Predictive factors of symptomatic lumbar canal stenosis in patients after surgery for cervical spondylotic myelopathy
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Background: The aim of this study was to determine the incidence of coexisting symptomatic lumbar canal stenosis (LCS) in patients after surgery for cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) and identify possible predictive factors associated with it.

Materials and methods: We retrospectively reviewed patients with CSM at our institution between January 2005 and December 2015. Clinical and radiographic factors including age, gender, body mass index, Japanese Orthopedic Association scores, cervical alignment, anteroposterior diameter of cervical canal, number of levels with CSM, and percentage of cervical cord compression were investigated. Symptomatic LCS was defined as leg symptoms and a narrowing of the lumbar spinal canal at one level at least, which is confirmed by magnetic resonance images of the lumbar spine. Univariate and multivariate analyses were used to identify possible predictive factors. Pearson correlation analysis was also conducted to analyze the association between cervical parameters and percentage of LCS.

Results: A total of 317 patients with CSM met the criteria for inclusion. There were 39 patients (12.3%) with LCS after cervical surgery during a mean of 7.3 years’ follow-up. In the multivariate logistic regression analysis, narrow diameter of cervical canal (OR, 3.96; 95% CI, 1.20–13.04) was identified as the only independent predictor of symptomatic LCS in CSM patients. The correlation coefficient between anteroposterior diameter of cervical canal and severity of LCS indicated a significantly positive linear relationship with 0.536 (P<0.001).

Conclusion: We found that narrow diameter of cervical canal was associated with symptomatic LCS in CSM patients. Patients with this risk factor should be informed the possibility of symptomatic LCS.
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Introduction

Spinal stenosis, which is caused by the progressive narrowing of the spinal canal through a degenerative process, is a common disease in elderly patients.1,2 As we know, spinal stenosis can occur at any level, but it was frequently seen at the segments with great mobility, such as cervical or lumbar spine. Spondylosis affects not just a single segment of the spine, it is generally more widespread. Thus, coexisting stenosis at different anatomical segments is frequently seen.1–5 The overall degenerative changes in the cervical spine could accompany concurrent degenerative changes in the lumbar spine. In 1964, Teng and Papatheodorou6 described the concurrent cervical and lumbar stenosis at the first time. Dagi et al7 used the term “tandem spinal stenosis” to describe
combined stenosis at the year of 1987. Concurrent stenosis
could add extra difficulty in diagnosis and treatment to
orthopedic surgeons.

Cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) patients show
various symptoms, such as clumsiness of the hands, spastic
gait, loss of balance or sensory impairment,8,9 while the major
clinical diagnostic feature of lumbar canal stenosis (LCS) is
intermittent claudication.10,11 As cervical myelopathy can also
cause disturbances of gait or difficulty in standing, the symp-
toms and signs indicating cervical myelopathy may make it
difficult to distinguish the LCS-related symptoms. At the
same time, imaging of the lumbar spine is not routinely per-
formed in patients with cervical myelopathy, latent stenosis
of lumbar canal is frequently neglected. In some cases, symp-
toms of LCS are diagnosed by mistake as a result of poor
clinical outcomes following cervical myelopathy surgeries.
It is therefore essential for us to have a good knowledge of
the symptomatic LCS following cervical spine surgeries.

In this study, we reviewed CSM patients after surgery and
analyzed related data of patients with the possible symptoms
of LCS. The aim was to determine the incidence of coexisting
symptomatic LCS in patients after surgery for CSM. In addition,
possible predictive factors associated with symptomatic LCS were also sought.

Materials and methods
Patient population
We retrospectively reviewed CSM patients at our institution
between January 2005 and December 2015. The inclusion
criteria were adult patients with single- or multiple-level
CSM confirmed by correlating magnetic resonance (MR)
images. Those with nondegenerative disease, such as trauma,
infection, tumor, deformity, or inflammation, or undergoing
any prior spinal surgery were excluded. Those showing ossi-
fication of posterior longitudinal ligament or thoracic canal
stenosis based on computed tomography and MR images
were also excluded. This study was approved by the Regional
Ethics Committee of the Third Hospital of Hebei Medical
University. All the clinical data were collected after acquisi-
tion of written informed consent from the patients.

Treatment and follow-up
Before surgery, all patients underwent plain radiographs,
computed tomography, as well as MR imaging test. Ante-
rior cervical decompression and fusion or laminoplasty
procedures were performed for these patients. After surgery,
patients were followed up postoperatively at 6 weeks, 3, 6,
and 12 months, and then annually. Cases that developed
symptoms of LCS were advised to go back to clinic at their
earliest convenience, and MR imaging was performed for
confirmation and further evaluation.

Symptomatic LCS was defined as leg symptoms that were
elicited while walking and standing and relieved by forward
flexion of the spine or by squatting, and a narrowing of the
lumbar spinal canal at one level at least, which is confirmed
by MR images of the lumbar spine. Asymptomatic LCS was
not analyzed in our study.

Data collection
In order to analyze the predictive factors, relevant clinical
factors including age, gender, body mass index (BMI),
and Japanese Orthopedic Association (JOA) scores12 were
collected from the records of the patients. Preoperative
radiographs of cervical spine yielded imaging parameters as
follows: from standing lateral radiographs, the Cobb angle
from C2 to C7 was measured. An alignment of C2–C7 Cobb
angle more than 0 was defined as lordosis, and an alignment
of C2–C7 Cobb angle of 0 or less was defined as kyphosis.13
From MR images of cervical spine, the anteroposterior (AP)
diameter of cervical canal were measured at the mid-vertebra
level on T2 sagittal MR images from C4 to C7, and the mean
value was calculated.12 The extent of spinal cord compres-
sion was defined by the ratio of the spinal cord diameter of
the narrowest part to that of the C2/C3 intervertebral level
using sagittal images on T2-weighted MR images (Figure 1).
Besides, the number of compression-affected levels on
T2-weighted MR images was also counted. Levels showing
compress lesions of the spinal cord with or without signal
changes were considered compression affected.

From MR images of the lumbar spine in patients with
LCS, the percentage of LCS was calculated by a ratio of the
mid-sagittal spinal canal diameter at the level of the interver-
tebral disc to the spinal canal diameter at the mid-vertebra
level of the upper vertebral body. The average ratio of each
level on T2 sagittal MR images from L2 to S1 was calculated
(Figure 2). Besides, the MR imaging classification system
recommended by van Eck et al14 for lumbar spinal stenosis
was used to classify these patients (Table 1). Data measure-
ments were performed by two independent authors, and the
mean value was used for analysis.

Statistical analysis
Descriptive analysis of the patient population was con-
ducted using means and SD for continuous variables and
frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to calculate disease-free
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Fisher exact tests or independent samples t-tests were used to determine the difference between groups. All variables found to be potentially associated with LCS (P < 0.10) were entered into the multiple logistic regression analysis to analyze their relative importance. Furthermore, Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to analyze the association between cervical parameters and percentage of LCS. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data analyses were performed using SPSS version 18 for Windows (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

A total of 317 patients with CSM met the criteria for inclusion. There were 208 males (65.6%) and 109 females (34.4%). The age of these patients were 63.9 ± 7.6 years. One hundred and sixty-six patients (52.4%) underwent 1-level surgery, 110 (34.7%) underwent 2-level surgery, 34 (10.7%) underwent 3-level surgery, and 7 (2.2%) underwent 4-level surgery. Two hundred and eighty-six procedures were anterior cervical discectomy and fusion, and the other 31 were laminoplasty.

Patients were followed up for 7.3 ± 4.1 years. There were 39 patients (12.3%) with LCS after cervical surgery. Twenty-two were males and 17 were females. Of the 39 patients, 7 patients were detected before cervical surgery, and the other 32 patients were diagnosed during follow-up. Kaplan–Meier analysis showed a 90.4% disease-free survival rate for symptomatic LCS (95% CI, 86.9%–94.0%) at 5 years and 83.1% (95% CI, 77.8%–88.4%) at 10 years (Figure 3). The responsible segments of symptomatic LCS were found most commonly at the L4/L5 level, and it was followed by the L5/S1, L3/L4, and L2/L3 levels in descending order of frequency. The numbers of patients with type IIa, type IIb, and type III LCS were 13 (33.3%), 7 (17.9%), and 19 (48.7%), respectively. Among them, 31 patients with symptomatic

Table I Classification system for lumbar spinal stenosis based on MR images

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Normal spinal canal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>Tapering of the spinal canal with gradual narrowing from the thoracolumbar junction to a peak area of stenosis at L5–S1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>Hourglass stenosis with a canal that begins to narrow at the thoracolumbar junction down to a peak area of stenosis, typically at the L3–L4 level, and then widens again caudally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Global stenosis with a symmetrically narrow canal throughout all lumbar segments with little to no spinal fluid surrounding the conus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: MR, magnetic resonance.
LCS underwent posterior lumbar interbody fusion, whereas the other 8 patients refused surgery and accepted conventional treatment. In the univariate analysis, AP diameter of cervical canal in LCS patients was 12.7 ± 1.4 mm, and was significantly lower than that in non-LCS patients (P < 0.001), which was 14.9 ± 1.6 mm. Multiple-level CSM was disclosed by MR imaging in 26 patients (66.7%) with LCS and in 125 (45.0%) non-LCS patients. The difference is highly statistically significant (P = 0.016). However, there were no significant differences in age, gender, BMI, preoperative JOA score, sagittal alignment, and percentage of cervical cord compression between symptomatic LCS and non-LCS patients. The details of result are listed in Table 2. In the multivariate logistic regression analysis, narrow diameter of cervical canal (OR, 3.96; 95% CI, 1.20–13.04) was identified as the only independent predictor of symptomatic LCS in CSM patients. Other parameters were not demonstrated to be associated factors.

Of the 39 patients with symptomatic LCS, we further performed Pearson correlation analysis to analyze the association between cervical cord compression as well as AP diameter of cervical canal and severity of LCS. The correlation coefficient between the cervical cord compression and the severity of LCS was 0.410, revealing a nonsignificant linear relationship (P = 0.43). However, the correlation coefficient between AP diameter of cervical canal and severity of LCS indicated a significantly positive linear relationship with 0.536 (P < 0.001) (Figure 4).

### Discussion
In this study, we reviewed 317 patients surgically treated for CSM and revealed that the prevalence of LCS was 12.3% with a mean of 7.3 years follow-up. Patients with narrow AP diameter of cervical canal have a higher risk of development of symptomatic LCS after CSM surgeries. AP diameter of cervical canal on MR images was associated with the severity of LCS positively. Therefore, clinical suspicion and early diagnosis are required for CSM patients with narrow canal of cervical spine as they have a higher risk of developing symptomatic LCS later.

The incidence of simultaneous stenosis of the cervical and lumbar spine has been documented by previous studies, but corresponding information varies widely. In a cadaveric study of the general population performed by Lee et al, the prevalence of tandem stenosis ranged from 0.9% to
van Eck et al. developed a simple and clinical useful morphological classification system for congenital lumbar spinal stenosis allowing clinicians to recognize patterns of lumbar congenital stenosis quickly and be able to screen these patients for tandem cervical stenosis. We used this system in our study to classify patients with tandem spinal stenosis. The results showed that 48.7% patients were type III LCS, which is characterized by global stenosis with a symmetrically narrow canal throughout all lumbar segments. We assumed that this type of LCS may be associated with tandem spinal stenosis. However, further studies based on a large population are still required to confirm this conclusion.

This study has several limitations. First, the extent of spinal stenosis was only measured by mid-sagittal images. Some patients may have more severe stenosis at paracentral area of spinal canal. If a new stenosis index, involving both sagittal and axial images, can be used for the measurement of stenosis by cross-sectional area, the results might be more precise than the current study. Besides, only a limited numbers of predictive factors were investigated in our study; the involvement of other factors in further study may provide more information to us.

Conclusion
In summary, we found that the prevalence of LCS was 12.3% in patients following surgery for CSM. Patients with narrow canal of the cervical spine have a higher risk of development of symptomatic LCS after CSM surgeries. AP diameter of cervical canal on MR images was associated with the severity of LCS. We recommended that clinical suspicion and early diagnosis are especially required for CSM patients with narrow canal of cervical spine as they have a higher risk of developing into symptomatic LCS later.
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