Protein-induced satiation and the calcium-sensing receptor
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Abstract: Obesity is a major global health issue. High-protein diets have been shown to be associated with weight loss and satiety. The precise mechanism by which protein-rich diets promote weight loss remains unclear. Evidence suggests amino acids, formed as a consequence of protein digestion, are sensed by specific receptors on L-cells in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. These L-cells respond by secreting gut hormones that subsequently induce satiety. In recent years, the calcium-sensing receptor has been identified in several cells of the GI tract, including L-cells, and suggested to sense specific amino acids. This review evaluates the evidence for protein-rich diets in inducing weight loss and how the calcium-sensing receptor may be implicated in this phenomenon. Commandeering the mechanisms by which elements of a protein-rich diet suppress appetite may provide another successful avenue for developing anti-obesity drugs.
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Introduction

According to the 2014 report from the World Health Organization,1 600 million people worldwide are classified as obese, a figure which has more than doubled over the last 2 decades. The sharp rise in obesity has primarily been attributed to the availability of cheap, high-energy foodstuffs, and low physical activity, resulting in a positive energy balance. Obesity is an established risk factor for cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus.2 The rising prevalence of psychological and social issues associated with obesity has increased the demand for successful anti-obesity treatments. First-line management plans often include changes to diet and lifestyle,3 but these have little long-term benefits since they are typically difficult to adhere to. Currently, the most effective treatment for large and sustained weight loss is bariatric surgery.4 However, due to its invasive nature and high cost, this procedure is limited to morbidly obese patients.

The central control of energy intake is primarily linked to the hypothalamus and brainstem. Several drugs have aimed to reduce appetite by targeting neurotransmitters and neurons in these areas of the brain. Since these signaling molecules do not exclusively regulate appetite, obesity drugs have often led to adverse side effects.5–7 Efficacy and safety concerns present significant barriers to obtaining marketing approval, which is reflected by the relatively small valuation of the obesity drug market.8 Orlistat, a gastric and pancreatic lipase inhibitor, is the only prescription medicine for obesity that is licensed and marketed in the UK to date. However, side effects of Orlistat such as fecal incontinence have led to poor patient compliance.9
The gut–brain axis (GBA)

It is now known that the gastrointestinal (GI) tract regulates appetite by communicating with the brain. This bidirectional communication between the GI tract and the brain gives rise to the GBA. Peripheral hormones from the GI tract send information to the brain about the body’s nutritional state and adiposity either directly or via the vagus nerve. The signals from these hormones are integrated further with higher brain center signals to regulate energy homeostasis (Figure 1).

The enteroendocrine cells (EEC) constitute a critical component of the GBA. Derived from multipotent stem cells toward the base of the intestinal crypts, EEC secrete various peptides. Immunohistochemical and fluorescence-activated cell-sorted studies demonstrate that EEC often express 2 or more products. The glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) and peptide tyrosine tyrosine (PYY) co-expressing enteroendocrine L-cells are believed to be involved in the regulation of energy homeostasis. PYY is a 36 amino acid peptide that belongs to the pancreatic polypeptide-fold family. PYY has 2 forms: PYY_{1-36} and PYY_{3-36}.

Enzymatic cleavage of PYY_{1-36} by dipeptidyl peptidase IV gives rise to PYY_{3-36} the more abundant form, in the postprandial state. GLP-1 is synthesized from its precursor proglucagon. Physiological action of GLP-1 includes suppressing endogenous glucose production by stimulating insulin secretion and inhibiting glucagon release. GLP-1 and PYY have also been shown to contribute to the short-term feeling of satiety and hunger. Intracerebroventricular administration of GLP-1 and intra-arcuate injection of PYY_{3-36} in rodents potently decreases food intake and body weight. These gut hormones further regulate the inhibitory feedback mechanism controlling intestinal transit and can therefore also induce satiety by prolonging gastric distention.

Enteroendocrine L-cells are located along the GI tract, with their density increasing in the distal small intestine.

Figure 1 Gut–brain axis: consequence of amino acid sensing by receptors on the EEC.

Notes: Following sensing of amino acids by receptors on the EEC, peptides such as GLP-1 and PYY are secreted from the EEC and regulate food intake by binding to their respective receptors. PYY binds to Y2R located on the vagus nerve fiber terminals, which signals to the brain stem and eventually the hypothalamus. GLP-1 can pass through the blood and act directly at GLP-1 receptors in the hypothalamus and exert its effects on satiety. The hypothalamus has a collection of nuclei (not shown in Figure 1); the ARC is a collection of neurons in the mediobasal hypothalamus, and is thought to be implicated in the control of food intake. The ARC contains two populations of neurons with opposing effects on food intake. The agouti-related peptide/neuropeptide Y neurons stimulate food intake, whereas pro-opiomelanocortin neurons suppress appetite. The ARC also sends numerous projections to both extra- and intra-hypothalamic regions responsible for energy homeostasis, including the paraventricular nucleus. Further connections are formed between these populations of neurons and higher brain centers.

Abbreviations: ARC, arcuate nucleus; CaSR, calcium-sensing receptor; EEC, enteroendocrine cells; GLP-1, glucagon-like peptide-1; GPRC6A, G-protein-coupled receptor family C group 6-member A; PYY, peptide tyrosine tyrosine; T1R, taste receptor 1; Y2R, Y2 receptors.
Following secretion from L-cells, GLP-1 and PYY diffuse into the lamina propria and reach the systemic circulation via the hepatic portal vein. Studies in humans show that systemic circulating concentrations of both hormones increase within 15 minutes of food ingestion. In the fasting state, GLP-1 and PYY circulate at low, basal levels. These levels increase sharply postprandially, approximately proportionately to calories ingested. Subcutaneous administration of GLP-1 or PYY have been demonstrated to reduce appetite in humans. These observations further support the evidence that GLP-1 and PYY act as anorectic peptides. In addition to this physiological role, the weight-reducing effects of bariatric surgery have been linked to increased circulating GLP-1 and PYY levels. In Pyy null mice, the acute effects of gastric bypass on reducing body weight are diminished. However, wild-type mice losing body weight post-bypass surgery display elevated colonic PYY and circulating fasting PYY levels. In humans, meal-induced GLP-1 levels are elevated only days after bariatric surgery, and remain elevated for at least a decade. Moreover, inhibiting gut hormone release in humans with Octreotide, a somatostatin analog, attenuates the reduction in food intake after gastric bypass. Targeting these gut hormones themselves or their receptors has revealed promising results in the management of body weight; the GLP-1 receptor agonist Liraglutide (Saxenda) was recently approved by both the European Commission and the US Food and Drug Administration as an anti-obesity drug.

High-protein diet promotes weight loss

A growing body of evidence suggests that a high-protein diet promotes satiety and weight loss. Early studies by Skov et al demonstrated that dietary protein may be implicated in body weight management. The authors randomly assigned 65 healthy, overweight and obese subjects to a high-carbohydrate, high-protein and control diet for 6 months. Results showed that weight loss was greatest amongst participants on the high-protein diet. Further investigations have compared the effects of energy-restricted diets to protein-rich diets. These studies predominantly show that both energy restriction and protein-rich diets lead to significant weight loss but high-protein diets yield a greater reduction in fat mass and greater preservation of fat-free mass. Modest weight loss such as 5%-10% of total body weight can have several health benefits. However, to maintain this improved health, it is critical to sustain the weight loss over the long term. Westerterp-Plantenga et al demonstrated that diets rich in protein can limit weight gain following significant weight loss. This study involved 148 subjects who followed a low-energy diet for 4 weeks. Following this energy-restriction diet, participants were given either a normal- or high-protein diet. The additional protein intake led to a lower percentage of weight regain compared to the normal-protein diet. The satiating effects of protein have been linked to gut hormone secretion. In a study by Batterham et al, adults were fed a high-protein meal and 2 low-protein meals rich in either fat or carbohydrate. The results revealed that in normal-weight and obese subjects, a high-protein diet induced the greatest release of PYY. The study also tested Pyy null mice. The authors reported that Pyy null mice were resistant to the weight-reducing effects of a high protein diet and developed marked obesity. This effect was reversed following exogenous PYY treatment. Lejeune et al assessed several mechanisms of satiety during a high-protein diet. The study examined 12 healthy women who were fed an adequate-protein or a high-protein diet in a randomized crossover design. The results showed that a high-protein diet compared to an adequate-protein diet increased 24-hour satiety and also postprandial GLP-1 secretion. Taken together, these studies suggest that release of the satiety factors GLP-1 and PYY can be achieved by alteration of specific dietary constituents.

The mechanism by which high-protein diets encourage weight loss remains unknown. It is believed that nutrient sensing by the gut plays a critical role in the regulation of gut–brain signaling. The aminostatic hypothesis was proposed in 1956 and states that increased serum amino acid concentrations produced feelings of satiety. Since amino acids form the breakdown products of proteins, there is increasing interest in understanding how amino acids are sensed in the body. Recent advances have highlighted that different macronutrients are sensed by specific receptors on the apical surface of cells in the intestinal lumen. Moreover, specific amino acid transporters on the cell membrane have been demonstrated to participate in amino acid sensing. Of the receptors, The G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) family C group 6 member A (GPRC6A); the taste receptor family 1 (T1R) family of T1R1, T1R2, and T1R3; and the calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR) can all sense luminal amino acids. The GPRC6A is located in the gastric G-cells and the L-cells of the small intestine and colon. It senses mainly basic amino acids and has a high affinity for L-arginine (L-Arg), L-ornithine, and L-lysine. The taste receptors were discovered in the oral epithelial cells and later identified on the intestinal brush cells and EEC. The T1R1 and T1R3 are selective for L-type amino acids and can sense most of them, except for tryptophan. The CaSR has also been suggested to sense amino acids and has been identified in several cells of the
GI tract, including L-cells. Upon amino acid sensing, these L-cells respond by secreting gut hormones (Figure 1).

While stimulation of vagal afferents in the gut by amino acids has been shown to induce feeling of satiety, it must be noted that amino acid sensing cannot occur in the gut alone. It has been shown that subdiaphragmatic vagotomy does not diminish the ability of high-protein diets to reduce food intake. Amino acid sensing directly by the hypothalamus has also been suggested as a potential mechanism. Lazutkaite et al investigated the effect of amino acid administration into hypothalamic tanycytes, which are glial cells lining the wall of the third ventricle. The group showed that amino acids evoked Ca\textsuperscript{2+} signals in tanycytes, a marker of neuronal activity, resulting in ATP release via pannexin 1 and CalHM1.

CaSR

The CaSR is a 7 transmembrane domain class C GPCR. The human CaSR consists of a 612 amino acid extracellular domain (ECD) followed by 7 transmembrane helices of 250 amino acids and finally a 216 amino acid carboxy terminal. The ECD of the CaSR has been proposed to be a Venus flytrap-like (VFT) structure that switches between “open” and “closed” conformational states upon releasing or binding agonists. Western analysis of the CaSR has shown the receptor exists in a dimer form linked by disulfide bonds through cysteine residues within the VFT. The CaSR binds extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} over a concentration range of 0.5–10 mM. Upon binding extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, intracellular G proteins G\textsubscript{q/11} and G\textsubscript{i} are activated. Stimulation of G protein G\textsubscript{i} leads to inhibition of the cyclic adenosine monophosphate pathway. Activation of G\textsubscript{q/11} causes downstream production of inositol 1,4,5-triphosphate and diacylglycerol via phospholipase C. This pathway results in Ca\textsuperscript{2+} release from endoplasmic reticulum stores, consequently leading to a transient peak in cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} concentration. Depletion of calcium from endoplasmic reticulum stores stimulates opening of the permeable plasma membrane Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels, which maintains a sustained rise in cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and ensures long-term intracellular signaling.

The CaSR was initially identified in bovine parathyroid gland and found to regulate extracellular calcium homeostasis in mammals. Its expression in parathyroid and thyroid parafollicular C cells provides a sensing mechanism for the feedback regulation of parathyroid hormone (PTH) and calcitonin secretion, respectively. The CaSR is also located along the entire nephron in the kidney. Primary roles of the CaSR in the kidneys include diminishing the inhibitory effects of PTH on renal phosphate reabsorption, inhibiting renal calcium excretion and reducing urinary polyamines can activate the CaSR receptor. These agonists are classed as Type 1 agonists since they have their own effect on the CaSR regardless of extracellular calcium levels. Type 2 agonists modify the receptor’s affinity via allosteric actions. Amino acids are type 2 agonists that can bind to the ECD of the CaSR and modulate its activity. Zhang et al reported that mutations in the ECD of the CaSR completely blocked the actions of L-phenylalanine (L-Phe) as a positive modulator. Mun et al also showed that CaSR lacking ECD exhibited impaired response to L-amino acids. A follow-up study by the group demonstrated that the binding site for amino acids may be within the VFT domain of the CaSR.

CaSR as a target for amino acids

The observation that PTH levels are elevated during protein restricted diets in humans suggested a link between the CaSR and amino acids. Conigrave et al investigated the effects of amino acids on the activity of CaSR in HEK-293 cell lines and found that specific amino acids, especially L-Phe and L-Tryptophan (L-Trp), caused intracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} mobilization. These effects were only seen when extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ions were above a threshold value between 1.0 and 1.5 mM. The CaSR also seemed to favor the natural L- rather than D-stereoisomers. Other investigators have examined the effects of amino acids on the CaSR in the GI tract. These studies suggest that the CaSR on antral G-cells and parietal cells promotes gastric acid secretion. Feng et al reported that gastric G cells in wild type mice released gastrin upon stimulation by L-Phe. However, G cells in CaSR-pharmacologically inhibited or genetically-ablated (CaSR\textsuperscript{−/−}) mice failed to secrete gastrin. These observations suggest L-Phe and other amino acids may induce gastrin secretion via CaSR. Mace et al demonstrated that activation of the CaSR by L-Phe, L-Trp, L-asparagine, L-Arg and L-glutamine, resulted in GLP-1 and PYY secretion from L-cells. These responses were diminished in the absence of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} or presence of a CaSR inhibitor. Recently, Alamshah et al showed that L-Phe induces GLP-1 secretion from STC-1 cells, an L-cell in vitro model, and also primary L-cells. Moreover, the group administered L-Phe orally to rats and mice. The authors reported an acute reduction in food intake...
in both rats and mice, and a chronic reduction in food intake and body weight in diet-induced obese mice. This effect was also seen when L-Phe was given via the ileum. Pharmacological blockade of the CaSR attenuated the anorectic affect of intra-ileal L-Phe in rats and also L-Phe induced GLP-1 secretion from STC-1 and primary L-cells. Together, these observations suggest amino acids act via the CaSR on L-cells to drive gut hormone release, and that this process requires extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration above a certain threshold. Whilst STC-1 cells provide a useful screening platform for analyzing mechanisms that mediate gut hormone secretion in vitro, variations have been reported regarding the expression of CaSR on these cells. Young et al\(^{33}\) aimed to localize the CaSR on STC-1 cells by using antibodies targeting the ECD of the receptor. They found limited CaSR immunoreactivity on the cell surface of STC-1 cells. Conversely, L-cells from human colonic mucosa have been shown to highly express the CaSR.\(^{68}\) This variation suggests that expression pattern of CaSR on STC-1 cells may not reflect endogenous expression. Moreover, gut hormone secretion levels from STC-1 cells have shown inconsistencies for the same metabolite.

**Caveats of a high-protein diet and targeting the CaSR**

The CaSR is expressed on both luminal and vascular surfaces of EEC.\(^{40}\) It is unknown on which surface the CaSR physiologically senses amino acids. In vivo, it may be possible to employ either intravascular or luminal administration of amino acids and measure GLP-1 secretion in response.\(^{69}\) If amino acids are sensed by CaSR from the vascular surface, there is a possibility they may reach CaSR in other sites via circulation and disrupt calcium homeostasis. Furthermore, there are growing concerns that a high-protein diet reduces lifespan. While the evidence for this has been primarily in insects,\(^{70}\) it must be considered whether mimicking the actions of these diets at specific receptors can have toxic side effects.

A number of literature reviews suggest that low-protein diets, particularly branched-chain amino acids (BCAA), which include leucine, isoleucine and valine, may be metabolically beneficial. Newgard et al\(^{71}\) first demonstrated that components consisting of BCAAs and BCAA byproducts were strongly associated with obesity. They showed that these components were positively associated with the homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance index (\(r=0.58, P<0.001\)). It has been established that gastric bypass surgery leads to weight loss and better glycemic control. Lafferere et al\(^{72}\) reported that levels of BCAAs significantly dropped after gastric bypass surgery and was related to insulin resistance.

The authors suggest that that the improved glucose levels following gastric bypass surgery may be due to changes in BCAAs.

Recently, Fontana et al\(^{73}\) described the metabolic benefits of a protein-restricted diet in rodents. The authors reported that feeding mice a diet restricted in BCAA was sufficient to improve glucose tolerance and body composition. This study was further supported by Cummings et al\(^{74}\) who investigated the effects of reducing BCAA in diet-induced obese mice with pre-existing metabolic syndrome. The group found that dietary BCAAs were quick at reversing the diet-induced obesity and improving glucoregulatory control. The study further demonstrated that mice eating an unhealthy high-sugar Western diet with decreased levels of BCAAs lost weight and fat rapidly. However, it is not certain that these observations will be replicated in human subjects. Moreover, Sluijs et al\(^{75}\) conducted a large prospective study where they studied the relationship between the incidence of diabetes and protein consumption. The group analyzed 38,094 participants and reported that the incidence of diabetes increased with a higher protein intake.

**Conclusion**

Nutrient sensing by receptors on L-cells has opened new avenues for pharmacological approaches to control the obesity epidemic. Of the receptors, there is growing evidence to suggest that CaSR may be implicated in amino acid-induced satiation. Although our understanding of the receptors implicated in this process has advanced, we are still in the preliminary stages of understanding how these systems regulate energy homeostasis and how they might be exploited therapeutically.
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