External squeeze test during pediatric laparoscopic hernia repair: a novel on-table assessment to ensure complete closure of patent processus vaginalis
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Background: In pediatric patients, recurrent inguinal hernia (IH) after laparoscopic repair mostly occurs secondary to incomplete closure of the patent processus vaginalis (PPV). The “external squeeze test” (EST) is a simple on-table assessment tool to check completeness of closure of PPV during laparoscopic IH repair.

Patients and methods: A review of effectiveness of EST and recurrence of IH was carried out at our institution from December 2007 to December 2017. Closure of PPV was achieved with creation of purse string over pre-peritoneal fascia of the deep inguinal ring with avoidance of important structures; EST was then performed, that is, a gentle squeeze on the scrotum or labia majora while the telescope remains focused at the pursed area. If the closure was incomplete, EST would result in escape of CO₂ from within the scrotum or labia back into the peritoneal cavity, visualized as air bubbles internally and taken as a positive EST. A complete closure of PPV would not produce any bubbles internally upon external pressure; this would be taken as a negative EST. All patients had 1- to 12-month follow-up.

Results: A total of 874 PPVs were repaired. EST was performed in 870 PPVs (99.5%). There were five recurrences of PPVs consistent with recurrent IH (0.57%); one was in a patient with negative EST, attributed to a slipped ligature; the other four did not have EST performed (p<0.000000). All the recurrences were re-repaired laparoscopically.

Conclusion: EST is a simple, useful on-table assessment tool which significantly detects completeness of closure of PPV which may prevent recurrence of IH. We highly recommend EST in pediatric laparoscopic hernia repair, especially in large PPV.
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Introduction
Recurrent inguinal hernia (IH) after laparoscopic repair occurs most likely secondary to incomplete closure of the patent processus vaginalis (PPV). The “external squeeze test” (EST) was introduced by the author in 2007, which is a simple on-table assessment tool to test completeness of closure of the PPV using the port-free purse-string technique for laparoscopic inguinal hernia (LIH) repair.¹

Methodology
To look at the effectiveness of EST, a review of all cases from December 2007 to December 2017 with and without EST and the recurrence of IH were carried out. This review based on our existing audit is waived for ethical approval by UKM Medical Centre.
Centre Medical Research and Ethics Committee. At laparoscopy, during the CO2 insufflation, the gas would also flow into the scrotum or labia majora through the PPV; squeezing the scrotum or labia externally would result in escape of gas from within the scrotum or labia back into the peritoneal cavity, visualized as air bubbles internally. Closure of PPV was achieved via creation of purse string over pre-peritoneal fascia of the deep inguinal ring with avoidance of important structures, that is, vas deferens and spermatic cords. A gentle squeeze on the scrotum or labia majora externally or EST was then performed, while the telescope remains focused at the pursed area (Figure 1). If the closure of PPV was incomplete, EST would result in escape of gas from within the scrotum or labia back into the peritoneal cavity, visualized as air bubbles internally and taken as a positive EST; therefore, the purse string needed to be redone. Absence of internal air bubbles upon EST means the closure of PPV is complete, and hence, a negative EST (Video 1). If there was any residual air in the inguinal-scrotal region, percutaneous aspiration using the smallest gauge needle would be carried out at the end of surgery.

Results
A total of 874 PPVs were repaired laparoscopically and evaluated. EST was performed in 870 PPVs (99.5%). All patients were followed up at 1 and 12 months post surgery. Five repaired PPVs had recurrence of the IH (0.57%): one recurrence happened in a patient who had negative EST which was attributed to a slipped ligature, confirmed during the second repair; the other 4 cases did not have EST performed. All the recurrences were re-repaired laparoscopically. Single-table analysis was carried out with Fisher’s exact test; the result showed p<0.0000001 (Table 1).

Discussion
The results showed that EST performed at our institution ensured complete closure of PPV for LIH repair and correlated with successful surgery (no recurrence). Furthermore, 220 large PPVs (bowel seen in irreducible or obstructed IH or PPV of more than 2 cm diameter) with positive on-table EST benefitted from immediate re-purse string, resulting in no hernia recurrence. Our IH recurrence rate of 0.57% is comparable to the recurrence rate of 0.3% at a high-volume center in the People’s Republic of China with a series of over 6,000 pediatric patients, and better than most other pediatric laparoscopic IH series (1.4%–3.1%).2–5

Conclusion
EST is a simple and useful on-table assessment tool. We recommend EST in LIH repair, especially when the patient has a large PPV.
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Table 1 Single-table analysis of EST and successful surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Successful surgery (no recurrence)</th>
<th>Unsuccessful surgery (with recurrence)</th>
<th>Total surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EST performed</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST not performed</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>869 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: At least one expected value (row total × column total/grand total) is <5; hence, Fisher’s or mid-P exact test is recommended than chi-square test. Fisher’s exact test results showed p<0.0000001.

Abbreviation: EST, external squeeze test.