Management of chronic pain after hernia repair
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Abstract: Chronic pain following inguinal hernia repair is a common problem and feared complication. Up to 16% of people experience chronic pain following the repair of a groin hernia. The aim of this review was to provide an overview of treatment strategies for patients with chronic pain following inguinal hernia repair based on best practice guidelines and current clinical routines. The optimal management of chronic pain following inguinal hernia surgery should begin with a thorough clinical examination to rule out other causes of chronic pain and to rule out a recurrence. A scaled approach to treatment is recommended. Initially, watchful waiting can be tried if it can be tolerated by the patient and then systemic painkillers, escalating to blocks, and surgery as the final option. Surgery should include mesh removal and triple neurectomy following anterior approaches or mesh and tack removal following a posterior approach. The diagnosis and treatment strategies should be performed by or discussed with experts in the field.
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Introduction
Postoperative chronic pain is a special entity within the domain of chronic pain. Chronic postoperative pain occurs following numerous kinds of surgery, from amputations to thoracotomies to inguinal hernia surgery. The chronic pain after inguinal hernia repair has been extensively studied; however, the management is still difficult. Around the globe, millions of groin hernia repairs are conducted annually and 8%–16% of these patients experience chronic pain to a degree that impairs their daily lives 6 months postoperatively. A few percent of these patients experience disabling pain, and due to the large number of groin hernia repairs, the number of patients with disabling pain and discomfort is an important clinical problem. Depending on the degree of pain and the impact on daily activities, an evidence-based treatment option should be offered. A problem with some of the current evidence, based on research in chronic pain after groin hernia repair, is the use of different assessment methods and different lengths of follow-up. Surgeons, scientists, and pain specialists use different assessment methods, ie, researchers use different questionnaires in order to assess chronic pain in research projects, and this limits comparability.

The aim of this review is to provide an overview of treatment strategies for patients with chronic pain following inguinal hernia repair based on best practice guidelines and clinical routines.
Groin hernia repairs and pain

For the initial repair of groin hernia, recommendations include use of a mesh.5,6 Dedicated centers have shown good results with nonmesh-based techniques,7 but the overall recommendation for routine care includes use of a mesh. Globally, the most used types of mesh-based repairs are the Lichtenstein open repair and one of the variations of the laparoscopic repair.8 The Lichtenstein repair is known to cause discomfort and disabling pain in some patients.3,9 The laparoscopic techniques seem to lower the risk of chronic pain but still cause chronic pain in some people.10

Several potential causes of pain have been investigated for these repair methods. The role of the meshes has been investigated, and the weight of the mesh (g/m2) has been hypothesized to influence the risk of pain. Heavyweight meshes have been compared with lightweight meshes but demonstrated no advantages for laparoscopic repairs.11 However, the lightweight meshes seem to lower the risk of chronic pain following the Lichtenstein repair technique.12 Besides meshes, the choice of fixation method for the mesh can influence the risk of pain. Glue has been demonstrated to reduce the risk of chronic pain in open mesh-based repairs,13,14 but the advantage of glue could not be found in a recent nationwide study of the laparoscopic repair.10

When operating inguinal hernias, there is a risk of causing injuries that will cause pain. If there is injury to tissue, it can cause nociceptive pain, and damage to nerves can cause neuropathic pain. In order to prevent or minimize the risks of pain, surgeons always take care when performing repairs and handle tissue gently. Peroperatively, the role of identification and/or resection of nerves has been investigated in order to minimize the occurrence of chronic pain. The nerves can be in the way when placing the mesh, can be caught or injured during fixation, and can be injured by accident or by dissection in the operative field. It is recommended, in the recently published World Guidelines for inguinal hernia repair, to identify the nerves but not to do a planned resection; however, if the nerves are in the way when placing the mesh, a “pragmatic resection” is recommended.5

Definitions and types of pain

Chronic (postoperative) pain has been defined as pain lasting at least 2–3 months (after surgery), but modifications are proposed to this timeframe.15,16 A group of experts in hernia surgery and chronic pain has suggested modifying the definition for chronic pain after hernia repair as pain lasting at least 6 months after operation.5 The reason for this extended period of time is because the inflammation around the mesh is still ongoing after 3 months, and there is a chance that some patients will improve substantially from 3 to 6 months postoperatively.

Pathogenesis

The cause of chronic pain following inguinal hernia surgery can be multifactorial and often it is not possible to point to a distinct reason for the pain in a specific patient. Pain can be caused by perioperative injury to nerves or nerves that are being stuck and damaged by sutures or perforated by fixation devices such as tacks. Nerves can also be trapped in a “meshoma”, which is when the mesh has shrunk with folding and wrinkling making a ball of mesh material, the so-called meshoma.17 It is reasonable to imagine that some shrinking of the mesh, which is seen in most patients, can also entrap a nerve and thereby cause chronic pain. Pain can also be caused by the inflammation process around the mesh. It is sometimes not possible to diagnose the specific cause of pain in the individual patient. Furthermore, the distinction between neuropathic pain (pain caused by damage to nerves) and nociceptive pain (pain caused by the release of substances due to tissue damage or damage to organs) can be difficult. Some patients might have groin pain from other sources than their hernia repair such as hip pathology or pubalgia. Furthermore, some patients might have received a hernia repair due to these other pathologies, resulting in symptoms similar to a hernia.

When investigating the patient with chronic postoperative pain, it is important to look for a possible recurrence since the recurrence could be the reason for pain or discomfort. If a recurrence is present, it is indicated to repair the recurrence and hopefully that will relieve the complaints and discomfort of the patient. However, care should be taken when repairing recurrent hernias if there is also signs of neuropathic pain, since the patient might at the same time have damaged nerves. If there are damaged nerves, repair of the recurrence might not solve the problem for, and it is therefore recommended to also consider a neurectomy at the same time.

Preoperative and early postoperative pain

A patient’s preoperative level of pain affects the risk of developing chronic pain following surgery. A large questionnaire study found that preoperative pain increased the risk of postoperative pain by a factor 1.5.16 However, preoperative pain is not a contraindication for surgery, but patients should be informed of the increased risk. Not only does the preoperative pain influence the risk of postoperative pain, but also there...
are strong indications that early high-intensity pain after surgery is a risk factor for developing chronic pain. Therefore, a standardized and effective postoperative analgesic regime could be recommended following inguinal hernia repair.

Assessment of pain and severity

When assessing the degree of pain in a research context, it is important to use validated questionnaires and questionnaires that also evaluate the impact on activities of daily living, such as driving, walking, and standing. For day-to-day clinical work and assessment of patients, it is time-consuming to use these questionnaires and a thorough history as well as clinical examination should be used. It is important to have experience with chronic pain after inguinal hernia surgery when assessing these patients. Some surgeons use a surgical marker to “map” the pain on the patient, with pluses indicating pain, zeros indicating no pain, and minuses meaning numb area. The groin, abdomen, thigh, and genitals should be investigated in order to locate the extent of the painful area. Photos of this “map” can be taken and then compared with the same investigation after treatment or shared with colleagues and experts in order to plan the best management strategy for the patient. The pain map can provide an indication of which nerves might be involved in the chronic pain pathogenesis. “Pain maps” are sometimes shared in a closed Facebook group called International Hernia Collaboration Group, which now has more than 3,000 members. Here, surgeons discuss clinical cases and the best strategy to treat patients with, eg, chronic postoperative pain.

A more formal testing can be done with the quantitative sensory testing (QST). QST uses a range of methods to investigate pain by pressure, pain, cold, pin prick, etc. QST is time-consuming and not applicable for most routine clinical settings.

Interventions and management of chronic pain

Authors of a recent review aimed to characterize management and treatment strategies for chronic postoperative pain; however, the authors of the review concluded that there was a lack of evidence, making it impossible to draw firm conclusions regarding effectiveness or harm. A problem might be to investigate all interventions to treat all postoperative chronic pain conditions, and it is likely that each of the different procedures resulting in chronic pain needs to have their own specific treatment and diagnostic algorithm.

For chronic pain following inguinal hernia surgery, a range of interventions can be used to treat or manage the chronic pain. Interventions include watchful waiting, pharmacological treatments, local anesthetic blocks, sensory stimulation or ablation of nerves, and surgery (mesh removal, reoperation for recurrence, and neurectomy).

Watchful waiting

First-line management of chronic pain following inguinal hernia repair is to examine the patient and explain the condition. Since pain is declining with time after surgery, a watchful strategy can be tried in the beginning. However, a lifestyle change to sedentary activities is not recommended, and chronic pain can severely affect quality of life. Basic analgesics can be used in the period of watchful waiting. If there are no improvements in the condition after a few months, then systematic pharmacological interventions should be tried, preferably in collaboration with pain specialists. If the pain is bearable, it is important to inform the patient that pain will, for many patients, diminish with time.

Pharmacological interventions

Systematic pharmacological analgesics are used in the postoperative phase to reduce the acute pain. For chronic pain at the later stages, after 3–6 months, depending on definition, pharmacological interventions can be tried as a first-line treatment, using nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, gabapentinoids, tricyclic antidepressants, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor-serotonin–norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor, and/or conventional analgesics. Topical administration of analgesics has also been considered. Patches with lidocaine and capsaicin for local application have been tested in randomized studies. Unfortunately, the studies have not been able to demonstrate a benefit, and these patches are therefore not recommended for the treatment of chronic groin pain.

Prevention of chronic pain with the use of preoperatively administered pharmacological interventions has been investigated, the hypothesis being that it could be possible to diminish postoperative pain and prevent central sensitization. A Cochrane review including 40 randomized clinical trials concluded, cautiously, that preoperatively administered ketamine may reduce the risk of chronic pain but that there is no evidence for recommending gabapentinoids, pregabalin, or other drugs for prevention of chronic postoperative pain. At this point, evidence is not strong enough to systematically recommend preoperative analgesics for the prevention of chronic pain, but future studies might prove it beneficial, maybe especially in patients at high risk of developing chronic pain.
Injections

Injections with local anesthetics have been tested and are used for both the relief of pain and the diagnostic purposes in order to identify which nerves are involved in neuropathic pain. Fixed point injections do not have an effect on chronic postoperative pain compared with placebo treatment. In a study, it was tested to use injections at tender points, meaning that the point of injection was tailored to each patient depending on where in the groin the pain was. The study demonstrated some effect indicating that the peripheral nerves were involved in the chronic pain, but unfortunately, the effect did not last. A recent study on injections with a mix of lidocaine, corticosteroids, and hyaluronic acid has investigated the use of up to three repeated injections over 6 weeks in order to treat chronic pain compared with surgical neurectomy. The study demonstrated a 22% success rate, which was lower than the 71% success rate for the neurectomy group. In the study, 4% minor complications were found for injections. The injections were safe and minimally invasive, and the authors concluded that it should be considered to use injections before explorative surgery with neurectomy. Injections with alcohol can be used for neurolysis.

Radiofrequency treatment

Radiofrequency can be used to block the nerve by moderate heating (40°C) that does not result in thermocoagulation or can be used at a higher intensity, thereby heating the nerve resulting in ablation of the tissue. Radiofrequency can be done either at the peripheral level or at the vertebral level. A study investigated pulsed radiofrequency at the dorsal root ganglia with heating of the nerve to 40–42°C, demonstrating pain relief for up to 20 weeks. Another study investigated radiofrequency at a higher intensity, where the nerves were heated to 70–90°C, thereby causing thermocoagulation of the nerve, and demonstrated pain relief lasting up to 12 months.

Another option is to implant a device that stimulates the nerves (spinal cord stimulation), and this has been demonstrated to provide some relief up to 12 months. However, the current clinical use of radiofrequency or spinal implants is limited.

Surgery

If other treatments (watchful waiting, systemic analgesics, and injections) have been tried and failed, it should be considered to operate the patients for their pain (Figure 1). Different surgical approaches can be used to remove the mesh, perform a neurectomy, or a combination of both. Neurectomy can be performed via an anterior open approach or via a posterior laparoscopic technique. Surgical strategy will be determined by the initial technique for inguinal hernia repair. Following anterior approaches, a repeated anterior approach with neurectomy and mesh removal is recommended. Following posterior approaches (ie, laparoscopy), a posterior approach is recommended with exploration of the field and removal of tacks and mesh.

If it is thought that the mesh is causing the problem, and not a recurrence, a surgical removal of the mesh should be considered. The pain can be due to damage to the nerves caused by the mesh, but simply removal of the mesh might not reverse the neuropathic pain. Peroperatively, the nerves might seem normal without macroscopically visible damage, but there can still be ultrastructural damages to the nerves that will cause pain and even the removal of the mesh might not reverse the damage and the patient might still have pain. It is therefore recommended to always conduct a triple neurectomy since it is difficult if not impossible to diagnose which nerves are involved in the pain, and a subsequent surgical procedure in the scarred surgical field after mesh removal may be difficult. Thus, when performing mesh removal after a previous Lichtenstein repair, it is recommended to remove as much of the mesh as possible (preferably all mesh material) together with triple neurectomy anterior to the annulus, ie, outside of the abdominal cavity without dissection behind the transversalis fascia.

Surgical treatment of pain following a posterior approach such as laparoscopy can be divided in two methods. The first method is to remove tacks and mesh with a laparoscopic approach. The second method is a neurectomy that should be reserved for skilled hands.

The laparoscopic retroperitoneal triple neurectomy has been developed to avoid the scarred field of the groin after open approaches and in order to conduct neurectomy proximal to the nerves following preperitoneal or intraperitoneal approaches. In a highly selected group of patients (62 out of 567 with chronic pain after inguinal hernia repair), 95% of patients experienced decrease of their pain to “manageable levels” after laparoscopic retroperitoneal triple neurectomy.

Centralization

The treatment of patients with chronic pain following inguinal hernia surgery should be considered centralized. In Germany, dedicated hernia centers have been established. The specialized management of the patients with this pain should be considered as an expert area. Centralized evaluation and treatment would also allow for proper data collection and high
volume research. In Denmark, these patients are all referred to one clinic, which has resulted in good data collection and a uniform treatment of the patients.

### Discussion

This review aimed to provide an overview of possible strategies for the treatment of chronic pain after inguinal hernia surgery. At the moment, there is not a single treatment or management strategy that will be appropriate for all patients. Experts and expert-based guidelines recommend to use a scaled intervention, meaning that the patient should start out with watchful waiting, especially in the first 6 months, and then, systemic pain killers and if that cannot relieve the pain, injections should be tried; surgical treatment should be considered as the final option. It is also recommended to always consult a surgeon or, preferably, a regional or a national center with the expertise in chronic pain following inguinal hernia surgery since causes and treatments vary from patient to patient and because one strategy might not fit all.

Several guidelines exist in this area; however, they are mostly based on expert opinions and personal experience and less on solid high-quality evidence.\(^5\,^25\) A regional, national, or maybe even international setup should be in place in order to deal with the most severe and complex cases. This allows for gathering of expertise and ensures that patients are uniformly treated and receive the best standard of care for this condition. An international collaboration could be beneficial in order to share knowledge and collect data for development of treatments. It is likely that the individual surgeon does not see their own patients with chronic pain if the patients go to a different surgeon or institution. Thus, just for reoperation for recurrence, up to 25% of patients go to a different hospital than for the primary repair.\(^44\)

In general, there is a high need for evidence regarding chronic postsurgical pain management,\(^23\) not only for pain following inguinal hernia surgery but also for pain following many different kinds of surgery. The treatment of chronic postoperative pain is difficult, and a simple treatment that works well for all has not been found.\(^23\) Therefore, individu-

---

#### Figure 1

Proposed treatment options for chronic pain following inguinal hernia repair.

**Note:** A scaled approach is suggested if the patient’s condition allows it.

**Abbreviations:** NSAID; nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; SNRI, serotonin–norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Option</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Watchful waiting</strong></td>
<td>Information and basic analgesics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Referral</strong></td>
<td>Preferably to a centralized clinic with expertise in the field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systemic analgesics</strong></td>
<td>In collaboration with pain specialist (ie, NSAIDS, gabapentinoids, tricyclic antidepressants, SSRI/SNRI, conventional analgesics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Injections with local anesthetics</strong></td>
<td>Injections with local anesthetics for diagnostic workup, repeated injections can be tried for treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surgery</strong></td>
<td>Following anterior approach: mesh removal and triple neurectomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
alized management is becoming more important, and the optimal management is what every surgeon or doctor treating these patients should aim for.

**Conclusion**

The optimal management of chronic pain following inguinal hernia surgery should begin with a thorough clinical examination to rule out other causes of chronic pain and to rule out a recurrence. If other causes of chronic groin pain have been excluded and the groin pain is caused by the inguinal hernia repair, a scaled approach is recommended. Initially, watchful waiting can be tried if it can be tolerated by the patient and then systemic pain killers, escalating to blocks, and surgery as the final option. Surgery should include mesh removal and triple neuroectomy following anterior approaches or mesh and tack removal following a posterior approach. These procedures should be performed by experts in the field. There is a need for new developments and evidence-based guidelines, and this will require centralization of treatment in order to gather the necessary data to support future best practice guidelines.
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