The efficacy and safety of Ginkgo biloba extract as an adjuvant in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients ineffectively managed with metformin: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial
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Background and aim: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is one of the major diseases confronting the health care systems. In diabetes mellitus (DM), combined use of oral hypoglycemic medications has been shown to be more effective than metformin (Met) alone in glycemic control. This study determined the effects of Ginkgo biloba (GBK) extract as an adjuvant to Met in patients with uncontrolled T2DM.

Subjects and methods: Sixty T2DM patients were recruited in a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded, and multicenter trial. The patients, currently using Met, were randomly grouped into those treated with either GKB extract (120 mg/day) or placebo (starch, 120 mg/day) for 90 days. Blood glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c), fasting serum glucose, serum insulin, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), insulin resistance, and visceral adiposity index (VAI) were determined before (baseline) and after 90 days of GKB extract treatment.

Results: GKB extract significantly decreased blood HbA1c (7.7%±1.2% vs baseline 8.6%±1.6%, P<0.001), fasting serum glucose (154.7±36.1 mg/dL vs baseline 194.4±66.1 mg/dL, P<0.001) and insulin (13.4±7.8 μU/mL vs baseline 18.5±8.9 μU/mL, P=0.006) levels, BMI (31.6±5.1 kg/m² vs baseline 34.0±6.0 kg/m², P<0.001), waist WC (102.6±10.5 cm vs baseline 106.0±10.9 cm, P<0.001), and VAI (158.9±76.2 vs baseline 192.0±86.2, P=0.007). GKB extract did not negatively impact the liver, kidney, or hematopoietic functions.

Conclusion: GKB extract as an adjuvant was effective in improving Met treatment outcomes in T2DM patients. Thus, it is suggested that GKB extract is an effective dietary supplement for the control of DM in humans.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is a metabolic disorder that poses major health care challenges. The disease is characterized by chronic hyperglycemia and aberrant carbohydrate, fat, and protein metabolism as a result of inadequate secretion and/or action of insulin.¹ In DM, genetic and environmental factors are among the causes of insulin resistance (IR) and pancreatic β-cell dysfunction that leads to impairment in insulin action and/or production.² Type 2 DM (T2DM) is the most common type of DM, comprising 90% of all diabetic patients. Unfortunately, T2DM patients often develop IR, resulting in failure of the body to efficiently use insulin for tissue metabolism.³
Many therapeutic agents have been developed to regulate blood glucose homeostasis. These therapeutics have various modes of action, including stimulation of insulin release and sensitivity, such as GLP-1 analogs, and inhibition of DPP-4, such as sodium–glucose cotransporter-2 and α-glucosidases. Current antidiabetic agents are fraught with low efficacy due to some factors including IR and undesirable side effects such as weight gain, hypoglycemia, kidney toxicity, and gastrointestinal disorders including diarrhea, flatulence, sour stomach, belching, nausea, vomiting, and indigestion.

In many societies, plant-derived traditional medicines have been the mainstay of human health care. In fact, for diabetes alone, at least 1,200 plants have been claimed to possess properties that could alleviate, if not cure the disease. Medicinal herbs, for example, Ginkgo biloba L. leaf extract (GKB extract), contain various biologically active compounds that could affect insulin action and production. GKB is believed to be neuroprotective, antioxidative, free radical scavenging, membrane stabilizing, cardioprotective, anti-inflammatory, antiapoptotic, and antiplatelet-activating factor. In addition, the plant products have been used to treat arteriosclerosis, thrombus formation, ischemic heart disease, hypertension, and more recently for the prevention of DM.

In 2012, the cost of health care associated with diabetes in the USA alone was estimated to be US$245 billion. Health care cost will continue to increase; therefore, there is an urgent need for cheaper alternative therapies or adjuvant agents. The GKB extract is relatively inexpensive and a potentially effective therapeutic compound for the treatment of uncontrolled diabetes. Thus, this study determined the effect of GKB extract as an adjuvant therapeutic compound to metformin (Met) for the treatment of T2DM.

**Subjects and methods**

**Materials**
Met tablets (500 or 850 mg; Merck Santé S.A.S., Lyon City, France) were obtained from a verified and licensed pharmacy. GKB extract as the standard powder (Egb761) was obtained from Apollo Healthcare Resources (West Coast Vista, Singapore). Placebo was specially formulated with 120 mg starch/capsule (Pioneer Pharmaceutical Company Pharmaceutical Industries, Sulaymaniya, Iraq).

**Patients**

The study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the College of Medicine/University of Sulaimani, Iraq, and performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki as revised in 2000. Written informed consent was obtained from the participants prior to enrollment in the study. Subjects were free of medications, other than Met, that could influence the blood glucose concentration.

**Methods**

**Study design and patient treatment**

The study was a randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blinded, and multicenter clinical trial conducted between December 2016 and October 2017 at the Center of Diabetes and Endocrine Glands, Directory of Health, Sulaimani city, Iraq. Patients were recruited for the study from public hospitals and private clinics according to the predetermined selection criteria. Patients included in the study were of both sexes with age ranging from 25 to 65 years, diagnosed with T2DM for not <1 year, and with glycemic status uncontrolled by Met therapy alone. The exclusion criteria included pregnant women; patients with type 1 DM, ischemic heart disease, cardiac arrhythmias, glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency, bleeding disorders, and seizures; patients with known hypersensitivity to GKB extract, Met, or placebo; and those taking supplements containing multivitamins or polyphenols.

Sixty of 80 patients who were screened and found to be eligible for the study were randomized into two groups of 30 each (Figure 1) as follows: the placebo group received placebo (120 mg starch/capsule) in addition to their usual Met (1.24±0.67 g/day) dose and the GKB group received GKB extract (120 mg/capsule) in addition to their usual Met (1.36±0.45 g/day) dose (Table 1). Treatments were given orally, once a day for 90 consecutive days. Patient follow-ups were performed monthly to ensure patient safety and compliance. Unfortunately, only 20 patients from the placebo group and 27 from the GKB group completed the experiment and were included in the final data analysis. The most frequent reasons for patients exiting the study were loss of interest and failure to administer regular treatment doses.

**Physical tests**

Body weight, waist circumference (WC), and body mass index (BMI) were obtained for each patient before commencement and at the end of the 90-day experiment.

**Biochemical and hematological tests**

Blood was taken by venipuncture from 12 h fasted patients before and after institution of the 90-day GKB extract treatment. Approximately 2.0 mL of blood was drawn into EDTA tubes for determination of glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) by colorimetric methods (Roche-Cobas C 311; Roche...
Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany), hemoglobin (Hb) concentration, hematocrit, red blood cell, and white blood cell counts using the hematology analyzer (SpinCell 3; Spinreact SAU, Girona, Spain). Eight milliliters was drawn into plain tubes and allowed to clot before centrifuging at 300×g for 20 min to obtain the serum. The sera were either analyzed immediately or stored at −20°C for later use. The fasting serum glucose (FSG), triglyceride (TG), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-c), urea and creatinine levels, and aspartate transaminase, alanine aminotransferase, and alkaline phosphatase activities were determined colorimetrically (Roche-Cobas C 311, Roche Diagnostics GmbH). Serum insulin level was determined by an immunoassay method (Roche-Cobas e 411, Roche Diagnostics GmbH).

**Visceral adiposity index (VAI)**

The VAI is an empirical mathematical model, which is gender specific and based on simple anthropometric (BMI and WC) and functional parameters (TG and HDL-c), is an indicator of body fat distribution and function. Calculation of VAI was according to the formula given by Amato et al. The formula is a linear equation derived by extrapolation from the relationship between BMI and WC in a healthy normal/overweight population. Distribution mode of adipose tissue was corrected for TG and HDL-c levels to determine the VAI as follows:

Female VAI = \( \frac{WC}{36.58 + (1.89 \times BMI)} \times \frac{TG}{0.81} \times (1.52/HDL) \)

Male VAI = \( \frac{WC}{39.68 + (1.88 \times BMI)} \times \frac{TG}{1.03} \times (1.31/HDL) \),

where WC is expressed in cm, BMI in kg/m², TG in mmol/L, and HDL in mmol/L.

**Figure 1** Flowchart displaying the participants’ screening, randomization, and treatment.

**Abbreviations:** GKB, Ginkgo biloba; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus.

**Table 1** Baseline values of the T2DM patients enrolled in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Met+placebo, n=20</th>
<th>Met+GKB, n=27</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>48.2±10.3</td>
<td>48.7±9.6</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>5 (17.2)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>83.4±12.2</td>
<td>82.7±17</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>34.2±6.2</td>
<td>33.9±6.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>103.9±9.7</td>
<td>105.8±10.9</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAI</td>
<td>196.5±82.8</td>
<td>191.8±86.2</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration (years)</td>
<td>2.9±2.1</td>
<td>3.5±3.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Met therapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of use (months)</td>
<td>28±6.2</td>
<td>40±38.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily dose (g)</td>
<td>1.2±0.67</td>
<td>1.36±0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laboratory data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (%)</td>
<td>8.8±2.3</td>
<td>8.6±1.6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>166.7±56.8</td>
<td>194.4±66.1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum insulin (μU/mL)</td>
<td>17.5±8.1</td>
<td>18.5±8.9</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin resistance</td>
<td>9.4±7.1</td>
<td>9.0±5.3</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Values are presented as mean±SD.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; FSG, fasting serum glucose; GKB, Ginkgo biloba; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; Met, metformin; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; VAI, visceral adiposity index; WC, waist circumference.
Statistical analysis
The data were statistically analyzed using GraphPad Prism 5.1 software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). Continuous variables were presented as mean±SD. Discrete variables were presented as numbers and frequencies. The chi-square test was used to determine the significance of association between discrete variables. Paired *t*-test was used to determine the difference between pretreatment and post-treatment values. Analysis of variance was used to determine the significance difference between means of independent samples and supported by Bonferroni’s post hoc analysis at *P*-value <0.05.

Results
The baseline data for the T2DM patients are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences (*P*>0.05) in the parameters between groups. After 90 days of treatment, blood HbA1c levels of the GKB group (7.7±1.2%) were significantly lower (*P*<0.001) than baseline values (8.6±1.6%: Figure 2A), while in the placebo group (8.4±2.1%), the decrease in HbA1c value from baseline (8.8±2.3%) was not significant (*P*>0.05). The FSG levels were significantly lower (154.7±36.1 mg/dL; *P*<0.001) than baseline values (194.4±66.1 mg/dL) after 90 days of treatment with GKB extract and Met, while the values for the placebo group (173.8±68.3 vs baseline 166.7±56.8 mg/dL) were not significantly different (*P*=0.53; Figure 2B). Although treatment with GKB extract significantly decreased (*P*=0.006) serum insulin levels after 90 days from 18.5±8.9 μU/mL at baseline to 13.4±7.8 μU/mL, this change in value was also not significantly different (*P*>0.05) from that of the placebo group at the end of treatment period. Similarly, the serum insulin levels in the placebo group did not change significantly (*P*=0.32) after 90 days of GKB extract and Met treatment (15.8±6.4 μU/mL) from the baseline values (17.5±8.1 μU/mL; Figure 2C). The pattern was similar for IR index (Figure 2D).

However, treatment with GKB extract and Met decreased the BMI of patients significantly (*P*<0.001) from 34.0±6.0 kg/m² at baseline to 31.6±5.1 kg/m² after 90 days. The BMI of patients on placebo did not change after 90 days of treatment (Figure 3A). Moreover, GKB-treated patients showed significantly lower (*P*<0.001) WC after 90 days (102.6±10.5 cm) than baseline WC values.
(106.0±10.9 cm). This parameter did not change in value significantly in patients treated with placebo plus Met (Figure 3B). The VAI significantly decreased \((P=0.007)\) after 90 days of treatment with GKB extract and Met to 158.9±67.2 from the baseline value of 192.0±86.2, while there was no significant change \((P=0.4)\) in the placebo group (208.2±67.5 vs baseline 196.5±82.8; Figure 3C).

Table 2 shows the effect of 90-day GKB extract and Met treatment on serum liver enzymes activities urea and creatinine concentrations. The treatment only significantly decreased \((P<0.05)\) serum ALP activity. The effects of treatment on serum urea and creatinine concentrations were variable. Serum urea significantly decreased at 90 days of treatment in the GKB-treated patients \((P=0.006)\), while in the placebo group, there was a nonsignificant increase from baseline values. Although serum creatinine level was significantly \((P=0.02)\) decreased in patients treated with GKB extract and Met, it was only marginally lower after 90 days of treatment than baseline; meanwhile, for the placebo group, this value was significantly increased \((P=0.02)\).

There were significant increases \((P<0.05)\) in Hb concentration, hematocrit, and red blood cell count from the baseline values in GKB- and Met-treated patients after 90 days (Table 3). In diabetic patients, placebo treatment did not affect the values of these parameters. The white blood cell count did not change either in the GKB or in the placebo group after 90 days. However, the platelet count was significantly lower \((P<0.05)\) in diabetic patients after treatment with placebo and Met.

**Discussion**

T2DM patients are prone to various types of short- and long-term complications that may cause early death.\(^{16}\) The most
common cause of these complications is uncontrolled hyperglycemia, which results in abnormalities including vascular rigidity, nephropathy and retinopathy, and peripheral neuropathy.17

Met is widely used as the first-line drug of choice in T2DM patients.18 Global data, in 2010, showed that T2DM patients using Met alone accounted for ~30% of all patients treated with oral antidiabetic agents.19 Met improves the glycemic control primarily through the suppression of release of glucose from the liver.20 However, long-term use of Met and its analogs may lead to various adverse effects including gastrointestinal-related symptoms and lactic acidosis.21,22

The current practice in T2DM treatment and glycemic control is far from satisfactory.23 It has been recommended that Met-based protocol should include various types of oral antidiabetic agents to achieve good glycemic control.18 The combination treatments are for the avoidance of inadequate response from the use of Met alone. It was shown in clinical trials that using Met with other antidiabetic agents is effective in improving blood HbA1c levels.21,22

Several natural compounds are used as a part of the treatment protocol for T2DM, and the outcomes are promising.24 However, to date, the efficacy of GKB extract to control hyperglycemia has not been determined. This study is the first 90-day, placebo-controlled trial designed to determine the effectiveness of GKB extract in the enhancement of glycemic control by Met in T2DM patients. This study included T2DM patients poorly managed with Met alone. To meet the enrollment criteria for the study, patients recruited were on fixed Met dose for at least 6 months, with their blood HbA1c being ≥7.5%. The study showed that GKB extract treatment, as an add-on therapy, improves FSG, serum insulin, and blood HbA1c over baseline values. The extract did not show the same positive effect compared with placebo-treated diabetic patients. The study also showed that changes in FSG were consistent with changes in the blood HbA1c in both the GKB extract- and the placebo-treated groups, confirming that GKB extract is beneficial in glycemic control as an adjuvant to Met. Significant decreases in BMI, WC, and VAI were reported only in GKB extract-treated patients. No serious or clinically significant adverse effects were observed in these patients. It is suggested that several active constituents in the GKB extract, including the polyphenols, are responsible for the improvement of peripheral tissue sensitivity to insulin, while reducing or totally overcoming IR.19

Previous studies showed that GKB extract effectively decreased FSG levels, protected islet β-cell functions, and improved metabolic homeostasis in experimental animal models.20,25 The effects of GKB extract could be attributed to various mechanisms such as improvement of pancreatic β-cell functions and blood insulin level, reduction in IR, and enhancement of blood glucose transport to peripheral tissue.26,27 Other studies also suggested that GKB extract improved insulin sensitivity through the enhancement of transcription of insulin receptor substrate 2 and reduction of level of the negative regulators of insulin signaling pathway.28,29 We suggest that the effects of GKB extract on BMI, WC, and VAI may be associated with its stimulatory effect on lipolysis.30,31 In fact, a recent study in mice showed that GKB extract can inhibit adipogenesis and regulate lipid metabolism, with consequential reduction in body weight, food intake, and significant increase in serum HDL-c level.31 The extract is also capable of reducing visceral adiposity in diet-induced obese rats.28 In this study, although GKB extract treatment significantly improved glycemic control, because of the relatively short 90-day study period, the difference between the effect of GKB extract and placebo was marginal. It is possible that a 90-day study is too short for the extract to exert its full effect. However, the results suggest that GKB extract is promising for use in the control of weight gain and as an adjuvant for the treatment of obesity and T2DM in humans.32,33

**Limitations**

The major limitations of this study are the small sample size, relatively short duration, and lack of dose–response data for GKB extract as an adjuvant to the antidiabetic drug. Therefore, future studies are warranted to determine the long-term effect of GKB extract by following up a larger study population.

---

**Table 3 Hematological parameters of T2DM patients maintained on and treated with GKB extract**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Met+placebo, n=20</th>
<th>Met+GKB, n=27</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline 90 days</td>
<td>Baseline 90 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/dL)</td>
<td>12.9±1.1 13.5±1.5</td>
<td>12.6±1.9 13.4±1.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hct (%)</td>
<td>38±4.2 40.9±8.2</td>
<td>37±5.6 41±0.5±2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC (×10⁶ cells/L)</td>
<td>4.6±0.5 4.8±0.73</td>
<td>4.8±0.61 5.2±0.57*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (×10⁶ cells/L)</td>
<td>8.9±2.4 8.5±1.9</td>
<td>8.6±2.4 8.1±2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet (×10⁹ cells/L)</td>
<td>252±59 206±51*</td>
<td>233±54 242±64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Values are presented as mean±SD. *For each treatment group, mean is significantly different from the baseline value (paired t-test, P<0.05).

**Abbreviations:** GKB, Ginkgo biloba; Hb, hemoglobin; Hct, hematocrit; Met, metformin; RBC, red blood cell; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; WBC, white blood cell.
Conclusion

GKB extract improved blood HbA1c and FSG concentration, IR index, BMI, and VAI when used as an adjuvant in T2DM patients poorly managed by Met alone. This finding confirms the beneficial role of GKB extract as a dietary supplement in the treatment regimen for T2DM patients.
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