A cross-sectional study exploring useful indicators for low bone mineral density in male alcoholic patients
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Background: Alcohol dependence induces low bone mineral density (BMD), predicting osteoporosis, while low and moderate alcohol consumption may even increase BMD. In recent years, undercarboxylated osteocalcin (ucOC) and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase-5b (TRACP-5b), bone turnover markers, have gained special interest as useful indicators of low BMD. However, it remains unclear whether other alcohol-related variables (eg, duration of abstinence and continuous drinking) are linked to aberrant BMD. In addition, no previous study has investigated whether ucOC or TRACP-5b is clinically useful to predict low BMD not only in the general population, but also in alcohol-dependent subjects.

Patients and methods: We recruited 275 male alcohol-dependent subjects and collected information about their drinking habits, comorbid diseases, smoking history and walking exercise behavior. BMD in each subject was determined by ultrasonography. Serum liver enzymes (AST, ALT, ALP, ChE, γ-GTP and LDH), ucOC and TRACP-5b were measured in all subjects. T-scores were calculated according to BMD for all subjects.

Results: The mean T-scores of our subjects were negatively shifted compared to the general population (−0.75±1.36 SD). We divided our subjects into a normal BMD group (n=137) and a low BMD group (n=138) according to their T-scores (T-score ≥−1 SD, normal BMD; T-score <−1 SD, low BMD). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that body mass index (BMI) was negatively associated with low BMD (95% CI: 0.75–0.90). By contrast, long abstinence period (95% CI: 1.40–4.21), smoking (95% CI: 1.30–5.56), hypertension (95% CI: 1.04–3.76), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) (95% CI: 1.00–1.01) and ucOC (95% CI: 1.04–1.22) were positively associated with low BMD.

Conclusion: In alcohol-dependent males, smoking habits and higher ucOC are associated with low BMD. Our study suggests that smoking cessation may prevent lower BMD, and ucOC may predict lower BMD in alcohol-dependent individuals.
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Introduction

Excessive drinking may cause alcohol dependence, physical complications and social disruption. In Japan, 8.6 million people are reported to be heavy drinkers, with “heavy drinking” defined as 60 g or more daily alcohol consumption by the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare of Japan.¹ Alcoholic dependence accounted for the most years of life lost (YLLs) to premature mortality, followed by drug related substance abuse disorders and schizophrenia, according to data collected from 187 countries in the world.² Osteoporosis is a physical condition that is often comorbid in alcoholic patients.³
It is characterized by low bone mineral density (BMD), and bone tissue deterioration and microarchitectural disruption, with an increased risk of fracture. Osteoporotic fracture often leads to other physical complications. While osteoporosis in postmenopausal females has already received considerable interest, an increase in male osteoporosis has become an important public health problem and research focus.

In the general population, drinking more than 3 units/day (one unit is defined as 10 g of ethanol) or more alcohol is associated with a low BMD and an increased risk of falling accidents. In contrast, low and moderate alcohol intake are associated with increased BMD. Thus, whether ethanol plays a protective role in patients with low BMD remains unclear, although ethanol has been shown to inhibit osteoblast proliferation and function in vitro. Furthermore, whether other alcohol-related variables such as duration of abstinence and continuous drinking are linked to aberrant BMD is unclear.

Two bone turnover markers used in clinical practice to predict osteopenia, undercarboxylated osteocalcin (ucOC), and tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase-5b (TRACP-5b), have gained special interest. Osteocalcin (OC) is a bone matrix protein that becomes carboxylated with vitamin K-dependent gamma-carboxyglutamic acid residues. The remaining residual OC that is not carboxylated is abbreviated ucOC. High serum levels of ucOC may reflect low BMD because ucOC cannot bind with calcium. Meanwhile, TRACP-5b is secreted from osteoclasts into circulation. Serum TRACP-5b is a useful marker of bone resorption. However, to our knowledge, there are no previous studies that have investigated whether ucOC or TRACP-5b can predict low BMD, not only in the general population, but also in alcohol-dependent subjects.

Here, we explored the possible risk factors associated with low BMD in male alcoholic patients. We also sought to clarify whether ucOC and TRACP-5b are useful for predicting low BMD in male alcoholic patients.

Patients and methods

Subjects

Our study was a cross-sectional study of 275 males recruited between 2009 and 2014 from Kobe University, So Mental Clinic and Hyogo Mental Health Center. All participants were diagnosed with alcohol dependence by psychiatrists according to Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR) published by the American Psychiatric Association. All participants were not diagnosed with osteoporosis and hepatic cell carcinoma, and did not receive treatment of steroids. This study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Review Board of Kobe University. So Mental Clinic and Hyogo Mental Health Center obtained approval in the Institutional Ethics Review Board of Kobe University as the cooperative medical institutions. Written informed consent was obtained from all of the participants.

Clinical assessment

Height was measured using a wall-mounted stadiometer. Weight was measured using a digital scale. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m). Participants completed a questionnaire that included smoking status, complications, and walking for exercise status. Smoking status was classified into current smokers and ex-smokers, and the Brinkman index (cigarette per day multiplied by smoking period) was calculated. The complications consisted of physical complications and depression. Physical complications included hypertension, diabetes mellitus and liver cirrhosis. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure above 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure above 90 mmHg or both, according to guidelines for the management of hypertension. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting glucose above 126 mg/dL or hemoglobin A1c above 6.5% according to evidence-based practice guideline for the treatment for diabetes in Japan second edition published by the Japan Diabetes Society. Liver cirrhosis was diagnosed based on morphological changes by abdominal ultrasound such as surface irregularity, left lobe or caudate lobe swelling, and right lobe atrophy. All physical complications were diagnosed by attending doctors. Having a walking for exercise status was defined as a participant who had at least thirty minutes of exercise three days per week or more, as recommended by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. The questionnaire was performed by skilled doctors.

Alcohol use

The questionnaire also examined drinking habits: alcohol intake, drinking period, abstinence period, and continuous drinking. Alcohol intake was defined as the highest volume of alcohol consumed in the participant’s life and was expressed as number of drinks per day. Number of drinks was determined by the scale defined by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan. For example, 350 mL of beer is 1.4 drinks, 180 mL of Japanese sake is 2.2 drinks and a glass of wine (120 mL) is 1.2 drinks. Continuous drinking is defined as almost daily alcohol drinking without binges.
Bone mineral density

BMD of the heel was measured by ultrasonography (AOS-100NW, Hitachi Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). Two parameters were measured: speed of sound and transmission index. The T-score was calculated by these parameters and compared to the BMD of healthy young people (22–44-year-old men). The T-score represents the difference in BMD between the subjects and young people as defined by standard deviation (SD). T-score is one of the osteoporosis diagnosis criteria used by the World Health Organization (WHO).

Blood examinations

The serum activity of liver enzymes (aspartate transaminase [AST], alanine transaminase [ALT], alkaline phosphatase [ALP], cholinesterase [ChE], gamma-glutamyltransferase [γ-GTP], lactate dehydrogenase [LDH]) and the serum level of the bone turnover markers (ucOC and TRACP-5b) were measured. AST, ALT, ALP, ChE, γ-GTP, LDH were measured by a modified Japan Society of Clinical Chemistry (JSCC) reference method. ucOC was measured by an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (ECLIA). TRACP-5b was measured by an enzyme immunoassay (EIA).

Statistical analysis

The subjects were divided into two groups according to their T-scores. The WHO defines individuals with a T-score of −1 SD and above as having normal BMD, a T-score between −1 SD and −2.5 SD as having osteopenia, and a T-score of −2.5 SD or below as having osteoporosis. In the present study, individuals with a T-score of −1 SD and above were defined as the normal BMD group and individuals with a T-score of −1 SD and below were defined as the low BMD group. The normal and low BMD groups consisted of 137 (49.8%) and 138 (50.2%) males, respectively.

Variables with a normal distribution (age, height, body weight, BMI, alcohol intake, drinking period, ALP, ChE, LDH and TRACP-5b) were represented by the arithmetic mean ± SD. For variables where there was not a normal distribution, the median with interquartile range was determined (Brinkman index, AST, ALT, γ-GTP and ucOC). The numbers in parentheses were represented for percentages (abstinence period, continuous drinking, smoking, complications and exercise habit).

Analysis of variance for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables were utilized to compare patient characteristics between groups. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to estimate the risk of low BMD. Predictors included age, BMI, alcohol intake, drinking period, abstinence period (≥6 or <6 months), continuous drinking, smoking status, complications (hypertension, diabetes mellitus and liver cirrhosis and depression), liver enzyme serum activities and serum levels of bone turnover markers. Height and weight were used to calculate BMI, which was then used in logistic regression analysis. Variables were eliminated by the backward stepwise method. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP software (version 11; SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and IBM SPSS version 18 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

A total of 275 male alcoholic patients were recruited for the study. Characteristics of the subjects are shown in Table 1 and the T-score distribution is displayed in Figure 1. There was a negative shift of variance; the mean T-score of patients was −0.75±1.36 SD. The normal and low BMD groups included 137 and 138 males, respectively. The average weight and BMI were significantly different between the normal and low BMD groups. The average abstinence period, smoking history and Brinkman index were also different between two groups (Table 1).

Blood examination results are shown in Table 2. The mean (±SD) of ChE was significantly lower in the low BMD group.

Table 1: Subject characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics, n</th>
<th>T-score ≥−1 SD</th>
<th>T-score &lt;−1 SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>51.7±12.4</td>
<td>54.5±11.1</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>168.6±7.7</td>
<td>167.3±7.4</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>65.1±1.3</td>
<td>58.7±9.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m² (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>22.8±3.2</td>
<td>20.9±3.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking habits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol intake, drinks/day (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>20.8±8.8</td>
<td>19.1±9.3</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking period, years (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>30.3±11.8</td>
<td>32.3±10.0</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstinence period ≥6 mo, n (%)</td>
<td>44 (32.1)</td>
<td>64 (46.4)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous drinking, n (%)</td>
<td>59 (43.1)</td>
<td>70 (50.7)</td>
<td>0.231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking habits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history, n (%)</td>
<td>99 (72.3)</td>
<td>120 (87.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brinkman index*</td>
<td>440 (0, 678)</td>
<td>600 (290, 960)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total complications, n (%)</td>
<td>77 (56.2)</td>
<td>86 (62.3)</td>
<td>0.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>32 (23.4)</td>
<td>33 (23.9)</td>
<td>0.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Diabetes mellitus, n (%)</td>
<td>20 (14.6)</td>
<td>17 (12.3)</td>
<td>0.381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Liver cirrhosis, n (%)</td>
<td>10 (7.3)</td>
<td>19 (13.4)</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>– Depression, n (%)</td>
<td>41 (29.9)</td>
<td>37 (26.8)</td>
<td>0.591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise habit, n (%)</td>
<td>51 (37.2)</td>
<td>54 (39.1)</td>
<td>0.798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *Brinkman index values are represented as the median with interquartile range in parentheses.

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.
(264.8±72.8 U/L) than in the normal BMD group (295.8±90.2 U/L) ($p$-value = 0.002). The median (interquartile range) of ucOC and the mean (±SD) of TRACP-5b were significantly higher in the low BMD group than in the normal BMD group (4.0 [2.2, 6.4] ng/mL versus 3.1 [1.5, 5.0] ng/mL, $p=0.022$; 471.7±210.4 mU/dL, versus 387.2±186.8 mU/dL, $p<0.001$, respectively).

The results of multivariate logistic regression analysis are displayed in Table 3. BMI was negatively associated with low BMD (95% CI: 0.75–0.90). By contrast, long abstinence period (95% CI: 1.40–4.21), smoking (95% CI: 1.38–5.56), hypertension (95% CI: 1.04–3.76), elevated LDH (95% CI: 1.00–1.01) and ucOC (95% CI: 1.04–1.22) were positively associated with low BMD. A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of these variables is shown in Figure 2. The variables demonstrating a significant difference (BMI, abstinence period, smoking, hypertension, serum activity of LDH and serum level of ucOC) discriminated well between the normal and low BMD groups (area under the curve [AUC]: 0.73, $p<0.001$, 95% CI: 0.67–0.79). The optimal cut-off point of ucOC was 4.6 ng/mL (sensitivity = 48.6%, specificity = 86.9%). On the other hand, a ROC curve constructed according to only ucOC decreased the AUC value (Figure S1).

### Discussion

One of our main findings was the association between smoking and low BMD in male alcoholics. The relationship between smoking and low BMD has been described in many studies.22–24 In previous studies, chronic alcoholism and heavy drinking was also associated with low BMD.10,25 Sioka et al reported that alcoholic smokers tended to be at increased risk for low BMD, with a higher prevalence of low BMD in smokers than non-smokers. Smoking may be associated with reduced bone mineral density through several mechanisms, including increased bone turnover, reduced bone mineral density, and increased bone fragility.

### Table 2 Laboratory marker serum levels of subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subjects, n</th>
<th>T-score $\geq$–1 SD</th>
<th>T-score $&lt;-$1 SD</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>137</td>
<td>30.2 (21.8, 46.5)</td>
<td>31.1 (22.8, 45.0)</td>
<td>0.701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>138</td>
<td>26.0 (16.5, 39.0)</td>
<td>21.5 (15.0, 40.5)</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/L)</td>
<td>259.2±90.8</td>
<td>279±106.2</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L)</td>
<td>295.8±90.2</td>
<td>264.8±72.8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP (U/L)</td>
<td>68 (33.0, 187.0)</td>
<td>71.5 (31.3, 191.1)</td>
<td>0.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChE (U/L)</td>
<td>193.4±49.8</td>
<td>199.4±56.1</td>
<td>0.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-GTP (U/L)</td>
<td>3.1 (1.5, 5.0)</td>
<td>4.0 (2.2, 6.4)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH (U/L)</td>
<td>387.2±186.8</td>
<td>471.7±210.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3 Multivariate logistic regression analysis of major factors of low T-score in 275 male subjects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Regression coefficient</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI) of low BMDa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>–0.20</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.82 (0.75, 0.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abstinence period (≥6 m)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>2.41 (1.40, 4.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>2.72 (1.30, 5.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.96 (1.04, 3.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00, 1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ucOC</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.13 (1.04, 1.22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes

*aVariables in multivariate logistic analysis were eliminated by backward stepwise method. bLow BMD was defined as T-score less than –1 SD according to World Health Organization criteria.

**Abbreviations:** OR, odds ratio; BMD, bone mineral density; BMI, body mass index; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ucOC, undercarboxylated osteocalcin.

---

**Figure 1** T-score distribution in male alcoholic patients (n=275). A vertical line shows zero standard deviation. The mean T-score was –0.75±1.36.

**Figure 2** Receiver operating characteristic curve according to logistic regression analysis.

**Notes:** The predictors were body mass index, abstinence period, smoking history, hypertension, elevated lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) serum activity and undercarboxylated osteocalcin (ucOC). Predictors discriminated well between the normal and low bone mineral density (BMD) groups (area under the curve [AUC]: 0.73, $p<0.001$, 95% CI: 0.67–0.79).
risk of osteopenia than non-alcoholic smokers, although the difference was not statistically significant because of a small sample size.26 Our study is the first report to examine the risk of osteopenia in alcoholic patients with a current or past history of smoking. Our results suggest that a synergistic effect of alcohol and smoking may contribute to low BMD. Generally, smoking rates of alcoholic patients are high, reported to be from 50% to 80%.27,28 In our study, smoking rates were also very high, 72.3% in the normal BMD group and 87.0% in the low BMD group. The intake of nicotine may alter bone metabolism indirectly by changing circulating levels of gonadal, calcitropic and adrenocortical hormones. On the other hand, nicotine itself may have a direct effect on osteogenesis by altering osteoblast cell proliferation.29

Our second finding is that the level of ucOC was significantly higher in the low BMD group. Teraoka reported that the ucOC of long-term drinkers was higher than that of social drinkers, which is consistent with our results.30 A high level of ucOC is a useful indicator of low vitamin K levels.13 Vitamin K deficiency has been observed in alcoholic patients in earlier reports.31 In vivo, vitamin K is very important for not only bone metabolism but also to prevent vascular calcification.32–34 Under vitamin K deficiency, OC cannot be converted to carboxylated OC by vitamin K-dependent gamma-carboxylglutamic acid. Consequently, elevated serum levels of ucOC may be observed in alcoholic patients. In this study, TRACP-5b was not associated with low BMD, which indicates that low BMD in alcoholic patients may be due to decreased bone formation rather than increased bone resorption.

Intriguingly, apart from bone turnover markers, high serum activities of LDH were observed in the low BMD group. To our knowledge, there have been no reports about the relationship between LDH serum activity and BMD in healthy people or alcoholic patients. Garadah et al reported that a high LDH serum activity correlated with low BMD in sickle-cell disease.35 The serum activity of LDH is also influenced by liver cirrhosis, a well-known risk factor of osteopenia and osteoporosis.36,37 LDH serum activities are higher in the early phase of liver disease and decrease with the progression of liver dysfunction.38 We did not distinguish among stages of liver cirrhosis; therefore, further study is needed to verify the relationship between LDH serum activity and BMD.

Alvis-Negrisa et al reported that six months of alcohol abstinence was associated with improved BMD.39 We found the opposite result; an abstinence period of at least six months was associated with a low BMD. There are several possible explanations for this inconsistency. First, we could not detect an improvement or aggravation of low BMD in individuals because this was a cross-sectional study. A follow-up study is needed to clarify whether long abstinence affects the BMD of alcoholic patients individually. Second, factors such as aging, changing in nutritional status, and life-changes might affect the amelioration of BMD in the abstinent participants for over six months.

Consistent with other studies, our investigation showed that BMI was negatively associated with low BMD.40,41 The protective effect of BMI on BMD may involve a skeletal loading effect and secretion of bone-active hormones from pancreatic beta cells (insulin) and adipocytes (estrogen and leptin).42

Physical complications including hypertension, diabetes mellitus and liver cirrhosis are often observed in alcoholic patients, and have already been reported to be associated with low BMD and fracture risk.43–45 In our study, hypertension was significantly associated with low BMD. This result was consistent with previous studies.44

We constructed ROC curves with six predictors (ucOC, smoking status, BMI, hypertension, abstinence period and elevated LDH) and these predictors discriminated well between the normal and low BMD groups (Figure 2). When the ROC curve was constructed using only the ucOC, the AUC value was lower than with six predictors (Figure S1). Therefore, comprehensive clinical evaluation, taking into account BMI and hypertension, ought to be considered by health care practitioners.

Limitations

There are some limitations in this study. First, we do not sufficiently collect information of drugs and physical complications; proton pump inhibitors, anti-epileptic drugs, lithium carbonate and dysfunction of thyroid or parathyroid may cause reduction of BMD. Second, we use two bone turnover markers (ucOC and TRACP-5b) but it may be useful to compare with other bone turnover markers such as osteocalcin and bone type alkaline phosphatase (these are known as bone formation markers) and parathyroid hormone and type 1 collagen cross-linked N-telopeptide (these are known as bone absorption markers). Third, we diagnosed liver cirrhosis based on morphological changes alone. We could reliably detect moderate and severe liver cirrhosis, but early stage disease may have been overlooked. Finally, we did not include a healthy control group, which might have revealed the relationship between alcohol intake and BMD in more detail.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study suggests that BMI is a protective factor for low BMD, whereas smoking history and hypertension are associated with low BMD. The findings demonstrate that ucOC is useful to predict low BMD. In clinical practice,
we should evaluate the factors of low BMD comprehensively and make efforts to reduce preventable risk (smoking cessation, treatment of hypertension) in alcoholic patients.
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Figure S1 Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of undercarboxylated osteocalcin according to simple logistic regression analysis.

Notes: ROC curve analysis did not discriminate between the normal and low bone mineral density (BMD) groups (area under the curve [AUC]: 0.60, $p=0.005$, 95% confidence interval: 0.53–0.66) when undercarboxylated osteocalcin (ucOC) was set as a predictor.