Secondary central nervous system lymphoma: spectrum of morphological MRI appearances
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Background: Secondary central nervous system lymphoma (SCNSL) is a rare and aggressive disease, which is defined as secondary central nervous system (CNS) involvement in patients with systemic lymphoma. According to previous reports, SCNSL presents mostly with leptomeningeal spread; however, our experience differs. In the present study, we demonstrate the diversity of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) patterns in SCNSL.

Patients and methods: Initial morphological MRI findings in 21 patients (10 women and 11 men with mean age 62.3±16.2 years) with SCNSL were retrospectively evaluated. All patients suffered from neurological symptoms and underwent MRI, and all cases were histologically verified. Twelve patients were treated by corticosteroids at the time of the initial MRI.

Results: Parenchymal lesions were present in 18 of 21 cases (85.7%), solitary meningeal infiltration was present in 1 patient (4.8%), leptomeningeal infiltration in combination with hypophyseal involvement in 1 patient (4.8%), and solitary involvement of the sixth cranial nerve (CN) was found in 1 patient (4.8%). Multiple lesions were present in 11 of 21 cases (52.4%). Diffusion restriction in all or part of the lesion was detected in 14 of 18 cases (77.8%). All parenchymal lesions had an infiltrative appearance and most enhanced homogenously (11 of 17 cases; 64.7%). A combination of parenchymal and meningeal involvement was found in 10 of 21 cases (47.6%). Infiltration of the CNs, basal ganglia, corpus callosum, and ependyma was present in 8 of 21 cases (38.1%) for each of the abovementioned structures; hypothalamic–hypophyseal axis was affected in 7 of 21 cases (33.3%).

Conclusion: In contrast to previous reports, SCNSL presented as parenchymal disease. MRI is not sufficient for differentiation between primary and secondary CNS lymphoma.
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Introduction

Central nervous system lymphoma (CNSL) is an aggressive and rare brain neoplasm that may involve the brain, meninges, spinal cord, and eyes. CNSL is divided into 2 subtypes, primary central nervous system lymphoma (PCNSL) and secondary central nervous system lymphoma (SCNSL). In PCNSL, the central nervous system (CNS) is primarily involved without systemic lymphoma presence. SCNSL is defined as secondary CNS involvement in patients with systemic lymphoma; this type has also been referred to as metastatic lymphoma. SCNSL is an infrequent but nearly always fatal complication of systemic lymphoma occurring in <1% of indolent systemic lymphomas and <5% of aggressive systemic lymphomas when CNS prophylaxis is given. However, SCNSL may occur in up to 50% of cases of Burkitt/lymphomablastic lymphoma or AIDS-related lymphoma when no prophylaxis is given. Histologically, SCNSL is typically diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL), while other types such as Burkitt lymphoma or T-cell lymphoma (T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma [T-NHL])
are less common and Hodgkin lymphoma is extremely rare.5,6 Lymphoma cells may reach the CNS by hematogenous spread, direct invasion from contiguous structures, or extension along nerves.7

According to literature data, approximately two-thirds of SCNSL cases present with leptomeningeal spread while one-third presents with brain parenchymal disease.7 Villa et al reported leptomeningeal infiltration in 29% (9 of 31) of SCNSL cases, parenchymal involvement in 48% (15 of 31) cases, and combined leptomeningeal and parenchymal involvement in 22% (7 of 31) cases.2 In contrast to preexisting literature data, we observed SCNSL involvement differently at our center. Thus, the present study was performed to demonstrate the diversity of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) patterns in our SCNSL patients.

**Patients and methods**

**Patient selection**

We retrospectively searched the hospital databases of both institutions (Faculty Hospital Kralovske Vinohrady and Na Homolce Hospital) for patients with histologically proven SCNSL, covering the time period of 2007–2016. We excluded all cases with per continuitatem spread of extracranial lymphoma intracranially. All patients underwent MRI examinations during the diagnostic process and images obtained at the time of initial neurological manifestation were evaluated.

**MRI examination**

All patients were examined on different whole-body MRI scanners, namely, GE Signa HDxt 1.5 T (GE Healthcare UK Ltd, Little Chalfont, UK), Siemens Magnetom Avanto 1.5 T (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany), Siemens Magnetom Symphony 1.5 T (Siemens AG), and Siemens Magnetom Skyra 3 T (Siemens AG). The MRI protocols included the following sequences: T2-weighted fast spin echo in axial and/or coronal planes, gradient echo T2* in the axial plane, diffusion-weighted imaging (b=0, b=1,000) in the axial plane, T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery in the axial plane, and T1-weighted spin echo in the sagittal and/or coronal planes. In 20 patients, gadolinium-based contrast agents were administrated intravenously; post-contrast sequences included T1-weighted spin echo in different planes and T1-weighted 3D gradient echo. The following signs were assessed on MRI images: • lesion localization: supratentorial, infratentorial, both; • quantity of lesions: solitary, multiple, diffuse infiltrative; • quality of lesions: demarcated, infiltrative; • extent and type of parenchymal lesion enhancement: predominantly homogeneous enhancement (>70% of lesion); inhomogeneous enhancement (<70%, >30% of lesion); predominantly non-enhancing (<30% of lesion); worm-like, strip, patchy, or misty enhancement; • involvement of basal ganglia, cranial nerves (CNs), corpus callosum, meninges, ependyma, and structures of hypothalamic–hypophyseal axis; • presence of diffusion restriction; signs of hemorrhage; edema.

Definitions of all lesion/infiltration types and inclusion criteria used in the MRI assessment are summarized in Table 1.

All MRI data were independently analyzed by 3 experienced radiologists who raised uniform MRI reports.

**Ethics approval and consent to participate**

All patients included in the study provided written informed consent to undergo treatment including diagnostic procedures (including MRI) and agreed to the publication of medical data and images in an anonymous form. The informed consent forms are maintained on files. This retrospective study conforms to the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the ethics committee of the Faculty Hospital Kralovske Vinohrady.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of lesion</th>
<th>Definition/inclusion criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solitary lesion</td>
<td>A single lesion in either brain parenchyma, focal meningeal, or ependymal localization, or single cranial nerve involvement. The continuous spread through several neuronal structures was considered as a solitary lesion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple lesions</td>
<td>A combination of 2 or more solitary lesions mentioned above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse infiltrative type</td>
<td>Extensive continuous infiltration of both white and gray matter by non-enhancing and/or enhancing tumorous involvement (from homogeneous to patchy, worm-like, stripy, misty, etc), which spread along large white matter tracts and continuously affected at least a) &gt;2/3 of 1 cerebral hemisphere and/or b) different extents of both supratentorial and infratentorial regions and/or different extents of both hemispheres.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningeal/ependymal involvement</td>
<td>Thickening and enhancing meningeal/ependymal surfaces, which can be smooth, irregular, or nodular.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve involvement</td>
<td>Thickening of cranial nerves and their T2 hyperintense and/or enhancing portions, which can be smooth, irregular, or nodular.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
lesions were localized mostly supratentorially in 12 of 21 cases (57.1%). Restricted diffusion was observed in 14 of 18 cases (77.8%). All parenchymal lesions had an infiltrative appearance, and most demonstrated homogeneous enhancement (11 of 17 cases; 64.7%). In 10 of 21 cases (47.6%), a combination of parenchymal and meningeal involvement was found. Infiltration of the CNs, basal ganglia, corpus callosum, and ependyma was detected relatively often, each presented in 8 of 21 cases (38.1%). Solitary infiltration of the sixth CN was present in 1 case, other cases involved the parenchyma and several CNs. CN5, CN7, and CN8 were affected 3 times and CN3 twice. Structures of the hypothalamic–hypophyseal axis were affected in 7 of 21 cases (33.3%). Signs of intrallesional hemorrhage were present only in 1 case, which is presented as a case report (see “Case 1”). Detailed diagnostic MRI results of the entire patient group are summarized in Table 2.

The patients were further divided into 2 subgroups according to corticosteroid therapy at the time of the initial MRI examination; glucocorticoids users (12 subjects) and non-glucocorticoids users (9 subjects). We found significant difference between the 2 groups in CNs involvement, with exception of the optic nerves and tracts. In glucocorticoids users, CNs were infiltrated in 5 (41.7%) subjects, while in non-glucocorticoids users no CN infiltration was detected ($P=0.045$). No other significant differences in MRI findings were found.

The typical MRI appearance of SCNSL in our group of patients is shown in Figure 1. Hypothalamic–hypophyseal axis involvement in another subject is shown in Figure 2. Moreover, we selected 2 interesting tricky SCNSL cases that differed from the more common MRI findings in our patients.

**Case 1**

A 74-year-old male suffered from pleomorphic clinical symptoms since 2013, when Evans syndrome was diagnosed (vitamin B12, lactic acid, and iron deficiency). Thereafter, he was regularly followed hematologically. Some unclear neurological symptoms were reported by his son in October 2016. A computed tomography (CT) scan of the brain showed a single minor postischemic lesion in the white matter in the left frontal lobe. In January 2017, his cognition and orientation skills suddenly deteriorated. He was admitted to the neurology ward on February 1 and underwent a brain CT scan the same day, where progression of the initial finding was recognized and new ischemic lesions in the brain were described. The following day an MRI examination of the brain was performed. Several lesions in the white matter were found; all lesions showed restricted diffusion and signs of hemorrhage.
Table 2 MRI findings in SCNSL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI findings</th>
<th>Number of cases (%)</th>
<th>MRI findings</th>
<th>Number of cases (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Localization</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cranial nerve involvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supratentorial only</td>
<td>12 of 21 (57.1%)</td>
<td>Optic nerves</td>
<td>6 of 21 (28.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infratentorial only</td>
<td>1 of 21 (4.8%)</td>
<td>Other nerves</td>
<td>5 of 21 (23.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>8 of 21 (38.1%)</td>
<td>All cases of cranial nerves involvement</td>
<td>8 of 21 (38.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of lesion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meningeal involvement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solitary</td>
<td>6 of 21 (28.6%)</td>
<td>Solitary</td>
<td>1 of 21 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>11 of 21 (52.4%)</td>
<td>Combination with parenchymal lesions</td>
<td>10 of 21 (47.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse infiltrative</td>
<td>4 of 21 (19.0%)</td>
<td>Combination with another lesion</td>
<td>1 of 21 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parenchymal lesion enhancement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homogenous &gt;70% of lesion</td>
<td>11 of 17 (64.7%)</td>
<td>Ependymal involvement</td>
<td>8 of 21 (38.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-homogenous between 30% and 70% of lesion</td>
<td>3 of 17 (17.6%)</td>
<td>Basal ganglia involvement</td>
<td>8 of 21 (38.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-homogenous &lt;30% of lesion</td>
<td>0 of 17</td>
<td>Diffusion restriction**</td>
<td>14 of 18 (77.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worm-like, strip, patchy, misty</td>
<td>2 of 17 (11.8%)</td>
<td>Corpus callosum involvement</td>
<td>8 of 21 (38.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No enhancement</td>
<td>1 of 17 (5.8%)</td>
<td>Signs of hemorrhage</td>
<td>1 of 21 (4.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypothalamic–hypophyseal axis involvement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vasogenic edema</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothalamus only</td>
<td>5 of 21 (23.8%)</td>
<td>Visible</td>
<td>13 of 21 (61.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypophysis only</td>
<td>1 of 21 (4.8%)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>8 of 21 (38.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>1 of 21 (4.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** Parenchymal lesions were present in 18 patients; however, in 1 patient contrast was not administrated; thus, 17 patients were evaluated. **Presence of diffusion restriction was assessed in only 18 patients; diffusion-weighted imaging was not acquired in 3 patients.

**Abbreviations:** MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SCNSL, secondary central nervous system lymphoma.

---

**Figure 1** Common MRI appearance of SCNSL in our group.

**Notes:** Multiple lesions in brain parenchyma with intermediate intensity on T2-weighted images (A; arrows), with restricted diffusion on diffusion-weighted imaging (B; arrows) and ADC map (C; arrows). Visible vasogenic edema around the lesions on FLAIR (D; arrows). Strong enhancement is present after gadolinium injection on T1-weighted images (E and F; arrows).

**Abbreviations:** ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SCNSL, secondary central nervous system lymphoma.
A gadolinium-based contrast agent was not administrated. The lesions were described as multiple ischemic lesions (Figure 3). On February 7, his overall condition worsened and he was transferred to the intensive care unit; however, despite intensive care, he died within a few hours. An autopsy was performed and DLBCL was found in the retroperitoneum with left adrenal destruction and vascular dissemination to the lungs, brain, bone marrow, kidney, colon, and myocardium. Proven SCNSL was consistent with an intravascular angiocentric type of lymphoma. Unfortunately, proper diagnosis during the life of the patient was not established.

Case 2
A 74-year-old woman was diagnosed with peripheral T-cell lymphoma and treated in 2013. Relapse of the disease occurred in 2015. Six cycles of combined chemotherapy (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine) as well as glucocorticoid therapy were completed in January 2016. From August 2016, the patient was considered to be in remission. Worsening sensomotoric polyneuropathy, new psychiatric disorders, as well as speech and memory impairments were observed in September 2016. The patient was admitted to the hospital and brain MRI was performed, where suspicion of CNS relapse was raised. Additionally, John Cunningham virus positivity was determined and the patient was diagnosed with progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. Lymphoma treatment was then ended and only symptomatic therapy was initiated. In January 2017, the patient began to complain about very painful skin lesions and was given corticosteroid therapy with very good effect on the brain lesion, as proven by MRI in April 2017. On the last MRI exam in August 2017, progression of the brain affection with new infiltrations in the brain stem and right half of the cerebellum was found. The MRI appearance at the 3 time points mentioned above is illustrated in Figure 4. Autopsy confirmed a diagnosis of SCNSL.

Discussion
In the present study, we have demonstrated variable SCNSL appearances at the time of initial diagnosis. We can clearly...
Figure 3 SCNSL mimicking multiple ischemic lesions.

Notes: Multiple hyperintense lesions on T2-weighted images (A) and FLAIR (B) were observed (white arrowheads). The lesions showed diffusion restriction (D; white arrow) and low signal on the ADC map (E; white arrow). Nearly all lesions had signs of hemorrhage on the gradient echo sequence (C and F; black arrows).

Abbreviations: ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; SCNSL, secondary central nervous system lymphoma.

Figure 4 SCNSL mimicking PML.

Notes: Initial MRI at the time of new neurological symptoms showed a single hyperintense lesion on FLAIR (A; arrowheads) with subtle worm-like inhomogeneous enhancement after gadolinium injection on T1-weighted images (B; arrow). On follow-up MRI 7 months later (C and D) the brain lesion decreased in size, and post-contrast enhancement on T1-weighted images completely regressed. The final MRI 4 months later at the time of clinical relapse (E–H) demonstrated that the initial lesion had not changed (E), however, multiple new infiltrative lesions appeared hyperintense on FLAIR, primarily in the brain stem (G; arrow) and cerebellum (H; arrowheads), without post-contrast enhancement on T1-weighted images (F; arrow).

Abbreviations: FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PML, progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; SCNSL, secondary central nervous system lymphoma.
state that, in our patients, in contrast to previous studies most of SCNSL affections were parenchymal lesions.\textsuperscript{4,7,8} Parenchymal lesions were present in 85.7% of patients. Solitary meningeal infiltration was very rare, present in only 1 case (4.8%). Most of lesions were multiple and supratentorially localized, and restricted diffusion in all or some part of the lesions was often seen. All parenchymal lesions had an infiltrative appearance, and most of them enhanced homogeneously (64.7% of cases). Infiltration of CNs, basal ganglia, corpus callosum, and ependyma was relatively frequent. As stated earlier, our findings differ from most previous reports.\textsuperscript{7} The findings of 14 previous studies from 1985 to 2004 were summarized in a review by Hill and Owen.\textsuperscript{8} They reported meningeal involvement in 66% of patients with SCNSL but brain involvement in only 33% of patients. However, in most of the studies reviewed, the findings were not based on a combination of MRI examinations and histological verification and thus the diagnosis was not unequivocally proven. In some studies, the diagnosis was made by cerebrospinal fluid examination, by CT, or only from clinical data without histological or radiological validation.\textsuperscript{9–12} In a study published by Villa et al, the authors reported nearly one-third of leptomeningeal involvement, nearly 50% of cases had parenchymal lesions, and the remainder showed both leptomeningeal and parenchymal involvement in SCNSL.\textsuperscript{4} However, only 55% of their subjects underwent MRI or CT; in the reminder, the diagnosis was made using cerebrospinal fluid flow cytometry or only from clinical data, without histological or imaging confirmation. All of our patients suffered from neurological symptoms. Nearly all (91%) MRI findings were histologically proven by stereotactic biopsy, open surgery, or autopsy. In only 2 of 21 cases, cerebrospinal flow cytometry was performed to confirm the diagnosis of SCNSL. According to Pittman et al, this diagnostic tool has both high positive and negative predictive values in patients with a history of lymphoma and/or abnormal brain imaging, when compared with open brain tissue biopsy.\textsuperscript{13} Thus, we consider our data valid.

CNSL variably changes over a relatively short period of time and the clinical manifestation and MRI appearances may be dramatically affected by corticosteroid administration. The temporary regression of CNSL during corticosteroid therapy, which may be partial or even complete, is well known.\textsuperscript{14,15} However, in a minority of cases, progression after corticosteroid therapy may be observed.\textsuperscript{15} Moreover, spontaneous regression of CNSL has been repeatedly described, mostly in solitary cases.\textsuperscript{16} In a study by Koubská et al, statistically significant differences in morphological MRI findings between immunocompromised and immunocompetent patients with CNSL were reported.\textsuperscript{17} In that study, all immunocompromised patients with non-homogenously enhancing lesions were treated with corticosteroids. The authors speculated that the enhancement differences between immunocompromised and immunocompetent patients may be related to corticosteroid therapy.\textsuperscript{15} In our study, nearly 60% of patients were treated by glucocorticoids at the time of initial MRI. We found just 1 significant difference between glucocorticoid users and non-users in the involvement of CNs, aside from the optic nerves. All CNs infiltrations were present in the glucocorticoid user subgroup. We do not have any explanation for this finding. It may be related only to the small sample size. Despite the aforementioned observations, the morphological MRI findings of the entire patient group were very similar to the previously published findings in PCNSL.\textsuperscript{17,18} Our study supports the results of a few earlier studies, which did not find significant differences in MRI appearances between PCNSL and SCNSL.\textsuperscript{15,19}

The present study has several limitations. The cohort of patients was small, as SCNSL is a rare disease, and we included histologically verified cases only. Due to its retrospective nature, some MRI examinations were of lower quality and acquired on different whole-body MRI scanners. We did not have sufficient data for reviewing advanced MRI techniques such as magnetic resonance spectroscopy, perfusion imaging, or diffusion tensor imaging. Not all patients underwent diffusion-weighted imaging.

**Conclusion**

In contrast to previously published reports, SCNSL presented primarily as parenchymal disease in our patients, and its MRI appearance did not differ from the morphological MRI findings in PCNSL described previously. Post-contrast MRI is an important diagnostic tool, but insufficient to make a clear diagnosis or discriminate PCNSL from SCNSL. Histological verification of brain lesions is still mandatory, although stereotactic biopsy is not without complications.\textsuperscript{20} Systemic lymphoma must be excluded in the case of all histologically proven brain lymphoma lesions, as neither MRI nor histology itself is able to distinguish between PCNSL and SCNSL. In some cases, MRI appearance of lymphoma is tricky as we have demonstrated separately. A multidisciplinary approach for the next research is recommended.
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