in ligand-mediated cell targeting.26 The differences reported between the various ligands in optimal concentrations for receptor binding may be related to the accessibility of the folate moiety of the ligand, which may be related to the PEG length or to the PEG-folate chemical linkage. PEG5000, the space linker with longer chain length has been proven to have better recognition of the targeting moiety on the NPs surface by the receptors.29 In this study, NH2-PEG5000-COOH was utilized. Then FA-LPNs with polymeric PLGA cores and lipid shells were fabricated by the ultrasonication method. The particle size of FA/LPNs, VCR/LPNs and FA-VCR/LPNs was around 170 nm, indicating that loading of the drug did not increase the diameter of the LPNs. The polydispersity of LPNs was below 0.2, which is not a wide size distribution. The drug EE of the NPs is crucial to justify their clinical applications. The EEs of drugs encapsulated in LPNs were all over 90%.

The cellular uptake research could provide some circumstantial evidence to display the advantages of the LPNs formulation. Coumarin 6, a fluorescent probe, was used to represent the drug in the nanoparticle formulation to analyze cellular uptake efficiency. Cellular uptake efficiency of FA-decorated LPNs was significantly higher than VCR/LPNs. This could be attributed to enhanced cancer cell specific adherence of the FA ligands.22 In vitro cytotoxicity studies were initially conducted with empty FA-LPNs to determine the vehicle cytotoxicity.30 A number of human gynecological cancer cell lines are known, or mentioned in the literature, to express FR.23 The use of the cervical adenocarcinoma cells is most common in the field, due to the very high FR-expression level in KB cells. KB cells are a subclone of
HeLa cells, which was the first human epithelial cancer cell line established in culture and probably the best-known cell line in past and current research. Western blot technique was used to determine relative FR-expression levels in all cancer cell lines. HUVEC showed very low FR-expression. FR-expression was higher in Raji cells than A20 cell line, so Raji cells were chosen for the subsequent experiments.

Cell viability of FA-LPNs was over 80%, thus showing no observable toxicity. The most noteworthy enhancement of VCR cytotoxicity was observed in the FA-VCR/LPNs group in Raji and Raji/VCR cells. This indicated the importance of encapsulation of anticancer agents in FA-decorated LPNs. VCR-encapsulated LPNs achieved better efficiency to defeat cancer cells than free VCR illustrating the excellent lymph tumor cell inhibition ability of the resulting LPN formulas, accounting for the outstanding antitumor activity in vitro. In HUVEC, LPNs showed lower toxicity than in cancer cells, indicating the targeted ability of LPNs to Raji and Raji/VCR cells.

High percentages of tissue distribution and penetration into target tissues are critical for an effective system. In vivo tissue distribution behavior of LPNs was evaluated on Raji/VCR cell bearing BALB/c nude mice. In summary, drug distribution of free VCR rapidly reached a high level during the first few hours and reduced over time. VCR distribution of VCR/LPNs and FA-VCR/LPNs was significantly higher in tumor tissue than the free VCR. The opposite results were found in the heart; obviously lower distribution of VCR was found in VCR/LPNs and FA-VCR/LPNs groups than free VCR group. Systemic toxicity could be decreased if fewer drug distributions in the heart and kidney could be achieved. Higher tumor tissue distribution may lessen the side effects.

Noticeably, a higher accumulation of the VCR-loaded LPNs was noted in the lung and spleen than free VCR, which may cause some side effects.

Nanoparticulate drug delivery systems are proved to have the ability to alter the pharmacokinetic profiles of drugs. This study illustrated that LPNs and free drugs have different pharmacokinetic properties. The relatively higher initial plasma concentration and longer drug retention of LPNs suggest that LPNs might be promising systems to reduce the elimination of the VCR by the mononuclear phagocyte system and extend the residence time of the VCR in the circulatory system. FA-VCR/LPNs showed a higher AUC than those of VCR/LPNs and free VCR, and it was expected that FA-VCR/LPNs could extend its in vivo antitumor activity.

To determine whether FA-VCR/LPNs are able to improve the therapeutic efficacy on lymphoma in vivo, VCR-encapsulated LPNs and free VCR were evaluated separately on lymphoma-bearing BALB/c nude mice. Results showed that free VCR had some effect on inhibiting tumor growth and was not statistically different from the negative control. The effect of the encapsulation of drugs as a strategy to improve the in vivo anticancer efficacy was evident for VCR, and significant antitumor activity was observed. No significant weight loss was observed in any of the VCR-loaded LPNs groups, indicating that all the treatments were well tolerated. However, reduction in body weight was observed in the free drug groups. The reduction of body weight with FA-LPNs may be caused by the increase of tumor progression that made the mice reduce their food intake and lose weight, as with the PBS control group. However, FA-LPNs do not contain drugs and showed no obvious toxicity during the cell viability study. Because of these results, LPNs constructed in this research can be regarded as one of the most biocompatible and biosafe delivery systems, and could be applied for the treatment of many diseases, particularly cancer.

Conclusion
This study reveals that the FA-decorated LPNs system can not only deliver therapeutic drugs in targeting tumor tissues but also has the capability to promote in vivo antitumor effects. The resulting FA-VCR/LPNs could be an effective and practical approach to enhance the efficacy of antibody-lymphoma agent and could be developed further to achieve better therapeutic effects.
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