Current available therapies and future directions in the treatment of malignant gliomas
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Abstract: The prognosis of patients diagnosed with malignant glioma (MG) remains poor. However, recent advances in neuro-oncology allowing a better understanding of this particular disease have allowed the development of new therapeutics. Many molecular genetic and signal transduction pathway targets have been identified that are now being investigated. Novel locoregional treatments, as well as strategies to improve regional delivery, are being evaluated. Studies of combinations of these approaches are also underway. In this review, we will discuss the current and future therapies under evaluation for the treatment of malignant gliomas.
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Introduction

Primary central nervous system (CNS) tumors represent only 1.35% of all cancers and 2.2% of all cancer-related deaths.1 Unfortunately, the prognosis of the most frequent primary CNS tumors, malignant glioma (MG), remains poor. Glial neoplasms represent about 40% of all primary CNS tumors, over three quarters being malignant.2 MG include World Health Organization (WHO) grade III: anaplastic astrocytoma (AA), anaplastic oligodendroglioma (AO), and anaplastic oligoastrocytoma (AOA); and WHO grade IV: glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), and gliosarcoma.3,4 Despite the current standard treatments for MG including surgical resection, radiation therapy, and chemotherapy, the survival of patients with MG remains dismal, with a median survival of 2 to 3 years for patients with AA and 9 to 12 months for GBM patients.5 Favorable prognostic factors including youth, absent or minimal neurological signs, complete surgical resection, and good performance status have been identified, but unfortunately, clinical recurrence or progression is nearly universal. For patients with disease recurrence/progression, available systemic chemotherapies offer modest clinical benefit with a 6-month progression-free survival (PFS) of less than 15% for GBM and 31% for AA,4 and a median overall survival (OS) of 25 weeks and 47 weeks for recurrent GBM and AA, respectively.6 In addition to the fatal prognosis, MG affects many patients in their forties and fifties, frequently terminating promising lives prematurely and depriving young families of parents and spouses. Clearly, more effective therapies are desperately needed for patients afflicted with these tumors.

Temozolomide

Radiation therapy (RT) has been the standard-of-care for MG until recently, while systemic chemotherapy has had a limited role.7 However, in a recent phase III study, Stupp et al reported results that made temozolomide (TMZ) (Temodar®, Temodal®, Schering-Plough Corporation), a DNA methylator and a second-generation imidazotetrazine derivative, a standard adjuvant chemotherapy for GBM at first diagnosis. Patients were randomized into 2 groups. One group received concurrent RT and daily TMZ at 75 mg/m², followed by 6 monthly cycles of TMZ (150–200 mg/m²)
from patients treated on the Stupp trial were performed to determine the methylation status of MGMT and its correlation with survival. Patients with MGMT gene methylation who received RT and TMZ achieved a median PFS of 10.3 months and a 2-year survival rate of 46%, compared to 5.9 months median PFS and 22.7% 2-year survival rate for the patients having MGMT gene methylation but treated with RT alone. In comparison, patients with unmethylated MGMT achieved a median PFS of 5.3 months and a 2-year survival rate of 14% for the RT-TMZ group and 4.4 months and <2%, respectively for the group receiving only RT. It was concluded that patients with newly diagnosed GBM and MGMT gene methylation benefited the most from the addition of TMZ to RT.

A possible approach to improve the survival of patients with unmethylated MGMT is molecules that can reverse the process, such as O\textsuperscript{6}-benzylguanine (O6-BG), an O\textsuperscript{6}-alkylguanine-DNA alkyltransferase inhibitor. Phase I studies of O6-BG with BCNU and TMZ have been limited by hematologic toxicities preventing therapeutic dose escalation of the chemotherapy.

Even though the addition of TMZ to RT provides an important step forward in the overall treatment of this disease and nitrosoureas remain an option, more effective therapies are necessary. Several innovative treatment strategies, including targeted therapeutics as well as locally administered agents, are being studied.

**Targeted therapies**

The recent success of small-molecule inhibitors of signal transduction pathways in other cancers has propelled rapid development of similar therapies in the treatment of patients with MG. Cellular processes contributing to normal homeostasis, once disrupted, can contribute to malignancy and this by the presence of common molecular alterations in the signal transduction pathways, a communication network of regulatory molecules within the cell. Several growth factors, hormones, and cytokines regulate these cellular processes. Epidermal growth factor (EGF), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), hepatocyte growth factor/scatter factor (HGF/SF), and insulin-like growth factor (IGF) are some of the relevant growth factor pathways in gliomas. Tyrosine kinases are associated with the receptors for these pathways. After ligand binding, the receptors undergo dimerization that permits transphosphorylation, in which the kinase domain of one receptor phosphorylates one or more intracellular tyrosine residues on the second receptor. Phosphotyrosine residues recruit adaptor proteins, activating downstream effector molecules that initiate signaling cascades to regulate gene transcription in the nucleus. MG are known to present overexpression or mutations of receptors and intracellular downstream effectors, leading to activation of signaling pathways, resulting in uncontrolled cellular proliferation, survival, and invasion. Several approaches are available to inhibit signaling pathways, from the inhibition of upstream growth factor ligands and their receptors, to the inhibition of downstream intracellular effectors. Specific inhibitors of these targets have shown promise in preclinical and clinical trials; however, significant work remains to maximize the
utility of these treatments to improve patient outcomes. The major challenges in the use of kinase inhibitors facing the neuro-oncology community are: (1) identification of the optimal therapeutic target(s), (2) establishment of biomarkers of tumor sensitivity or resistance, and (3) optimization of signaling inhibitor combinations with either other inhibitors or cytotoxic agents for efficacy and toxicity, as unusual adverse events such as neurotoxicity, hypertension and cardiac events can occur from those combinations.

Vascular endothelial growth factor

Vascular proliferation, or neoangiogenesis, is a histopathological characteristic of MG. One attractive therapeutic target for many neoplasms is VEGF, the principal mediator of tumor angiogenesis. MG overexpresses VEGF, the levels of which correlate directly with tumor vascularity and grade, and inversely with prognosis. Tumor-associated endothelial cells express VEGFR2, creating a paracrine loop of angiogenic activation, indicating that VEGF and its receptors are important therapeutic targets.

Bevacizumab (BV) is a humanized murine monoclonal antibody, binding VEGF-A and preventing interaction and activation of VEGF receptor tyrosine kinases VEGFR1 and VEGFR2. Given in combination with conventional chemotherapy, BV significantly improves the survival of patients with metastatic colorectal and lung cancer and PFS of patients with breast cancer. BV with irinotecan has been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for colorectal cancer, as a first line treatment for non-small cell lung cancer in combination with carboplatin and paclitaxel, and has obtained accelerated approval for metastatic HER2-negative breast cancer patients in combination with paclitaxel. Encouraging radiographic responses and PFS have been observed in MG exposed to BV (Avastin, Genentech, CA, USA) when used in combination with irinotecan. In the first phase II trial published, a radiographic response rate of 63% was observed (1 complete response [CR] and 19 partial responses [PRs]). In addition, a 6-month PFS of 32% was obtained in GBM patients. Due to the encouraging radiographic response rate observed, the initial trial was expanded to include a total of 68 patients with recurrent MG. In the total group of 68 patients, 35 patients had a pathological diagnosis of GBM while 33 had anaplastic glioma. Among all 35 recurrent GBM patients, the 6-month PFS was 46% (95% CI, 32%–66%) and the 6-month OS was 77% (95% CI, 64%–92%). Twenty of the 35 patients (57%; 95% CI, 39%–74%) had at least a PR. One patient developed CNS hemorrhage and 4 patients developed thromboembolic complications (deep venous thrombosis and/or pulmonary emboli). Similarly, among 33 recurrent anaplastic glioma patients, the 6-month PFS was 55% (95% CI, 36%–70%) and the 6-month OS was 79% (95% CI, 61%–89%). Twenty patients (61%) had at least a PR. Significant adverse events were infrequent and included one patient with symptomatic CNS hemorrhage and one patient who developed thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura (TTP). The patient with CNS hemorrhage required hospitalization and high-dose dexamethasone, but made a full recovery following rehabilitative therapy. The patient who developed TTP remains on peritoneal dialysis without sign of disease progression.

The preliminary results of a phase II, randomized, multicenter, non-comparative clinical trial of BV alone or in combination with irinotecan for GBM patients at first or second recurrence have been published. Eighty-five patients were randomized to the BV alone group and 82 to the combination of BV plus irinotecan group. Patients in the BV alone group were allowed to receive the combination of BV and irinotecan at the time of disease progression at the discretion of the investigator. Median OS were comparable at 9.2 months (95% CI, 8.2–10.7 months) for the BV alone group and 8.7 months (95% CI, 7.8–10.9 months) for the combination group. However, the 6-month PFS was higher in the combination group (50.3%; 95% CI, 36.8%–63.9%) than in the BV-alone group (42.6%; 95% CI, 29.6%–55.5%). Grade 3 and higher toxicities were higher in the combination group, 65.8% vs 46.4% for the BV alone group, but grade 5 adverse events were more frequent in the BV-alone group (2.4% vs 1.3% for the combination group).

The unprecedented increase in PFS and response rate observed in MG patients when treated with the combination of BV and irinotecan has stimulated research with BV as well as other VEGF-directed or antiangiogenic therapies. Ongoing studies with agents more commonly used in MG patients are evaluating alternative BV-based regimens for recurrent MG patients. Two separate single-group studies combining BV with protracted, metronomic dosing schedules of either TMZ or etoposide are underway, as well as a study combining bortezomib, the first proteasome inhibitor, and BV. Preliminary results on these studies have not yet been published. The Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) is randomizing recurrent GBM patients to receive BV with either protracted TMZ (75 mg/m²/day for 21 days each month) or irinotecan every 2 weeks. An additional study combining BV plus daily erlotinib is also underway.

Potentiation of RT by the inhibition of VEGFR signaling in GBM models has been demonstrated in preliminary
Several single institutional studies are underway to evaluate the addition of BV to RT and TMZ for newly diagnosed GBM patients. The results of the interim analysis for safety and tolerability of the first 10 patients treated by the UCLA group has been published. The safety analysis showed that one patient experienced a presumed radiation-induced optic neuropathy. The toxicities that could be potentially related to the treatment combination were relatively high incidences of fatigue (20% grade 3–4 post RT), myelotoxicity (20% and 30% grade 3–4 during RT and post RT, respectively), wound breakdown (10% and 10% grade 3–4 during RT and post RT, respectively), and deep venous thrombosis (30% grade 3–4 post RT) and pulmonary embolism (20% grade 3–4 post RT). They concluded that the observed toxicities were acceptable for continued enrollment toward the overall target group of 70 patients. In addition, a multi-center, randomized phase III clinical trial for newly diagnosed GBM patients is being planned.

Receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) inhibitors are typically small molecules that competitively block tyrosine or serine/threonine kinase domains located intracellularly. Preliminary results of VEGF RTK inhibitors under evaluation for MG patients have been reported. Nine of 16 patients (56%) treated with cediranib (AZD2171, AstraZeneca, UK), a potent, oral, pan-VEGFR, PDGFR and c-kit inhibitor, achieved a radiographic response while 3 additional patients achieved stable disease. In addition, 8 of 11 patients (73%) were able to reduce pre-treatment corticosteroid dosing. A median PFS of 111 days and median OS of 211 days were observed in this small cohort of patients. Collaborative imaging studies revealed that decreased contrast enhancement was accompanied by significant decreases in tumor vessel size, permeability, blood volume and flow, consistent with “normalization” of tumor vessels. Of note, reversal of tumor vessel normalization was observed following drug interruption. The authors concluded that similar to other “normalization” of tumor vessels. Of note, reversal of tumor vessel normalization was observed following drug interruption. The authors concluded that similar to other antiangiogenic therapies that target only tumor endothelium, monotherapy with AZD2171 may not improve overall survival, suggesting the need to combine cytotoxic therapies with AZD2171. A multi-center, randomized clinical trial is planned to evaluate cediranib versus lomustine versus the combination of cediranib plus lomustine in patients with recurrent GBM.

VEGF-TRAP (Regeneron, Inc., NY, USA), a 110 kDa soluble protein containing extracellular VEGF receptor sequences (VEGFR1 and VEGFR2) fused to a IgG backbone, acts as a soluble protein decoy VEGF receptor that binds circulating VEGF, thereby preventing it from interacting with its receptors on tumor endothelial cells. VEGF-TRAP potentiates RT in preclinical GBM xenografts. A phase II trial of VEGF-TRAP monotherapy for patients with TMZ-resistant recurrent GBM or anaplastic glioma at first relapse was completed by the North American Brain Tumor Consortium (NABTC). Forty-eight patients were enrolled (32 GBM and 16 anaplastic glioma). Response rates of 50% for the anaplastic glioma cohort and 30% for the GBM cohort were observed. Grade 3 adverse events included fatigue, hypertension, hand-foot syndrome, lymphopenia, thrombosis and proteinuria. One ischemic stroke and one systemic hemorrhage (grade 4 toxicities) were reported. They also observed that VEGF-TRAP induced a rapid and prolonged decrease in free levels of VEGF and PIGF, confirming the sequestration of target growth factors. A multi-center clinical trial incorporating VEGF-TRAP with RT and TMZ is planned for newly diagnosed GBM patients.

Vatalanib (PTK787/ZK222584; Novartis) is a novel, oral, small-molecule ATP-mimetic inhibitor of VEGFRs that has shown anti-glioma activity in preclinical studies. A phase I multi-institutional trial of PTK787 as monotherapy found that the agent was well tolerated with dose-limiting toxicities of deep vein thrombosis, liver enzyme elevation, insomnia, cerebral edema, fatigue, and nausea/vomiting. Of 31 evaluable patients, one patient presented a PR (response rate of 4%) and 20 patients experienced disease stabilization (65%). Combination of vatalanib with either TMZ or lomustine demonstrated response rate of 8% and time-to-progression of 16.1 weeks for the TMZ group and 12.1 weeks for the lomustine group.

Epidermal growth factor

Another attractive therapeutic target is the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). Approximately half of GBM tumors exhibit amplification of EGFR. In addition, EGFR is over-expressed in many MG independently of the amplification status. EGFRvIII, a mutant EGFR variant, is present in approximately 40% of GBM tumors, and its expression confers a negative prognosis. Gefitinib (ZD1839, Iressa®; AstraZeneca) is a novel, oral, low-molecular weight ATP mimetic of the anilinoquinazoline family that reversibly inhibits the tyrosine kinase activity of EGFR. A phase II trial of gefitinib in first relapse GBM showed a median event-free survival of 8.1 weeks, a 6-month PFS of 17%, and no radiographic responses. Other studies of gefitinib have failed to show survival benefits. Erlotinib (OSI-774, Tarceva®; OSI Pharmaceuticals) is an orally active quinazoline derivative...
that inhibits EGFR-specific tyrosine phosphorylation and has demonstrated antitumor efficacy similar to that of gefitinib in preclinical studies. A phase I trial of erlotinib as monotherapy or in combination to TMZ demonstrated a response rate of 14% and a 6-month PFS of 11%. Subsequent phase II trials of erlotinib have demonstrated response rates of 6% to 25% with minimal effect on the progression rate and survival.\textsuperscript{59,60} A benefit in stratifying patients for EGFR targeted therapies has been suggested by two recent studies, demonstrating that co-expression of normal PTEN and mutant EGFRvIII,\textsuperscript{51} and combined low levels of AKT and overexpression of EGFR,\textsuperscript{52} predict radiographic responses in MG patients treated with erlotinib or gefitinib.

**Platelet derived growth factor**

Imatinib mesylate (Gleevec\textsuperscript{6}; Novartis, NJ, USA), a kinase inhibitor of PDGFR, c-Kit and ber-abl, has limited anti-glioma activity when administered as monotherapy\textsuperscript{63} and in combination with RT.\textsuperscript{64} Specifically, the response rate was less than 6% and the 6-month PFS was less than 16% in recurrent MG patients.\textsuperscript{55} In contrast, Dresemann demonstrated encouraging anti-glioma activity when imatinib was combined with hydroxyurea.\textsuperscript{56} Two subsequent phase II studies confirmed this observation. A response rate of 9% and a 6-month PFS of 27% were observed in recurrent GBM patients\textsuperscript{57} and a response rate of 10% and a 6-month PFS of 24% were observed in recurrent AA/AO patients.\textsuperscript{58} A phase II, open-label, multi-centre, single-group study evaluating the efficacy of imatinib plus hydroxyurea in patients with progressive GBM that are receiving enzyme-inducing anticonvulsant drugs (EIA/DCD) has completed enrollment and analysis is underway.

**RAF-MEK-ERK**

The RAF-MEK-ERK signal transduction pathway, an important mediator of dysregulated glioma cell proliferation and angiogenesis, also offers potential therapeutic targets. In the cytoplasmic part of cell membrane, small guanine triphosphate (GTP)-binding proteins, encoded by the RAS superfamily of genes, regulate numerous cellular functions including proliferation, differentiation, cytoskeletal organization, protein trafficking, and the secretion of angiogenic factors. Mutation or amplification of upstream growth factor receptors in gliomas often result in increased RAS activity.\textsuperscript{59} Farnesylation is the rate-limiting step in RAS maturation;\textsuperscript{60} therefore, several farnesyltransferase inhibitors (FTI) have undergone clinical evaluation as RAS targeted therapy. However, FTI activity may not be specific to RAS, as many other oncoproteins also undergo farnesylation.

Tipifarnib (Zarnestra\textsuperscript{R} R115777; Johnson and Johnson, NJ, USA) and lonafarnib (Sarasar\textsuperscript{R}, SCH66336; Schering-Plough, NJ, USA) may inactivate RAS by inhibiting farnesyltransferase. In a phase I/II study, tipifarnib demonstrated a 6-month PFS of 9% in recurrent AA/AO and of 12% in recurrent GBM. Lonafarnib was evaluated in a phase I trial in combination with TMZ for patients with prior TMZ failure. A response rate of 27% and a 6-month PFS of 33% was observed. Additional molecules inhibiting components of the RAF-MEK-ERK pathway are under investigation and include AAL881 (Novartis)\textsuperscript{63} and sorafenib (Nexavar; Bayer, CT, USA).

**PI3K/AKT/mTOR**

PI3K, a serine/threonine kinase activated by several receptor tyrosine kinases, active RAS, or integrins, regulates several malignant phenotypes including apoptosis, cell growth, and proliferation. Poor prognosis of MG patients is associated with the activation of PI3K pathways.\textsuperscript{64} A constitutive activation of PI3K pathways is seen with PTEN loss, a common genetic feature in GBM. Activated PI3K phosphorylates several downstream effectors including AKT, another serine/threonine kinase regulating apoptosis, cell growth, and proliferation. Inhibitors of PI3K and AKT have undergone preclinical evaluation with encouraging results.\textsuperscript{65} Perifosine (Keryx Biopharmaceuticals, New York, NY), an oral AKT inhibitor, administered as an oral loading dose of 600 mg on day one followed by 100 mg nightly thereafter is undergoing clinical evaluation in MG. Preliminary results showed a response rate of 15%, but updated data are to come.\textsuperscript{66}

mTOR, a serine/threonine kinase downstream from AKT, is activated not only by AKT but also by RAS pathways. Rapamycin (sirolimus; Wyeth, Madison, NJ) and its synthesized analogs, temsirolimus (CCI-779; Wyeth), everolimus (RAD001; Novartis), and AP23573 (Ariad Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, MA, USA) have been evaluated in clinical trials of MG. Two recent phase II studies of temsirolimus in recurrent GBMs by the NABTC and the NCCCTG have demonstrated modest efficacy.\textsuperscript{67,68} A radiographic response rate of 5% and 36%, and a 6-month PFS of 2.5% and 7.8%, were observed in the NABTC and NCCCTG trials, respectively.\textsuperscript{67,68} The NCCCTG trial demonstrated that a predictor of radiographic response was a high level of p70s6K phosphorylation in tumor at baseline.\textsuperscript{68} A stimulation of the kinase activity of AKT, the immediate upstream effector of mTOR, has been demonstrated following the inhibition of mTOR in preclinical studies.\textsuperscript{69} PI-103, a novel inhibitor of both PI3K and mTOR, has shown promising activity in both
in vitro and in vivo models of malignant gliomas, possibly by blocking the activated PI3K/AKT induced by the mTOR inhibition.\(^{70}\)

## Protein kinase C

High dose tamoxifen has been shown to inhibit protein kinase C (PKC), a serine/threonine kinase that regulates cell proliferation, invasion and angiogenesis, and to have anti-tumor activity in glioma xenografts.\(^{71}\) However, tamoxifen mixed results have been reported in clinical trials.\(^{72–75}\) Enzastaurin (LY317615; Eli-Lilly, IN, USA), an oral serine/threonine kinase inhibitor targeting PKC and AKT pathways, induces tumor cell apoptosis and suppresses proliferation and angiogenesis.\(^{76}\) A response rate of 29% was reported in a phase II trial of recurrent MG.\(^{80}\) Unfortunately, a multi-centered phase III trial of enzastaurin versus lomustine in patients with recurrent GBM was discontinued at the interim analysis due to lack of benefit over the control group.\(^{81}\) Patients were randomized 2:1 to receive 6-week cycles of 500 mg of enzastaurin daily (125-mg loading dose day 1) or lomustine (100–130 mg/m\(^2\) on day 1). Enrollment was terminated at 266 patients after a planned interim analysis for futility. Median PFS (HR = 1.28 [0.97, 1.70]), OS (HR = 1.2 [0.88, 1.65]) and a 6-month PFS rate were not different between groups. Four patients discontinued enzastaurin due to drug-related serious adverse events (AE) (erysipelas, aortic thrombosis, cerebral hemorrhage, and convulsion). Eleven (7%) patients on enzastaurin died (4 due to AEs, 1 of which was drug-related). In the lomustine group, all four (5%) deaths were disease-related. Grade 3–4 hematological toxicities were significantly higher for lomustine (\(p \leq 0.001\)). No anemia, neutropenia, or leukopenia occurred on enzastaurin, and only 1 patient had thrombocytopenia vs 21 on lomustine. There were no significant differences in grade 3–4 non-hematological toxicities between arms. The authors concluded that enzastaurin had a better toxicity profile but was not superior to lomustine in patients with recurrent GBM.\(^{81}\)

## Integrins

Integrins are cell adhesion molecules important in glioma cell invasion, migration, proliferation, survival, and angiogenesis by their interactions with multiple extracellular ligands, including vitronectin, fibronectin, laminin, fibroblast-growth factor, MMP-2, thrombospondin, fibrin, and fibrinogen.\(^{82–85}\) Cilengitide (EMD121974; EMD Pharmaceuticals, NC, USA), an intravenous inhibitor of \(\alpha\)v\(\beta\)3 and \(\alpha\)v\(\beta\)5 integrin receptors demonstrated the absence of dose-limiting toxicity and a radiographic response rate of 10% in a phase I trial for MG.\(^{86}\) A phase II study of single-agent cilengitide randomized recurrent GBM patients to either an intermediate–low dose (500 mg) or an intermediate–high dose (2000 mg). No reproducible toxicities were observed in either group, and outcome trended more favorably among patients treated at the higher dose level, including a 6-month PFS of 15% vs 9.7% and a 12-month OS of 37.5% (95% CI 22.7%–54.2%) vs 22% (95% CI 10.6–37.6%).\(^{87}\) Radiographic response occurred in both groups but a higher rate was observed among patients treated at 2000 mg (12.5%) compared to patients treated with 500 mg (4.8%). Also, preliminary results of a multi-center trial of cilengitide (500 mg twice weekly) in addition to RT and TMZ for patients with newly diagnosed GBM patients recently reported a 6-month PFS of 69% (95% CI 55%–83%) and a 12-month OS of 67% (95% CI 42%–92%). Also, no added toxicity was observed when cilengitide was added to RT and TMZ.\(^{88}\) Additional studies with cilengitide are ongoing or planned including a trial evaluating the intratumoral pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of cilengitide in recurrent GBM patients treated with cilengitide prior to a planned debulking procedure by the NABTC, a trial evaluating cilengitide dosed at 2000 mg twice weekly in combination with RT and TMZ by the New Approaches to Brain Tumor Therapy (NABTT) and a multi-center, randomized phase III trial for newly diagnosed GBM patients.

## Combination therapies

To date, the presence of multiple parallel and/or compensatory pathways and MG heterogeneity likely contributes to the limited activity observed with single agent therapy using molecularly targeted agents in MG patients. Multiple strategies are being evaluated to help overcome these factors. One such strategy is the use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors with multiple targets and a second strategy is to combine them with cytotoxic agents.

## Tyrosine kinase inhibitors with multiple targets

AEE788 (Novartis), a dual inhibitor of EGFR and VEGFR-2, has shown preclinical efficacy in murine models of glioblastoma.\(^{89}\) Vandetanib (ZD6474, Zactima\(^{a}\); AstraZeneca), another dual inhibitor of EGFR/VEGFR-2, also demonstrated survival benefits in a murine model of intracranial glioma xenografts.\(^{90}\) Clinical trials of vandetanib in MG are ongoing. Sunitinib malate (Sutent\(^{a}\), SU11248; Pfizer), an inhibitor of VEGFR-2, PDGFR, c-KIT and FMS-like...
tyrosine kinase (FLT)-3, has activity against a subcutaneous malignant glioma xenograft.91 A phase II study of sunitinib malate in MG is under development.

Targeted therapies combined with cytotoxic agents

Promising activity has been observed with imatinib mesylate in combination with hydroxyurea (see above). Also, a phase I trial of imatinib mesylate with temozolomide is underway.92 Gefitinib93 and erlotinib48 have been evaluated in combination with TMZ. In an effort to enhance the sensitivity of glioma cells to RT, multiple agents are evaluated in combination with RT including gefitinib and erlotinib with or without TMZ,94 imatinib mesylate, tipifarnib,95 mTOR inhibitors,96 vandetanib and BV.

Locoregional therapies

Locoregional therapies are promising approaches due to their ability to circumvent the BBB, to minimize systemic toxicity, and to concentrate therapy at the primary tumor site, which is well-recognized to be the site of tumor recurrence in most MG patients.97,98 Gliadel®, a controlled-release, biodegradable polymer releasing carmustine (BCNU), was the first approved locoregional therapy for MG.99 Double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled studies using Gliadel implantation in surgically resectable cases provided an 8-week survival benefit and a 2.3-month survival benefit in recurrent GBM and newly diagnosed MG, respectively.100,101

Delivery of high dose radiation to the tumor bed via stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS) is another locoregional strategy. However, among newly diagnosed GBM patients, no improvement in survival was seen when SRS was added to conventional RT plus BCNU chemotherapy.102 The role of brachytherapy with 125I-beads implanted into the resection cavity is limited by a high rate of radiation necrosis requiring surgical debulking.103-105 Gliatx®, a commercially available product consisting of an aqueous solution of organically bound 125I (Iotrex™ [sodium 3-((125)I)-iodo-4-hydroxybenzene-sulfonate]; Cytec Corp, Marlborough, MA) that delivers low-dose-rate radiation via a temporarily inflated balloon catheter following resection, is undergoing evaluation for newly diagnosed and recurrent MG. Modest results have been observed thus far with a 1 year survival rate of 31.1% for patient with recurrent WHO grade III and IV.106 Encouraging survival benefits have been noted in single group studies evaluating the administration of radiolabeled antitenascin monoclonal antibodies into the resection cavity of newly diagnosed and recurrent MG patients, with a low rate of radia-

tion necrosis requiring surgical debulking.107,108 In a phase II study evaluating the administration of 100 mCi of 131I-m81C6 followed by chemotherapy to recurrent MG patients, a median OS for patients with GBM and WHO grade III tumors of 64 and 99 weeks respectively was observed.109 A multi-center phase III randomized study of 131I-labeled anti-tenascin murine monoclonal antibody (Neuradiab; Bradner Pharmaceuticals, Inc, Toronto, ON) in combination with RT and TMZ versus RT and TMZ in patients with newly diagnosed GBM has just been initiated.

Convection enhanced delivery (CED) involves the gradual infusion of a therapeutic molecule over 3 to 5 days via microinfusion catheters strategically placed in the peritumoral region. The consistent positive infusion pressure gradient achieved by CED offers the possibility to overcome the increased intratumoral interstitial pressure that limits the intracranial delivery of systemically administered therapeutics for MG patients.110 In this manner, CED can potentially deliver therapeutic agents homogeneously into clinically significant volumes of distribution.111 Convection enhanced delivery of IL-13 conjugated with pseudomonas exotoxin (cintredekin besudotox, IL13-PE38QQR; NeoPharm, IL, USA) had shown encouraging results in phase I/II study for MG.112 Unfortunately, a recently completed phase III trial with this agent administered by CED failed to achieve a survival benefit compared to control patients randomized to receive carmustine wafers (Gliadel®).113 The evaluation of additional molecules or toxins via CED is underway.

Conclusions and future directions

Given the dismal prognosis associated with traditional cytotoxic agents for MG patients, there is an urgent need for more effective therapies. Thanks to intensive laboratory research conducted over the past several years, many molecular genetic and signal transduction pathway targets have been identified that are now being evaluated therapeutically. The identification of tumor phenotypes, MGMT gene methylation status, EGFRvIII, PTEN, and so on, have already demonstrated their significance (improved prognosis of patients with MGMT gene methylation treated with TMZ,10 better radiographic response to erlotinib for patients demonstrating co-expression of normal PTEN and mutant EGFRvIII10). However, additional information and understanding is necessary, as the inhibition of one pathway is known to induce the activation of a second pathway. In addition, novel locoregional treatments as well as strategies to improve regional delivery are being evaluated. Given the challenges posed by the heterogeneity within and across
malignant glioma tumors, it is likely that combinations of these approaches, as well as better identification of factors predictive of response or failure to specific agents among patients, will be required as we move forward to improve the outcome of MG patients.

**Drug and compounds**

Temozolomide (Temodar®, Temodal®; Schering-Plough Corporation, NJ, USA); O6-benzylguanine; lonidamine; BCNU; bevacizumab (Avastin®; Genentech, CA, USA); Vatalanib (PTK787/ZK222584; Novartis); Gefinitib (ZD1839, Iressa®; AstraZeneca, UK); Erlotinib (OSI-774, Tarceva®; OSI Pharmaceuticals); imatinib mesylate (Gleevec®; Novartis, NJ, USA); hydroxyurea; tipifarnib (Zarnestra®, R115777; Johnson and Johnson, NJ, USA); lonafarnib (Sarasar®, SCH66336; Schering-Plough, NJ, USA); AAL881 (Novartis); sorafenib ( Nexavar®, Bayer, CT, USA); tamoxifen; enzastaurin (LY317615, Eli-Lilly, IN, USA); cilengitide (EMD121974, EMD Pharmaceuticals, NC, USA); AEE788 (Novartis); vandetanib (ZD6474, Zactima®, AstraZeneca); sunitinib malate (Sutent®, SU11248; Pfizer); Gliadel®; stereotactic radiosurgery; Gliastre®; radiolabeled antitennascin monoclonal antibodies; convection enhanced delivery (CED); cintredekin besudotox (IL13-PE38QQR; NeoPharm, IL, USA); perifosine (Keryx Biopharmaceuticals, New York, NY, USA); rapamycin (sirolimus; Wyeth, Madison, NJ, USA); temsirolimus (CCI-779; Wyeth); everolimus (RAD001; Novartis); AP23573 (Ariad Pharmaceuticals, Cambridge, MA, USA); PI-103 (AMG-706; Amgen).
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