Comparative analysis of molecular signatures suggests the use of gabapentin for the management of endometriosis-associated pain
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Introduction

Endometriosis is characterized by the growth of endometrial-like tissue consisting of glandular tissue and stroma outside the uterine cavity in localized and innervated implants. Remarkably, endometriosis lesions present histopathological and physiological responses that are similar to those of the endometrium. Endometriosis patients frequently experience various pain symptoms including chronic pelvic pain (CPP), dysmenorrhea, dyspareunia, and dyschezia, which often result in severe personal, social, and economic difficulties. Treatment of endometriosis-associated pain is difficult due to its poorly understood pathophysiology, complex clinical presentation, and natural history. Endometriosis is generally treated by surgical intervention, analgesic treatment, or hormonal treatment suppressing cyclic ovarian hormone production and

Background: It has been repetitively shown that the transcription factors DLX5 and DLX6 are drastically downregulated in endometriotic lesions when compared with eutopic endometrium. These findings suggest that regulatory cascades involving DLX5/6 might be at the origin of endometriosis symptoms such as chronic pelvic pain (CPP). We have shown that inactivation of Dlx5 and Dlx5/6 in the mouse uterus results in an endometrial phenotype reminiscent of endometriosis.

Methods: We focused on genes that present a similar deregulation in endometriosis and in Dlx5/6-null mice in search of new endometriosis targets.

Results: We confirmed a strong reduction of DLX3 expression in endometriosis implants. We identified a signature of 30 genes similarly deregulated in human endometriosis implants and in Dlx5/6-null mouse uteri, reinforcing the notion that the downregulation of Dlx5/6 is an early event in the progress of endometriosis. CACNA2D3, a component of the α2δ family of voltage-dependent calcium channel complex, was strongly overexpressed in both mutant mouse uteri and in endometriosis implants, and was also CACNA2D1 and CACNA2D2, other members of the α2δ family involved in nociception, are upregulated.

Conclusion: Comparative analysis of gene expression signatures from endometriosis and mouse models showed that calcium channel subunits α2δ involved in nociception can be targets for the treatment of endometriosis-associated pain. CACNA2D3 has been associated with pain sensitization and heat nociception in animal models. In patients, CACNA2D3 variants were associated with reduced sensitivity to acute noxious stimuli. As α2δs were targets of gabapentinoid analogues, the results suggested the use of these drugs for the treatment of endometriosis-associated pain. Indeed, recent small-scale clinical studies have shown that gabapentin could be effective in women with CPP. The findings of this study reinforce the need for a large definitive trial.

Keywords: endometriosis, gabapentin, CACNA2D3, Dlx5, pain

For personal use only. 
Powered by TCPDF (www.tcpdf.org)
Dlx genes comprise a highly conserved family of homeobox genes. Dlx5 and Dlx6 (Dlx5/6) are expressed in GABAergic neurons of the brain including delta opioid receptor-positive GABAergic neurons that are involved in the regulation of chronic pain.6 Besides early roles in neuronogenesis and limb and craniofacial patterning during embryogenesis,6 Dlx5/6 are also involved in the differentiation of steroidogenic tissues including Leydig cells in the testis7 and theca and granulosa cells in the ovary.8 DLX5 and DLX6 are expressed in glandular and lining epithelial cells of mouse and human endometrium9 where their expression is upregulated during the secretory phase10 of the cycle. By targeted inactivation of Dlx5/6 in the mouse uterus, we have demonstrated their central role for uterine adenogenesis and fertility.9

It has been repeatedly shown that the expression of DLX3 and DLX6 is strongly downregulated in endometriotic lesions compared to eutopic endometrium.11–14 Endometriosis implants and Dlx5/6 mutant mouse uteri have, therefore, in common a very low level of Dlx5 expression. Furthermore, Dlx5/6-null endometrial epithelia present a histological appearance reminiscent of that found in endometriosis implants with very few glands and a cuboidal lining epithelium that locally tends to desquamate.9 The histological and molecular similarities between endometriosis implants and Dlx5/6-null mouse uteri have prompted our suggestion that the downregulation of DLX5/6 could be an early event in the progress of endometriosis and that inactivation of these genes in the mouse uterus could constitute a model of the human pathology.9

Here, we show that Dlx5/6-null mouse uteri and ectopic human endometrium share 30 deregulated genes confirming the these mice can be considered a model of the disease; we suggest that these 30 shared genes are a new, more focused, molecular signature of endometriosis. We focus our attention on CACNA2D3, an overexpressed gene that has an evolutionary-conserved role in nociception.15 CACNA2D1, CACNA2D2, and CACNA2D3 constitute the α2δ gene family of glycosylphosphatidyl inositol (GPI)-anchored transmembrane voltage-gated calcium channel (VGCC)-associated subunits. During membrane depolarization, VGCCs permit Ca2+ influx, contributing to cell depolarization and functioning as secondary messengers regulating key neuronal mechanisms including gene expression and neurotransmitter release. VGCCs are involved in generating neuropathic pain and are targets of gabapentinoids.16 Remarkably, CACNA2D1 to 3 are significantly increased in endometriosis implants12,13 and should therefore be considered as targets for the treatment of endometriotic pain.

Methods

Endometrial biopsies
A total of 37 ovarian endometriosis and 12 normal endometrium samples were selected from the formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue collection of the Division of Anatomic Pathology, Department of Surgical Science and Integrated Diagnostics, University of Genoa, Italy. All patients signed an informed consent under local institutional review board-approved protocols for research purposes dealing with the archived endometriosis tissues in the pathology department. Informed consents are available on request (from LM). The Regional Liguria Ethical Committee for medical research approved the use of archive human samples.

Animals

Procedures involving animals were conducted in accordance with the directives of the European Community (council directive 86/609) and the French Agriculture Ministry (council directive 87-848, October 19, 1987, permissions 00782 to GL). The project was reviewed and approved by the “Cuvier” ethical committee of the Muséum National d’Histoire Naturelle and transmitted to the French Ministry of Agriculture. Mice were housed in specific pathogen-free and light-, temperature- (21°C), and humidity (50–60% relative humidity)-controlled conditions. Food and water were available ad libitum. Conditional Dlx5/6floxflox mutants were generated as described.9 To obtain Pgrcre/+;Dlx5/6floxflox mice, we crossed Pgrcre/+;Dlx5/6floxflox males with Dlx5/6floxflox females.

Immunohistochemistry

Fixed tissue samples were embedded in paraffin, and 5 mm thick sections were cut. Slides were dewaxed in xylene and rehydrated in ethanol solutions. Antigen exposure was achieved by submerging slides in sodium citrate 0.01 M, pH 6.0 (Sigma-Aldrich Co., St Louis, MO, USA) and heating at 90°C with pressure in a 2100-Retriever device (Prestige Medical, Northridge CA, USA). Endogenous peroxidase activity was quenched by incubation with 3% (vol/vol) H2O2 in methanol (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) or with peroxidase-blocking solution from Dako EnVision + System HRP kit (K4011; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) for 30 minutes. Tissue sections were then blocked with 5% (wt/vol) bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Sigma-Aldrich Co.) or
10% of fetal calf serum (FCS) in PBS at room temperature for 2 hours and then incubated with anti-DLX5 (NB1-19547; Novus Biologicals, Littleton, CO, USA) polyclonal rabbit primary antibody at 1/200 dilution, or anti-CACNA2D3 at 1/250 dilution (NB1-30557; Novus Biologicals), or anti-CBS at 1/400 dilution (1478-1-AP; Proteintech, Manchester, UK), or anti-LTBP2 at 1/250 dilution (17708-1-AP; Proteintech, UK), or anti-GJB3 at 1/50 dilution (12880-1-AP; Proteintech, UK), or anti-NOXA1 at 1/100 dilution (CUSABIO, Huston, TX, USA), or anti-KIAA1324 at 1/200 dilution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) in PBS 5% FCS overnight at 4°C in a humidified chamber. Normal rabbit IgGs were used as negative control. Next, slides were washed with PBS and detection of the primary antibody was achieved by using the Histostain-SP Broad Spectrum kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or goat antirabbit antibody linked to horseradish peroxidase (Dako EnVision + System HRP kit; K4011). Counterstain was done using the hematoxylin-stabilized solution 1/5 (RAL Diagnostics, Martillac, France) according to standard procedures. Images were visualized under an Olympus BX-51 microscope and captured using the Image-Pro Plus v.6.2 software (Media Cybernetics, Rockville, MD, USA).

RNAseq

RNA library preparation was carried out according to the Illumina TruSeq Stranded Total RNA Sample Prep protocol, following Ribo-Zero Gold Deplete procedure (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). From a total amount of 1.5 µg of total RNA, both cytoplasmic RNA and mitochondrial ribosomal RNA (rRNA) were removed using specific biotinylated oligos and Ribo-Zero RNA removal beads. RNA, purified from uteri of three \( P_{gr}^{cre/+}; Dlx5/6^{floxed/} \) and three \( Dlx5/6^{floxed/} \) mice at PN25, was fragmented by the addition of divalent cations and the incubation at 94°C for 4 minutes. The first cDNA strand was synthesized using random primers and a reverse transcriptase. DNA polymerase I synthesized the second cDNA strand and generated blunt-ended ds cDNA, while RNase H removed the RNA template. An A nucleotide was added to the 3’ ends, followed by the ligation of multiple indexing adapters that are required for the pooling and the hybridization with the flow cell. These DNA products were purified, and only those having adapter molecules on both ends were enriched with PCR by using a Cocktail Primer that anneals specifically to the adapters. The obtained libraries were validated by Bioanalyzer (Agilent DNA 1000; Agilent Technologies) and pooled and sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 sequencing system. The global quality of each library was checked using the FastQC software (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/). To reduce any PCR amplification bias, duplicated reads were removed before the duplicated reads before the mapping based on a 100% sequence identity between reads. Then, five nucleotides were trimmed at both 5’ and 3’ ends of all remnant reads using FASTX-Toolkit v0.0.14 (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/index.html). Reads were mapped against the mouse mm10 genome (Version GRCm38.p3) using the TopHat2 software v2.0.10 with default parameters. Only uniquely mapped reads were kept and were counted on genes’ exons using the HTSeq-count software v0.6.1p18 with “union” mode using the Mus musculus Ensembl annotation (release 79). Only coding and expressed genes were considered. “R” package DESeq2 v1.8.0 was used for statistical analyses to determine differential gene expression levels (cutoff: adjusted \( P \)-value ≤ 0.1). Samples’ homogeneity was checked realizing a principal component analysis with “R” package FactoMineR, following which, one mutant mouse was not considered for further analysis.

To identify mouse and human orthologs, we used the BioMart tool, provided by Ensembl, Heidelberg, Germany. From 424 genes differentially expressed in \( P_{gr}^{rem/}; Dlx5/6^{floxed/} \) mice, we found 391 human orthologs, which have been used for comparison.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR)

Total RNA was isolated from five mouse uterine samples per group using an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen NV, Venlo, the Netherlands) according the manufacturer’s instructions. On-Column Deoxyribonuclease I (Hoffman-La Roche Ltd., Basel, Switzerland) digestion was incorporated into an RNA isolation procedure to remove potential genomic DNA contamination. RNA concentration and the ratio of the absorbance at 260 and 280 nm were measured using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Reverse transcription was carried out using 600 or 200 ng total RNA and Primscript (Ozyme) reverse transcriptase to obtain cDNA. qPCR was performed using the QuantStudio 6 Flex Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The PCR program consisted of 95°C for 10 minutes, 40 cycles 95°C for 15 seconds, and 60°C for 10 minutes. Relative gene expression was measured using SYBR Green mix gene expression assays (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Primers utilized are shown in Table 1. To measure the relative amount of PCR products, the Ct geometric mean of \( Sdhα \) and \( Hprt1 \), was subtracted from the Ct of genes of interest to derive \( ΔCt \). The \( ΔCt \) of mutant animals was compared with \( ΔCt \) of control
animals, and the difference was assigned as ΔΔCt. The fold change between two samples was then calculated as $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$.

### Statistical analysis

The Mann–Whitney unpaired test was conducted using Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) to calculate the differences between groups of five independent measurements. All values are expressed as mean ± SEM of combined data from replicate experiments. Values $P<0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

### Results

#### DLX5 distribution in normal endometrium and in endometriosis

First, we analyzed the distribution of DLX5 in normal endometrium from various stages of the menstrual cycle by immunohistochemistry (Figure 1A–A'). DLX5 protein is prevalently present in endometrial glandular and luminal epithelial cells. The intracellular distribution of DLX5 varies at different phases of the cycle: during the proliferative phase, DLX5 is detected both in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm (Figure 1A and A'), while in the secretory phase, DLX5 is predominantly present in the cytoplasm (Figure 1B and B') where it displays a polarized distribution mostly toward the luminal and basal aspects of the cells.

Most endometriotic lesions (Figure 1C–D') present very few glands with a very large lumen covered by an atypical noncolumnar epithelium, which does not present a uniform phenotype even between different epithelial regions from the same patient (eg, the two facing epithelia in Figure 1D'). In certain regions, epithelial cells are large and noncohesive (Figure 1C' and D'), while in other territories, they display a flattened phenotype with very little cytoplasm (Figure 1D' and E'); cells are almost invariably ciliated. The intensity of anti-DLX5 staining is generally low or at the limit of detection (Figure 1C–D'), but occasionally (Figure 1E and E'), a clear signal can be detected in the cytoplasm and in perinuclear regions, while nuclei are mostly negative; frequently, epithelia are constituted by a mixture of DLX5-positive and DLX5-negative (arrows in Figure 1E') cells.
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A shared genetic signature between Dlx5/6-null mouse uteri and endometriosis implants

DLX5 and DLX6 are between the most downregulated genes in endometriosis implants,11–13 and their inactivation in the mouse uterus results in an endometriosis-like phenotype.9 To better investigate the apparent similarity between endometriotic implants and mouse Dlx5/6-null uteri, we have compared the RNAseq profiles of genes deregulated in the mutant mouse uterus with those reported in four independent studies in which the expression profile of endometriosis implants was compared with that of eutopic endometrium.12–14,20 Hawkins et al.12 described 2083 deregulated genes in endometriotic lesions; among this set of genes, 997 genes are underexpressed and 1086 genes are overexpressed. Several of these genes were also identified in the three other studies, which we have considered,13,14,20 providing a shared database to identify potential therapeutic targets. Comparison of Dlx5/6-mouse mutant uterus RNAseq dataset with the human datasets permits identifying 30 genes that present a common deregulation in the mouse and in endometriosis implants: 13 downregulated and 17 upregulated genes (Table 2). If indeed the downregulation of Dlx5/6 is an early event in endometriosis progression, this set of 30 genes could well constitute a more focused molecular signature of endometriosis implants (Figure 2).

Validation by qPCR

In a first round of validation, we analyzed, by qPCR, the effect of Dlx5/6 inactivation on the expression levels of 28 of the 30 genes identified by RNAseq comparison (Figure 3A and B). In all cases, the trend of variation occurred in the direction predicted by RNAseq either up (Figure 3A) or down (Figure 3B); however, due to high individual variability, the variation reached a level of significance for only 12 genes. Between the upregulated genes, the expression of CACNA2D3 and CBS was, respectively, increased six and seven times. CACNA2D3 together with CACNA2D1 and CACNA2D2 constitutes the family of α2δ VGCC subunits involved in nociception. In Dlx5/6-null mouse uteri, the expression levels of CACNA2D1 and CACNA2D2 were not significantly changed after RNAseq analysis and were not further analyzed.

Remarkably, the expression of each of these three genes is significantly increased in endometriosis implants (Data Set Records GDS2835 and GDS3975).12,13 Interestingly, the cystathionine-β-synthase (CBS) gene has been already shown to be hypomethylated and upregulated in endometriosis lesions.21

Altered pattern of gene expression in human endometriosis

To validate the upregulation in endometriosis of the most significantly affected genes, we performed immunohistolocalization on section from normal endometrium and
endometriosis implants. CACNA2D3, CBS, and LTBP2 (Figure 4) immunodetection signal was dramatically increased in endometriotic lesions. CACNA2D3 was virtually undetectable on normal endometrium sections (Figure 4A and B) and presented a high level of expression in endometriosis epithelia and smooth muscle cells (Figure 4A′ and B′). In the epithelia, CACNA2D3 immunodetection was predominantly associated to membranes and cytoplasm (arrows in Figure 4B′), while CBS and LTBP2 immunodetection (Figure 4C–D′) was mostly nuclear in endometriosis implants.

**Discussion**

Morphological and genetic observations on mutant mouse uteri and on pathological specimens have prompted the suggestion that low DLX5 and DLX6 expressions could be associated with the progression of endometriosis. We have therefore proposed that DLx5/6-null mouse uteri could be considered as a model of the disease permitting to get new insight on this pathology.9

Here, we have compared the gene expression signature of DLx5/6-null mouse uteri with those obtained in four independent studies on endometriosis.12–14,20 DLx5/6-null uteri and endometriosis implants share a set of 30 commonly deregulated genes supporting the hypothesis that downregulation of DLx5/6 could be an early event in endometriosis progression. The 30 identified genes constitute a more “focused” genetic signature of the disease that has permitted potential therapeutic targets to be handpicked. We focused, in particular, on genes upregulated in endometriosis as they could be potential targets for therapeutic strategies.

---

**Table 2 Genes commonly deregulated after DLx5/6 inactivation in the mouse uterus and in human endometriosis implants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Comparison of normal and DLx5/6-null mouse uterus</th>
<th>Comparison of normal human endometrium and endometriosis implants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Log2 fold change</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLX5</td>
<td>Absent (targeted deletion)</td>
<td>–8.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOXA1</td>
<td>–2.25</td>
<td>3.51E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMRTA1</td>
<td>–2.11</td>
<td>2.65E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIAA1324</td>
<td>–2.07</td>
<td>1.96E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLC2A7</td>
<td>–1.91</td>
<td>1.14E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABRP</td>
<td>–1.81</td>
<td>5.56E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPCD2</td>
<td>–1.55</td>
<td>5.04E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENPW</td>
<td>–1.36</td>
<td>6.92E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCH1</td>
<td>–1.32</td>
<td>4.14E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP6</td>
<td>–1.23</td>
<td>2.97E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OSCP1</td>
<td>–0.95</td>
<td>3.24E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAZF1</td>
<td>–0.88</td>
<td>3.09E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBS</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>5.77E-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAIPS</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.69E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>4.38E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLIP4</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.76E-08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIK3AP1</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>8.44E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAPTM5</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>8.85E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTGF</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>3.15E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAIP2</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.04E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACNA2D3</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>2.61E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTPB2</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>3.16E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNS3</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.22E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAB20</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>3.26E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYTL2</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.97E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBH</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.26E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENCI</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2.39E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANBA</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.98E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GALM</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>2.27E-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Current endometriosis therapies present limited efficacy, high rates of symptom recurrence, and significant side effects.\textsuperscript{3,4} For example, the use of GnRH agonists is associated with side effects such as menopausal symptoms and reduced bone mineral density,\textsuperscript{22} which restrict their use and demand add-back therapy.\textsuperscript{23} In addition, pain management is one of the major clinical challenges for the treatment of endometriosis.\textsuperscript{24} Endometriosis implants, although ectopic, are highly innervated. Peritoneal endometriosis lesions present a high density of sensory, cholinergic, and adrenergic nerve fibers that might be involved in the origin of pain.\textsuperscript{25,26} It has been proposed that a bidirectional relation exists between nerve fibers and endometriotic lesions with a two-way interaction between the implants and the central nervous system.\textsuperscript{27–29} The interplay between the peripheral and the central nervous system might be at the origin of individual differences in pain perception that can, in some patients, evolve independently from the disease.\textsuperscript{30} When pathological conditions cause damage to the nervous system, sensory activation thresholds are lowered with exaggerated pain perception even upon mild or absent painful stimulation.

Figure 2 Molecular signature of endometriosis obtained comparing genes deregulated in Dlx5/6 mutant mice uteri and in endometriosis lesions.

Figure 3 (Continued)
Between the 17 upregulated gene candidates, we focused specifically on CACNA2D3, member of the family of $\alpha 2\delta$ VGCC subunits involved in nociception (Figure 5). CACNA2D1, CACNA2D2, CACNA2D3, and CACNA2D4 constitute the $\alpha 2\delta$ family of VGCC subunits. $^{16}$ VGCCs are composed of $\alpha 1$ subunit proteins involved in pore formation $^{31}$ and many possible splice variants of the $\alpha 1$, $\beta$, and $\alpha 2\delta$ subunits. Such a molecular diversity confers to these channels a variety of specific properties in different cell types and situations. VGCCs play a critical role in neuropathic pain development through the modulation of the release of excitatory neurotransmitters, $^{32}$ calcium-dependent enzyme activation, $^{33}$ gene regulation, $^{33-35}$ and short- and long-term plasticity changes. $^{36-39}$ Abnormal regulation of VGCC subunits, such as $\alpha 2\delta$s, may contribute to pain signal transduction through several mechanisms including the induction of abnormal synaptogenesis. $^{40,41}$ Dysregulation of VGCCs and their subunits has been observed in pathological conditions, including nerve injuries and animal models of endometriosis; $^{42}$ it is therefore possible that these channels contribute to the origin of endometriosis-associated pain.

Gabapentinoids, including gabapentin (Neurontin; Pfizer, Zürich, CH) and pregabalin (Lyrica; Pfizer), are compounds used for the treatment of neuropathic pain. $^{33,44}$ As seen by binding of $[^{3}H]$gabapentin to membranes from COS-7 cells transfected with $\alpha 2\delta$ cDNA, gabapentinoids bind predominantly to $\alpha 2\delta 1$, $\alpha 2\delta 2$ subunits of VGCCs. $^{45-47}$ However, an evolutionary conserved role in nociception has also been shown for $\alpha 2\delta 3$, and SNP variants of this subunit are associated with reduced sensitivity to heat and chronic back pain. $^{15}$

**Conclusion**

Analgesics, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and gabapentin, are often used for pain relief despite limited evidence of their efficacy in endometriosis. $^{4,48}$
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A recent pilot trial on 47 patients and several clinical observations have suggested that treatment with gabapentin alleviates significantly endometriotic pain; however, given the small size of the trials, uncertainty remains. Our findings provide evidence supporting a definitive evaluation of the efficacy of gabapentin in the management of endometriosis-associated pain.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr Elisabeth Da Maia, Department of Pathology, Pitié Salpêtrière Hospital, for her kind help in endometrial and endometriosis section analysis. This research was partially supported by the EU Consortium HUMAN (EU-FP7-HEALTH-602757) to GL and by CNRS and MNHN general support to UMR7221. This study was supported in part by the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, the French National Museum of Natural History, and the EU Consortium HUMAN (EU-FP7-HEALTH-602757).

Author contributions

GL, BB, AB, VG, CDL, and NN-N conceptualized and designed the study. BB, AF, GA, and ED-B acquired the data. PG, CP, and ED-B generated and analyzed the RNAseq data. SA and LM collected the tissue and performed histological analysis. GL, BB, AB, and VG drafted the article. All authors discussed the results and commented on the article. All authors contributed toward data analysis, drafting and revising the paper and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Figure 4 Immunolocalization of CACNA2D3, CBS, and LTBP2 in normal endometrium and in ovarian endometriosis implants.

Notes: Immunolocalization of CACNA2D3, CBS, and LTBP2 on sections from normal endometrium (A–C) and from endometriosis implants (A′, B′, and C′).

Arrows indicate CACNA2D3 distribution in epithelial cells of endometriosis implants. Scale bar: (A, A′, C, C′, D, and D′) 250 µm and (B and B′) 62.5 µm.

Figure 5 Summary diagram.

Note: The reduction of DLX5 expression in endometriosis implants results in an increased expression of α2δ subunits with a potential increase in neuropathic nociception.
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