Bupivacaine in alginate and chitosan nanoparticles: an in vivo evaluation of efficacy, pharmacokinetics, and local toxicity

Cíntia Maria Saia Cereda,1 Daniel Sebbe Mecatti,2 Juliana Zampoli Boava Papini,1 Diego Valério Bueno,2 Michelle Franz-Montan,3 Thalita Rocha,2 José Pedrazzoli Júnior,2 Eneida de Paula,4 Daniele Ribeiro de Araújo,5 Renato Grillo,6 Leonardo Fernandes Fraceto,7 Silvana Aparecida Calafati,2 Giovana Radomille Tofoli1

1Institute and Research Center São Leopoldo Mandic, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil; 2UNIFAG, São Francisco University, Bragança Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil; 3Department of Physiological Sciences, University of Campinas, Piracicaba, São Paulo, Brazil; 4Department of Biochemistry and Tissue Biology, University of Campinas, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil; 5Human and Natural Science Centre, Federal University of ABC, Santo André, São Paulo, Brazil; 6Department of Physics and Chemistry, School of Engineering, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Ilha Solteira, São Paulo, Brazil; 7Department of Environmental Engineering, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Sorocaba, São Paulo, Brazil

Objective: This study reports a preclinical evaluation of an alginate/chitosan nanoparticle formulation containing NovaBupi®, a racemic bupivacaine (BVC) containing 25% dextrobupivacaine and 75% levobupivacaine.

Methods: New Zealand White rabbits (n=6) received infraoral or intrathecal injections of BVC 0.5% or BVC 0.5%-loaded alginate–chitosan nanoparticles (BVC ALG). BVC plasma levels and pharmacokinetic parameters were determined in blood samples of these rabbits. An infraorbital nerve blockade was performed in male Wistar rats (n=7) with the same formulations and the vehicle (NP ALG). Histological evaluation of local toxicity after 6 hours and 24 hours of the treatments was performed in rats1 (n=6) oral tissues.

Results: No statistically significant difference was observed between plasma concentrations and pharmacokinetic parameters (p>0.05) after infraoral injections. However, after intrathecal injection BVC ALG changed approximately three times the values of volume of distribution and area under the curve (AUC0–t; p<0.05). The total analgesic effect of BVC after infrafibral nerve blockade was improved by 1.4-fold (p<0.001) with BVC ALG. BVC and BVC ALG did not induce significant local inflammatory reaction.

Conclusion: The encapsulation of BVC prolongs the local anesthetic effect after intraorbital nerve blockade and altered the pharmacokinetics after intrathecal injection.
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Introduction

Local anesthetics (LAs) are used for anesthesia and analgesia during trans- and postoperative periods or for management of acute and chronic pain conditions.1 In contact with the nerve fiber trunk, these agents bind to specific sites of sodium channels of the nerve membrane and promote a reversible interruption of the nerve impulses due to decreased permeability to sodium ions.2,3 Bupivacaine (BVC) is a long-acting LA that belongs to the amino-amide class and is widely used during surgical procedures and for postoperative pain. Due to its chemical structure, BVC presents a stereocenter and has two isomers, dextrobupivacaine (R(+)-BVC) and levobupivacaine (LBVC; S(−)-BVC).4 The physicochemical properties of the two isomers molecules are the same, but these molecules can present different behaviors in their affinity for either the site of action or the sites involved in the generation of side effects. The biologic effects of enantiomers differ, both quantitatively and qualitatively, due to receptor configuration.5 R(+)-BVC produces greater tonic and phasic block of sodium channels than S(−)-BVC, two and three times, respectively.6 The affinity of R- and S-isomers of BVC is...
different for ion channels of sodium, potassium, and calcium, and this results in a significant reduction of toxicity in central nervous and cardiac systems of the S(−)BVC.\(^5\)\(^\text{-}\)\(^9\)

Therefore, S(−)BVC is a long-acting anesthetic with less risk of cardiotoxicity and neurotoxicity compared with BVC.\(^6\)

Also, LBVC has a similar clinical profile compared to BVC but presented lower potency for motor blockade, which is a major advantage in regional anesthesia and analgesia.\(^9\)

Thus, LBVC has become a good option for prolonged regional anesthesia and investigations on stereoselectivity have allowed for changes in BVC stereoisomers concentrations in LA formulations. In this context, an LA formulation with 25% R(+)BVC and 75% S(−)BVC was released in Brazil. This formulation, called NovaBupi\(^\circledast\) (S75–R25), improved the anesthetic profile compared to LBVC and increased its safety margin.\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^10\)\(^,\)\(^11\)

Despite these advantages, all LA molecules present low molecular weight and consequently rapid systemic absorption. As an outcome, their anesthetic effect presents short duration and the risk of systemic toxicity precludes the use of high bolus doses.\(^7\)

High LA plasma concentration may lead to a progressive range of neurological and cardiac complications with potentially devastating effects.\(^12\)

The use of LA drug delivery systems, such as liposomes, polymeric nanoparticles, or cyclodextrins as carriers, has improved the therapeutic effects of these agents. These systems are able to prolong LA action, decrease plasma levels, or allow the use of lower LA doses to achieve equivalent analgesia to commercially available formulations.\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^4\)\(^,\)\(^13\)\(^,\)\(^15\)

A carrier system for BVC (enantiomeric mixture S75–R25) was developed by Grillo et al.\(^4\)

This system used alginate/chitosan nanoparticles with BVC (0.5%; BVC\(_\text{ALG}\)). The amount of BVC associated in the nanoparticles was ~76%.

In vitro release kinetics showed that the complete release (100%) of BVC in solution occurred after 350 minutes, while complete release of BVC present in the nanoparticles required >900 minutes. The formulation was tested in 3T3-fibroblasts culture cells and presented low cytotoxicity. BVC\(_\text{ALG}\) formulation significantly reduced the cytotoxicity when compared with plain BVC at 20 μM. BVC\(_\text{ALG}\) was also tested in vivo after the sciatic nerve blockade model and the formulation promoted an increase in the intensity and in the duration of motor and sensory blockades; also, this formulation enhanced the differential nerve blockade.

Previous data obtained by Grillo et al\(^4\) supported the advantages of this new formulation of BVC\(_\text{ALG}\) and perspectives for its clinical future use. Nevertheless, before the clinical use of this formulation, it is necessary to determine its pharmacokinetic, efficacy, and local toxicity profile in animal models. This evaluation is important for fundamental information of this new sustained-release pharmaceutical formulation, such as BVC\(_\text{ALG}\). Thus, the aims of this study were to determine plasma levels, efficacy, and local toxicity induced by this new formulation of BVC\(_\text{ALG}\) in rats and rabbits, looking forward to its clinical use in dentistry and medicine.

## Methods

### Chemicals and reagents

The commercial anesthetic solution used in this study was plain 0.5% BVC (NovaBupi\(^\circledast\); Cristália Ind Farm Ltda, Itapira, São Paulo, Brazil; batch no 10129262). BVC (S75–R25) salt was donated by Cristália Ind Farm Ltda. Alginate, polyvinyl alcohol, and chitosan were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co (St Louis, MO, USA). All other reagents were of analytical grade and deionized water was obtained from an ultrapure water system (Millipore Milli-Q system; Milford, MA, USA).

### BVC\(_\text{ALG}\) formulation

BVC\(_\text{ALG}\) formulation used in this study was identical to that described previously and exhibited the same in vitro characteristics as described by Grillo et al.\(^4\) A solution of sodium alginate (0.063% m/v) containing 0.5% BVC (S75–R25) was prepared; 7.5 mL calcium chloride (18 mM) was slowly added in 60 minutes under mechanical agitation. Chitosan solution (0.07%, m/v) was then added over 90 minutes with a peristaltic pump with controlled flow. After preparation, the nanoparticles were stored in an amber flask for later usage.

### Animals and in vivo studies: infraorbital nerve blockade, local toxicity, and pharmacokinetics

The animals used in this study were male Wistar rats (Unib: WH) (250–350 g) and New Zealand White rabbits (2.5–3.0 kg). The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Committee for Ethics in Animal Research of São Francisco University (protocol #001.09.10) which follows the recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Animals were housed five per cage (rats) or one per cage (rabbits) and received water and food ad libitum with a 12:12 hour light–dark cycle, at 23°C ±2°C.

### Pharmacokinetic study

New Zealand White rabbits were randomly divided into four groups (n=6), which received a submucosal intraoral (1 mL) or intrathecal (0.2 mL) injection of the following treatments:
BVC plain (BVC) or BVC with nanoparticles (BVC_ALG). Doses for intraoral and intrathecal injections were 2 and 0.4 mg·kg⁻¹, respectively.

General anesthesia was achieved with α-chloralose (50 mg·kg⁻¹) and urethane (1 g·kg⁻¹) before the injections and an intravascular catheter was inserted in the ear vein of the rabbits. Blood samples (1 mL) were collected via a heparinized cannula pre-dose (0 minutes) and 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180, 240, 300, 360, 420, 480, and 540 minutes after the injection of formulations. These intervals were defined to obtain 11 samples between the baseline (0 minutes) and approximately four times the ½ (half-life time) of BVC (~136 minutes). Immediately after each blood collection, plasma was separated and stored at −70°C until analysis.

**Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) assay: apparatus and chromatographic conditions**

A Shimadzu LC 20 AD system (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) coupled with a Micromass Quattro LC® triple stage quadrupole mass spectrometer (LC–MS/MS), equipped with an atmospheric pressure ionization electrospray source, was used to determine the BVC plasma levels. All separations were carried out on a Polaris C18 column (50 × 2 mm id, 5 μm particle size). The mobile phase was 80% acetonitrile and 20% water with 0.1% formic acid (pH=3.0). The total run time was 2.5 minutes, retention time for BVC was 0.72 minutes. The mass spectrometer was run in the positive mode (ES⁺) and set for multiple reaction monitoring (MRM). The full-scan single-mass spectrum and the daughter ion-mass spectrum for BVC and ropivacaine (internal standard [IS]) were (m/z) 289.3 > 140.1 and 275.3 > 125.9, respectively. Data were integrated using the MassLynx 4.1™ (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA, USA) software.

To validate the method, quality control (QC) samples (90.0, 45.0, and 0.9 ng·mL⁻¹) were prepared by mixing drug-free plasma with appropriate volumes of working solutions.

**Sample preparation**

The frozen plasma samples (50.0 μL) were thawed at room temperature, followed by the addition of 50 μL of IS work solution (ropivacaine 10.0 μg·mL⁻¹). The samples were previously vortexed for 2 minutes and 1000 μL of hexane/ethyl acetate (1:1; V/V) were added and then vortexed for 5 minutes and centrifuged at 12000 g for 5 minutes at −4°C. The organic liquid (0.8 μL) layers were transferred to microtubes and the samples were dried under nitrogen flow. After solvent evaporation, samples were reconstituted in 50 μL mobile phase, vortexed for 1 minute, and 50 μL were transferred to LC–MS/MS system vials, for further injection (5.0 μL).

Precision and accuracy of the analytical method were controlled by calculating the intra-batch and inter-batch variation at three concentrations of QC in five replicates (n=5). Three calibration curves were plotted as the peak area ratio versus BVC concentration in the range of 0.3–120.0 ng·mL⁻¹. The limit of quantification (LQ) was defined as the lowest concentration at which precision and accuracy were within 20% of the true value.

**Infraorbital nerve blockade**

Rat infraorbital nerve blockade was performed as previously described. The antinociceptive effect was assessed by observation of the aversive response to the rat upper lip pinching, according to the scores: 0 (aversive response) or 1 (no aversive response). The observation was performed by an individual that was blind to the injected formulations. The tested formulations (BVC, BVC_ALG, and the vehicle alginate and chitosan nanoparticles [NP_ALG]) were injected into the infraorbital notch (0.1 mL), situated above a gap between the posterior four molars and the anterior incisor, after the animals had been lightly anesthetized with thiopental (25 mg·kg⁻¹) by the intraperitoneal route. NP_ALG was prepared in the same way as described earlier in the section “BVC_ALG formulation” without the addition of BVC.

The degree of sedation did not interfere with the generalized aversive response to the upper lip artery forceps pinching. Each formulation was injected unilaterally into the right side, and the intact left side served as a control for each animal. The same investigator performed all experiments. The rats were tested every 5 minutes until the animals presented the first aversive sign in the injected side. The efficacy of the infraorbital nerve block was analyzed by the time for sensory function recovery and the total LA effect. LA effect was estimated by the area under the time curve (AUC) expressed as score/hour.

**Local toxicity and histological evaluation**

Male Wistar rats (n=3) received slightly general anesthesia induced by an intraperitoneal injection of sodium thiopental solution (40 mg·kg⁻¹), before the administration of the LA formulations. The animals were divided in three groups and received 0.1 mL in the oral mucosa of the upper right first molar of one of the following formulations: 1) BVC; 2) BVC_ALG; or 3) NP_ALG. The same amount of saline solution (NaCl 0.9%) was administered in the left side as control.
Animals were sacrificed under anesthesia (urethane 1 g·kg⁻¹ and α-chloralose 50 mg·kg⁻¹) 6 and 24 hours after treatment and the maxilla bones along with soft tissues were removed.

The samples from each animal were prepared to obtain five cross-sections (6 μm thick, 40 μm deep) stained with H&E in the same way as described by de Araujo et al. The cross-sections were qualitatively analyzed with a score in order to evaluate the intensity of the leucocitary infiltration and/or any area of necrosis. The score of the local tissue inflammation was defined based on the following descriptions: 1) no infiltrate; 2) minimal infiltrate; 3) mild infiltrate; 4) severe infiltrate; and 5) severe infiltrate with necrosis areas. The cross-sections were codified by a third subject, and two subjects blindly evaluated all the images according to the qualitative score previously described.

Statistical analysis
The plasma concentrations of BVC were analyzed by an unpaired t-test considering each period of time separately. Pharmacokinetic parameters (maximum plasma concentration, Cmax; time to reach maximum concentration, Tmax; half-life time, t½; volume of distribution, Vd; clearance, Cl; mean residence time) were calculated using WinNonlin software (WinNonlin version 5.3; Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA, USA). The pharmacokinetic data were also submitted for statistical analysis with unpaired t-test (α=0.05). The results obtained in each time interval (6 and 24 hours) were compared considering each group and considering the control side. Data were analyzed with the Kruskal–Wallis test considering each group (intergroup analysis). The tissue reaction was also analyzed by Wilcoxon paired test considering the treated and control sides (intragroup analysis). Infraorbital nerve blockade data (time for recovery and AUC) were analyzed by the Mann–Whitney test and expressed as medians (minimum and maximum limits). The level of significance was set at 5%.

Results
Analysis using MRM function was highly selective since no interfering compounds and significant ion suppression from endogenous substances were observed at the retention times for BVC and IS. The intra-batch accuracy presented values from 99.25% to 106.00% and precision was in the range of 0.93%–5.77%. The inter-batch accuracy and precision were calculated to be from 99.52% to 102.16% and from 1.29% to 4.46%, respectively. The calibration curve for BVC showed a good response over the range of 0.3–120.0 ng·mL⁻¹. The relative error of the mean of measured concentrations ranged from 0.30% to 1.16%. The determination coefficients (r²) were >0.99 for all curves. In addition, the LQ for BVC was 0.3 ng·mL⁻¹. The described method has proven to be rapid and effective to accurately follow this BVC formulations’ pharmacokinetics.

Figure 1 shows the graph of mean plasma concentrations versus time after the intraoral (Figure 1A) and intrathecal (Figure 1B) injections of BVC and BVC_ALG. No statistically significant difference was observed between plasma concentrations of the two formulations for all periods of time (p>0.05) after intraoral injection (Figure 1A). After intrathecal administration (Figure 1B), during 30–180 minutes BVC_ALG presented lower plasma concentrations when compared with BVC (p<0.05). Two hundred forty minutes
after the injections there were no differences between the two BVC formulations after intrathecal administration.

Table 1 reports the mean (± SD) values of the pharmacokinetic parameters obtained after intraoral and intrathecal injections of the tested formulations. No statistically significant difference was observed between the two formulations (p>0.05) for all pharmacokinetic parameters after intraoral injection. Intrathecal injection of BVC\textsubscript{ALG} changed approximately three times the values of Vd and AUC\textsubscript{0–t} (p<0.05). Despite the absence of significant differences (p>0.05), Cmax and AUC\textsubscript{0–∞} were three times lower for BVC\textsubscript{ALG} and t\textsubscript{1/2} and Cl presented lower values for BVC.

The BVC\textsubscript{ALG} increased significantly (p<0.001) the duration of sensory blockade, since the intensity of the total analgesic effect was improved (1.4-fold) when compared with BVC plain solution. Figure 2 shows these results expressed as a percentage of animals with analgesia. Table 2 summarizes the total analgesic effect (expressed as AUC) and the times for recovery, obtained with the tested formulations. NP\textsubscript{ALG} used as control, presented no effect.

Considering the intragroup analysis (right – treated and left – control sides) after 6 hours, only BVC induced higher inflammatory reaction scores when compared with saline (p<0.05). After 24 hours of treatment, NP\textsubscript{ALG} induced more intense inflammatory reaction when compared with BVC and BVC\textsubscript{ALG} (p<0.05). Table 3 demonstrates the median values of the scores obtained after 6 and 24 hours of treatments and their controls. Figures 3 and 4 show transverse sections of the maxilla bones and their surrounding soft tissues after 6 and 24 hours of the injections of the tested formulations, respectively.

**Discussion**

Several studies evaluated the effect of drug delivery systems in the pharmacokinetics of BVC used by diverse routes of administrations. Usually, the use of drug delivery systems with LA solutions alters its pharmacokinetic profile and decreases the rate of systemic absorption and peak plasma concentration.\textsuperscript{21,23} These previous studies showed a decrease in systemic LA concentration despite the type of carrier associated with BVC.

In our study, we used rabbits for the pharmacokinetic studies, especially because these animals present a higher volume of blood and easy ways to collect it when compared with rats.\textsuperscript{24} Their ear vein can be easily cannulated with a simple puncture technique to collect multiple plasma samples. The encapsulation of BVC in NP\textsubscript{ALG} was not capable of altering the pharmacokinetic profile after intraoral injection.

Table 1 Pharmacokinetic parameters after intraoral and intrathecal injections of BVC or BVC\textsubscript{ALG} in rabbits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic parameters (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Intraoral</th>
<th>Intrathecal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BVC</td>
<td>BVC\textsubscript{ALG}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmax (ng \cdot mL\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>244.95±60.22</td>
<td>263.47±68.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tmax (min)</td>
<td>17.50±6.12</td>
<td>15.00±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC\textsubscript{0–t} (ng-h mL\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>1100.72±172.27</td>
<td>1172.99±340.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC\textsubscript{0–∞} (ng-h mL\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>1610.83±402.47</td>
<td>1491.41±478.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t\textsubscript{1/2} (h)</td>
<td>6.19±1.52</td>
<td>4.74±2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vd (L)</td>
<td>28.43±7.68</td>
<td>24.45±10.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl (L \cdot h\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>3.24±0.69</td>
<td>3.86±1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (h)</td>
<td>4.55±0.39</td>
<td>4.48±0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data are expressed as mean (± SD) (n=6/group). Statistical analysis: ANOVA/Kruskal–Wallis; \textsuperscript{a}BVC \times BVC\textsubscript{ALG} p<0.05.

Abbreviations: BVC, bupivacaine; BVC\textsubscript{ALG}, 0.5% bupivacaine-loaded alginate–chitosan nanoparticles; MRT, mean residence time; Cl, clearance; Vd, volume of distribution; t\textsubscript{1/2}, half-life time; AUC, area under the curve; Tmax, time to reach maximum concentration; Cmax, maximum plasma concentration; ANOVA, analysis of variance.
in rabbits. Also, these results obtained in vivo were not in accordance with the previous in vitro evaluation performed by Grillo et al.4 These authors assessed the release profile of BVC from the nanoparticles in a two-compartment system separated with a cellulose membrane maintained under sink conditions with light agitation. They observed modification of the release profile of BVC when associated with the nanoparticles, the complete transfer of BVC in solution after 350 minutes, while the release of BVC in nanoparticles persisted for about 10 hours. This modification of the release profile in the presence of the nanoparticles is indicative of association, with release being dependent on diffusion through the system, erosion, or other phenomena, before permeation of the pharmaceutical through the membrane separating the donor and receptor compartments. One aspect that must be considered is that the absorption of LA into the circulation

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>AUC (score/h)</th>
<th>Time for recovery (min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BVC</td>
<td>67.5 (62.5–77.5)</td>
<td>75 (70–85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVC ALG</td>
<td>92.5 (87.5–97.5)***</td>
<td>100 (95–105)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVC ALG</td>
<td>92.5 (87.5–97.5)***</td>
<td>100 (95–105)***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP ALG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Statistical analysis: Mann–Whitney test, ***p<0.001 BVC versus BVC ALG.

**Data are expressed as median (minimum–maximum) (n=7/group).**

**Abbreviations:** BVC, bupivacaine; BVC ALG, 0.5% bupivacaine-loaded alginate–chitosan nanoparticles; AUC, area under the curve; NP ALG, alginate/chitosan nanoparticle.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Test side</th>
<th>Control side</th>
<th>Test side</th>
<th>Control side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVC</td>
<td>2 (1–2)a*</td>
<td>1 (1–2)</td>
<td>2 (2–3)</td>
<td>1 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BVC ALG</td>
<td>1 (1–3)</td>
<td>(1(1–2)</td>
<td>2 (1–3)</td>
<td>1 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP ALG</td>
<td>2 (1–3)</td>
<td>(1(1–2)</td>
<td>3 (3–4)b*, c*, d*</td>
<td>1 (1–1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Statistical analysis: Wilcoxon paired test (intragroup): a: BVC vs saline after 6 hours, b: NP ALG vs saline after 24 hours, *p<0.05. Kruskal–Wallis test (intergroup): c: BVC vs NP ALG, d: BVC ALG vs NP ALG after 24 hours.

**Abbreviations:** BVC, bupivacaine; BVC ALG, 0.5% bupivacaine-loaded alginate–chitosan nanoparticles; NP ALG, alginate/chitosan nanoparticle.

### Figure 3

Histological analysis of the upper right first molar oral mucosa in rats 6 hours after local anesthetic administration: (A) control BVC; (B) BVC; (C) BVC ALG; (D) NP ALG. The left side was respectively used as control: (A) control BVC; (C) control BVC ALG; (E) control NP ALG.

**Notes:** Observe the local tissue inflammation (rectangle). Scale bar: 100 μm.

**Abbreviations:** BVC, bupivacaine; BVC ALG, 0.5% bupivacaine-loaded alginate–chitosan nanoparticles; NP ALG, alginate/chitosan nanoparticle.

### Figure 4

Histological analysis of the upper right first molar oral mucosa in rats 24 hours after local anesthetic administration: (A) control BVC; (B) BVC; (C) BVC ALG; (D) NP ALG. The left side was respectively used as control: (A) control BVC; (C) control BVC ALG; (E) control NP ALG.

**Notes:** Observe the local tissue inflammation (rectangle). Scale bar: 100 μm.

**Abbreviations:** BVC, bupivacaine; BVC ALG, 0.5% bupivacaine-loaded alginate–chitosan nanoparticles; NP ALG, alginate/chitosan nanoparticle.
depends primarily on the vascularity of the site of injection as well as on the structure composition of the surrounding tissues. Moreover, the vasoactive properties of LA may influence the rate of absorption.\(^\text{23}\) In general, LA molecules present rapid systemic absorption as a result of their low molecular weight, mainly in the oral mucosa which is an area with high vascularization. In vitro release tests are commonly used to assess the release profiles of drugs from pharmaceutical formulations, enabling comparison between the absence (free drug) and presence of a carrier. Despite the convenience of such tests, the results obtained may not correspond to the in vivo situation, because after introral in vivo administration free LA may be absorbed into the adjacent tissues.\(^\text{2}\)

In our study, intrathecal injection of BVC\(_{\text{ALG}}\) promotes slow absorption and Cl of BVC from the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) demonstrated by the lower BVC concentrations in plasma, higher Vd, and lower AUC. We used healthy animals with similar age and weight, intrathecal injections with the same dosage, volume, and only one trained operator for the injection process. Also, the two formulations presented the same baricity and were isobaric in relation to CSF, which means that this factor was not able to alter the absorption or dispersion of BVC on both formulations.\(^\text{26}\) Thus, encapsulation in nanoparticles was the factor responsible for the differences in plasma concentrations of BVC and in the pharmacokinetic parameters after intrathecal injection.

Extended or sustained-release formulations are developed to maintain constant or prolonged concentrations of drugs. An ideal LA extended-release formulation would provide consistent pain control and minimization of adverse events associated with peak drug levels. Distinct formulation technologies might produce varied release and pharmacokinetic profiles and it is possible to observe no lag time in drug absorption (no differences in T\(_{\text{max}}\)) but differences in C\(_{\text{max}}\) and AUC values.\(^\text{27}\) In our study, BVC\(_{\text{ALG}}\) after intrathecal administration presented smaller AUC (AUC\(_{\text{0–480}}\)). We believe that these results were produced by the immense differences observed in the plasma concentrations of BVC with and without the nanoparticles, mainly in the beginning of the curve. Thus, the encapsulation of BVC in these nanoparticles avoided peak plasma concentrations that are usually related to adverse side effects after LA administration.\(^\text{2,13}\) We observed the Vd of BVC after only one extravascular administration and we did not measure the tissue concentration of BVC. Nevertheless, it is expected that a drug extensively bound to tissue will generally have an apparent large Vd, which means that probably BVC\(_{\text{ALG}}\) presented a higher affinity for tissue binding and was not available in the plasma (demonstrated by the lower AUC values).

Usually, the efficacy of LA is demonstrated based on their antinociception activity. However, in animal models, like the one used in our study, this can be difficult to achieve. The degree of pain in rabbits can vary importantly between animals and there are no objective criteria for this evaluation. As a prey species, rabbits may hide their pain by remaining motionless. Thus, rabbits appear to respond to pain in a manner contrary to that of mice or rats, and have little activity or behavior to be assessed.\(^\text{24}\) The lack of pain behavior in rabbits lead to the use of infraorbital nerve blockade to determine the LA formulations efficacy. Also, we selected the infraorbital nerve block to simulate a condition similar to administration of these drugs in the maxillary bone, since our goal was to evaluate a new LA formulation that can be used in both dentistry and medicine. The BVC\(_{\text{ALG}}\) increased the duration of sensory blockade and the intensity of the total analgesic effect was improved by ~1.4-fold. These results corroborate the findings of Grillo et al,\(^\text{4}\) when the same formulation led to increased analgesia in a mice sciatic nerve blockade. Thus, the new BVC formulations presented a more intense antinociceptive effect after infraorbital nerve blockade.

Evaluation of local toxicity is important to ensure the safeness of new drug delivery systems. The polymeric nanoparticles used in our study are made of natural polymers (alginate and chitosan) that interact to produce a nanoparticle system.\(^\text{4}\) Despite the natural origin of these polymers, our results showed that NP\(_{\text{ALG}}\) produced an intense inflammatory reaction on the oral mucosa after 24 hours (Figure 4F), and this reaction was more intense when compared with BVC and BVC\(_{\text{ALG}}\) (Figure 4B and D). Previously results from Grillo et al\(^\text{4}\) also showed that NP\(_{\text{ALG}}\) reduced the cell viability when compared with the negative control group in tests performed with Balb/c fibroblasts (3T3 cells). On the other hand, BVC significantly reduced the local inflammatory reaction evoked by NP\(_{\text{ALG}}\) in our study as seen in the BVC\(_{\text{ALG}}\) Group. Our results could be explained by the anti-inflammatory properties of LA in clinical concentrations.\(^\text{29–31}\) LAs possess intrinsic anti-inflammatory properties with mechanisms that are not completely elucidated, but diverge from the sodium channels blockade. Among other properties, LA can reduce the synthesis of interleukin (IL)-1\(\alpha\), IL-1\(\beta\), IL-2, IL-8, tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\), and interferon-\(\gamma\).\(^\text{32}\)

Preclinical evaluation is an important (and mandatory) part of new formulations development, since the in vitro results may not be replicable during in vivo studies. This study showed a preclinical evaluation of new polymeric alginate-based nanoparticles with BVC for use in dentistry and medicine. The in vivo intraoral pharmacokinetics did not occur such as the
in vitro release test performed earlier by Grillo et al. Intrathecal injection of BVC<sub>ALG</sub> promotes slower systemic absorption when compared with BVC. Also, our results showed that this formulation prolonged the LA effect after the infraorbital nerve block and induced tissue reaction comparable to the commercial formulation of BVC. These results encourage the use of this new formulation in dentistry and medicine as a safe and effective option for local anesthesia.
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