A comparative in vivo study of strontium-functionalized and SLActive™ implant surfaces in early bone healing
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Purpose: Studies have shown that strontium-doped medical applications benefit bone metabolism leading to improved bone healing and osseointegration. Based on this knowledge, the aim of the study was to evaluate the performance of an implant surface, functionalized by a physical vapor deposition (PVD) coating (Ti-Sr-O), designed to yield predictable release of strontium. The Ti-Sr-O functionalized surface is compared to a routinely used, commercially available surface (SLActive™) with respect to bone-to-implant contact (BIC%) and new bone formation (BF%) in two defined regions of interest (ROI-I and ROI-II, respectively).

Materials and methods: Ti-Sr-O functionalized, SLActive, and Grade 4 titanium implants were inserted in the femoral condyle of adult male New Zealand White rabbits. The PVD magnetron-sputtered Ti-Sr-O surface coating was characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) for morphology and coating thickness. Strontium release and mechanical stability of the coating, under simulated insertion conditions, were evaluated. Furthermore, histomorphometrical BIC and BF were carried out 2 weeks after insertion.

Results: Histomorphometry revealed increased bone formation of Ti-Sr-O with significant differences compared to SLActive and Grade 4 titanium in both regions of interest, ROI-I and ROI-II, at 0–250 µm and 250–500 µm distance from the implant surfaces. Analogous results of bone-to-implant contact were observed for the two modified surfaces.

Conclusion: The results show that a nanopatterned Ti-Sr-O functionalized titanium surface, with sustained release of strontium, increases peri-implant bone volume and could potentially contribute to enhancement of bone anchorage of osseointegrated implants.
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Introduction

Implant insertion and subsequent prosthetic treatment has become a reliable method with predictable results in modern dentistry. Artificial root insertion is regarded as an effective treatment for several prosthetic clinical setups considering survival rates between 75% and 98% in defined observation periods and study groups.\(^1,2\) Nevertheless, in industrialized countries with influence of demographic changes in particular, different challenges remain. Elderly patients with compromised bone conditions\(^3\) due to, eg, osteoporosis, antiresorptive therapy, or patients having undergone irradiation with a need for oral rehabilitation represent a patient population with requisitions for further enhancement of endosseous implant devices.
Following the discovery of the phenomenon of osseointegration by Brånemark et al., research initially focused on implant geometry. This focus has now shifted toward biofunctionalization of surfaces aiming for acceleration of the biological process of osseointegration, allowing for early implant loading. Nowadays, different approaches for enhancement of surface properties are used, such as generation of defined geometries in the macro-, micro-, and nanometer range (e.g., sandblasting and etching), implementation of osteoinductive ions, laser ablation, anodic oxidation, or preparation under N₂ protection/storage in liquid resulting in ultrahydrophilic surfaces. The latter process with increased wettability was introduced in the last decade and evolved to a commonly used surface within dental implantology due to advanced surface free energy resulting in extended woven bone formation. Preclinical studies showed that SLActive™ implants achieved up to 60% more bone-to-implant contact 2 weeks post-insertion and demonstrated accelerated and more developed bone formation when compared to a conventional sandblasted and acid-etched surface. Incorporation and subsequent release of bioactive ions, eg, calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), or strontium (Sr), has been investigated in various applications, constituting beneficial effects on bone metabolism. Based on the documented effects in vitro, in vivo, as well as in clinical trials, strontium, an essential trace element in the human body, represents a promising route for enhancement of osseointegration with effects on osteogenic gene expression, cell differentiation, and increased bone apposition when introduced into, eg, bioabsorbable alloys, cements, bioglasses, composites, or surface coatings. The mechanisms through which strontium affects bone remodeling, with its dual effect on bone-forming osteoblasts and bone-resorbing osteoclasts, are still elusive. In vitro studies have shown that clinically used strontium ranelate (SrRan) affects the RANK/RANKL/OPG pathway as well as osteogenic differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), which is mediated by activation of canonical and noncanonical Wnt signaling. Moreover, SrRan was able to reduce the adherence of osteoclasts to bone by disrupting the actin sealing zone, while it also influences bone forming cells by osteoblast differentiation via increasing alkaline phosphate activity and collagen synthesis.

With respect to functionalization of endosseous medical devices, it is known that strontium-enriched biomaterials benefit the process of osteoinduction and osseointegration. Concerning dental implantology and surface topography, it is commonly accepted that moderately rough surfaces have favourable effects on osseointegration, while biofilm formation is facilitated with roughness parameter R₆ of 0.2 μm and above with potential subsequent inflammatory reactions at the implant interface and possible implant failure. Smoother surfaces could diminish bacterial adhesion while promoting protein absorption, thus allowing for attachment of different cell types relevant for the osseointegration process. Those topographic properties, with supplementary incorporation of strontium in particular, could influence the mechanical anchorage of titanium implants.

With research currently evaluating not only improved topographic settings for accelerated bone growth but also biofunctionalization toward osteogenic properties, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of a Ti-Sr-O physical vapor deposition (PVD) coating, a novel functionalized surface with continuous release of strontium in comparison to a clinically used SLActive implant surface in vivo.

Materials and methods
Sample preparation and characterization
Surface preparation and characterization were thoroughly investigated earlier in detail for both SLActive and Ti-Sr-O coating. Briefly, test implants, measuring 8 mm in length and having a maximum outer diameter of 3.75 mm, were manufactured from titanium Grade 4 (Elos Medtech Pinol A/S, Gørløse, Denmark). The implants were self-tapering and had a turned surface finish. Part of the manufactured items was retained to be used as reference implants, while the Ti-Sr-O coating was applied to the other part, using an industrial-scale magnetron sputtering system (CemeCon AG, Wuerselen, Germany). Subsequently, the thickness and morphology of the Ti-Sr-O coating was assessed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Nova 600; FEI Company, Eindhoven, the Netherlands). Strontium release from Ti-Sr-O implants was evaluated by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) (AMETEK Spectro Arcos, AMETEK, Bervyn, PA, USA), over a period spanning 7 days, as previously described. Briefly, five implants were submerged in PBS. At the relevant time points (0, 1, 3, 5, and 7 days), the PBS was removed and subsequently pooled. Three ICP-AES measurements for each time point were performed. The deviation between the individual measurements was typically less than 1%. To allow for evaluation of the coating thickness, implants were embedded in a conducting resin (PolyFast, Struers, Denmark) and subsequently cut, ground, and polished to allow for a cross-sectional view of the Ti-Sr-O coating. Benchmark implants, carrying the SLActive surface (diameter 3.3 mm and 8 mm in length), were acquired through standard commercial trade routes (Straumann AG, Basel, Switzerland).
Mechanical stability

To evaluate the mechanical stability of the developed Ti-Sr-O coating, in relation to the forces experienced during implant insertion, the implantation procedure was simulated using solid blocks of the polymer polyoxymethylene (POM; Ensinger Denmark A/S, Ringsted, Denmark). Following insertion, the implants were retrieved from the POM material and the block was split along the center axis of the hole, to see if the material from the Ti-Sr-O coating had been transferred to the POM. Additionally, the surface of the inserted implants was examined using SEM and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX). In relation to this, focus was on the cutting edges of the implant, as these areas will experience the largest forces.

Surgery

With permission of the Austrian government (BMWF-66.011/0143-II/3b/2013) and the ethics committee of the Medical University of Innsbruck, twelve 9-month-old male New Zealand White rabbits (average body weight 4,500 g) underwent surgical procedure following a 2-week observation period for evaluation of early osseointegration stages in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines. In addition, Austrian guidelines for welfare of animals was followed (Federal Act on the Protection of Animals 2014; ERV_2004_1_118). After a 2-week settling-in period, animals were randomly chosen with insertion of either SLActive, Grade 4 titanium (Ti), or the strontium-modified surface (Ti-Sr-O); with insertion in both femoral condyles, n = 8 per group was ensured. Thus, animals were carrying two implants, one in each femur.

In detail, animals were anesthetized with Medetomidine (Domitor® 1 mg/mL; 0.2 mL; Orion Corporation, Espoo, Finland) and Ketamine (Ketavet® 100 mg/mL; 0.2 mL; Zoetis, Zurich, Switzerland) intravenously with subsequent surgery. Briefly, under aseptic conditions, a 20 mm incision was made medial to the patella with following soft and hard tissue preparation and implant insertion according to defined protocols. All steps were performed while cooling the operation site with isotonic saline solution (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA). Multilayer soft tissue closure was performed with Vicryl 4-0 (Ethicon; Johnson & Johnson Medical GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany). Postsurgical treatment included analgesic as well as antibiotic subcutaneous injections with Carprofen (Rimadyl® 4 mg/kg body weight [BW]; Zoetis) and Enrofloxacin (Baytril® 7.5 mg/kg BW; Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany). The rabbits were held in a room with a 12 h:12 h light:dark cycle with room temperature of 18°C–20°C. They were individually housed and fed ad libitum with rabbit pellets (V2333, ssniff® K-H; Ssniff GmbH, Soest, Germany), while tap water was available ad libitum by an automatic drinking system.

Sacrification was conducted with pentobarbital (Narcoren® 400 mg/kg BW; Boehringer Ingelheim, Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany) intravenously after 2 weeks, and peri-implant tissue was embedded in Technovit 9100 new® (Kulzer Austria GmbH, Vienna, Austria) according to the manufacturer’s manual.

Histomorphometry

Subsequent to being sacrificed, samples were put in formalin, followed by embedding in Technovit 9100 new and further processing with the method of Donath and Breuner, as previously described. In brief, Technovit blocks were cut along the centrosymmetric axis of the implants, which was facilitated by insertion of individual polyetheretherketone guide pins into the internal screw geometry before initiation of the embedding process, allowing visualization of the implant position and orientation. Samples were then glued to microscope slides and, after a grinding process for parallelization, underwent secondary cutting with a blade width of 0.1 mm (Exakt 300; Exakt, Norderstedt, Germany) and finally ending up in slide thickness of ~100 μm. Sanding was performed (grit size 1,000, 2,500 and 4,000, respectively) to achieve a final sample thickness of 50 μm, and slides were subsequently polished with Micropolish II, 1.0 micron (Buehler, Braunschweig, Germany) and stained with toluidine blue. Analysis of bone apposition (magnification 40×) was conducted using NIS Elements BR 3.10 software (Nikon GmbH, Vienna, Austria) evaluating bone-to-implant contact directly at the implant interface and new bone formation in defined areas 0–250 μm and 250–500 μm distance from the implant interface (two defined regions of interest [ROI-I] and [ROI-II], respectively). Results were expressed as bone-to-implant contact (BIC%) and new bone formation (BF%). Two slides per implant were analyzed with all measurements taken on the spongy part of the femur as shown in Figure 1.

Statistical analysis

Analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 24 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and R 3.3.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The data of BIC% and BF% are presented as mean ± standard deviation. The data were plotted in box plots and inspected for outliers. The normal distribution of the data was assessed by inspection of QQ-plots and Shapiro–Wilk’s test for each group. To explore if there were statistical differences between the study groups concerning the data of BIC% and BF%, one-way ANOVA was performed together with Games–Howell post hoc analysis. Levene’s test was used for assessment of homogeneity of variances, for all groups. The significance level for statistical tests was set at α = 0.05. Statistical
significance was indicated by *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$, and ***$P < 0.001$, respectively.

**Results**

All 12 animals completed the study as planned in a 2-week observation period. With exception of the anticipated swelling in the knee joint area, healing was uneventful in all animals; no complications such as infections, allergic reactions, or implant loss were observed. Furthermore, no premature exposure of inserted implants was detected.

**Sample preparation and characterization**

The surface morphology was investigated, as previously described.\(^2\) Figure 2 presents representative images of the top-view surface structure and cross-sectional view of the Ti-Sr-O coating, applied to the surface of the turned Ti Grade 4 implants. As is evident from the images, a nanopatterned and granular structure is observed for the top-view images. The cross-sectional view reveals a columnar structure of the coating.

Strontium release from the Ti-Sr-O coating was evaluated over a 7-day period and the accumulated release is presented in Figure 3.

**Mechanical stability**

After insertion into POM, the surface of the Ti-Sr-O coated implants, as well as the internal surface of the POM insertion hole (data not shown), was examined using SEM and EDX.
Figure 4 shows representative images of the cutting edges and approximate areas of the implant screw, before and after insertion. It is evident that the coating is affected by the insertion as the structure appears to be compressed. In addition to compression, some degree of fracturing is also observed; however, no signs of delamination were found. To further investigate if the insertion into POM would cause delamination of the coating, the internal structure of the vacant hole in the POM block was also examined using SEM and EDX. No signs of material transfer from the implant to the POM were found (data not shown). Additionally, images acquired at areas of the implant subjected to lower forces, than the cutting edges, did not show any signs of changes to the coating structure (data not shown).

**Histomorphometry**

Figure 1 provides exemplary histological slides for all examined surfaces. With respect to the evaluated BIC%, as seen in Figure 5, Ti-Sr-O showed a higher mean as compared to the SLActive surface, however, with no statistical significance. Ti was inferior to both investigated surfaces ($P < 0.05$ and $P < 0.001$). Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Data on new bone formation (BF%) are presented in Figure 6. Analysis in ROI-I (0–250 µm from the implant interface) revealed significantly more bone formation for Ti-Sr-O as compared to SLActive ($P < 0.05$) and Ti ($P < 0.01$). This was also the case for bone apposition in ROI-II (250–500 µm) ($P < 0.001$).

**Discussion**

The aim of this study was to evaluate a functionalized titanium surface with sustained release characteristics of strontium and compare it to SLActive, a clinically used well-established surface, with respect to bone-to-implant contact and bone formation in a rabbit femur model.

With demographic changes and an increasing incidence of diminished bone conditions, dental implantology is facing various challenges for implant success due to, eg, medical interventions in bone metabolism such as antiresorptive therapy or irradiation. Investigations on osseointegration published over the last decade focused not only on osseointegration per se but particularly on biofunctionalization and surface characterization. With different approaches toward incorporation of active ions, strontium-doped implants have gained increased attention for enhancement of osseointegration. This is based on a variety of data from in vitro investigations, which show the effect of strontium on bone-related gene expression, MSC differentiation, interference in the RANK/RANKL/OPG pathway,
and Wnt signaling. In vivo experiments showed beneficial effects on bone-to-implant contact and bone apposition, while clinical trials with oral administration of SrRan in osteoporotic patients revealed risk reduction of vertebral fractures up to 49%.

With respect to dental implantology, the presence of strontium on the implant interface promotes osteoinduction with reports on significant increase in bone-to-implant contact for hydrothermally prepared titanium implants, surpassing the effect of super-hydrophilicity in promoting early bone apposition or beneficial effects of a strontium-substituted hydroxyapatite coating manufactured via a sol-gel dipping method and tested in osteopenic bone conditions.

In the present study, the advantageous effect of strontium on bone metabolism was investigated. Tailored release profiles of the osteogenic element were obtained by a Ti-Sr-O coating prepared by an industrial-scale magnetron sputtering process, resulting in a nanostructured surface topography. It is well known that nanotopographical features may influence osteogenic differentiation and mineralization, while in vivo effects were observed as well. However, in the present case, it is clearly the chemical cue which is the stronger determinant. This conclusion is supported by several earlier studies, where similar nanotopographies to the present resulted in significantly different amounts of bone ingrowth. Specific nanostructures supporting mineralization might lead to synergistic effects. However, this still has to be investigated. Based on our previous investigations, the current in vivo experiments were conducted to test the performance of the Ti-Sr-O technology when implanted into the femoral condyle of rabbits. The study was designed to evaluate early osseointegration in comparison to a leading, commercially available implant surface, namely SLActive. This surface modification with increased surface free energy

Figure 4 Images of the Ti-Sr-O surface, acquired at the cutting edge of the implant, before (top) and after (bottom) insertion into the block of POM. Scale bar is 5 μm.

Figure 5 BIC% 2 weeks postoperatively. Significant differences of Ti as compared to SLActive™ and Ti-Sr-O are marked with *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.001, respectively. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

Abbreviations: BIC%, bone-to-implant contact; Ti-Sr-O, strontium-functionalized surface.
notable, as the Ti-Sr-O functionalized implant represents a conceivable increased mechanical anchorage. These results were interpreted as a consequence of the sustained release of strontium from the implant interface with subsequent shortening of healing periods and early implant loading by altering biological events, resulting in higher bone-to-implant contact.

Incorporation of strontium as an osteoinductive component could promote the osteogenic capacity, facilitated by releasing defined amounts of strontium from implant surfaces. With respect to histomorphometrical analysis, bone-to-implant contact (BIC%) revealed similar results with slight advantages for Ti-Sr-O as compared to the two other groups. As listed in numerous publications over the years, BIC% is regarded as golden standard for evaluation of osseointegration. Resembling BIC% values of Ti-Sr-O and SLActive proved an adequate osteogenic response to both surfaces with different topographical and chemical features. Additionally, significant differences with respect to BF% within 0–250 µm (ROI-I) and 250–500 µm (ROI-II) distance from the implant interface were observed, contributing to conceivable increased mechanical anchorage. These results were interpreted as a consequence of the sustained release of strontium from the functionalized interface in the near-surface environment.

With insertion of unloaded implants in the limbs of New Zealand White rabbits, an established animal model was used in this study mimicking submerged healing conditions. Loading protocols could be assessed with further in vivo experiments in large animal models but were not part of this study as a consecutive investigation of previously unloaded implants. The aforementioned increase in new bone formation, up to a distance of 500 µm, should be directed to future pull out or torque-testing as a secondary measure for assessing the potentially enhanced implant stability. The results are notable, as the Ti-Sr-O functionalized implant represents a machined smooth surface with subsequent modification and was compared to a different surface topography of SLActive. Although this was not the focus of the current investigation, this fact emphasizes the positive osteoinductive effect of strontium. To our knowledge, this is the first time an implant with a turned surface finish has been found to surpass a state-of-the-art dental implant surface with respect to bone apposition in defined regions of interest.

Histomorphometric results showed that strontium-modified titanium implants can achieve commensurable bone healing parameters, presumably due to controlled release of strontium, in comparison to SLActive implants. Thus, implants with sustained release of strontium constitute a potential candidate for altering biological processes of osteogenic cells toward accelerated bone growth and could thereby contribute to an early enhancement of osseointegration.

Conclusion
This in vivo study is the first to report on a strontium-functionalized surface (Ti-Sr-O) manufactured by a PVD magnetron sputtering process with sustained release profiles of strontium in comparison to SLActive, a clinically used, well-established surface. Improved findings with respect to new bone formation and similar results for bone-to-implant contact were observed. Moreover, no sign of coating disintegration was detected. The controlled release of strontium as osteoinductive element from functionalized titanium implants could hold potential as a future surface modification candidate, thus representing a considerable candidate for further improvement of early osseointegration.
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