Enhanced gastric therapeutic effects of *Brucea javanica* oil and its gastroretentive drug delivery system compared to commercial products in pharmacokinetics study

Yue Zhang¹,²,*
Liying Zhang³,⁶*
Qi Zhang¹,²
Xitong Zhang⁴
Tong Zhang¹,²
Bing Wang¹,²

¹Experimental Center for Teaching and Learning, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Pudong New District, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China; ²School of Pharmacy, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Pudong New District, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China; ³Foreign Languages Teaching Center, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Pudong New District, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China; ⁴Department of Pharmacy, Shanghai Xiangshan Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Huangpu District, Shanghai, People’s Republic of China;

*These authors contributed equally to this work

**Background:** *Brucea javanica* oil (BJO), a traditional Chinese herbal medicine, has a variety of pharmacological activities and several BJO-related patent drugs have been widely used in China.

**Purpose:** The objective of this study was to evaluate the gastric therapeutic effects of self-made BJO and its pharmaceutical potential to formulate novel BJO gastroretentive floating bead by comparing with commercial products.

**Methods:** BJO was extracted from the seeds of *B. javanica*, and its therapeutic effects were evaluated by comparing with commercial products in the treatment of human gastric cancer and gastric ulcer. Furthermore, the developed gastroretentive drug delivery system was evaluated by in vivo tests. A high-performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) method for detecting the concentration of glycerol trioleate in the pharmacokinetics study was applied.

**Results:** The antitumor activity of BJO was stronger than that of the marketed preparation; the 50% inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values of BJO extracts on HGC27, SGC7901 and BGC823 gastric carcinoma were 0.3091, 1.736 and 2.743 μg/mL, respectively, whereas the values of marked BJO preparation were 15.26, 32.60 and 7.456 μg/mL, respectively. Histopathological studies demonstrated the ability of BJO to locally prevent and treat absolute ethanol-induced gastric ulcer. Developed BJO gastroretentive floating head showed a satisfactory in vivo study. The highest glycerol trioleate concentration in the stomach after taking BJO gastroretentive floating bead was nearly two times higher when compared to the marketed BJO soft capsule.

**Conclusion:** Self-made BJO has a strong therapeutic effect on the stomach, and gastroretentive drug delivery system can be a promising approach to prolong and enhance its therapy ability when treating gastric diseases.
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**Introduction**

*Brucea javanica (B. javanica [L.] Merr.)* is a shrub mostly originated in India, Southeast Asia and Northern Australia.¹ It is widely used in Traditional Chinese Medicine. *Brucea javanica* oil (BJO), extracted from *B. javanica* seeds, is a mixture of glycerol trioleate (85%) and other saturated and unsaturated fatty acids (15%), such as oleic, linoleic and stearic acids. It is believed that BJO can reduce tumor cell growth² and has activities in anti-inflammation and anti-malaria.³ In China, two BJO-contained marketed products, javanica oil emulsion injection and BJO soft capsule, are widely used in association
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with chemotherapy for cancer patients. Almost all the studies demonstrated that the side effects caused by chemotherapy were mitigated and patients' quality of life were improved significantly by jointly using javanica oil emulsion injection and BJO soft capsule. One of the commonly adjunctive therapeutic areas of these two BJO marketed products is gastric cancer.

Oleic acid is BJO’s main activity component, so it is commonly used as the essential indicator for the determination of BJO. However, oleic acid exists not only as the free one as mentioned earlier but also in the glycerol trioleate as well. Actually, oleic acid and linoleic acid amount to 63.3% and 21.2%, respectively, in BJO. After orally taking glycerol trioleate, gastric lipase will initiate the digestion of glycerol trioleate. Later in the small intestine, glycerol trioleate is broken down by pancreatic lipase, which acts primarily at the sn-1 and sn-3 positions of glycerol trioleate to produce 2-monoglyceride and free fatty acid. Hence, most commonly used methods for the analysis of oleic acid in pharmacokinetic and bioavailability studies of BJO preparations involve hydrolysis by specific lipase or methyl esterification by an acid or a base catalyst, through which the total oleic acid could be calculated including those on the sn-2 position. However, such a preprocess is highly impacted by the specificity of lipase and completion of the reaction; any inappropriate procedure will lead to the loss of starting glycerol trioleate and will fail to obtain the whole oleic acid. After the preprocess, gas chromatography (GC) or gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) plays an indispensable role in the final analysis of oleic acid as oleic acid has mainly ultraviolet end absorption, which makes it hard to be detected by the ordinary high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analytical method. Nevertheless, GC has its drawbacks when detecting fatty acids, such as instability, low accuracy, tailing peaks and interferences. Therefore, compared to taking the oleic acid as the detective component, glycerol trioleate is a preferred indicated marker for the pharmacokinetic study of BJO preparation due to high content of glycerol trioleate in BJO and slow digestion rate and extent of glycerol trioleate. Glycerol trioleate in BJO is highly impacted by the specificity of lipase and completion of the reaction; any inappropriate procedure will lead to the loss of starting glycerol trioleate and will fail to obtain the whole oleic acid. After the preprocess, gas chromatography (GC) or gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) plays an indispensable role in the final analysis of oleic acid as oleic acid has mainly ultraviolet end absorption, which makes it hard to be detected by the ordinary high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analytical method. Nevertheless, GC has its drawbacks when detecting fatty acids, such as instability, low accuracy, tailing peaks and interferences.

The objective of this study was to investigate laboratory self-prepared BJO’s therapeutic effect against gastric cancer and gastric ulcer by comparing with commercial products. In addition, to better achieve BJO’s therapeutic effects in stomach, gastroretentive drug delivery system (GRDDS) was investigated. As conventional systems do not possess the capacity to face gastric emptying, the incomplete release of drugs and the concomitant reduction of dose effectiveness are the consequences as conventional systems cannot be retained in the stomach. Hence, we applied a novel oral gastroretentive preparation as a drug-control pattern with in vivo pharmacokinetic study performed. A high-performance liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) method by detecting the concentration of glycerol trioleate in pharmacokinetic study was also established so as to increase the accuracy, convenience and repeatability of detection and shed light on the possible alternative in BJO quantification.

Materials and methods

Materials

The seeds of _B. javanica_ were purchased from Guangdong Province, China, and were identified by Dr Hongmei Zhang (School of Pharmacy, Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine). The reference standards of glycerol trioleate and tolbutamide (internal standard [IS]) were obtained from Sigma Chemicals (Perth, Australia). Marketed javanica oil emulsion injection (Lot No 13022012, BJO content at 10% [w/w]) was manufactured by Shenyang Yaoda Leiyunshang Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd (Shenyang, China). BJO soft capsule (Lot No 1402231, BJO content at 90% [w/w]) was produced by Jiangsu Vanguard Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd (Haimen, China). Reference drug ranitidine (RAN) hydrochloride capsules (Lot No 131211) was manufactured by Yunnan PanLong YunHai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Kunming, China). Pharmaceutical excipients for GRDDS including sodium alginate, carrageenan (FMC Corporation, Philadelphia, PA, USA), Polysorbate 80 (Shanghai Chemical Reagent Co., Shanghai, China), calcium carbonate and calcium chloride (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Shanghai, China) were of analytical grade. Other chemicals such as absolute ethanol, petrol ether and acetonitrile were of HPLC or analytical grade.

Preparation of BJO

As we mentioned in the previous study, the seeds of _B. javanica_ were crushed, pulverized and screened by 60# mesh and put into the 250 mL conical flask with cover; 100% ethanol as the solvent was added at the solid to liquid ratio of 24:1 (mL/g) and extracted in an ultrasound cleaner (Ningbo Xinzhix Biotechnology Co., Ningbo, China) at 70 KHz for 40 min. The extracts were collected by vacuum filtration and concentrated using a rotary evaporator under vacuum. Then a liquid/liquid extraction was performed on the concentrated extracts with petrol ether; after that, the supernatant was taken out. BJO was available after the evaporation of the solvent.

Gastric cancer cell lines and cell culture

The human gastric cancer cell lines BGC823, HGC27 and SGC7901 were obtained from Shanghai Baili Biological
Preventative and therapeutic effects of BJO against ethanol-induced gastric ulcer in rats

Animals

Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats of either gender weighing 200 ± 20 g were used for the present study. The animals were obtained from Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). They were maintained at 23°C ± 2°C with a relative humidity of 65% ± 5% in a 12-hour light/dark cycle. The animals were allowed water ad libitum throughout the study. Before experiments, all rats were allowed to acclimate for 1 week. All animal experiments were performed according to the guiding principles of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Shanghai, China). The protocol approval number is SYXK (Shanghai) 2014-0008.

Experimental procedure

Preventative effect of BJO on gastric ulcer

The animals (six per group) were pretreated with BJO (0.2, 0.4 and 0.8 g/kg/d, i.g.) and reference drug RAN (30 mg/kg/d, i.g.) once daily for 3 days before ethanol challenge. A control group was only challenged by ethanol (30 mg/kg/d, i.g.) once daily for 3 days. No treatment was given to the control group. Animals were euthanized 2 h after the last administration of drugs. After 2 h, the animals were euthanized.

Therapeutic effect of BJO on gastric ulcer

Gastric ulcer induction was undertaken on the rats of all the groups (six per group) by using absolute ethanol (1 mL/rat, i.g.). After 2 h of ethanol challenge, the animals were posttreated with BJO (0.2, 0.4 and 0.8 g/kg/d, i.g.) and reference drug RAN (30 mg/kg/d, i.g.) once daily for 3 days. No treatment was given to the control group. Animals were euthanized 2 h after the last administration of drugs.

Histopathological evaluation

Samples of gastric tissue were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in paraffin, sectioned (thickness, 5 μm), mounted on glass slides and then stained with H&E and examined under a light microscope (BX51; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Preparation of BJO gastroretentive floating bead

BJO gastroretentive floating bead was prepared by ionotropic gelation technology in a previous study. Briefly, mixture of sodium alginate (1.7%) and carrageenan solid (10:6, w/w) was prepared in warm deionized water. BJO was added to polysorbate 80 to obtain emulsion. The prepared alginate–carrageenan solution was mixed with oil emulsion as well as calcium carbonate (1.4%) and used for preparation of beads. This solution was then dropped through the fine needle of a disposable syringe into a 100 mL CaCl$_2$ solution (pH = 0.8). The formed beads were left over in the CaCl$_2$ solution for 30 min; afterward, they were washed with distilled water and dried at 40°C for 2 h.

Modeling of drug-release profiles

Drug in vitro release was already performed previously by using the Ch.P apparatus (II) paddle dissolution method. In the present study, drug-release data were analyzed according to zero-order, first-order and Higuchi kinetic equations. The model with the highest coefficient of determination ($R^2$) was considered to be the best fitting one.

HPLC-MS/MS system and operating conditions

Chromatographic analysis was performed on Shimadzu LC20AD (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan), consisting of a binary pump solvent management system, an online degasser and an auto-sampler. Gemini C18 (4.6 × 50 mm, 5 μm; Phenomenex, Cheshire, UK) was used, and the column temperature was maintained at the room temperature. The mobile phase was composed of dichloromethane and acetonitrile.
(35:65, v/v), and the flow rate was set at 1.0 mL/min. The injection volume was 5 μL. Mass spectrometer (API 4000 triple quadrupole; AB Sciex, Framingham, MA, USA) equipped with an atmospheric pressure chemical ionization source was used. The analytic detection was operated in the positive ion mode using a multiple reaction monitoring approach at m/z transitions of 603.5 → 271.0 for glycerol trioleate and 271.0 → 74.2 for IS. Data were acquired and processed using Analyst 1.6.1 software (AB Sciex).

**Preparation of standard solution and quality control (QC) samples**

A series of standard samples of glycerol trioleate were prepared by diluting the stock solution (8.0 mg/mL) in dichloromethane-acetonitrile (35:65, v/v) to obtain the following concentrations: 2, 1, 0.5, 0.4, 0.2, 0.1, 0.04 and 0.02 mg/mL. The high, medium and low concentration levels of QC samples were prepared in the same manner as the standards at 1.6, 0.4 and 0.06 mg/mL, respectively. In all, 6 μg/mL working solution of IS was prepared by adding 600 μL, 1.0 mg/mL tolbutamide stock solution of IS into appropriately labeled 100-mL volumetric flask and volume adjustment with acetonitrile.

**Sample preparation**

Stomach tissue samples were thawed and then homogenized in 100 mM phosphate-buffered saline (pH = 7.4; 1:3, w/v) before analysis. All the plasma samples were thawed at room temperature before analysis as well. In all, 50 μL tissue homogenate and plasma samples were added to 20 μL IS working solution. After vortexing for 30 s, all the tissue and plasma samples were extracted using trichloromethane (600 μL) to precipitate protein. Following centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, 220 μL of subnatant was transferred to the 96-well plate and evaporated to dryness under nitrogen flow. The residue was reconstituted with the mobile phase (a mixture of dichloromethane and acetonitrile with ration of 35:65, v/v), vortex mixed for 10 min and 5 μL of the extracted plasma or tissue sample was injected into the LC-MS/MS system for analysis.

**Pharmacokinetics of BJO gastroretentive floating bead and BJO soft capsule**

Male SD rats (obtained from Shanghai SIPPR-Bk Laboratory Animal Co., Shanghai, China; certificate number for approval: SCXK [Shanghai] 2013-0016) with body weights of 290 ± 20 g were used for the study. The SD rats were randomly divided into two groups (n = 3 per group), BJO gastroretentive floating bead (at 0.14 mg/g of BJO) or BJO soft capsule (at 0.14 mg/kg of BJO) was dosed by oral administration. Approximately 0.1 mL blood samples were collected into heparinized glass tubes via eye sockets at various time points (0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 6 h) after administration and immediately centrifuged for 6 min at 8,000 rpm/min to obtain plasma. The harvested plasma samples were stored at −20°C for subsequent analysis. Pharmacokinetic parameters were calculated using Drug and Statistics software (version 3.0; Mathematical Pharmacology Professional Committee of China, Shanghai, China) in the noncompartmental model.

**Tissue distributions of BJO gastroretentive floating bead and BJO soft capsule**

A total of six rats were randomly divided into two groups (n = 3 per group). After oral administration of a single dose of BJO gastroretentive floating bead (at 0.14 mg/g of BJO) or BJO soft capsule (at 0.14 mg/g of BJO), three rats at each time point (2, 4 and 6 h) were euthanized by CO2 and gastric tissues were collected. Tissue samples were weighed rapidly, rinsed with physiological saline to remove the blood or content, blotted on filter paper and then stored at −20°C. Each weighed tissue sample was thawed and then homogenized in ice-cold physiological saline by the methods described before.

**Results**

**Cytotoxic effects of BJO extract**

The effects of BJO extract and marketed javanica oil emulsion injection on the growth of human gastric carcinoma (BGC823, HGC27 and SGC7901) cells were examined using an MTT-based assay. Among tested extracts, as shown in Figure 1,
the BJO extract appeared to exert the most potent effects on HGC27. The IC$_{50}$ values were 0.3091 ± 0.0498 μg/mL for HGC27, followed by 1.736 ± 0.221 μg/mL for SGC7901 and 2.743 ± 0.116 μg/mL for BGC823. The IC$_{50}$ value of marketed javanica oil emulsion injection on abovementioned three gastric cancer cells was calculated as follows: HGC27, 15.26 ± 0.134 μg/mL; SGC7901, 32.60 ± 3.978 μg/mL and BGC823, 7.456 ± 1.735 μg/mL. The results showed that javanica oil emulsion injection obtained from the market was far less potent than the BJO extract we produced, which means that the extract method we used has future application value.

**Histopathological results of BJO’s preventative and therapeutic effects against the gastric ulcer**

Compared to the normal group that was not challenged by absolute ethanol (Figure 2A), administration of ethanol-induced striking histopathological changes such as blurry gastric muscle layers, disruption of gastric glands, exfoliation of the superficial gastric epithelium and infiltration of the inflammatory cell with lymphocytes the most. Moreover, there was an apparent extensive elongated band of necrosis in gastric mucosa as well as lots of lymphocytes in the lamina propria and submucosa (Figure 2B).

The preventative effects of the oral administration of BJO and RAN on gastric ulcer induced by ethanol are shown in Figure 3A–D. Rats pretreated with BJO exhibited less gastric lesions than those found in the control group in a dose-related manner. The high-dose BJO group presented the most active gastric damage prevention ability with reduced gastric mucosal damage, more regular mucosal gland arrangement and decreased infiltration of inflammatory cells. The high-dose BJO (0.8 g/kg/d) also showed a similar preventative activity to the reference RAN on gastric lesion formation.

The therapeutic effects of BJO and RAN on gastric ulcer induced by ethanol are shown in Figure 4A–D. Rats posttreated with BJO exhibited less gastric lesions than those found in the control group in a dose-related manner. In the group treated with the higher dose of BJO (0.8 g/kg), there was an evident recovery in mucosal epithelium, the glandular structure was well organized and the gastric epithelium was intact and showed a similar therapeutic activity to the reference RAN on gastric lesion formation (Figure 4A and B). Rats administered with BJO at 0.4 g/kg presented a slight sign of lesion; however, serious destruction in the glands of the gastric mucous and damaged mucosal epithelium were not observed (Figure 4C). In the group treated with 0.2 g/kg BJO, epithelial cell loss in part of the gastric mucous could be seen, but epithelium of the submucosa was intact (Figure 4D). The presence of inflammatory cells, lymphocytes infiltrating into the gastric mucosal tissues and defective gastric epithelium, which was found in the control group, did not exist in the high-dose BJO group, indicating that BJO had a therapeutic effect against ethanol-induced gastric ulcer, when administered at oral doses, particularly at the dose of 0.8 g/kg.

**Modeling of drug-release profiles of BJO gastroretentive floating bead**

The percentage of BJO released was found to be a little bit quick for the initial 1 h but maintained a slow release afterward and reached >90% release after 6 h. Similar drug-release behaviors among the three batches could be found, which indicated that the formulation and technology used to develop BJO gastroretentive floating bead had a good reproducibility.
Sodium alginate and carrageenan are hydrophilic, colloidal substances. They are expected to undergo hydration and form a protective gel layer upon reaching the simulated gastric fluid (SGF). With more gel structure formed, the diffusion hindrance in SGF was increased. Thus, the release of BJO in SGF was slower. Besides, with the penetration of water into the beads, the presence of carrageenan formed a large number of pores along the matrices of beads, leading to the formation of channels that brought the complete release of BJO at the end. Thus, the drug-release mechanism was classified as a combination of gel diffusion and erosion controlling.

Table 1 lists the values for the correlation coefficient ($R^2$), which was used to determine the best-fit kinetic model. Criteria for selecting the most appropriate model was based on best fit indicated by the value of coefficient of determination ($R^2$) nearer to 1. It was observed that regression coefficient was highest for the Higuchi model, indicating an anomalous (non-Fickian) diffusion with both diffusion- and erosion-controlled drug release. The results were in accordance with our previous finding of bead morphologies using scanning electron microscope.

### Pharmacokinetic study of BJO gastroretentive floating bead

Following oral administration of BJO gastroretentive floating bead and marketed BJO soft capsule at the same concentration to healthy rats, plasma concentration of glycerol trioleate of both groups was measured. However, no glycerol trioleate was detected in the blood at 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4 and 6 h after administration. This could attribute to the slow uptake rate in the stomach of BJO gastroretentive floating bead and nearly
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**Figure 3** Histopathological sections indicated for BJO’s preventative effects under 500x microscope: (A) gastric mucosa from the RAN group, (B) gastric mucosa from the high-dose BJO group, (C) gastric mucosa from the middle-dose BJO group and (D) gastric mucosa from the low-dose BJO group.

**Abbreviations:** BJO, *Brucea javanica* oil; RAN, ranitidine.
completed digestion and absorption of glycerol trioleate in the small intestine of BJO soft capsule.

**Tissue distribution of BJO gastroretentive floating bead**

After orally administrating BJO gastroretentive floating bead and marketed BJO soft capsule at the same concentration, distribution of glycerol trioleate in animals’ stomachs was observed. Glycerol trioleate in tissue could still be spotted after the original affiliated glycerol trioleate in the stomach was offset. As shown in Figure 5, the concentration of glycerol trioleate in the stomach was 41.1 ± 16.6 μg/mL, 177.8 ± 153.6 μg/mL and 189.5 ± 125.4 μg/mL at 2, 4 and 6 h, respectively, after administration of BJO gastroretentive floating bead, whereas the concentration of glycerol trioleate in the stomach after taking the marketed BJO soft capsule displayed a rapid decline, with 104.3 ± 41.3 μg/mL, 7.5 ± 12.9 μg/mL and 5.3 ± 4.6 μg/mL at 2, 4 and 6 h, respectively. BJO gastroretentive floating bead exhibited a prolonged release capability and nearly two times higher glycerol trioleate concentration in the stomach when compared to the

**Table 1** Kinetic parameters of BJO gastroretentive floating bead

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release-rate kinetics</th>
<th>A (R²)</th>
<th>Equation A</th>
<th>B (R²)</th>
<th>Equation B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zero order</td>
<td>0.9357</td>
<td>$y = 17.217x + 23.684$</td>
<td>0.8976</td>
<td>$y = 18.523x + 20.344$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First order</td>
<td>0.5024</td>
<td>$y = 0.471x + 1.6349$</td>
<td>0.5587</td>
<td>$y = 0.5604x + 1.7632$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higuchi</td>
<td>0.9760</td>
<td>$y = 30.209x + 0.7983$</td>
<td>0.9845</td>
<td>$y = 33.071x + 0.5427$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviation:** BJO, Brucea javanica oil.
marketed BJO soft capsule. The result indicated the advantages of BJO gastroretentive floating bead with enhanced and prolonged local effects.

Discussion

Javanica oil emulsion injection is a marketed product that uses petroleum ether extracts of *B. javanica* seeds as raw materials and purified soybean lecithin as an emulsifier. It is reported to be used as adjunctive therapy in the treatment of advanced lung adenocarcinoma, nonsmall-cell lung cancer and gastric cancer. There are also other methods of extracting BJO, such as extracting with ether and refined with 10% ethyl alcohol, or purifying the crude oil, after crude extraction, by adding NaOH alcoholic solution refined with 10% ethyl alcohol, or purifying the crude oil, methods of extracting BJO, such as extracting with ether and refined with 10% ethyl alcohol, or purifying the crude oil, after crude extraction, by adding NaOH alcoholic solution refined with 10% ethyl alcohol, or purifying the crude oil.

In a previous study, we developed a new BJO extraction method by using 100% ethanol extracted in an ultrasound cleaner and refining it with petroleum ether. In this study, we investigated the potency and efficacy of the self-prepared BJO against gastric cancer cell lines. Our findings clearly demonstrate that the BJO that we produced presented a stronger anti-gastric cancer activity compared to the commercial product javanica oil emulsion injection.

We also investigated the self-made BJO’s effects on gastric ulcer. The animal models were induced by ethanol administration since ethanol could produce necrotic damage penetrating deeply into the mucosa, disappearance of the mucus cells, hemorrhagic erosion and inflammatory cell infiltration. As expected, ethanol caused a marked gastric ulceration in the present study. Yet pretreated and posttreated BJO exhibited dose-dependent preventative and therapeutic effects against gastric mucosal damage. Severe gastric submucosal lesions, irregular arrangement of glands, epithelial cell loss and lymphocyte infiltration in mice with ulcer were alleviated. The high dose of BJO exhibited a similar preventative effect with RAN, a histamine H2-receptor antagonist, that inhibits stomach acid production, when acting against stomach injury.

Although BJO has evidently strong pharmacological action, its clinical use is restricted on account of its nonspecific distribution, low therapeutic index and poor systemic bioavailability. Besides, the current BJO preparations available in the market are frequently administrated with large dosage (oral emulsion: 2 mL BJO/20 mL, 20 mL/time, two to three times/day; soft capsule: 0.5 mL/capsule, four capsules/time, two to three times/day). Therefore, different delivery systems have been investigated for improvement of BJO’s absorption and bioavailability when treating cancer, such as liposomes, nanocarriers, emulsion and microencapsule. However, as far as we are concerned, no particular efforts were made on expanding the narrow absorption window as well as strengthening local activity in stomach since BJO’s prominent role was showed in this segment. Hence, we developed a GRDDS to improve BJO’s bioavailability after oral administration in a previous study. Also in that study, we investigated in vitro release profile of BJO gastroretentive floating bead. It was found that even under the agitation at 100 rpm, the beads still delivered stable drug release for 6 h. Combing with the kinetic parameters, in the present study, we concluded that quick release was observed at first in the system due to part of the drug on the surface of the beads. Then, the embedding of rest of the drug into the gel matrix beads controlled the drug release. As we mentioned, the system formed a gel layer upon reaching the SGF so that the gel layer could control the drug-release rate effectively. Later on, with the water penetrated into the beads, the drug release from gel matrices was controlled by erosion of the polymers.

In order to investigate whether BJO gastroretentive floating bead could really improve the bioavailability of BJO, pharmacokinetic evaluation was applied. We studied drug concentration in both blood and stomach of rats. First, the concentration of oleic acid was measured; unfortunately no oleic acid, as we expected, was detected at each time point after correcting by the original oleic acid concentration in blank plasma. This might be because gastric lipase has a greater activity against short-chain than long-chain triacylglycerol, while glycerol trioleate in BJO is a long chain as mentioned. Therefore, glycerol trioleate in stomach was cleaved very slowly. Besides, as free acid bound in position sn-2 of the glycerol is much better absorbed in the stomach than in serum, which is why we have to separate the five fractions in our study.

Figure 5 Tissue concentration–time profiles of glycerol trioleate. Abbreviation: BJO, *Brucea javanica* oil.
sn-2 is ~74.9%,\textsuperscript{33} suggesting that the concentration of oleic acid could be hardly measured directly unless a preprocess is applied. In this regard, we took glycerol trioleate as the indicative component to see whether our gastroretentive floating bead could increase the therapeutic effect of BJO. After removing the endogenous concentration of glycerol trioleate, we found that although the drug concentration in blood cannot be detected after 6 h due to the slow uptake rate in stomach and almost complete digestion and absorption of glycerol trioleate in the small intestine as mentioned before, the drug concentration in the stomach was detectable up to 6 h and the value was much higher than that of marketed BJO soft capsule, whose tissue concentration was almost declined to zero. This result, showing a slower drug release that BJO gastroretentive floating bead possessed, which corresponded to the finding of in vitro drug-release profile\textsuperscript{14} and was also evidenced by the SPECT/CT study, which demonstrated that all the floating beads remained in the stomach and no signal was observed in the small intestine.\textsuperscript{14}

**Conclusion**

In the present study, the anti-gastric tumor effect of self-prepared BJO was investigated. The results showed that the BJO we extracted had more effective action on cancer cells compared with commercial formulation. Self-made BJO also showed a paralleled capability with RAN in preventing and treating gastric ulcer. We developed a BJO gastroretentive floating bead to increase the bioavailability. The in vitro drug release study showed an excellent sustained release characteristic. Pharmacokinetic studies by HPLC-MS/MS indicated BJO gastroretentive floating bead’s enhanced absorption and oral bioavailability with longer drug residence time in the stomach compared to the BJO marketed production. Hence, BJO gastroretentive floating bead would be a promising sustained and controlled drug delivery system for BJO.
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