Glenohumeral internal rotation deficit in throwing athletes: current perspectives
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Abstract: Glenohumeral internal rotation deficit (GIRD) is an adaptive process in which the throwing shoulder experiences a loss of internal rotation (IR). GIRD has most commonly been defined by a loss of >20° of IR compared to the contralateral shoulder. Total rotational motion of the shoulder is the sum of internal and external rotation and may be more important than the absolute value of IR loss. Pathologic GIRD has been defined as a loss of IR combined with a loss of total rotational motion. The leading pathologic process in GIRD is posterior capsular and rotator-cuff tightness, due to the repetitive cocking that occurs with the overhead throwing motion. GIRD has been associated with numerous pathologic conditions, including posterior superior labral tears, partial articular-sided rotator-cuff tears, and superior labral anterior-to-posterior tears. The mainstay of treatment for patients with GIRD is posterior capsular stretching and strengthening to improve scapular mechanics. In patients who fail nonoperative therapy, shoulder arthroscopy can be performed. Arthroscopic surgery in the high-level throwing athlete should be to restore them to their functional baseline with the minimum amount of intervention possible.
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Introduction
Glenohumeral (GH) internal rotation deficit (GIRD) is an adaptive process in which the throwing shoulder experiences a loss of IR. Currently, GIRD can be defined by a loss of >20° of IR compared to the contralateral shoulder (Table 1).1–3 However, not all GIRD is pathologic, and decreased IR (compared to nonthrowing shoulder) can exist without concomitant shoulder pathology when total rotational motion (TRM) of the shoulders is symmetric.4 This is partly due to increased retrotorsion (decreased anteversion) of the humerus, which shifts the arc of motion more posteriorly (external). Pathologic GIRD, in contrast, exists when there is a loss of TRM >5° compared to the contralateral shoulder. This 5° change has been associated with decreased shoulder strength5 and increased injury rates in baseball players.6–8

First described over 50 years ago, the physical exam findings of increased external rotation (ER) and decreased IR have been well documented in the shoulders of throwing athletes.9–11 Bennett was the first to describe the pathologic finding in overhead athletes of a postero-inferior glenoid spur termed the “Bennett lesion”,12 now known to be due to traction of the inferior GH ligament (IGHL). In 1995, Davidson et al coined the term “internal impingement” to describe the intra-articular pathology found at the time of shoulder arthroscopy in overhead athletes.13 However, it was not until Burkhart et al’s landmark three-part series on the disabled throwing shoulder that the pathologic process...
of GIRD was brought into mainstream orthopedics.\textsuperscript{2,14,15} This series elucidated many of the concepts currently used to guide the treatment of throwing athletes, including the kinetic chain of throwing and scapular dyskinesia.

The leading pathologic process in GIRD is posterior capsular and rotator-cuff tightness, due to the repetitive cocking that occurs with the overhead throwing motion.\textsuperscript{15,16} Achieving increased ER in the maximally abducted position is thought to help increase throwing velocity.\textsuperscript{17,18} During pitching, biomechanical studies have shown shoulder ER can exceed 160°, IR acceleration can exceed 6,000°/second, and IR torque can exceed 60 N/m.\textsuperscript{19} These kinematic extremes place a high amount of stress on the static and dynamic stabilizers of the shoulder, including the rotator cuff, joint capsule, and labrum. With each pitch, these structures are loaded to levels that approach their ultimate load to failure, making them extremely vulnerable to injury.\textsuperscript{20} Although a single traumatic event can lead to a shoulder injury, more commonly it is repetitive overuse that leads to numerous pathologic conditions, including posterior labral tears, partial articular-sided posterosuperior rotator-cuff tears, and superior labral anterior-to-posterior (SLAP) tears.\textsuperscript{20,21} In addition to shoulder pathology, patients also display scapular dyskinesia\textsuperscript{15} and are predisposed to ulnar collateral ligament (UCL) tears at the elbow.\textsuperscript{22}

The throwing motion is an extremely complex process in which remarkable velocities and extreme forces are repetitively generated (Figure 1). Mobility and stability are often directly at odds with each other, leading to the idea of the “thrower’s paradox” coined by Wilk et al.\textsuperscript{23} While throwers may not complain of frank instability, they do have a component of pathologic laxity or microinstability that predisposes them to injury.\textsuperscript{24} Balancing this dichotomy allows athletes to achieve maximal performance while pitching. The goal of this review is to provide an update on the diagnosis, associated pathology, and treatment of GIRD in throwing athletes.

Table 1: Definitions for common terminology used in the diagnosis and treatment of GIRD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GIRD (glenohumeral internal rotation deficit)</td>
<td>Loss of internal rotation (IR) of ≥20° or greater compared to contralateral shoulder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRM (total rotational shoulder motion)</td>
<td>Summation of internal and external rotation (ER) measured at 90° of shoulder abduction; should not exceed 187°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal impingement</td>
<td>Contact between the greater tuberosity of the humerus and the posterior superior glenoid rim leading to impingement of the posterosuperior labrum and articular side of the rotator cuff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External impingement</td>
<td>Contact between and greater tuberosity of the humerus and the acromion leading to impingement on the bursal side of rotator cuff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLAP (superior labrum, anterior to posterior)</td>
<td>Tear of superior labrum from anterior to posterior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABER (abduction and ER)</td>
<td>Position of the shoulder in the cocking phase of throwing where shoulder abduction is ≥90° and ER can achieve up to 160°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGHL (inferior glenohumeral ligament)</td>
<td>Capsular thickening that provides restraint to translation of the humeral head; anterior band prevents posterior translation in ABER and posterior band prevents anterior translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PASTA (partial articular-sided supraspinatus tear)</td>
<td>Articular-sided partial-thickness tear of the posterior supraspinatus tendon caused by internal impingement of the greater tuberosity on the posterior labrum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: The six phases of the throwing motion: windup, early cocking, late cocking, acceleration, ball release and deceleration, and follow-through.

Diagnosis

History and physical exam

In athletes with pathologic GIRD, shoulder stiffness, the need for a prolonged warm-up, and loss of velocity (dead arm) are often the initial complaints.25 Pain is often aspecific, localizing to the posterior shoulder, and the late cocking position is often provocative.26 Palpation of the posterior joint line and surrounding soft tissue can reproduce pain.27 Due to the high prevalence of GIRD in overhead throwers, a high index of suspicion is necessary for any throwing athlete who presents with shoulder symptoms. Therefore, all throwers with shoulder pain must be assessed for passive IR and TRM of the shoulder. The authors’ preferred method to assess loss of IR of the shoulder is to have the patient lie supine on the examination table. The examiner can then bring both arms into 90° of shoulder abduction and 90° of elbow flexion. Maximum passive ER and IR are then assessed in the throwing shoulder compared to the contralateral side. Maximum passive IR and IR are then assessed in the throwing shoulder compared to the contralateral extremity, and differences can be measured using a goniometer (Figure 2). Importantly, maximum passive IR is defined as the point just before the scapula begins to lift from the examining surface.28 Although the exact definition is debated, a difference of 20° or more when compared to the contralateral side is generally considered diagnostic of GIRD. The sum of maximum ER and IR equals the TRM of the shoulder. In most overhead-throwing athletes, TRM should be symmetric bilaterally. This method is preferred to measuring IR by assessing the vertebral level that can be reached when rotating the arm up the back, because it has been shown to have greater reliability29 and is not affected by scapulothoracic or elbow motion.30

Posterior-shoulder tightness can be assessed as described by Tyler et al.31 This is performed by having the subject lie in the lateral decubitus position with the throwing shoulder up. With the shoulder in 90° of abduction and neutral rotation (scapula stabilized), the arm is maximally adducted (lowered toward the floor). The distance that the medial epicondyle of the humerus travels in centimeters is recorded. Posterior-shoulder tightness is then calculated as the difference between the throwing and nonthrowing shoulders. Every 1 cm loss of adduction correlates with an ~ 5° loss of IR. Therefore, a 4 cm deficit would equate to a 20° loss of IR and a diagnosis of GIRD. Myers et al confirmed this in a series of throwers, showing the average amount of posterior-shoulder tightness was 4.2 cm in subjects with internal impingement compared to 0.9 cm in subjects throwers without impingement.32 To assess internal impingement in a throwing athlete with GIRD further, Meister et al developed a test termed “posterior impingement sign” to look for posterosuperior labral tears and partial articular-sided supraspinatus tear (PASTA) lesions.33 The shoulder is brought into maximum passive abduction and ER (late cocking). The presence of deep posterior-shoulder pain is considered positive.

In addition to posterior-shoulder tightness, approximately two-thirds of pitchers with GIRD display a sulcus sign on exam.10 This is thought to be due to laxity of the rotator interval structures (coracohumeral and superior GH ligament), due to repetitive cocking while throwing.34 Another classic pathologic finding in the examination of the throwing athlete includes so-called SICK scapula,15 which consists of scapular malposition, inferior medial border prominence, coracoid pain and malposition, and dyskinesis of scapular movement. Static position of the scapula is best assessed from behind, and it is often helpful to draw the bony prominences bilaterally for comparison. Active motion can then be assessed by having the patient perform maximum forward elevation, and any dyskinesia can be detected. Finally, all throwers with shoulder pain in our clinic are evaluated for active and passive motion in all planes (forward flexion, extension, abduction, cross-body adduction), manual muscle-strength testing of the rotator cuff, impingement findings (Hawkins–Neer testing), SL and bicep pathology (active compression and Speed’s test), and instability ( apprehension, load and shift, and jerk testing). Specifically, for throwers with painful shoulders, evaluation of

Figure 2 Total rotation motion of the shoulder is the summation of passive ER and IR.

Notes: In overhead throwers, the arc of motion is shifted more posteriorly (external), which can lead to GIRD. Copyright ©2011. SAGE journals. Reproduced from Wilk KE, Macrina LC, Fleisig GS, et al. Correlation of glenohumeral internal rotation deficit and total rotational motion to shoulder injuries in professional baseball pitchers. Am J Sports Med. 2011;39(2):329–335.8

Abbreviations: GIRD, glenohumeral internal rotation deficit; ER, external rotation; IR, internal rotation.
the entire kinetic chain of throwing is performed by a team of trained physical therapists and includes assessments of core strength and hip mechanics.

Imaging
Radiography can be nondiagnostic in athletes with GIRD. We routinely obtain a standard shoulder series (AP, Grashey, axillary, and scapular Y) in patients who present to our clinic with a new complaint of shoulder pain. Occasionally, a posterior glenoid osteophyte (Bennett’s lesion) can be seen on axillary radiography and sclerosis of the posterior glenoid rim seen on computed tomography.25 MRI is the modality of choice for the diagnosis of GIRD to rule out any concomitant pathology. The MRI features of posterior glenoid internal impingement have been described, and include supraspinatus and anterior infraspinatus partial undersurface tears, bony cystic changes at the posterosuperior humeral head, glenoid chondral wear, and labral pathology, including posterior SL tears and type II SLAP tears.36 Superoposterior subluxation of the humeral head and thickened appearance of the posterior band of the IGHL can also be seen (Figure 3A).37 MR arthrography (MRA) of the shoulder offers increased sensitivity for the detection of articular-surface partial-thickness rotator-cuff tears and SLAP lesions over conventional MRI.38,39 Additionally, MRA performed in the abduction and external rotation (ABER) position allows the posterosuperior rotator cuff to relax, permitting better visualization of a rotator-cuff tear (Figure 3B).40 Placing the shoulder in ABER puts the biceps anchor on tension, allowing for visualization of otherwise nondisplaced SLAP tears. For these reasons, MRA in the ABER position should be considered in all symptomatic overhead athletes.41

Pathology
Although GIRD in isolation is not a pathologic process, throwers with GIRD often present to the clinic due to the development of shoulder pathology. The pathologic process in throwing shoulders with GIRD can be divided into intra-articular shoulder pathology (labrum, joint capsule, articular-sided rotator cuff), extra-articular shoulder pathology (bursal-sided rotator cuff, acromion), and pathology of the throwing kinetic chain (lower extremity, core, scapula, and elbow). We discuss each in detail.

Posterior-shoulder tightness and humeral retrotorsion
Traditionally, the major pathologic process in GIRD was thought to be contracture of the posterior GH-joint capsule and the posterior band of the IGHL.2,16,42–45 In high-level throwers, the posterior capsule was found to be thicker in the dominant shoulder compared to the contralateral extremity.46 Experimentally, posterior capsular plication has replicated the biomechanical changes that occur in the throwing shoulder.47 Following simulated posteroinferior capsular tightness (with resultant GIRD), GH contact pressure and rotator-cuff impingement significantly increases. Additionally, with as little as 5% GIRD, translational changes in the humeral head occur, including increased superior displacement of the humeral head in maximum abduction and ER (ABER).16,43 These shifts in humeral head center of rotation have implications for injury, due to altered joint kinematics.3 Interestingly, more recent data have shown that posterior rotator-cuff tightness, not just capsular contracture, may contribute to GIRD.48 This idea is also supported by studies showing GIRD can change as much as 15% after a single

Figure 3  Magnetic resonance imaging of the throwing shoulder.
Notes: (A) Axial MRI of the shoulder (T1), with small black arrows showing thickening of the posterior capsule; (B) MR arthrography (T2) performed in the ABER position, with small white arrow showing an articular-sided tear in the supraspinatus tendon. Copyright ©2015. John Wiley and Sons. Reproduced with permission from Fessa CK, Peduto A, Linklater J, Tirman P. Posterosuperior glenoid internal impingement of the shoulder in the overhead athlete: pathogenesis, clinical features and MR imaging findings. J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol. 2015;59(2):182–187.46
Abbreviations: ABER, abduction and external rotation.
throwing exposure, making the loss of IR too rapid for capsular contracture alone. Therefore, the terminology of posterior-shoulder tightness to include all soft tissue (capsule and cuff) has been proposed as a better descriptor of the pathology that occurs.

Although the adaptive changes seen in GIRD were historically thought to affect only soft tissue, studies have shown bony changes, including increased humeral retroversion (decreased anteversion) in collegiate and professional baseball pitchers. A recent study showed professional baseball pitchers displayed significantly greater humeral retroversion in their dominant arm compared with those without GIRD. Pitchers also displayed a greater side-to-side difference in humeral torsion. In youth and high school pitchers, age-related increases in GIRD were correlated with increased humeral retroversion, not soft-tissue changes. Bony changes to the scapula also occur in the dominant arm, with significantly increased glenoid retroversion seen in baseball players compared to controls. Interestingly, many authors believe increased bony adaptation is protective to the soft-tissue stabilizers of the shoulder, the idea being increased ER gain through bony changes protects the shoulder from excess stress on the anterior capsuloligamentous structures.

Rotator-cuff pathology

Tears of the rotator cuff are common in the throwing athlete, and MRI of the dominant shoulder in asymptomatic throwers shows cuff tears in up to 40%.

The hallmark lesion of GIRD and internal impingement is a posterosuperior labral tear. Impingement of the greater tuberosity against the glenoid rim during late cocking causes lesions to the posterosuperior labrum and articular side of the supraspinatus tendon. Although this can be a normal physiological occurrence in maximum ABER, the biomechanics of repetitive throwing intensify this contact. In addition to posterior labral tears, subjects with GIRD develop SLAP tears. Andrews et al and Snyder et al pioneered our understanding of these tears in throwing athletes. Although the function of the superior labrum is still debated, most agree it is important for concavity compression of the shoulder as well as an attachment site for soft-tissue stabilizers. The mechanism of SLAP tears in overhead athletes is thought to be multifactorial, but most authors agree the “peel back” that occurs during ABER contributes to SLAP pathology. During the throwing motion, superior migration of the humeral head can increase the stress on the superior labrum and bicep anchor. Kinematic studies have shown that during the cocking phase of throwing, superior shear forces can exceed 250 N. Additionally, bicep contraction in a lengthened position places tensile stress on the bicep anchor, which may lead to SL tears. Some authors argue that SLAP lesions are adaptive and allow for supraphysiological ER, as the biceps anchor is a stabilizer in the late-cocking position.

Kinetic chain

As described by Burkhart et al in the kinetic chain of throwing, the legs and trunk generate force, the shoulder regulates and funnels force, and the arm delivers force to the ball. Approximately half of the kinetic energy generated during throwing is transferred to the arm through the scapulothoracic joint, making the scapula crucial in the kinetic chain for throwing. A SICK scapula can lead to altered kinematics in the GH and acromioclavicular joints, leading to anterior-shoulder laxity.

Although much of the focus on GIRD centers around the cocking phase of throwing (Figure 1), biomechanical studies have shown that enormous forces are placed upon the shoulder stabilizers during ball release. During arm deceleration, posterior shear force can reach 400 N and compression of the humeral head against the glenoid can exceed 1,000 N. For this reason, the instant just before ball release has been defined as a critical phase of throwing. After ball release, extreme force and torque is placed upon the rotator cuff as the arm decelerates, which can result in tensile failure and an acute traumatic rupture.
pain, coracoid tenderness, acromioclavicular-joint pain with overhead activities, and decreased range of motion, specifically forward flexion.15 Another aspect of the kinetic chain that can be injured in overhead throwing with GIRD is the elbow. Many studies have shown a correlation between GIRD and injuries to the elbow UCL in throwers.22,55,66 Increasing the amount of ER increases the valgus load seen at the elbow in the cocking phase. However, a recent study showed there was no significant difference in mean dominant-arm humeral retrotorsion between pitchers with previous UCL reconstructions compared to uninjured controls.67 Therefore, it may be decreased TRM, and not just GIRD, that predisposes pitchers to UCL injuries.

Treatment and outcomes

Nonsurgical

The mainstay of treatment for patients with GIRD is posterior capsular stretching and strengthening to improve scapular mechanics.68 In our clinical experience, the success rates for a prolonged course of physical therapy cannot be overstated when discussing the treatment of throwing athletes with painful shoulders. Active stretching in throwing athletes has generally been performed via the sleeper stretch and the cross-body stretch. These stretches were first described by Burkhart et al in part 1 of their series on the disabled throwing shoulder.2 The sleeper stretch is performed with subjects lying on their side with their shoulder and elbow flexed to 90° and the scapula stabilized. The contralateral extremity is then used to rotate internally the throwing shoulder maximally. The cross-body stretch is performed with the patient standing and the shoulder flexed to 90°. The contralateral extremity is then used maximally to adduct the throwing shoulder horizontally by applying pressure to the elbow. Several authors have prospectively studied additional stretching methods, including prone–passive stretching,69 all-fours posterior stretching,70 and the muscle-energy technique.71

Multiple studies have shown the benefit of stretching in symptomatic GIRD patients.72,73 In addition to improving IR deficits and TRM, stretching has also been shown to increase acromiohumeral distance in throwing with GIRD.74 In asymptomatic professional baseball pitchers, 2 minutes of stretching after a short pitching session has been shown to restore motion back to prepitching levels.75 Mine et al recently published a systematic review on the effectiveness of stretching (cross-body and sleeper) in asymptomatic patients with GIRD.76 In this study, they analyzed 553 subjects in ten randomized controlled trials in the English- and Japanese-language literatures. They concluded that there was moderate evidence to support the short-term effects of stretching in patients with asymptomatic GIRD. In addition to stretching alone, research has shown that instrumented manual therapy along with a stretching program improves shoulder motion in baseball players.77 Newer nonoperative modalities like the muscle-energy technique and myofascial release78 also play a role in the treatment of GIRD, although more research is needed to study their effectiveness. In our clinic, athletes are seen by a physical therapist specialized in treating throwing athletes and immediately begun on a stretching program. Wilk et al outlined the phases of rehabilitation in the throwing athlete, which can progress from stretching to strengthening and eventually return to play.23

Surgical

In general, return-to-play rates after arthroscopic shoulder surgery in throwers are only fair,79–81 and surgery is recommended only for throwers that have exhausted nonsurgical treatment. Certain authors would argue that the goal of arthroscopic surgery in the high-level throwing athlete should be to restore them to their functional baseline with the minimum amount of intervention possible. Much controversy exists in the treatment of SLAP tears in throwers, and outcomes reported in the literature including return-to-play rates are quite variable.82–85 Many of the studies looking at surgical treatment of SLAP lesions included additional pathology, including rotator-cuff tears, making comparison difficult. For repair of type II SLAP tears specifically, a recent systematic review showed only 63% of overhead athletes returned to their previous level of play. Interestingly, athletes who underwent anchor repair had a slightly higher return-to-play rate compared with tack repair.86 Brockmeyer et al published a treatment algorithm for surgical treatment of SLAP tears, with a recommendation of repair of type II lesions in young, active patients.87 Surgical techniques for the treatment of SLAP lesions are also highly variable. A recent systematic review (26 papers, 1,162 patients) showed high heterogeneity in surgical technique, the largest percentage of studies reporting one to two absorbable suture anchors placed at the 11:00 and 1:00 positions.88 As opposed to fixing SLAP tears in throwing athletes, some authors have recently advocated for biceps tenodesis.79,89

Like SLAP repair, the treatment of articular-sided rotator-cuff tears in athletes is controversial. Cadaveric transtendinous repair of partial rotator-cuff tears has been shown to improve GH and subacromial contact pressure at the cost of a loss of ER.61 However, clinically, the results after rotator-cuff surgery for elite throwers are poor, and return-to-play
rates are low. In throwers with severe GIRD and loss of TRM who have failed extensive nonoperative treatment, arthroscopic posteroinferior capsular release can be performed. In college-age throwing athletes, capsular release has been shown to improve patient reported outcome scores and GIRD significantly. Capsular release should be performed from 6:00 to 11:00 (right shoulder) to expose completely the muscle bellies of the posterior rotator cuff.

**Discussion**

Due to the prevalence of single-sport specialization and increases in the throwing demand of overhead athletes, the prevalence of GIRD is increasing. Little leaguers have been shown to develop GIRD before even a passive increase in ER is seen on exam. Repetitive throwing leads to adaptive bone, capsuloligamentous, and muscular changes. Over time, these adaptive changes lead to pathologic kinematics and GIRD. Although these findings are commonly described in baseball pitchers, similar injuries may be observed in softball, tennis, handball, football, and even javelin throwers. Therefore, any overhead athlete that presents to the clinic with shoulder pain should be examined for GIRD. The diagnosis of GIRD is made clinically based upon decreased passive IR compared to the contralateral shoulder, and it is important to recognize that not all GIRD is pathologic. As the prevalence of GIRD increases, more research is needed on diagnosis and management of throwers with GIRD. Screening programs may be necessary, and prevention programs like those that have been adopted to prevent anterior cruciate ligament injuries in adolescent female athletes may be beneficial. However, currently data are lacking on the outcomes of preventive measures for asymptomatic throwers with GIRD. Additionally, limited level 1 data exist on which stretching methods are superior in patients with GIRD. As new nonoperative modalities become available, research will need to be done to study their effectiveness.

The mainstay of nonoperative treatment for patients with GIRD remains posterior capsular stretching. A comprehensive therapy protocol should also focus on the entire kinetic chain, including the lower extremity, core, and scapula. In symptomatic throwers who fail extensive nonoperative therapy, shoulder arthroscopy can be performed. It is important to recognize not all patients with GIRD carry the diagnosis of internal impingement, and these terms are not synonymous. However, pathologic GIRD may lead to numerous conditions, including posterosuperior labral tears, PASTA lesions, SLAP tears, and microinstability from rotator-interval laxity. In athletes with GIRD who do go on to develop pathology requiring surgical intervention, more research needs to be done on the optimal surgical management. For example, surgical treatment for SLAP tears and PASTA tears continues to remain highly controversial. A recent study showed bicep tenodesis may not have as good an outcome in pitchers as previously believed. Therefore, the goal of arthroscopic surgery in the high-level throwing athlete should be to restore them to their functional baseline with the minimum amount of intervention possible. This may not mean restoring their shoulder to “normal”, as they have adaptive changes that allow them to perform at such a high level.
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