The role of the pathology department in the preanalytical phase of molecular analyses
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Abstract: After introducing the new molecules for the treatment of patients with tumoral pathology, the therapeutic decision will be taken depending on the molecular profile performed upon the harvested tissues. This major modification makes the molecular and morphological analysis an essential part in the clinical management of patients and the pathologist plays an important role in this process. The quality and reproducibility of the results are imperative today and they depend on both the reliability of the molecular techniques and the quality of the tissue we use in the process. Also, the genomics and proteomics techniques, used increasingly often, require high-quality tissues, and pathology laboratories play a very significant role in the management of all phases of this process. In this paper the parameters which must be followed in order to obtain optimal results within the techniques which analyze nucleic acids and proteins were reviewed.
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Introduction
The recent progress made in the detection of molecular events with a key role in the development of tumors, as well as the advent of new drugs capable of blocking molecular targets, has led to the mandatory increase in standardization and quality control for the molecular biology techniques employed. The same applies to the tissue-processing stages that precede these assays.1–3 Nowadays, the detection of mutated or amplified genes such as HER2, KRAS, Braf, and C-KIT makes personalized treatment possible, and in addition, the evaluation of estrogen (E) and progesterone (P) expression, for example, has led to the improvement of the clinical condition of patients.4–7 Furthermore, the genomics and proteomics techniques that are increasingly being used often require high-quality tissues; therefore, the pathology laboratories play a very significant role in the management of all phases of this process.8–10

The preanalytical phase includes all procedures performed upon a tissue fragment, from harvesting to the molecular analysis. The main departments involved in this process are surgery and especially pathology.11 The reception of tissues, their...
fixation, freezing or embedding in paraffin, the diagnostic, and the evaluation of tissue quality are all essential stages of the preanalytical phase and are the exclusive domains of the pathologist. The archives of the pathology departments are, at present, an important source of tissues where molecular biology techniques can be applied in order to identify new markers, which link to the evolution of patients and their response to the treatment. Both classic techniques, such as immunohistochemistry (IHC), and the recent ones need the standardization of the preanalytical stages. In this paper we focused on parameters which can be followed in order to obtain high-quality tissues for molecular testing and also the limitations of applying the new techniques on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues (FFPE) (Figure 1).

### Protein evaluation

At present, a series of molecular biomarkers are used in the clinical management of patients, and they need to be precisely assessed. This is why each pathology laboratory that assesses these biomarkers must provide accurate and reproducible results. This requires a standardization of the methods employed in tissue processing.

### IHC

Generally, fixation must be made within a timeframe of up to 12 hours. During this period, the storage of tissues at 4°C or at room temperature does not influence the quality of the immunomarking. The effects seen after >12 hours seem to be antigen specific.

---

**Figure 1** Overview of the general responsibilities of surgical, pathology, and molecular medicine departments in the tissue analysis flow.

**Note:** The pathology departments are at the core of tissue analysis, thus ensuring the quality control for tissue processing in all of the departments involved in biomedical research and in state-of-the-art molecular analyses.

**Abbreviations:** CGH, chorionic gonadotrophin; FFPE, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded; MALDI TOF, mass spectrometry; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; qPCR, quantitative PCR.
The fixative solution on the other hand is influenced by the concentration, the pH, and the presence of the buffer as these factors contribute toward optimal immunomarking. The best formula is the 10% neutral-buffered formalin (NBF) with a pH between 5 and 7, although it may differ from one antigen to another. The ratio between the volume of the tissue and that of the fixative solution may vary considerably. It is generally accepted that the optimum ratio for a good fixation is 1:10; however, the ratio has been reported to vary from 1:10 to 1:20.¹⁷

Differences may also occur between various areas of the tissue sample, such as between the periphery and center of a sample as this affects the intensity and the number of positive cells. The penetration of tissues takes place at different speeds, depending on its depth; in order to double the depth, the time must be increased by four times.¹⁸ Of note is the fact that formalin ensures the fast penetration of tissues but remains slow in terms of fixation. For a better quality of the tissues used for immunohistochemistry purposes, fixation is more important than penetration time. Experiments performed on mouse liver showed a plateau after ~24 hours. The temperature at which fixation takes place is also very important, as it is faster at 37°C than at 25°C. The pH also influences fixation time, which is shorter at 7.0 than at 4.0. The rinsing of tissues fixed for a long period of time shows that fixation is a reversible process.¹⁹

The extended ischemia due to late fixation may decrease the expression of estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) in breast cancer. The ER expression begins to drop 2 hours after sampling, and the PR expression drops after 1 hour. After 8 hours, the expression becomes completely negative. Therefore, fixation should be made within a maximum of 1 hour.²⁰ A minimum fixation time of 6–8 hours is required for the optimal expression of receptors, while the maximum fixation time that may be reached without a change in the ER, PR, or HER2 expression is 72 hours.²¹–²³

In order to show the importance of standardizing the immunohistochemical expression of ER and PR in breast cancer, a Guideline Recommendation was published at the initiative of the American Society of Clinical Oncology and the College of American Pathologists. In these guidelines, it was noted that ~20% of the IHC determinations are incorrect, mostly due to a “variation in preanalytic variables”. According to these guidelines, the requirement for optimal tissue handling is that the time between the reception of the tissue and fixation should be as short as possible. The tissue fragments must be cut into slices that are ~5 mm thick, fixed only in 10% NBF, in quantities suitable for a good penetration of the tissue (10-fold greater than the volume of the specimen). A higher or lower concentration of NBF will not be accepted.²⁴ The fixation time should be between 6 and 72 hours. Also, the cold ischemia time, the type of fixator, and the fixation time should be recorded. Each fragment should be accompanied by a sheet indicating the warm and cold ischemia times (the surgeon’s duty) and also the fixation time, the type of fixator, and the fixation duration (the pathologist’s duty). The time between tumor harvesting and fixation should be kept at <1 hour. The pathologist must keep track of these parameters and notify them to the team performing the study.

With respect to the expression of HER-2, slides must be stored for no more than 6 weeks prior to the evaluation. Just like in the case of the receptors, the time until fixation should be as short as possible. The fixation time should be longer than 6 hours; however, it should not exceed 48 hours. Fixation should be made in a sufficient volume of 10% NBF.²⁵

In proteomics, certain signal transduction pathway phosphoproteins, known sensitive indicators of tissue state, may suffer changes in expression levels that mask the levels at the time of excision, depending on tissue handling and cold ischemia delay. Due to factors such as postexcision hypoxia and stress-response signals, certain kinase proteins may suffer expression level alterations during the cold ischemia delay. As a solution to this unwanted variance, Espina et al²⁶ have developed guidelines based on studies regarding postexcision phosphoprotein expression fluctuations in different organs. To further compensate for rapid enzyme function alteration, procedures such as thermal or pressure inactivation of enzymes involved in cell signaling (protein kinases, phosphatases, or even RNAses) have been developed.²⁷ However, such methods lead to the loss of tissue morphology preservation. In any case, protein phosphorylation changes significantly after 10–15 minutes of cold ischemia. For example, it has been noted that phosphorylated mTOR levels greatly increase after 45 minutes.²⁸ Based on a range of evidence, the TuBaFrost consortium has suggested a maximum time of 30 minutes to flash-freezing, based on mass spectrometry-based evidence.²⁹ As general recommendations for the reduction of preanalytical variability, Espina et al³⁰ indicate that elapsed time between tissue extraction and stabilization should not reach 20 minutes and that additional variables, such as sample excision and collection time, elapsed time to preservation or stabilization, and length of fixation time, should be recorded to provide a measure of sample quality. Additional proteome integrity assays should be performed, and these include Western blot (WB) for the evaluation of marker protein proteolysis, effects of preservation, and posttranslational
modifications; evaluation of proteolysis of abundant proteins over time by 2-dimensional (2D)-gel electrophoresis (GE), and evaluation of tissue morphology and immunoreactivity through microscopic evaluation.30

After samples have been received in the pathology laboratory, tissues may undergo formalin fixation, which leads to protein–protein cross-linking and requires digestion with proteinases. This may affect protein assay results, as shown in studies comparing some commonly used preservation methods, including formalin fixation. A first study noticed that, in contrast to fresh frozen samples, FFPE tissues failed to yield identifiable target proteins through 2D GE, and another team subsequently reported similar results through the use of chromatographic methods,31,32 supposedly because of the protein mesh that forms after protein cross-linking. These difficulties were later resolved by the use of new protocols.33 However, other studies reported minimal modification of proteins after formalin fixation.34 To circumvent possible formalin-induced protein modifications, some studies have suggested the use of alternative fixatives, such as FineFix, RCL2, and HOPE.35,36

In order to optimize protein extraction from FFPE tissues, Shi et al have used a method widely applied in IHC. FFPE were boiled in an antigen retrieval solution of Tris-HCL (2% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS]), followed by incubation. Fresh tissue from the same sample was processed to compare the efficiency of protein extraction. Evaluation of the extraction quality done by GE and mass spectrometry showed better results for high temperature-heated FFPE tissues.33

In the process of tissue protein separation for gel-based assays, enzymatic digestion and application of increased detergent concentrations such as SDS can produce peptides, which can be further modified by tissue formalin increasing the risk of interference with mass spectrometric analysis methods.37,38 Protein extraction methods may use different homogenization techniques, which may damage proteins by exposure to tissue proteases that can cause protein degradation, especially in frozen tissues. Consequently, some studies recommend the use of quick, low-temperature protein extraction methods and the use of protease enzymatic inhibitors.39 To avoid the risk of protease-mediated protein degradation altogether, other more integrated proteomic-inclined approaches may employ heat, instead of freezing stabilization methods, as they have been shown to have superior results when compared to a combination of flash-freezing and enzymatic inhibitors.40 Protein extraction yield as well as quality measured by WB assays has also been shown to be enhanced through the use of an established (EBX) and commercial buffer (EBX Plus) when used on a variety of cancerous and noncancerous tissues that have undergone formalin fixation even for extended periods of time (144 hours).41

Recently, FFPE tissues have been regarded as a possible source of material for WB assays. Results from a study on renal cancer showed that, compared to frozen tissue extracts, FFPE tissues lead to similar results through WB, although membrane protein expression was reported to have a greater variability.42 In addition, RP protein array analysis showed similar results in the level of intact protein extraction rate, with a greater sensitivity attributed to the RP protein array method, in contrast to WB assays (Figure 2).43

### Nucleic acids

The various procedures that tissues undergo either in surgery or in the pathology laboratory have different effects in terms of biomolecule stability. Among the most sensitive of molecules, RNA expression may vary even with little exposure to the environment. For instance, warm ischemia has been

---

**Figure 2** The steps of the preanalytical phase and their matching with the times of warm/cold ischemia.

**Note:** Most steps are possible during cold ischemia, thus reducing as much as possible processing time and ensuring a good tissue quality for molecular testing.

**Abbreviation:** FFPE, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded.
proven to affect RNA quality and level detection, the degradation of which may be lowered through tissue cooling. On the other hand, some studies have shown that cold ischemia duration decreases RNA integrity levels only to a modest degree, affecting both RNA integrity number and yielded quantity levels, while in other studies such effects of cold ischemia were not observed. The American Society of Clinical Oncology and College of American Pathologists have produced guidelines regarding the cold ischemia interval, which should remain below 2 hours. Others propose a gold standard of 30 minutes, although some evidence exists that even with such a small delay, effects on tissues such as gene expression may be significant. Concurrent measurement of the reduction of housekeeping gene expression levels may provide information regarding the levels of RNA quality degradation. The fixation process has great influence on RNA quality because it causes strand breakage and cross-linking with other biomolecules. RNA from FFPE samples was found to be heavily degraded and fragmented so that only short sequences, -100–200 nucleotides long, could be recognized and amplified through polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Small tissue fragments and short fixation times – 8 hours in formalin – should provide the best nucleic acid conservation and best tissue morphology preservation. The quality of these parameters may also be preserved through a variant of the formaldehyde fixation process, which lowers the process temperature at 4°C. As the level of RNA integrity conservation in FFPE specimens is low, alternative solutions, such as RNAlater tissue freezing, may be employed with significant results. Frozen tissues are known to provide a greater quantity of high-quality RNA and DNA than FFPE tissues for assays involving nucleic acids. By causing precipitation of tissue RNA-ses, it has been shown that RNAlater increases both RNA integrity number values and also can cause a threefold increase in RNA-yielded quantity results that are similar to or even greater than those obtained through the flash freeze technique. Other studies, however, suggest that freezing still may be preferred over RNAlater. Consequently, The Tumor Analysis Best Practices Group, a group of investigators employing a commercial microarray platform widely used in clinical trials, has the following recommendations: all tissue samples should be flash frozen within minutes of surgery and stored at ≤–80°C. Samples should also be kept in small, airtight containers and kept from drying out during frozen storage by placing fragments of ice in with the sample.

In addition to mRNA, the recently discovered microRNA is a potential biomarker for many diseases that impose surgical treatment. Unlike the former, however, microRNA seems to have greater postexcision stability than mRNA, possibly because of its stable association with proteins in the Argonaute 2 complex. Many studies have shown that expression profiles of microRNAs generally remain comparable to those derived from frozen tissues, independently of the use of widely used fixatives or storage time or even the employed microRNA profile assay method. Also, microRNA expression might not be influenced by the use of methods such as formalin fixation methods or immunocytochemical methods. Finally, studies that assess the effect of warm or cold ischemia time intervals on microRNA profiles are still missing.

As we have mentioned, nucleic acids are more susceptible to degradation through the use of fixation techniques. Consequently, fresh-frozen tissue specimens are the preferred source for nucleic acid-based assays. However, because of the limited availability of such tissues, attention has been given to FFPE tissues as an alternative source of genetic material. After surgery, it is known that a longer prefixation time interval may cause DNA integrity level change. A possible solution is the use of commercial formulations capable of denaturing nucleases, such as the Whatman FTA paper, which even allows the storage of tissues at room temperature while preventing DNA damage and increasing DNA assay results after tissue processing shown to be excellent sources of DNA; FFPE tissues create additional problems in terms of material extraction and analysis. In addition, it has been observed that DNA isolated from FFPE tissue contains more PCR inhibitors than DNA isolated from nonfixed specimens. However, new studies that compare modern DNA isolation methods may provide recommendations for the selection of protocols that yield optimal results with nucleic acid assays such as PCR. Other nucleic assays may be deemed impractical on FFPE tissues. Some sequencing studies have shown through sequencing methods that as much as one artificial mutation per 500 bases may result from the formalin fixation process. However, next-generation sequencing (NGS) has yielded excellent results even with single-nucleotide variant calls or interpretation of insertions and deletions, which are some of the issues arising when analyzing FFPE tissues. Furthermore, a new study evaluating the lung cancer KRAS, BRAF, and EGFR gene mutations has compared both the NGS and real time-PCR assays and proved that while the results obtained by both methods were similar, NGS was able to detect both single-nucleotide and insertion-deletion variations. In addition, a new study by Wagle et al provides a method combining hybridization-capture and deep
sequencing, which maximize sequence yield and lower overall assay costs. Regarding a-chorionic gonadotrophin, early studies suggested that DNA samples obtained from FFPE tissues were uninterpretable because of various errors such as oversaturation and nonuniformity in replicates. Recent advances have surmounted these impediments and even compared these new methods to suggest optimal a-chorionic gonadotrophin platforms.

If both the warm and hot ischemia times are optimal, fixation using paraformaldehyde does not produce any alterations of the nucleic acids. Considering that formaldehyde acts mainly on the protein level by forming –CH3 chemical connections, it has a lower effect on the quality and preservation of both DNA and RNA. Fragmentation of the nucleic acids is mainly found in paraffin-embedded tissues. Still, new assays for molecular analysis such as next-generation sequencing or methylation arrays are associated with limited fragmentation of the nucleic acids and the results of the analysis are not influenced by the degree of alteration in paraffin-embedded tissues. Thus, even if the experience of various pathology laboratories is limited in this regard, molecular analyses should use paraffin-embedded tissues that are not older than 10 years, as according to the guidelines.

In the case of protein decalcification, the process may influence molecular testing, considering that one of the most common types of biopsies that are decalcified are the bone marrow biopsies. Thus, the International Council for Standardization in Hematology (ICSH) has set up guidelines that aim to bring together the various protocols for tissue decalcification and still maintain an optimum quality of the biopsy material for the IHC staining. The best protocol of decalcification for IHC staining is ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid based, lasting between 16 and 24 hours, and the strong acids used have allowed the keeping of the various differentiation markers on the cell surface. Decalcification should be followed by a careful rinsing for about 10 minutes in order to properly remove the decalcification agent. Combining the protocols is not recommended, with heating and steering improving decalcification, and should always be considered. Even if protein degradation is quite different from the degradation of nucleic acids, current international pathology practice protocols require that the used protocols for tissue processing take into consideration DNA and/or RNA degradation. Thus, in order to also achieve a good tissue quality for molecular testing, the decalcification time is between 16 and 24 hours and the used substance is 14% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid. Shortening this time is only acceptable for morphology assessment. In case the laboratory also requires molecular, cytogenetic, or flow cytometry assays, a longer time is required, as shown in Figure 3A–D.

**Conclusion**

Molecular diagnostics have become a reality. In the coming years, they will account for an increasingly significant fraction of the activity of pathology laboratories. In response to the new requirements, more and more laboratories will have to adapt their activities and implement, control, and standardize the procedures associated with the preanalytical phase. Each laboratory should create a dedicated unit, with specific equipment and specialist staff. The stages mentioned in the present article indicate that the pathologist is central in the processes required for molecular testing. The physicians working in pathology laboratories therefore will have to quickly familiarize themselves with the principles and techniques of molecular biology, thus acquiring dual competences, as morphological and also molecular pathologists.
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