Nonprojectile penetrating iron rod from the oral cavity to the posterior cranial fossa: a case report and review of literature
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Introduction: Nonprojectile penetrating skull base injuries as a result of falls have rarely been confronted in normal neurosurgery although a few nonmissile injuries have been reported. These kinds of injuries represent a life-threatening emergency.

Case presentation: We present an unusual case of a 25-year-old male construction worker who suffered an accidental penetrating skull base injury when he fell on a metal rod while he was walking on a 2-meter-high platform. He was clinically stable at presentation. Skull radiograph showed a solid metallic bar, 30 cm long, that penetrated through the right anguli oris eminence and was lodged low in the right occipital bone.

Conclusion: Penetrating injury to the head is considered a form of severe traumatic brain injury. Although case of penetrating head injuries as a result of fall from heights are very rare, we anticipate the construction works on high-rise buildings are at maximum risk. We advise that removal of this kind of foreign bodies be done in the theater and not outside because of risk of involvement of larger vessels leading to fatal hemorrhage. We further suggest that patients with nonprojectile injuries should undergo a preoperative computed tomography-angiography to rule out any vascular injury.
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Introduction

Penetrating head injury arises when a projectile or nonprojectile object breaks through the cranium and its contents.¹⁻³ They are relatively unusual wounds that constitute about only 0.4% of all head injuries.² These kinds of injuries usually occur as a result of gunshot, missiles or nonmissiles, or wooden or metal objects leading to closed or open wounds, although motor vehicle accidents or occupational accidents and suicidal attempts may also occur.³⁻⁵⁻⁶

Whereas majority of penetrating injuries in western countries occurs as a result of gunshot wounds, surprisingly, this is completely different in developing and third-world countries. There are a wide range of nonmissile or nonprojectile penetrating injuries that have been reported, with weapons such as knifes, scissors, pencils, iron rods, nails, chopsticks, etc. Wounds resulting from these kinds of objects usually involve a smaller area with relatively low-velocity impact.²⁻³⁻⁷ It must be recounted that most penetrating skull injuries occurring as a result of nonmissile or nonprojectile objects are rarely associated with major neurological symptoms regardless of the size of the penetrating bodies, except in high-velocity injuries where large amount of kinetic energy, contact with the skull, and the force acting for a shorter time probably cause local deformation...
resulting in penetration and skull fracture.\textsuperscript{2,3,9} We present a case of a patient presenting with a penetrating iron rod from the maxillary to the posterior cranial fossa.

**Clinical report**

A 25-year-old construction worker suffered an accidental traumatic posterior cranial fossa injury after falling upon a metal rod while he was walking on a 2-meter-high platform.\textsuperscript{10} On arrival at our department, he was alert and cooperative (Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score 15) (Figure 1A). There were no abnormal findings on neurologic exams. Skull radiographs showed that a solid metallic bar, 30 cm long, had penetrated through the right anguli oris eminence and was lodged low in the right occipital bone\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 1B and C). The extent of structural damage could not be ascertained on the computed tomography (CT) scans as a consequence of severe artifacts associated with the metal bar\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 1D). CT angiography (CTA) illustrated that the metal rod steered clear of the internal carotid artery and narrowly missed the vertebral artery\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 2A–D). We used the rapid sequence intubation to pass the nasotracheal tube. A suboccipital craniotomy was unutilized to remove the rod. The patient was placed in a park-bench position (Figure 3A). A midline incision was made from two fingerbreadths above the inion to the mid-cervical region. After clearance of the musculature, the rod was visualized penetrating through the occipital squama\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 3B). Additional bone removal was achieved by using a high-speed drill and a Kerrison rongeur. The metal rod was further exposed to be going through the dura but left the brain stem alone\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 3C). A steady retraction force was used to pull the rod from the anterior side. Once the rod was clear, thorough hemostasis was achieved by bipolar coagulation. The ipsilateral vertebral artery was revealed to be intact\textsuperscript{10} (Figure 3D). After repeated irrigation with hydrogen peroxide and saline water, the dura was closed in watertight fashion. We kept the patient on ceftriaxone (2 g q 8 h) and metronidazole (500 mg q 8 h) for 7 days postoperatively. Postoperative CT scan revealed no hematoma of edema (Figure 1E and F). The patient’s postoperative course was uneventful. MRI at the 3-month follow-up showed no abscess formation (Figure 4A and B).

**Discussion**

Nonprojectile penetrating skull injuries as a result of fall from heights are very rare and seldom reported in literatures.
A penetrating head injury occurs when the dura mater is breached as a result of either high-velocity objects like bullets and missiles or lower velocity objects such as knife (most common) and rarely nails, keys, pencils, and chopsticks and/or wooden, stony, glassy, and other industrial or environmental objects. In most cases, the bone fragments from a skull fracture are driven into the brain. Projectile objects (gunshots or missiles) are associated with high velocity, which makes them cause deep tissue cavitation. Furthermore, temporal cavitation is caused by missiles because tissue elasticity causes the cavity walls to pulsate in a violent waning fashion and eventually collapse, hence the name temporary cavity. On the other hand, permanent cavitation is caused by penetration injuries, such as in our case, because there is always a formation of a permanent cavity. Their shock waves are the main causes of complex injuries and poor prognosis. Nonprojectiles objects on the other hand usually move with a velocity less than 100 m/s and are associated with direct disruption and laceration of tissues. These kinds of forces mainly cause localized primary injury and lead to better prognosis. Our case is very unique because the solid metallic bar, 30 cm long, penetrated through the right anguli oris eminence, through the mylohyoid groove, sparing the right common carotid artery, and finally lodged low in the right occipital bone.

CT scan is the most accepted radiological modality of choice for the evaluation of patients with penetrating skull injuries. Many authors are of the view that patients with penetrating skull injuries should have unenhanced standard axial views with bone and soft tissue windows even with trivial scalp laceration, and for accurate assessment of the injuries coronal and sagittal sections are very vital. On the other hand, most neurosurgeons do not agree with the use of MRI in patients with penetrating skull injuries because it does not give any better visualization of bones and can be potentially dangerous in ferromagnetic objects. However, patients with nonmetallic penetrating skull injuries can benefit immensely with MRI angiography, if the service is available at the facility they present. We suggest that patients with nonprojectile injuries should undergo a preoperative CTA to rule out any vascular injury.

The targets of surgical intervention in patients with these injuries are to remove the penetrating item from the brain parenchyma, remove necrotic tissue, debris, and other potential contaminants, evacuate any hematomas occurring from the injury, and secure hemostasis as well as ensure watertight closure of the dura to prevent cerebrospinal fluid leakage. Notwithstanding the presence of well-written guidelines for managing these cases, surgical treatment requires an individualized approach tailored to the situation at hand. Many authors including us suggest that the foreign body should never be removed outside the theater because of the possibility of injuring larger vessels leading to fatal hemorrhage when the object is forcefully pulled out. The bulging object should also be stabilized during transportation, evaluation, and treatment to prevent further injury. During the operation in the theater, the foreign object should be carefully removed in the direct reverse path of trajectory without swinging movements to prevent further damage. The dura should be closed in a watertight manner, either by direct closure or by using autologous grafting materials, eg, pericranium. Synthetic grafts, being a foreign body, become a potential source of infection.
Some of the complications anticipated in the management of these kinds of injuries may be cerebrospinal fluid fistula and neuroendocrine dysfunction. Most deaths from penetrating skull injuries are as a result of damage to larger blood vessels leading to intracranial hematomas and ischemia, which can in turn lead to a biochemical cascade called the ischemic cascade.\(^3\) Our patient was so fortunate the rod narrowly missed the external carotid artery, as seen in the angiogram (Figure 2D). Many authors with similar experiences have estimated vascular complications arising from penetrating skull injuries to be 5\%–40\% depending on the type of artery or sinus involved.\(^2,29,30\) Patients who survive these complication most often develop vasospasm, pseudocerebritis, and cerebral abscess.\(^2,18\)

Post-traumatic epilepsy is another complication experienced by many authors.\(^1,3,4,29,31,32\) They pinned direct cortical injury with subsequent scarring as the main cause of seizures after this traumatic event. This occurrence is further classified into immediate (<24 hours), early seizures (<1 week), and late seizures (>1 week).\(^3,31,33\) Patients with penetrating skull injuries are also highly susceptible to infection, which may aggravate their condition as well as increase their mortality rates.\(^3,34\) Deep or multifocal brain injury, intracranial retained bone and metallic fragments, cerebrospinal fluid fistulas, air sinus wounds, transventricular injuries, or wounds crossing the midline are but the many possible way patients can develop post-traumatic infection.\(^1,28\) The most frequently associated organism is \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} because this organism forms part of the normal flora of the skin and thus gets inoculated into the open wound,\(^3,33,34\) leading to scalp sepsis, osteomyelitis, epidural and subdural empyemas, meningitis, ventriculitis, cerebritis, and cerebral abscess.\(^2,18\)

Antimicrobial agents have markedly decreased the rate of infections since their introduction. The choice of antimicrobial agents depends on the protocols of the institution or department and the type of organism anticipated. It is also imperative to give antitetanus shots to patients although the incidence of tetanus has reduced. Birch-Hirschfeld has indicated in his report that there is about 67\% mortality rate in the patients who developed tetanus infection, thus stressing the importance of tetanus immunization as required.\(^2\)

**Conclusion**

Penetrating injury to the head is considered a form of severe traumatic brain injury. Nonprojectile or nonmissile injuries are not uncommon in developing countries, especially in the rural setups and construction sites in the cities. Although cases of penetrating head injuries as a result of fall from heights are very rare, we anticipate the construction workers on high-rise building are at maximum risk. We advise that removal of this kind of foreign bodies be done in the operating room and not out outside because of risk of involvement of larger vessels leading to fatal hemorrhage. We further suggest that patients with nonprojectile injuries should undergo a preoperative CTA to rule out any vascular injury.
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