Resistance training-induced gains in muscle strength, body composition, and functional capacity are attenuated in elderly women with sarcopenic obesity
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Objectives: The purpose of this study was to compare the effects of resistance training (RT) on body composition, muscle strength, and functional capacity in elderly women with and without sarcopenic obesity (SO).

Methods: A total of 49 women (aged ≥60 years) were divided in two groups: without SO (non-SO, n=41) and with SO (n=8). Both groups performed a periodized RT program consisting of two weekly sessions for 16 weeks. All measures were assessed at baseline and postintervention, including anthropometry and body composition (dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry), muscle strength (one repetition maximum) for chest press and 45° leg press, and functional capacity (stand up, elbow flexion, timed “up and go”).

Results: After the intervention, only the non-SO group presented significant reductions in percentage body fat (−2.2%; P<0.006), waist circumference (−2.7%; P=0.01), waist-to-hip ratio (−2.3; P=0.02), and neck circumference (−1.8%; P=0.03) as compared with baseline. Muscle strength in the chest press and biceps curl increased in non-SO only (12.9% and 11.3%, respectively), while 45° leg press strength increased in non-SO (50.3%) and SO (40.5%) as compared with baseline. Performance in the chair stand up and timed “up and go” improved in non-SO only (21.4% and −8.4%, respectively), whereas elbow flexion performance increased in non-SO (23.8%) and SO (21.4%). Effect sizes for motor tests were of higher magnitude in the non-SO group, and in general, considered “moderate” compared to “trivial” in the SO group.

Conclusion: Results suggest that adaptations induced by 16 weeks of RT are attenuated in elderly woman with SO, compromising improvements in adiposity indices and gains in muscle strength and functional capacity.
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Introduction

The rapidly growing elderly population in most developed countries has resulted in an epidemiological confluence of risk factors for health-related conditions. In this context, the prevalence of obesity in older adults has doubled since 1980, and it continues to increase worldwide1 with more than a third of persons ≥65 years of age in the USA considered obese.2 In addition, aging is accompanied by a progressive loss of muscle mass and poor physical function referred to as sarcopenia, which dramatically affects health status and quality of life in those afflicted.3 Coexistence of sarcopenia and obesity, termed sarcopenic obesity (SO),4 may act synergistically to exacerbate health-threatening effects.
SO has been related to longer periods of hospitalization,\(^5\) higher health care costs,\(^6\) increased risk of cardiovascular diseases,\(^7\) and greater mortality\(^8\) than sarcopenia or obesity alone. Furthermore, elderly individuals with SO showed a higher risk for incurring functional limitations, notably in women.\(^9\) Women with SO have an odds ratio for two or more self-reported physical disabilities on the instrumental activities of daily living of 11.98 compared with 2.96 for sarcopenia and 2.15 for obesity alone.\(^10\) These negative outcomes suggest that sarcopenia and obesity have independent and additive adverse effects on health and that the efforts taken to promote healthy aging must consider both preventing obesity and maintaining or increasing skeletal muscle mass and function.

Despite significant and rising public health concerns, SO is a modifiable condition and can be treated with effective therapy. In this sense, it has been argued that exercise training interventions and, in particular, resistance training (RT) could ameliorate outcomes related to SO by promoting beneficial changes in muscle strength\(^11\) and adiposity indices.\(^12\) However, a more careful analysis of intervention studies in elderly individuals with SO has shown conflicting results with respect to improvements in physical function. Although some studies have reported increases in muscular strength and physical performance associated with regular RT in those with SO,\(^12\)\(^13\) others have failed to document improvements.\(^11\) Moreover, most studies to date have focused on older people with obesity and frailty\(^14\) or postmenopausal women separately\(^15\) and not with the SO condition. In addition, some studies used a combination of different modalities of exercises that make it difficult to evaluate the isolated effects of RT. Thus, the effects of RT in those with SO are not completely elucidated.

Obesity and aging are characterized by several abnormalities in skeletal muscle and, in particular, intramuscular lipid accumulation, which has been associated with low force generation, reduced regenerative capacity from injuries, resistance to anabolic stimulus (growth factors, hormones, amino acids, and exercise),\(^16\) and heightened local inflammatory pathways.\(^17\) All these abnormalities may impair exercise adaptations,\(^18\)\(^19\) potentially mitigating gains in muscle function.

Based on these assumptions, it is reasonable to hypothesize that elderly women with SO are less responsive to the benefits induced by RT as compared with elderly women without SO. Thus, the present study was undertaken to compare the effects of 16 weeks of RT on body composition, muscle strength, and functional capacity in elderly women with and without SO.

### Materials and methods

#### Subjects

Initially, 66 sedentary obese elderly women were recruited to participate in this study. Before participating in the experimental protocols, each subject underwent a complete physical examination that included clinical history, anthropometry, resting and exercise electrocardiogram, as well as orthopedic and blood pressure assessment. The following inclusion criteria were adopted: sedentary women, aged $\geq 60$ years, with a body fat percentage $>32\%$. Obesity was determined as recommended by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, assuming a cutoff point of 30% for women.\(^20\) Exclusion criteria were as follows: smoking, sarcopenia alone, alcohol and drug abuse in the last 2 years, physical disability, diagnosis of diabetes, cardiovascular disease, hypertension, inflammatory and rheumatic diseases, autoimmune diseases, or the use of medications (such as beta blockers, hormone replacement therapy, anti-inflammatory, insulin). Enrollment was voluntary and written informed consent was obtained from each participant. The procedures complied with the Helsinki Declaration, and the experimental protocol was approved by the Catholic University of Brasilia Ethics Committee (protocol no. 235/2010).

After screening for eligibility, 49 elderly women were selected to participate. Subjects were divided into two groups: those without SO (non-SO, \(n=41\), 66.0±4.0 years, 63.7±10.78 kg, 151.2±6.2 cm) and those with SO (SO, \(n=8\), 66.9±3.3 years, 69.2±12.3 kg, 156.3±3.2 cm). Both groups completed 16 weeks of regimented RT.

#### Anthropometry and body composition

Height (to the nearest 0.1 cm) and body mass (to the nearest 0.1 kg) were measured and utilized to determine body mass index (BMI, body mass/height\(^2\)). All circumferences were obtained in triplicate using a nonelastie tape measurer and averaged to determine the final reported circumference. Waist circumference (WC) was measured at the midway point between the last floating rib and the iliac crest. Neck circumference (NC) was measured with participants standing erect and with their heads positioned in the horizontal Frankfurt plane. The upper edge of the measuring tape was placed just below the laryngeal prominence and applied perpendicularly to the long axis of the neck. Hip circumference was determined as recommended by the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, assuming a cutoff point of 30% for women.\(^20\) Waist-to-hip ratio (WHr) was calculated as WC divided by hip circumference.

Total and percentage body fat mass (FM) and fat-free mass (FFM) were determined by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry.
Muscle strength assessment

Maximum strength (one repetition maximum [RM]) was tested in chest press, 45° leg press, and standing arm curl on the same day with 10 minutes rest between exercises. Subjects were allowed to warm-up for 10 minutes with low-intensity treadmill running and performed eight repetitions with an estimated 50% of 1 RM (according to the loads estimated in the adaptation period). After 1 minute of rest, subjects completed three repetitions with an estimated 70% of 1 RM and rested for 3 minutes. They had three attempts to reach 1 RM with progressively heavier loads, using 3–5 minutes of rest between trials. Exercise standards followed the recommendations of Brown and Weir.28 This procedure was repeated 3 days later to determine test–retest reproducibility. A higher intraclass correlation coefficient was found between the first and the second test days (chest press r=0.95, 45° leg press r=0.98, and arm curl r=0.98).

RT protocol

Briefly, subjects underwent 2 weeks of RT familiarization with one exercise for each major muscle group and two sets of 15 submaximal repetitions. This training was based on a previous study from our research group.29 Subjects performed two weekly sessions during the 16-week RT. In the first 4 weeks, they completed three sets of 12–14 RM and from week 5 to 8 three sets of 10–12 RM. In weeks 9–12, subjects completed 8–10 RM and from week 13 to 16 three sets of 6–8 RM. All training sessions were supervised by an experienced RT professional. Resistance exercises were performed in the following order: chest press, 45° leg press, seated low row, leg extension, leg curl, triceps pulley extension, leg adduction and abduction machines, standing arm curl, and seated calf raise. All resistance exercises were completed with three sets leading to concentric failure, and the number of repetitions and rest intervals between sets and exercises followed the proposed periodization. The mean duration to complete one repetition was 3–4 seconds (1–2 seconds for the concentric phase and 2 seconds for the eccentric phase) and training sessions lasted ~40–50 minutes. Diet was not controlled, though they were advised to maintain similar food ingestion.

Statistical analysis

Homogeneity of variance was tested using Levene’s test. A mixed factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) 2×2 (groups × time) was employed to examine differences in the dependent variables. In addition, effect size (ES) of the treatment was calculated according to the following equation previously proposed in the literature:30

\[ \text{Prepost ES} = \frac{\text{Posttest mean} - \text{Pretest mean}}{\text{Pretest SD}} \]

The significance level was set at \( P \leq 0.05 \). All analyses were performed using the SPSS, version 20.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA) for Windows. Values are given as mean ± SD.

Results

Table 1 presents anthropometric measures and body composition variables at baseline and after the 16-week training period. A significant group × time interaction was found only for WHr (F=5.68, \( P=0.02 \)). Yet, as expected, participants from the SO group presented a significantly higher FM...
when compared to non-SO at baseline. This difference did not change after the intervention. Of note, only participants from the non-SO group achieved a significant decrease in FM from baseline to postintervention (F=5.22, P=0.03), %FM (F=8.44, P=0.006), WC (F=6.80, P=0.01), WHr (F=5.86, P=0.02), and NC (F=5.36, P=0.03).

Functional tests did not present significant group × time interactions. However, Figure 1A and C demonstrates that only the non-SO group improved performance in the 30-second chair stand test (F=29.93, P=0.000) and TUG (F=26.20, P=0.000), respectively. On the other hand, both non-SO (F=78.95, P=0.000) and SO (F=12.51, P=0.001) groups presented a better performance in the seated arm curl test after the RT intervention when compared to baseline (Figure 1B). ANOVA showed no significant group × time interactions regarding 1 RM tests. However, there was a significant time effect for the chest press (F=4.21, P=0.05), and the non-SO group presented higher strength compared to SO after training for 16 weeks (Figure 2A). In addition, Figure 2C demonstrates that only the non-SO group showed an increase in the standing arm curl 1 RM when compared to baseline. Alternatively, both non-SO (F=79.24, P=0.000) and SO (F=6.70, P=0.01) groups presented higher leg press strength after the intervention when compared to baseline (Figure 2B).

According to Rhea, the ES for untrained subjects can be categorized as trivial (<0.50), small (0.50–1.25), moderate (1.25–1.9), and large (>2.0). In general, the present results show that ES ranged from trivial to moderate. noteworthy, only the non-SO group reached an ES classified as moderate (elbow flexion). Moreover, percent change (Δ%) and ES values were higher in non-SO for all variables, with several of the outcomes indicating potentially meaningful differences in the magnitude of effect favoring the non-SO condition (Table 2).

**Discussion**

The aim of the present study was to compare the effects of 16 weeks of RT on body composition, muscle strength, and functional capacity of elderly women with and without SO. Our main finding is that important adaptations to RT are attenuated in elderly women with SO and confirm the initial hypothesis. This was evident in anthropometric (Table 1), functional (Figure 1A and C), and strength (Figure 2A and C) parameters. Moreover, the ES of the treatment and percent changes observed between pre- and postintervention (Table 2) corroborate these findings.

![Figure 1](https://example.com/f1.png)

**Figure 1** Functional capacity before and after 16 weeks of RT measured by chair stand up (A), elbow flexion (B), and TUG (C) tests.

Note: Significant difference versus baseline.

Abbreviations: RT, resistance training; SO, sarcopenic obesity; TUG, timed “up and go.”
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**Table 1** Anthropometry and body composition variables at baseline and postintervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Postintervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-SO</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=41)</td>
<td>(n=8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM (kg)</td>
<td>25.4±7.2*</td>
<td>31.7±9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%FM</td>
<td>40.8±6.0</td>
<td>45.7±9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFM (kg)</td>
<td>35.7±4.3</td>
<td>34.9±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body weight (kg)</td>
<td>63.7±10.8</td>
<td>69.2±12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.8±4.7</td>
<td>28.4±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WC (cm)</td>
<td>85.1±11.0</td>
<td>85.8±13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHr</td>
<td>0.86±0.06</td>
<td>0.82±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC (cm)</td>
<td>34.2±12.9</td>
<td>33.4±12.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Data expressed as mean ± SD. *Significant difference versus SO at baseline. **Significant difference versus SO postintervention.

Abbreviations: SO, sarcopenic obesity; FM, fat mass; FFM, fat-free mass; BMI, body mass index; WC, waist circumference; WHr, waist-to-hip ratio; NC, neck circumference.
consistent with previous studies where elderly participants with SO trained twice a week for 15 weeks\textsuperscript{14} or three times a week for 24 weeks,\textsuperscript{31} whereby no major effects were noted in adiposity indices, especially when lacking more rigid dietetic control or caloric restriction.\textsuperscript{14,31}

Strength assessments have been shown to be more sensitive in predicting balance, mobility, and falls than muscle mass measurements in older obese individuals.\textsuperscript{32} Of interest, gains observed in lower and upper limb extremity strength occur more prominently in non-SO and showed no relation with FFM after our RT protocol. In fact, muscle strength does not always correspond to the amount of FFM\textsuperscript{15} or muscle mass.\textsuperscript{11,33} Findings of the present study are in line with Balachandran et al,\textsuperscript{14} who reported no major changes in FFM after 15 weeks of RT and employing the same weekly frequency of training (two times per week) utilized in our protocol.

Unlike what was observed in FFM in both groups posttraining, the performance in chair stand up and 30-second chair stand test, as indicators of functional capacity, was improved only in the non-SO group. These results were probably influenced by gains in muscle strength by non-SO subjects, as observed in biceps curl and chest press, which did not occur in SO, or were attenuated, as demonstrated in leg press strength in SO, suggesting that adaptations to RT are mitigated in elderly women with SO. This effect could be partially explained by lipotoxicity induced by an increase of intramyocellular triacylglycerol content and fatty acids derived as ceramides and diacylglycerol,\textsuperscript{34} which perpetuate inflammation and impaired single-fiber contractility.\textsuperscript{35} Muscle fat accumulation may also interfere with signaling pathways involved in muscle response to anabolic stimuli, blunting the activation of protein synthesis.\textsuperscript{19,20} In support of this hypothesis, Delmonico et al\textsuperscript{33} reported that the loss of knee extensor strength with aging coincides with a gain in muscle fat infiltration in men and women with SO, suggesting a pivotal role of fatty acids and derivatives in lowering muscle strength and power, and a lower response to RT in SO, as reported in the present work.

Our results corroborate the findings of Vasconcelos et al,\textsuperscript{11} who reported that 14 older women (aged 65–80 years) with SO showed no improvements in knee extensor isokinetic muscle strength after 15 weeks of RT compared to baseline and to a control group that did not engage in RT (also composed of sarcopenic obese participants). This is of particular importance since women with SO displayed a 2.60 higher odds of having difficulty climbing stairs and a 2.35 higher odds of having difficulty descending stairs,\textsuperscript{36} suggesting a decline in the ability to perform activities of daily living. It is interesting to highlight that in our intervention as well as in the study of Vasconcelos et al,\textsuperscript{11} both of which found a lack of response for improving the physical function of older women with SO, the individuals trained twice per week, while RT protocols employing three sessions per week totaling 30\textsuperscript{20} or 24 weeks\textsuperscript{11} improved physical function. This suggests that elderly people with SO may require a higher weekly

### Table 2 Percent change from baseline to postintervention and treatment ES for strength and functional variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non-SO (n=41)</th>
<th>SO (n=8)</th>
<th>Δ%</th>
<th>ES (0.50)</th>
<th>Δ%</th>
<th>ES (0.50)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RM leg press (kg)</td>
<td>50.3±44.6</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>40.5±46.6</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM chest press (kg)</td>
<td>12.9±22.8</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>8.7±23.5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM biceps curl (kg)</td>
<td>11.3±19.8</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>10.3±19.4</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbow flexion (reps)</td>
<td>23.8±20.3</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>21.4±12.2</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sit-to-stand (reps)</td>
<td>21.4±25.3</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>14.8±16.9</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUG (s)</td>
<td>−8.4±11.5</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>−3.9±6.6</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ES: trivial (<0.50), small (0.50–1.25), moderate (1.25–1.9), and large (>2.0). Abbreviations: RM, repetition maximum; TUG, timed “up and go”; SO, sarcopenic obesity; ES, effect size.
frequency of exercise to significantly impact training-induced increases in muscle strength and functional capacity.

Since gains in muscle strength and functional capacity, as well as a reduction in adiposity, were attenuated in the SO group in our study, it is possible that elderly women with SO may need a longer term of RT intervention for responses to be more perceptible. In addition to the need for higher training volumes as aforementioned, lifestyle interventions with diet-induced adiposity loss and exercise as well as aerobic training inclusion may be required as well. Similarly, other studies have demonstrated that interventions including dietary changes and a combination of aerobic exercise and strength training were more effective than diet or exercise alone in eliciting improvements in physical function and adiposity indices.\textsuperscript{15–17,37}

The present study has some limitations that are worthy of note. First, blood samples for SO-related biological and clinical markers were not analyzed. These assessments could have shed mechanistic light on the results. Second, the sample size was different between groups and substantially smaller within the SO group due to time- and cost-related aspects related to DXA testing. However, participants were limited to women, thereby removing the potential influence of gender. Thus, the present study provides unique and novel insights regarding SO adaptations to regimented RT. Moreover, we used a definition of SO previously proposed\textsuperscript{38} and validated\textsuperscript{25} in the literature, helping to ensure credibility of our findings.

Conclusion
In summary, data from the present investigation show that RT adaptations are attenuated in elderly women with SO compared with those without SO. This indicates that people living with SO may need more time to respond to RT. Of note, the training frequency of the present intervention was only two times a week. Future studies should further investigate chronic effects of RT on SO in larger samples and different frequencies of training.
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