High frequency equipment promotes antibacterial effects dependent on intensity and exposure time
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Background: The indiscriminate use of antibiotics has caused bacteria to develop mechanisms of resistance to antibacterial agents, limiting treatment options. Therefore, there is a great need for alternative methods to control infections, especially those related to skin. One of the alternative methods is the high frequency equipment (HFE), which is used on skin conditions as an analgesic, an anti-inflammatory, and mainly to accelerate cicatricial processes and have a bactericidal effect through the formation of ozone. This research investigated the antibacterial effect of HFE on standard cultures of bacteria.

Materials and methods: Dilutions (10⁴ colony forming unit mL⁻¹) were performed for Enterobacter aerogenes and Staphylococcus aureus with 24-hour growth bacteria. Then, 1 μL of each dilution was pipetted into suitable medium and the HFE flashing technique was used at intensities of 6, 8 and 10 mA for 30, 60, 90, 120 and 180 seconds. The control group received no treatment. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and then read.

Results: The spark at intensity of 6 mA had no bactericidal effect on the E. aerogenes; however, a significant bacterial growth reduction occurred at intensity of 8 mA after 120 and 180 seconds, and at 10 mA, reduction in bacterial growth could already be verified at 30 seconds and total bacterial growth inhibition occurred in 180 seconds. For S. aureus, there was a strong bacterial growth inhibition at all intensities used; however, at 6 mA, absence of bacterium growth after 120 and 180 seconds was observed. By increasing the flashing intensity to 8 and 10 mA, it was observed that the bacterium growth was inhibited after only 30 seconds of irradiation.

Conclusion: The HFE has time-dependent antibacterial effects against E. aerogenes and S. aureus bacteria that have several resistance mechanisms.
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Introduction

Over the last few decades, the development of more efficient drugs against bacterial infections has revolutionized medical treatment, causing a drastic reduction in mortality caused by microbial diseases. On the other hand, the widespread use of antibiotics has lamentably led bacteria to develop defenses against antibacterial agents, resulting in increasing resistance, imposing serious limitations on the options for treating bacterial infections, which is a major threat to public health.¹² In the world scenario of bacterial resistance, bacteria of the genus Enterobacter and Staphylococcus stand out and the antibiotics used to control them are usually not effective, making treatment difficult.³

The genus Enterobacter is characterized as facultative anaerobic gram-negative bacilli belonging to family Enterobacteriaceae. Two of its species, Enterobacter
aerogenes and Enterobacter cloacae are opportunistic bacteria and stand out as pathogens of intensive care unit patients capable of developing resistance mechanisms to β-lactams. The greater the expression of the Amp-C gene by Enterobacter, the greater the mechanism of resistance to certain antibiotics such as cephalosporin, and there are reports of carbapenemase-producing strains.

On the other hand, Staphylococcus aureus is a bacterium of the gram-positive cocci group found in the skin and nasal passages of healthy individuals; it is the main etiological agent of skin infections due to its ability to change the integrity of the skin barrier. S. aureus can cause serious infections such as pneumonia, meningitis, endocarditis, septicemia and even systemic infections, which can lead to death. Furthermore, infections caused by this agent present high morbidity and mortality rates in both hospital and home-based cases. The high ability of this bacterium to acquire resistance to antimicrobials has made it an important etiology of hospital infections, causing it to become a worldwide concern.

Since the discovery of treatment-resistant S. aureus in the 1950s, several outbreaks of hospital and community infections have been associated with resistant bacteria, viruses and parasites. In fact, a high prevalence of purulent skin infections caused by S. aureus is commonly observed in general practice and in the emergency department. Furthermore, antimicrobial drug resistance is one of the most important reasons that change the epidemiological behavior of diseases, leading to an increase in prevalence and lethality of several diseases that were previously considered under control.

Due to the great importance of the evolution of microbial resistance and the need for control of hospital and non-hospital infections, it is necessary to develop new bacteriostatic and bactericidal agents that improve the therapy of infected individuals. One of the promising alternatives is the high frequency equipment (HFE). HFE produces alternating currents (high voltage and low intensity), has vacuum or gas glass electrodes that conduct current and ionize air molecules, forming fluorescence. The effect of ozone (O₃) formed by the current-generated spark when it crosses the electrode and the thermal action of the equipment generated by the electric field formation are responsible for the physiological effects observed. For example, the local peripheral vasodilation that improves blood flow and oxygenation stands out.

The equipment is widely used by physiotherapists and aesthetics professionals to treat skin conditions, as an analgesic, anti-inflammatory and mainly to accelerate cicatricial processes. In contact with the skin, O₃ is converted into molecular oxygen (O₂) and atomic oxygen (O), which is extremely aggressive due to its oxidative capacity. The effectiveness of O₃ action on bacteria is guaranteed because it acts on the bacterial membrane by compromising its enzymatic activity, altering the cell permeability, and causing oxidation of amino acids and nucleic acids, leading to bacterium death.

In this context, this research investigated the bactericidal action, many times and in many intensities, of HFE in standard S. aureus and E. aerogenes strains and determined the sensitivity of this electrotherapeutic resource on these bacteria.

**Materials and methods**

**Bacterial culture and count**

For reactivation, E. aerogenes (ATCC® 13048) and S. aureus (ATCC 25923) strains were placed in brain heart infusion agar (BHI) for 24 hours at 37°C. After clouding the BHI broth for 2–4 hours, a new peel was performed on Mueller Hinton agar (MHA) for tests with microorganisms. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. For solid-state tests, after reactivation, bacteria were peeled into their respective culture media and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

Starting from a culture of 24-hour bacteria growth in appropriate culture medium, a sterile dilution was performed aiming at obtaining turbidity equivalent to the Mac Farland scale tube (10⁶ colony forming unit [CFU]·mL⁻¹). Subsequently, 10⁴ CFU·mL⁻¹ dilution was performed in sterile saline accordingly. After dilutions, 1 μL of the solution was transferred to the center of the Petri dish containing MHA medium for E. aerogenes and S. aureus. Then, the control group received no treatment and had 1 drop of bacterial suspension spread with the aid of Drigalsky loop homogeneously across the plaque surface. In the treatment group, 1 μL of the bacterial solution was pipetted into the center of the Petri dish and was sparked with HFE (AF Plus®, Tone Derm, Caxias do Sul – RS – Brazil). After treatment, 1 drop of bacterial suspension was spread according to procedure performed with the control group. After tests, plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours and then colonies were counted, and the results were expressed as CFU·μL⁻¹. All groups were tested in triplicate.

**Antibacterial activity of HFE**

Standard E. aerogenes and S. aureus strains were divided into control and treated groups. In the treated group, HFE was used with the standard electrode containing neon gas to determine the reddish fluorescence. The sparking technique was applied, in which the electrode was positioned about
5 mm away from the plate, causing the formation of sparks with intensities of 6, 8 and 10 mA (regulated in the equipment), which represent voltage of 100% for O₃ formation. Sparking was applied for each intensity for periods of 30, 60, 90, 120 and 180 seconds. The same procedure was performed with the control group; however, no sparking was applied.

**Statistical analyses**

All values were expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean. Data were analyzed by repeated measures analysis of variance, followed by Tukey post hoc, and statistical significance was considered when *p*<0.05.

**Results**

The bacterial growth of *E. aerogenes* at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 180 seconds after irradiation with 6, 8 or 10 mA HFE is presented in Figure 1. Compared with the control group, the spark at intensity of 6 mA had no bactericidal effect; however, a significant bacterial growth reduction occurred at intensity of 8 mA at 120 and 180 seconds, and at 10 mA, reduction could already be verified at 30 seconds; however, total bacterial growth inhibition only occurred at 10 mA at 180 seconds.

For *S. aureus*, there was a strong growth inhibition at all intensities used; however, at 6 mA, absence of bacterium growth was observed after 120 and 180 seconds. By increasing the flashing intensity to 8 and 10 mA, it was observed that the bacterium growth was inhibited after only 30 seconds of irradiation, demonstrating that the higher the intensity, the shorter the time taken for the equipment to induce a bactericidal effect (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Antimicrobial resistance of bacteria, viruses and parasites is a growing public health threat of broad concern to multiple sectors. This increasingly serious problem threatens the achievements of modern medicine and is gradually arousing the interest of governments worldwide. A post-antibiotic era far from being an apocalyptic fantasy, in which minor injuries and common infections could kill, is rather a real possibility in the twenty-first century. Antimicrobial resistance leads to reduced efficacy of therapies, making the treatment of patients difficult, costly, or even impossible, and resulting in prolonged illness and increased mortality. The current absence of new therapeutic agents on the horizon to replace those that have become ineffective adds to the urgency to find new strategies with antimicrobial activity. These strategies are extremely important for the control of bacterial skin infections, since resistant bacteria also affect skin tissue with varying degrees of severity for patients. Faced with this necessity, this research presents a promising strategy for the treatment of skin bacterial infections using the HFE.

*Enterobacter* and *Staphylococcus* sp. are important human pathogens that are present both in the hospital environment and at home, and responsible for a broad spectrum of infectious diseases of high morbidity and mortality rates. It is of concern that most infections caused by these agents are not sensitive to antibiotic treatment due to...
the development of bacterial resistance. Our results demonstrate that the use of ozonotherapy through HFE can reduce the growth of *E. aerogenes* and *S. aureus* cultures in a time and intensity-dependent manner. The same therapy was also effective in patients with pressure ulcers, with improvement in ulcer healing and decrease in the surface area of the lesions. The anti-inflammatory and bactericidal effects of ozonotherapy were also confirmed on endophthalmitis caused by *S. epidermidis*, with a great reduction of the ocular inflammatory reaction. HFE acts through an alternating wave that transforms O₂ in the air into O₃ through sparks. O₃ has a cauterizing, healing, thermal, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, fungicidal, bactericidal, bacteriostatic and disinfectant effect. HFE is commonly used for the treatment of dermatological lesions infected by bacteria and fungi. In clinical practice, patients better tolerate the application of the intensity of 6 mA. Higher intensities are uncomfortable. However, the results of this research show bacterial growth inhibition with only 30 seconds of irradiation at intensity of 10 mA of sparking as opposed to 120 seconds of irradiation at intensity of 6 mA to have the same effect, demonstrating that higher frequency equipment requires less time to provide antimicrobial effect. Thus, it is possible to infer that if low intensity is used, it will be necessary to increase the equipment irradiation time to guarantee bactericidal effect. In addition to the bactericidal, antiseptic, fungicidal and germicidal effects, this therapy modality is low cost, easy to apply, and painless and safe, reducing the costs to the health system and improving the quality of life of the patient. Because of this, and in view of the promising results, HFE can act as an adjuvant to the use of antibiotic therapy in skin infections, if properly used.

**Conclusion**

The high frequency apparatus produces bactericidal effect on *S. aureus* and *E. aerogenes* cultures. However, this effect is observed only at high intensity and prolonged time of application. Further studies should be conducted on this subject, so that this therapy can complement the traditional therapy of skin infections, thus favoring the patient’s recovery.
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