Cyclic vomiting syndrome: diagnostic approach and current management strategies

Abstract: Cyclic vomiting syndrome (CVS) is a disorder characterized by episodes of nausea and vomiting lasting for 1–5 days followed by asymptomatic periods. The etiology of CVS is unknown, but it shares similar characteristics to migraine headaches. CVS is generally classified as having four phases: prodromal, acute/vomiting/hyperemesis, recovery, and remission/interepisodic. Current management strategies include trigger avoidance, abortive and prophylactic medication therapies, and supportive care. The goal of therapy for the remission phase is prophylaxis of further episodes. Antidepressant, antiepileptic, and antimigraine medications show an overall reduction or remission of CVS symptoms in more than 70% of patients. This article provides a summary of diagnostic strategies and reviews current management strategies for CVS.
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Introduction

Cyclic vomiting syndrome (CVS) is a chronic disorder characterized by sudden episodes of nausea and vomiting lasting for 1–5 days followed by asymptomatic periods. The incidence of CVS is unknown in adult patients but is said to occur in 3%–14% of adults referred for unexplained nausea and vomiting. The frequency is cited as 0.04%–2% for pediatric patient populations. CVS is more prevalent in Caucasians and slightly more in males. The average initial diagnosis age in pediatric and adult populations is 5.2 and 25.4 years of age, respectively. Diagnosis is often delayed with an overall reduction or remission of CVS symptoms in more than 70% of patients. This article provides a summary of diagnostic strategies and reviews current management strategies for CVS.

Symptoms of CVS in adult populations are characterized by emesis and diffusely radiated epigastric abdominal pain. CVS is generally regarded as having four phases: prodromal/pre-emetie, acute (also known as vomiting and hyperemesis), recovery, and remission/interepisodic. Some patients describe an aura during the prodromal phase lasting for several minutes or up to hours, usually consisting of abdominal pain, anorexia, lethargy, pallor, or autonomic symptoms such as sweating or salivation. Emotional stress, energy-depleting states (eg, lack of sleep, fasting, and infection), certain food products (eg, chocolate, cheese, and monosodium glutamate), and menstrual cycles may trigger the acute phase. The acute phase usually starts in the early morning or mid-day and consists of intense persistent nausea with repeated vomiting up to eight times every hour. The average duration of acute phase symptoms is 3.4 days in pediatrics and 5.9 days in adults. Patients typically seek medical attention...
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during the acute phase. Endoscopic findings can include peptic esophagitis and hemorrhagic lesions of the gastric mucosa as a result of the vomiting episodes. During the recovery phase, vomiting stops, energy levels increase, and appetite returns. Following the recovery phase, the patient enters a symptom-free phase considered remission. Annual average frequency of CVS is 9.6 and 14.4 episodes per year in pediatric and adult populations, respectively. Still, a wide range of annual frequencies are observed in these patient populations.

Various hypotheses of CVS pathogenesis are cited in the literature. Proposed mechanisms for CVS include psychological or physical stress resulting in activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, abnormal gastric emptying (eg, irritable bowel syndrome), dysfunction in the autonomic nervous system, mitochondrial dysfunction, elevated levels of ghrelin, and food allergies. Other conditions with an observed association with CVS include gastroesophageal reflux syndrome (GERD), psychiatric disorders (eg, anxiety and depression), chronic marijuana use, and diabetes mellitus. Studies show a close association of CVS and migraine headaches, especially in pediatric patients. The prevalence of headaches or migraine headaches is 36.5% in adult CVS patients. Furthermore, prevalence of a family history of migraines is 56% in adult CVS patients. Though not fully understood, this association suggests a common pathophysiological mechanism with a diverse array of symptoms caused by a more generalized underlying central nervous system disorder. This hypothesis describes CVS as a variant of migraine in which headache may not be present, but may have an aura prior to acute phase.

This article summarizes the diagnostic approach and reviews current medication management strategies for CVS.

Diagnosis
The diagnostic criteria for adult CVS according to the Rome IV criteria are stereotypic episodes of vomiting with the following characteristics: at least two acute-onset episodes in the past 6 months, each occurring at least 1 week apart, and persisting for less than 1 week. Furthermore, there is an absence of vomiting between episodes, but other milder symptoms can occur between cycles. Supportive findings include a personal or family history of migraine. Conversely, the International Headache Society guidelines for CVS are similar but recommend a minimum of five attacks of intense nausea and vomiting in any interval for the diagnosis of CVS. The Rome IV workgroup cites five studies using two attacks in the past 6 months with adequate specificity to diagnosis. The workgroup states early diagnosis is important and therefore retained the minimum number of two episodes in the past 6 months to diagnose CVS. In addition, the word “nausea” was left out as the Rome IV workgroup stating that this symptom is difficult to assess in infants due to the inability to communicate its presence.

Contrary to previous guidelines, Rome IV divided patients with prolonged excessive cannabis use from CVS into a novel condition known as cannabinoid hyperemesis syndrome (CHS). Moreover, Rome IV describes Chronic nausea vomiting syndrome which differs from CVS by distinct temporal characteristics of weekly acute episodes. Several rare conditions mimic the presentation of CVS, including acute intermittent porphyria (which also has associated neurologic symptoms) and disorders of fatty acid oxidation.

The Rome IV workgroup recommends a more extensive diagnostic workup in patient presenting with bilious vomiting, abdominal tenderness, abnormal neurologic findings, or a worsening pattern of vomiting episodes. Biochemical testing to dismiss hypothyroidism, hypercalcemia, Addison’s disease, and other electrolyte and acid–base abnormalities are prudent. Drug screening is also considered to see if CHS is a possibility. Upper endoscopy, small bowel radiography, computed tomography, or magnetic resonance enterography can assess for gastroduodenal disease and small bowel obstruction. Computed tomography of the head may rule out space-occupying lesions. If these tests are unremarkable, consider obtaining a gastric-emptying evaluation.

The Rome IV workgroup states if severe symptoms persist, antroduodenal or normal manometry can assess for enteric neuropathy or myopathy. Esophageal pH testing may dismiss vomiting as an atypical presentation of GERD. Furthermore, consideration of urine measurements of aminolevulinic acid and porphobilinogen, plasma ammonia levels, plasma amino acid, and urine organic acid quantification may exclude some rare conditions.

Treatment
Treatment goals in CVS are to identify and avoid triggering factors, prevent recurrent acute episodes with prophylactic medication therapy, ameliorate acute episodes with abortive treatment and supportive care, and provide psychological support to the patient and family. Though several classes of medications are utilized for long-term management of CVS, remission of symptoms is not achievable in every patient. The main risk factors for nonresponse include coexisting poorly controlled migraine headaches, psychiatric disorders, and chronic opioid or marijuana use. In addition, medications
used for CVS prophylaxis carry the potential for undesirable adverse effects or complications. Table 1 provides the summary of literature regarding CVS treatment.

**Acute phase**

Acute phase treatment goals of CVS symptoms include preventing dehydration and terminating nausea, vomiting, and abdominal pain. Dextrose, saline, and potassium replacement is used for hydration. Abortive intravenous antiemetic agents, especially 5-HT₃ (serotonin type 3) receptor antagonists (eg, ondansetron), are generally effective. Other antiemetic agents such as promethazine and diphenhydramine are also considered effective. Benzodiazepines (eg, lorazepam 1–2 mg intravenously every 3 hours) can be considered for admitted patients requiring deep sedation or sleep induction. Intravenous opioids or ketorolac may be initially warranted to treat severe abdominal pain in the acute setting. Antimigraine serotonin 5-HT₁₈,₁₀ agonists (eg, sumatriptan) have provided anecdotal success in children with personal or family histories of migraines, and therefore, can also be considered in adults with the presence of such histories.

**Remission phase**

The goal of therapy for remission phase is prophylaxis of further episodes. Antidepressant, antiepileptic, and antimigraine pharmacotherapies show an overall reduction or remission of CVS symptoms in more than 70% of patients.

**Tricyclic antidepressants**

Tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs), including amitriptyline, nortriptyline, and doxepin have been studied for the prophylaxis of CVS and are considered a first line treatment for prophylaxis of CVS by reducing the frequency and severity of attacks in children and adults. However, adverse effects of TCAs may limit their use in patients under the age of 5 years. Mechanistically, TCAs increase synaptic concentrations of norepinephrine and serotonin in the central nervous system, but their efficacy in CVS could be related to anticholinergic and alpha-2 adrenergic modulation. Starting doses of TCAs typically range from 10 to 25 mg/day given at night with patients adjusting to the drowsiness over 2–3 months. Doses of TCAs are typically increased in increments every 2–3 weeks. Studies commonly used a dosing goal of 1 mg/kg/day. Adverse effects noted in the trials include sedation, behavior changes (in young children), arrhythmia, and anticholinergic effects. Using nortriptyline is an option to decrease anticholinergic adverse effects. Due to arrhythmia and the prolongation of the QT interval, consider a baseline electrocardiogram in TCA patients. While TCAs are considered first line for prophylaxis, the supporting data comes from small studies which measured a variety of different outcomes.

Efficacy of TCAs was demonstrated in 27 adult patients (average age of 29 years, range 19–62) diagnosed using Rome II criteria who received amitriptyline for at least 3 months. The patients receiving amitriptyline started at 25–50 mg and titrated with a target dose of 1 mg/kg/day over the next 1–2 months. The dose was decreased if the patient experienced adverse effects. Efficacy was based on a 2-point decrease in a visual analog pain scale. Decreased symptoms based on the visual analog pain scale were demonstrated in 93% of patients and 26% of patients achieved full remission of CVS symptoms. Study authors also concluded that higher dosing of the amitriptyline was important in improving patient symptoms over time.

Efficacy of several TCAs was shown in an open label study of 41 adult patients who received amitriptyline (38 patients), nortriptyline (two patients), or doxepin (one patient) with up to 2 years of follow-up. Patients met the Rome III criteria for CVS and questionnaires/interviews were completed at baseline and at 6 month intervals assessing the number of episodes of CVS, number of ED visits, number of hospitalizations, and duration of CVS episodes before and after starting TCA therapy. TCA therapy was started at doses ranging from 10 to 25 mg once daily and titrated to effect. Other supportive medications allowed during the study included lorazepam for anxiety, antiemetics for nausea, antimigraine treatment, anticholinergics for abdominal pain, and over the counter medications L-carnitine and coenzyme Q10. Overall, 93% of patients showed decreased frequency and severity of symptoms. Full remission was achieved by 26% of patients. Significant improvement was seen in the frequency of CVS episodes per year decreasing from 17.8 at baseline to 5.4 after the first year and to 3.3 after the second year of TCA therapy (p=0.003 and p=0.002, respectively). The duration of CVS episodes in days decreased significantly from 6.7 to 2.5 after the first year and 2.2 after second year (p=0.0009 and p=0.0008, respectively). Also, the number of ED visits and hospitalizations per year decreased significantly from 15 at baseline to 4.2 at first year and 3.3 at second year (p=0.009 and p=0.007, respectively). A goal dose of 1 mg/kg/day for TCA therapy was used, and an average dose of 100 mg/day was achieved with a range of 15–200 mg/day (0.25–3 mg/kg/day) in the study. Mild medication-related adverse effects occurred in 34% of patients, but no one discontinued TCA therapy. Of the patients experiencing adverse effects, 12% experienced dry mouth, 9% somnolence, 7% chronic
### Table 1 Summary of evidence for CVS treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Medication and doses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abortive treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV hydration with dextrose and potassium</td>
<td>Stanghellini et al&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; Li et al&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10% dextrose with 0.45%–0.9% normal saline&lt;sup&gt;1,6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; receptor antagonists (eg, ondansetron)</td>
<td>Stanghellini et al&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt; Li et al&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Ondansetron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiemetic</td>
<td>Hejazi et al&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Doses not provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzodiazepines</td>
<td>Hejazi et al&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Lorazepam intravenous 1–2 mg every 3 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV opioids</td>
<td>Hejazi et al&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV ketorolac</td>
<td>Hejazi et al&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-HT&lt;sub&gt;1B,1D&lt;/sub&gt; agonist</td>
<td>Hikita et al&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Sumatriptan 6 mg subcutaneous injection (adult), or 20 mg nasal spray, or Age-based dosing ((age x4+20)/100x3 mg)&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK1 receptor antagonists</td>
<td>Cristofori et al&lt;sup&gt;33&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Aprepitant 125 mg orally initially, followed if required by 80 mg on day 2 and 3 in children &gt;20 kg, 80 mg for 3 consecutive days for those weighing between 15 and 20 kg, at 80 mg at day 1 and 40 mg on day 2 and 3 for those children &lt;15 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prophylaxis treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricyclic antidepressants</td>
<td>Li et al&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt; Namin et al&lt;sup&gt;18&lt;/sup&gt; Hejazi et al&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt; Hejazi et al&lt;sup&gt;20&lt;/sup&gt; Kumar et al&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt; Haghighat et al&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Amitriptyline (children &gt;5 years old) Starting dose: 0.25–0.5 mg/kg per day at bedtime (titrate weekly by 5–10mg) Target dose: 1–1.5 mg/kg per day at bedtime Amitriptyline (adult)&lt;sup&gt;18,19,22&lt;/sup&gt; Starting dose: 10–50 mg daily Target dose: titrated to effect Nortriptyline (adult)&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt; Starting dose: 10–25 mg daily Target dose: titrated to effect Doxepin (adult)&lt;sup&gt;19&lt;/sup&gt; Starting dose: 10–25 mg daily Target dose: titrated to effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiepileptic</td>
<td>Sezer et al&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt; Haghighat et al&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt; Gokhale et al&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt; Clouse et al&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Topiramate (pediatric)&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt; Starting dose: 25 mg daily Effective dose range: 25–75 mg/day Valproate (pediatric)&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt; Starting dose: 10 mg/kg/day (in 2 divided doses) Effective dose range: 20–40 mg/kg/day Phenobarbital (pediatric)&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt; Starting dose: ~2 mg/kg/day (median: 60 mg) Effective dose range: 30–120 mg/day Zonisamide (adult)&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt; Starting dose: 100 mg daily Effective dose range: 100–700 mg/day (median: 400 mg) Levetiracetam (adult)&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt; Starting dose: 500 mg daily Effective dose range: 500–3000 mg/day (median:1000 mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimigraine</td>
<td>Haghighat et al&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt; Sezer et al&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt; Haghighat et al&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Propranolol Starting dose: 1 mg/kg/day&lt;sup&gt;22,23&lt;/sup&gt; Target dose: titrated to effect&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histamine H&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; antagonist</td>
<td>Badihian et al&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>CYPheptadine Various doses used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK1 receptor antagonists</td>
<td>Cristofori et al&lt;sup&gt;33&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Aprepitant 40 mg orally twice/week in children &lt;40 kg, 80 mg in children 40–60 kg, and 125 mg in children &gt;60 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary supplement</td>
<td>Kumar et al&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt; Boles et al&lt;sup&gt;24&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>I-carnitine&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt; 1 g twice daily Coenzyme Q&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt; 200 mg twice daily</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CVS, cyclic vomiting syndrome; IV, intravenous; 5-HT, serotonin; NK1, neurokinin-1.
fatigue, and 2% constipation, blurred vision, and mild hallucinations. While two-thirds of patients continued with the same dose, dose reduction of the TCA was used to manage adverse effects in the other patients.

Hejazi et al conducted a prospective, open label trial in 132 adults to identify TCA nonresponders. Mean length of follow-up was 1.6 years with a range of 1.2–4.3 years. In the study, 101 patients considered responders received amitriptyline, ten patients received nortriptyline, and four patients received doxepin. Nonresponders included 16 patients on amitriptyline, and one on nortriptyline. The frequency of CVS episodes per year decreased from 17.6 at baseline to 5.5 in the TCA response group after 1 year compared to 21.3 at baseline to 21.8 after 1 year in the TCA nonresponders. Duration of CVS episodes decreased from 6.6 to 2.8 days in the responders versus 5.8 to 5.2 days in the TCA nonresponders. The number of hospitalizations and ED visits per year decreased in the TCA responders from 15.2 to 4.1 while they stayed similar in the TCA nonresponders at 18 to 18.3 after 1 year of therapy. The mean TCA dose in TCA responders was 85 mg per day (10–250) and 90 mg per day (25–250) in TCA nonresponders, thereby eliminating inadequate dose as a reason for treatment nonresponse. Significant differences between the responders and nonresponders included chronic marijuana use (22% vs 53%, respectively), chronic narcotic use (15% vs 53%), history of migraine headaches (20% vs 41%), and coexisting depression or anxiety disorders (10% vs 35%).

Tricyclic antidepressants efficacy was also assessed in a retrospective review of 101 CVS patients diagnosed using the Rome III criteria. Authors concluded that response to standard CVS prophylactic therapy was similar between adult and pediatric patients. Tricyclic antidepressants were used in 70 patients and included amitriptyline and nortriptyline. The mean TCA dose was 83.3 mg with a range of 46–120 mg per day. Of the 70 patients on TCAs, 18 patients had to discontinue therapy due to bad dreams, behavioral changes, and increased somnolence. Efficacy of the TCAs was demonstrated in 44 patients (58%) with a complete response and 21 patients (28%) with a partial response. There were 11 patients (14%) with no response to therapy.

Nonresponders had a higher incidence of chronic opioid use (46% vs. 13% \( p=0.02 \)) and were less compliant with treatment (38% vs 88% \( p=0.004 \)).

Finally, a prospective study with 181 children with CVS compared amitriptyline to propranolol over a 11-year period to determine response to prophylactic therapy. Both amitriptyline and propranolol were dosed at 1 mg/kg/day. The mean age at diagnosis was 6.9 years with a range 1.5–14 years. Both medications demonstrated a significant response with 56% (46/81) of patients in the amitriptyline group \( (p<0.001) \) and 92% (74/83) of patients in the propranolol group \( (p<0.0001) \).

A potential limitation when interpreting this data is that response was not defined. Adverse effects in the amitriptyline group included irritability, insomnia, lethargy, or agitation.

### Antiepileptic

Topiramate has been studied for prophylactic treatment of CVS, primarily via case reports and small retrospective studies among pediatric patients. A recent retrospective study comparing topiramate to propranolol among 38 pediatric CVS patients found topiramate superior in reducing the frequency of acute attacks. Patients were initiated on either topiramate 25 mg or propranolol 1 mg/kg/day and titrated to effect. After 12 months of treatment, 81% of patients on topiramate compared to 59% of patients on propranolol were free from attacks \( (p=0.05) \). Additionally, topiramate had a significantly lower nonresponse rate than propranolol (defined as <50% reduction from baseline in number of acute attacks; 6% vs 18%; \( p=0.001 \)). Adverse effects reported by those in the topiramate arm included drowsiness and dizziness, without significant difference between groups \( (p=0.15) \). Patients were monitored for weight change from baseline and no significant difference was found.

Valproate and phenobarbital are two additional antiepileptics with limited data for prophylactic treatment of CVS in pediatric populations. In a recent prospective trial in Japan, 18 hospitalized pediatric patients with severe CVS underwent prophylactic treatment with one of the ten regimens. Regimens included various antiepileptics, amitriptyline, ciproheptadine, propranolol, and clonidine. Patients failing to respond to one therapy could subsequently trial another. Patients were deemed responsive to therapy if they experienced less than two acute phase attacks per year; at baseline, patients had attacks every 8 weeks, on average. Of the ten different regimens, only valproate (nine of 15 patients), phenobarbital (three of nine patients), amitriptyline (one of five patients), and combination therapy of phenobarbital with valproate (four of four patients) were associated with treatment response. Other antiepileptic regimens not associated with treatment response included carbamazepine, primidone, and phenytoin. Incidence of adverse effects was not reported.

Other antiepileptic medications are used as salvage treatment for CVS. Zonisamide and levetiracetam have limited data from a small retrospective study investigating their role in prophylaxis for adult CVS patients. Twenty patients who...
had failed or did not tolerate TCA prophylaxis were started on either zonisamide (n=16; median dose of 400 mg/day after titration) or levetiracetam (n=4; median dose of 1000 mg/day after titration). Chart review revealed after initiation of antiepileptic therapy, there was a significant decrease in the frequency of vomiting episodes (1.3–0.5 episodes/month; \( p=0.01 \)). There was a non-significant trend toward moderate or greater improvement in symptoms per patient self-report (75% for each group, \( p=0.8 \)). Twenty percent of patients in either treatment reported severe adverse effects including fatigue, confusion, headache, and dizziness. Only one patient was intolerant to treatment with either antiepileptic.

**Antimigraine**

Sumatriptan is a proven abortive treatment option for CVS. In a small study of 12 patients (11 children and 1 adult), vomiting was reduced in 75% of patients treated with either injectable or intranasal sumatriptan.\(^2\) Response to treatment was classified as complete resolution of symptoms (no vomiting after treatment), effective (frequency of vomiting reduced by at least half) or noneffective. In total, 35 attacks were treated with injectable sumatriptan and 19 attacks (54%) were classified as responsive to treatment. Six attacks were treated with intranasal sumatriptan and two had effective resolution of symptoms. Greater efficacy was seen in patients with a family history of migraines in a first-degree relative (\( p=0.0482 \)). No adverse effects were associated with sumatriptan treatment in this study. Other case reports with successfully administration of sumatriptan in the treatment of CVS have also been published.

Previous studies with propranolol have proven it should be considered as a prophylactic option to control symptoms and prevent attacks.\(^2\) It is most commonly used in pediatrics and has shown moderate response rates in several studies which included a large number of patients compared to other available treatments. A 2015 study of 301 children with similar baseline characteristics and an average age of 5.98 years, evaluated the addition of a one week, daily oral treatment.\(^3\) Response was defined as a 1 month symptom free period after completion of therapy. Recurrence was described as return of symptoms after 6 months symptom free. Both groups showed significant response rates with the combination regimen having a significant increase in response rate (77.39%–90.32%; \( p=0.002 \)). Similar recurrence and relapse rates were seen with no significant differences.

Badhian et al conducted a single-blinded randomized clinical trial to evaluate the efficacy of amitriptyline and cyproheptadine as prophylactic treatment.\(^3\) The 6 month study included 64 children aged 3–15 years with similar baseline characteristics who were equally randomized to treatment. During the last 2 months of the study, the frequency of CVS attacks was 0.38±0.55 and 0.59±0.71 in the amitriptyline and cyproheptadine groups (\( p=0.197 \)). The mean duration of attacks was 1.41±2.86 hours and 1.81±2.22 hours in the same groups. Complete remission, classified as 100% recovery, was seen in 21 patients (65.6%) receiving amitriptyline and 16 patients (50%) with cyproheptadine respectively. Adverse effects, including increased appetite and restlessness were seen in two patients receiving cyproheptadine compared to three patients with amitriptyline. No patients discontinued because of adverse effects. Cyproheptadine has been used successfully as a prophylactic treatment option in pediatric patients. The studies had a limited number of patients but promising response rates.\(^3\)

**Refractory patients**

Second-line approaches to CVS treatment typically target specific comorbid symptoms or conditions. Agents include benzodiazepines (eg, lorazepam) in anxiety disorders, antispasmodics (eg, dicyclomine) for irritable bowel syndrome-like abdominal pain, proton pump inhibitors for esophagitis secondary to repeated vomiting, antiemetic agents (eg, ondansetron, promethazine, or prochlorperazine) for refractory nausea, and analgesics (eg, tramadol, ketorolac, and infrequently limited opioids) for abdominal pain management.\(^2\)

A novel approach to treating CVS is the use of neurokinin (NK1) receptor antagonists. Aprepitant was the first NK1 receptor agonist approved for prevention of chemotherapy induced nausea and vomiting. Aprepitant appears to also have antidepressant and anxiolytic effects. A recent trial in 41 pediatric patients, refractory to conventional CVS therapies were treated acutely or prophylactically with aprepitant.\(^3\) The prophylactic dose of aprepitant 40 mg orally twice/week in children <40 kg, 80 mg in children 40–60 kg, and 125 mg in children >60 kg. An acute regimen of aprepitant was given at 125 mg orally at the beginning of prodromal phase at least 30 minutes before the acute phase when all the patients were able to swallow the capsule, followed if required by 80 mg on day 2 and 3 in children >20 kg, 80 mg for 3 consecutive days for those weighing between 15 and 20 kg, at 80 mg at day 1 and 40 mg on day 2 and 3 for...
those children <15 kg. At 12 months on intention-to-treat analysis, 13 children (81%) taking aprepitant prophylactically achieved either complete (3/16, 19%) or partial (10/16, 62%) clinical response. Of those taking aprepitant acutely, 19 children (76%) had either complete (3/25, 12%) or partial (16/25, 64%). Adverse effects included hiccups (19%), fatigue (12.5%), increased appetite (12.5%), mild headache (6%), and severe migraine (6%).

Other second-line therapies for nonresponders include medications that improve mitochondrial function (eg, L-carnitine or coenzyme Q10). Coenzyme Q10 and L-carnitine use is based on the hypothesis that CVS is result of mitochondrial dysfunction which leads to an energy imbalance.

Coenzyme Q10 was found to be effective in 68% of CVS patients. Several case series have found L-carnitine effective. Other therapies demonstrating successful CVS treatment in case reports and case series include chlorpromazine, gonadotropin releasing hormone analogue, mirtazapine, onabotulinumtoxinA, and risperidone.

Conclusion
CVS is an uncommon diagnosis with an unknown etiology and pathophysiology. Acute symptoms of CVS are debilitating and usually require medical attention. Treatment goals in CVS include identification and avoidance of triggering factors. Prophylactic therapies exist and demonstrate benefit in CVS patients.28 Several cases series have found L-carnitine effective.3,5,6,7,8

Other therapies demonstrating successful CVS treatment in case reports and case series include chlorpromazine,37 gonadotropin releasing hormone analogue,38 mirtazapine,39 onabotulinumtoxinA,40 and risperidone.41
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