Comparison of oral dexmedetomidine and midazolam for premedication and emergence delirium in children after dental procedures under general anesthesia: a retrospective study
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Background: Premedication is the most common way to minimize distress in children entering the operating room and to facilitate the smooth induction of anesthesia and is accomplished using various sedative drugs before the children are being transferred to the operating room. The aim of this study was to compare the effect of oral dexmedetomidine (DEX) and oral midazolam (MID) on preoperative cooperation and emergence delirium (ED) among children who underwent dental procedures at our hospital between 2016 and 2017.

Patients and methods: The medical records of 52 children, who were American Society of Anesthesiologists I, aged between 3 and 7 years, and who underwent full-mouth dental rehabilitation under general anesthesia (GA), were evaluated. Twenty-six patients were given 2 μg/kg of DEX, while another 26 patients were given 0.5 mg/kg of MID in apple juice as premedication agents. The patients’ scores on the Ramsay Sedation Scale (RSS), Parental Separation Anxiety Scale (PSAS), Mask Acceptance Scale, Pediatric Anesthesia Emergence Delirium Scale (PAEDS), and hemodynamic parameters were recorded from patients’ files. The level of sedation of children had been observed just before premedication and at 15, 30, and 45 min after premedication. The data were analyzed using a chi-square test, Fisher’s exact test, Student’s t-test, and analysis of variance in SPSS.

Results: The Mask Acceptance Scale and PSAS scores and RSS scores at 15, 30, and 45 min after premedication were not statistically different (p>0.05) in both groups, whereas the PAEDS scores were significantly lower in the DEX group (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Oral DEX provided satisfactory sedation levels, ease of parental separation, and mask acceptance in children in a manner similar to MID. Moreover, children premedicated with DEX experienced lesser ED than those premedicated with MID.
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Background

General anesthesia (GA) is an advanced behavioral management technique frequently used by dentists to provide quality dental care for young children who are unable to tolerate dentistry in a routine clinical setting.¹ Pediatric patients are usually uncooperative, fearful, anxious, or physically resistant, especially during times of parental separation, venepuncture, or mask application.² Premedication is the most common way to minimize distress for children entering the operating room and to facilitate the smooth induction of anesthesia and is accomplished using various sedative drugs before they are being transferred to an operating room.³
Midazolam (MID), which is an anxiolytic, sedative, hypnotic, and amnesic drug, has been widely used for premedication via several routes. Cox et al reported that 0.5 mg/kg of oral MID was effective in reducing separation and induction anxiety in children. Although MID is effective for reducing anxiety, there are adverse effects associated with its use, such as postoperative behavioral changes, cognitive impairment, paradoxical reactions, and respiratory depression. Additionally, studies have shown that MID was ineffective in preventing emergence delirium (ED) when compared to other drugs such as propofol, ketamine, α-2 agonist, and fentanyl. Therefore, different drugs, including α-2 adrenoceptor agonists, which produce a type of sedation known as “cooperative” or “arousable” that is different from the “clouding of consciousness” sedation, are considered as alternatives for premedication in pediatric anesthesia.

Dexmedetomidine (DEX) is a highly selective α-2 adrenoceptor agonist that provides sedation, anxiolysis, and analgesic effects without causing deleterious respiratory depression. Recently, it has been extensively explored in pediatric populations for premedication.

ED refers to behaviors, such as inconsolable crying, thrashing, kicking, disorientation, hallucinations, and cognitive and memory impairment, during the recovery period following GA. Untreated ED may lead to additional nursing care, the need for use of analgesics or sedatives, and delayed discharge from the hospital. There are many studies that have evaluated ED in children undergoing various types of surgical procedures. However, there are limited studies evaluating ED after dental treatment with GA.

This study was designed with an aim to compare the effects of oral DEX and oral MID on preoperative cooperation and ED in children aged 3–7 years who underwent dental procedures under GA.

**Patients and methods**

The study included records of children who underwent full-mouth dental rehabilitation due to lack of chairside cooperation in the dental clinic between November 2016 and April 2017. This retrospective study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Adnan Menderes University Faculty of Dentistry (2017/2018). Obtaining written informed consent for this study was not necessary, as the study involved retrospective review of patients’ data. Records that identified the subject of the study were kept confidential during data collection. The study was registered (Protocol Registration Receipt NCT03357718) at https://www.ClinicalTrials.gov.

We manually checked the records of patients who were premedicated by MID or DEX before dental procedures. The inclusion criteria were as follows: age between 3 and 7 years, American Society of Anesthesiologists I, induced and maintained with sevoflurane as the general anesthetic, and lack of chairside cooperation in the dental clinic. The exclusion criteria included congenital disease; DEX, MID, or propofol allergy; asthma; mental retardation; and those whose dental records were incomplete. Thirteen records were excluded from the DEX group due to the presence of congenital diseases and mental retardation; 10 records were excluded from the MID group due to the lack of the data after a manual search.

After the patients’ files were retrieved, two groups were created. The DEX group (n=26) included patients who received 2 µg/kg of oral DEX in apple juice 45 min before the induction of anesthesia. The MID group (n=26) received 0.5 mg/kg of MID in apple juice 45 min before the induction of anesthesia.

**Scales used in the study**

The Ramsay Sedation Scale (RSS), the Parental Separation Anxiety Scale (PSAS), the Mask Acceptance Scale, and the Pediatric Anesthesia Emergence Delirium Scale (PAEDS) records from the patients’ files were extracted. PSAS (at separation), Mask Acceptance Scale (at anesthesia induction), and PAEDS score (just before premedication and at 15, 30, and 45 min after premedication) of the children were recorded.

**RSS**

The scoring is done as follows: 1=patient is anxious and agitated or restless or both; 2=patient is cooperative, oriented, and tranquil; 3=patient responds to commands only; 4=patient exhibits a brisk response to a light glabellar tap; 5=patient exhibits a sluggish response to a light glabellar tap; and 6=patient exhibits no response. A Ramsay sedation score of “1” was considered as an unsatisfactory level of sedation and “≥2” as satisfactory sedation in this study.

**PSAS**

The behavior score of the child on separation from their parents is evaluated according to a 4-point scale, that is, 1=easy separation; 2=whimpers, but is easily reassured; 3=cries and cannot be easily reassured, but is not clinging to parents; and 4=cries and is clinging to parents. PSAS scores
of 1 and 2 were considered as “successful parental separation” in this study.\(^\text{14}\)

**Mask Acceptance Scale**
This scale is used to evaluate mask acceptance behavior of patients by a 4-point scale during the mask application by the anesthetist: 1=excellent (unafraid, cooperative, and accepts the mask easily); 2=good (slight fear of mask, easily reassured); 3=fair (moderate fear of mask, not calmed with reassurance); and 4=poor (terrified, crying, or combative). Scores of “1” and “2” were considered as “satisfactory” mask acceptance\(^\text{15,16}\) in this study.

**PAEDS**
The PAEDS is used to assess patients on five psychometric items:
1. The child makes eye contact with the caregiver.
2. The child’s actions are purposeful.
3. The child is aware of his or her surroundings.
4. The child is restless.
5. The child is inconsolable.
   
   Items 1, 2, and 3 are reversed scored as follows: 4=not at all; 3=just a little; 2=quite a bit; 1=very much; and 0=extremely. Items 4 and 5 are scored as follows: 0=not at all; 1=just a little; 2=quite a bit; 3=very much; and 4=extremely. The scores of each item are summed to obtain a total PAEDS score. ED increases directly with the total score. A score \(\geq 10\) was considered as the presence of ED.\(^\text{16,17}\) This scale is used to evaluate the ED for all patients at postanesthesia care unit in our department. Duration of operation was defined as time between beginning of dental procedure and completion of the procedure. Duration of anesthesia was defined as the period from induction of anesthesia to extubation.

   Hemodynamic parameters including heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (RR), and peripheral capillary oxygen saturation (SpO\(_2\)) at baseline and at 15, 30, and 45 min after premedication were also noted from the files.\(^\text{18}\)

**Statistical analyses**
The results are presented as mean±SD for quantitative variables and are summarized as absolute frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Categorical variables were compared using a chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test. Quantitative variables were also compared with a Student’s \(t\)-test. The variations in baseline hemodynamic variables, including HR, RR, and SpO\(_2\), between the groups, were analyzed using a repeated-measures analysis of variance. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS software version 20 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). A \(p\)-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**
There were no statistically significant differences between the groups regarding demographics, duration of operation, and duration of anesthesia (\(p>0.05\)). The mean age of the patients was 5.2±1.9 years. Demographic data of the patients are shown in Table 1.

The baseline RSS score was comparable in both groups (\(p>0.05\)). The value of the RSS score was not significantly different in the DEX and MID groups at 15, 30, and 45 min (\(p>0.05\)). There were no patients with RSS scores higher than 2.

Analyses of the PSAS scores demonstrated that most of the children in both groups showed a satisfactory response during parental separation, and there was no statistically significant difference between the groups (\(p=1.00\)).

In terms of mask acceptance behavior, both groups performed satisfactory mask acceptance (\(p=1.00\)). Table 2 shows the distribution and comparison of sedation satisfaction percentages of the groups in terms of time.

Overall, we did not observe any clinically significant effects of the study drug on SpO\(_2\), and no patient had a reduction in SpO\(_2\) below 95% during the observation period after premedication. There were no significant differences in the mean HRs, SpO\(_2\), and RR of both groups at baseline and at 15, 30, and 45 min (\(p>0.05\); Figure 1).

In the postanesthesia care unit, children in the DEX group showed a significantly lower ED score compared to those in the MID group (\(p<0.05\)).

There were significant time effects (\(p<0.05\)) and group time interactions on HR (\(p<0.05\)) in the DEX group only. HR was reduced significantly from baseline at 15, 30, and 45 min after drug administration in the DEX group (\(p<0.05\)).

**Table 1** Comparison of demographic information, duration of operation, and duration of anesthesia between the groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>MID group, (n=26)</th>
<th>DEX group, (n=26)</th>
<th>(p)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1±1.4</td>
<td>5.3±2.3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male/female)</td>
<td>18/8</td>
<td>15/11</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>19.4±5.9</td>
<td>18.8±2.9</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of operation (min)</td>
<td>54.6±20.7</td>
<td>45.5±17.6</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of anesthesia (min)</td>
<td>67.8±21.7</td>
<td>65.7±23.9</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Data are expressed as mean±SD or the number of children. Significant differences are at \(p<0.05\).

**Abbreviations:** DEX, dexmedetomidine; MID, midazolam.
Table 2 Ramsay sedation levels of the groups and comparison of the groups in terms of preoperative cooperation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time interval since premedication</th>
<th>MID group, n (%)</th>
<th>DEX group, n (%)</th>
<th>χ²/p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ramsay baseline</td>
<td>26 (100)</td>
<td>26 (100)</td>
<td>0.00/1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsay 15 min</td>
<td>12 (46.2)</td>
<td>11 (42.3)</td>
<td>0.07/0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>14 (53.2)</td>
<td>15 (57.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsay 30 min</td>
<td>3 (11.5)</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>1.08/0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>23 (88.5)</td>
<td>25 (96.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsay 45 min</td>
<td>1 (3.8)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>25 (96.2)</td>
<td>26 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Successful parental separation</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>24 (92.3)</td>
<td>24 (92.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2 (7.7)</td>
<td>2 (7.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mask acceptance</td>
<td>24 (92.3)</td>
<td>24 (92.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>2 (7.7)</td>
<td>2 (7.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergence delirium</td>
<td>58 (19.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5.53/0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>21 (80.8)</td>
<td>26 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values in number (%). Significant differences between groups at the 0.05 level.
Abbreviations: DEX, dexmedetomidine; MID, midazolam.

Discussion

This retrospective study demonstrated that 2 µg/kg of oral DEX and 0.5 mg/kg of MID provided effective sedation, satisfactory separation from parents, and satisfactory mask acceptance in children between 3 and 7 years of age who underwent dental surgery under GA. Additionally, a lower incidence of ED was observed in the DEX group compared to the MID group.

Patients, and particularly those in younger age groups, who generally have low levels of cooperation in the dental clinic, also show uncooperative behavior in the perioperative period for dental GA. Therefore, it is considered that the need for premedication is increased in patients who require dental treatment under GA. Studies have concluded that the use of sedative premedication may help to reduce anxiety in children, minimize emotional trauma, and facilitate a smooth induction of anesthesia in children undergoing full-mouth rehabilitation. Moreover, the use of DEX and MID for premedication reduced the requirement of anesthetics during GA. Our study included young children who could not be treated in a routine clinical setting with sedation and non-pharmacologic behavior control techniques, such as voice control, hand over mouth, and intimidation. Yao et al showed that intranasal DEX premedication of 1 and 2 µg/kg was associated with a dose-dependent reduction in sevoflurane from 1.92% to 1.53% and 1.23%, corresponding to decreases of 20% and 36%, respectively. MID administered in a premedication setting often increases the potency of inhalational anesthetic agents.

MID is a common and routinely used drug for oral premedication in children at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg. MID has a rapid onset and short duration of action and provides reliable sedation and anxiolysis. Beyond these advantages, there are some disadvantages such as bitter taste, cognitive impairment, long-term behavioral disturbances, paradoxical reactions, hiccups, and respiratory depression. The bioavailability of oral MID varies from 15% to 27% in children. Fabre et al reported that the maximum concentration (C_max) of 0.6 mg/kg of MID rectally was calculated as 147±58 µg/L, median t_max was 31.5 min (range: 18–38 min), and the half-life was 1.3±0.3 h in a pediatric population.

DEX has become more frequently used as a drug for premedication in children. It is a new α₂ agonist with a more selective action on α₂-adrenergic receptors and a short half-life. Studies showed that DEX is an effective and safe sedative in children. Moreover, DEX has analgesic and anti-shivering properties and does not cause respiratory depression. The bioavailability of oral DEX following the orogastric route is 16%, as compared to 65% for intranasal, 82% for buccal, and 104% for intramuscular (IM) routes. Anttila et al stated that the maximum serum concentration of 2 µg/kg of oral DEX was achieved to be 0.11±0.04 µg/L in 2.2±0.5 h, after a lag time of 0.6±0.3 h, in an adult study. Additionally, the terminal half-life was estimated to be 1.2±0.3 h in their study.

Absorption of orally administered drugs is affected by factors such as the form and physicochemical features of the drug, its lipophilic properties, the pH of the digestive tract, fullness of the stomach, length of time the drug is in contact with the mucosa, and the flow of saliva. A higher pH promotes lipid solubility and accelerates absorption across mucosal membranes. Fruit juices with an acidic pH may inhibit cytochrome P4503A4 activity and slow catabolism. Therefore, the duration of the sedative effect and the efficacy of MID may be increased.

In previous studies, there was a wide variation in the dosage and the application route of drugs for premedication. DEX and MID are only available as an intravenous (IV) formulation. MID is the most commonly used drug for...
Many studies have shown that 0.5 mg/kg of oral MID was effective in providing preoperative cooperation. DEX may be administered through IV, oral, buccal, and IM routes. In previously conducted studies, the oral route was reported to be more acceptable than the nasal route in children aged 2–6 years. Faritus et al concluded that 2 µg/kg of oral DEX and 0.5 mg/kg of MID as premedication, 45 min preoperatively in children undergoing congenital heart surgery, provided ease with parental separation and mask acceptance behavior without any side effects on hemodynamic variables. Therefore, we used 2 µg/kg of DEX and 0.5 mg/kg of MID orally in this study. The risk of aspiration increases when the gastric fluid volume is above 0.4 mL/kg. The total volume of the oral premedication was kept at 0.2 mL/kg in this study.

In this study, we evaluated the sedation levels of patients via RSS, and no patient had an RSS higher than “2” in either group. We considered an RSS of 2 and above as “adequate sedation”, meaning that the patients were cooperative, awake, oriented, and calm. We observed that an RSS of 2 was sufficient to provide satisfactory preoperative cooperation in children who underwent dental procedures. Mountain et al concluded that 4 µg/kg of oral DEX and 0.5 mg/kg of MID 30 min before an operation provided a satisfactory mask acceptance behavior and ease of parental separation. Additionally, they found no statistically significant differences between the groups in terms of ED without side effects (blood pressure and HR fluctuation). Contrary to their findings, previous studies noted that DEX might cause negative effects on respiration and cardiovascular stability, such as hypotension, bradycardia, and hypoxia, depending on the dose and route of administration.

Faritus et al administered 2 µg/kg of oral DEX and 0.5 mg/kg of MID as premedication 45 min preoperatively in children, and this provided ease for parental separation and mask acceptance behavior, without any side effects on
We conclude that 2 μg/kg of oral DEX provided satisfactory sedation levels, separation from parents, and mask acceptance in children, with a similar effect to 0.5 mg/kg of oral MID as premedication before comprehensive dental treatment. Additionally, DEX was more effective than MID in preventing ED.
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