Evaluation of visual function in preschool-age children using a vision screening protocol
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Purpose: To evaluate the relationship between uncorrected visual acuity and refraction and binocular function using a vision screening protocol.

Methods: In total, 760 children (3–6 years old) who were enrolled in 4 nursery schools in Otawara, Japan, were recruited; a total of 1,520 eyes were examined. We assessed uncorrected near visual acuity, manifest refraction, stereopsis, and eye position. Subjects were divided into 4 subgroups according to the lowest uncorrected near visual acuity value compared between the 2 eyes: group 1 (visual acuity [VA] ≤0.00 [logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution]), group 2 (VA 0.15–0.05), group 3 (VA 0.52–0.22), and group 4 (VA >0.52). These parameters were compared among the groups.

Results: The sample number of each of the 4 sub-groups was as follows: group 1, 608; group 2, 114; group 3, 27; and group 4, 11. The median spherical equivalent values were −1.13 diopter (D) in group 1 and −1.00 in group 2, which were more myopic than group 4. Median cylindrical power in group 1 was 0.25 D, and was the lowest among all groups. In group 1, median anisometropia was 0.38 D and median corneal astigmatism value was 1.13 D; both values were lowest in group 1. With regard to binocular function, 89.6% of the subjects in group 1 had 60 arcseconds or better in near stereopsis and 98.8% had no detectable strabismus, which were significantly different from the findings in the other groups. The percentage of subjects in group 1 who had 80 arcseconds or worse in near stereopsis was 10.4%. In contrast, 90.9% of the subjects in group 4 had 80 arcseconds or worse in near stereopsis, and 18.2% had intermittent or manifest strabismus.

Conclusion: We suggest that examination of refraction and stereopsis in preschool-age children undergoing vision screening is an important supplement to visual acuity testing.
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Introduction

Amblyopia is a condition that emerges when a child’s visual system does not develop properly, resulting in abnormal vision in 1 or both eyes.\(^1,2\) Anisometric, strabismic, meridional, and ametropic amblyopia are types of amblyopia commonly found in the population. Anisometric amblyopia does not involve poor binocular vision and is the type most difficult to diagnose. It is commonly believed that the optimal time to correct amblyopia is during infancy or early childhood, before the eyes and the entire visual system, including the regions of the brain involved in vision, have fully matured.\(^3,4\) If necessary, children with refractive errors (myopia, hyperopia, or astigmatism) can wear glasses or contact lenses. However, when amblyopia does not improve with refractive correction using glasses, occlusion therapy may be considered.\(^5,6\) Although most eye-care professionals agree that amblyopia can be treated effectively in young children, many believe that treatment beyond a certain age is ineffective. There are limited data...
available to eye researchers with regard to treatment of this condition in children >7 or 8 years of age.7–10

Vision screening to detect amblyopia at a sufficiently early age to enable successful treatment has been strongly recommended worldwide.11,12 In Japan, the vision screening program consists of 3 steps:13 questionnaires and home visual acuity (VA) testing (only for 3-year-old children), VA testing and inspection by health nurses at regional health centers, and detailed examinations by ophthalmologists. Schmidt et al14 reported the usefulness of refraction and binocular function testing in vision screening. Unfortunately, the rate of implementing refraction or binocular function testing has been low in many areas of Japan.15 We have been performing vision screening, including refraction and binocular function testing for early detection of eye disorders in children since 2008 in Otawara, Japan. In this cross-sectional study, we evaluated the relationship between uncorrected near VA (UNVA) and refraction and binocular function using our vision screening protocol in preschool-age children, and considered whether refraction and binocular function may explain the degree of the defect in UNVA.

Material and methods

Subjects

In total, 760 children (age: 5.4±0.8 years, range: 3–6 years) who were enrolled in 4 nursery schools in Otawara, Japan, were recruited; a total of 1,520 eyes were examined. The procedures used in this study were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the International University of Health and Welfare (approval number 16-Io-60), and conformed to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from the guardians of each participant after providing an explanation of the purpose of the study and information on any risks and potential discomfort that could be experienced during the protocol.

Protocol

We assessed uncorrected VA (logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution [logMAR]), objective refractive error, corneal power, stereopsis, and eye position. Uncorrected VA (logMAR) was assessed for distant and near vision using the Landolt broken ring target (Single LANDOLT Test Cards, Handaya Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan). UNVA data were used in the analysis to reduce the possibility of diagnosing subjects with mild myopia or astigmatism requiring no corrective refraction as poor visual acuity. Objective refractive error and corneal power were measured in the natural pupil of each eye using a portable autorefractor/keratometer (Retinomax K Plus 3, Righton, Tokyo, Japan). Data with regard to spherical equivalent value, cylindrical power, corneal power, and corneal astigmatism were collected; the inter-ocular differences in spherical equivalent value (ie, anisometropia) were calculated and analyzed. Near stereopsis was measured using the Titmus stereo test15 (Stereo Optical Co., Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) at 40 cm. The Titmus stereo test, which we selected in this study, is one of the several standard stereopsis tests in amblyopia screening and can measure stereopsis of up to 40 arcseconds. Eye position was evaluated using the cover, cover–uncover, and alternate cover tests while the children gazed at a distant point without spectacles. Eye position tests measured baseline eye position at distance to exclude the effect of accommodative and proximal convergence. Subjects were divided into 1 of 4 subgroups according to the lowest UNVA value compared between the 2 eyes: group 1 (VA ≤ logMAR 0.00), group 2 (VA logMAR 0.15–0.05), group 3 (VA logMAR 0.52–0.22), and group 4 (VA > logMAR 0.52). These parameters were compared among the groups. This standardized grouping allocation is used for the determination of VA in vision screening in Japan.

Statistical analyses

Age, spherical equivalent value, anisometropia, cylindrical power, corneal power, and corneal astigmatism were compared among the groups using analysis of variance, namely, the Kruskal–Wallis and Steel–Dwass tests.16–18 Stereopsis was calculated as the percentage of subjects in each group as ≤60 arcseconds, ≥80 arcseconds, or unmeasurable. In the Titmus stereo test, when subjects failed in the animal test, and in stereopsis of 800 arcseconds in the circle test, we defined stereopsis as unmeasurable. Parks19 reported that the limitation of stereopsis for monofixation phoria was 67 arcseconds. In addition, Birch et al20 reported that mean stereo acuity at 5 years of age was 60 arcseconds. The mean age in this study was 5.4 years, and we defined 60 arcseconds as the standard value of stereopsis in the fovea of each eye. Eye position was calculated as the percentage of the subjects with no, intermittent, and manifest strabismus. These values were indicated to examine associations among the groups using the chi-squared test and Cramer’s V.21 Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 23.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA), with P<0.05 considered to be statistically significant.

Results

The sample number of each of the 4 sub-groups was as follows: group 1, 608 children; group 2, 114 children; group 3, 27 children; and group 4, 11 children.
Table 1 Details of the subject's parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample number</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>5.51±0.68 (6.00)</td>
<td>5.11±0.88 (5.00)</td>
<td>4.96±1.09 (5.00)</td>
<td>5.00±0.77 (5.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncorrected distant visual acuity (logMAR)</td>
<td>0.03±0.04 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.04±0.08 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.27±0.24 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.56±0.29 (0.52)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncorrected near visual acuity (logMAR)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.08±0.04 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.30±0.11 (0.30)</td>
<td>0.84±0.24 (0.76)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spherical equivalent value (D)</td>
<td>-1.39±1.28 (−1.13)</td>
<td>-1.17±1.45 (−1.00)</td>
<td>-1.35±1.75 (−0.88)</td>
<td>0.91±3.08 (0.13)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cylindrical power (D)</td>
<td>0.40±0.37 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.69±0.53 (0.50)</td>
<td>1.66±1.10 (1.50)</td>
<td>1.55±1.07 (1.00)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anisometropia (D)</td>
<td>0.57±0.55 (0.38)</td>
<td>0.73±0.68 (0.56)</td>
<td>0.94±0.80 (0.75)</td>
<td>1.60±1.58 (0.86)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal power (D)</td>
<td>43.62±1.40 (43.63)</td>
<td>43.81±1.43 (43.75)</td>
<td>43.78±1.85 (43.94)</td>
<td>43.32±2.20 (43.19)</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corneal astigmatism (D)</td>
<td>1.25±0.66 (1.13)</td>
<td>1.58±0.81 (1.50)</td>
<td>2.20±1.07 (2.00)</td>
<td>2.33±1.22 (2.38)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Values of age, visual acuity and diopter are shown as mean ± SD (median). Percentages are shown as percent (number). P-values were determined using Kruskal–Wallis test (age and refraction) and Chi-squared test (stereopsis and eye position). Group 1 (VA ≤ 0.00 [logMAR]), group 2 (VA 0.15 to 0.05), group 3 (VA 0.52 to 0.22), and group 4 (VA > 0.52).

Abbreviations: D, diopter; logMAR, logarithm of the minimum angle of resolution.

Table 1 summarizes the demographic information and details of the study parameters. The median age of the children in group 1 was 6 years, which was the oldest among the groups (P<0.05; Figure 1). The median spherical equivalent values were −1.13 diopter (D) in group 1 and −1.00 in group 2, which were more myopic than group 4 (P<0.05; Figure 2A). Median cylindrical power in group 1 was 0.25 D, and was the lowest among all groups (P<0.05; Figure 2B). Median anisometropia in group 1 was 0.38 D, which was lower than in the other groups (P<0.05; Fig 2C). Median corneal power in group 1 was 43.63 D, and was not significantly different from the other groups (P>0.05; Figure 2D). The median corneal astigmatism value in group 1 was 1.13 D, and was the lowest among all groups (P<0.05; Figure 2E).

With regard to binocular function, UNVA had a significant association with stereopsis (P<0.05, Cramer’s V =0.45) and with eye position (P<0.05, Cramer’s V =0.12) (Figure 3A and B). Most (89.6%) subjects in group 1 had 60 arcseconds or better in near stereopsis, and 98.8% had no detectable strabismus. The percentage of subjects in group 1 who had 80 arcseconds or worse in near stereopsis was 10.4%. In contrast, 90.9% of the subjects in group 4 had 80 arcseconds or worse in near stereopsis and 18.2% had intermittent or manifest strabismus. Details of the eye position in each group are shown in Table 2. We also found that more subjects with strabismus had exotropia than esotropia in this study population.

Discussion

This study assessed visual function in preschool-age children using a vision screening protocol. Parameters of visual function were compared among 4 groups classified according to UNVA data.

Previous investigators have reported that the visually sensitive period in humans is up to 6–8 years of age, and, furthermore, that accurate examination of VA is not possible in children younger than this age range. In this study, however, we recruited 760 children between 3 and 6 years of age and, therefore, visual function may still have
Figure 2 Comparison of refraction among groups.

Notes: (A) Spherical equivalent value. (B) Cylindrical power. (C) Anisometropia. (D) Corneal power. (E) Corneal astigmatism. Boxplot denote 5%, 25%, 50%, 75%, and 95% intervals, and cross marks denote outliers. *Shows P-values determined using a Steel–Dwass test. **P<0.05, ***P<0.01, ****P<0.0001. The median spherical equivalent values in Group 1 and 2 were significantly more myopic than in Group 4 (P<0.05). Median cylindrical power in Group 1 was the lowest among all groups (P<0.05). Median anisometropia in Group 1 was significantly lower than in Groups 2 and 3 (P<0.05). Median corneal power was not significantly different among groups (P>0.05). The median corneal astigmatism value in Group 1 was the lowest among all groups (P<0.05). Group 1 (VA ≤ 0.00 [logMAR]), group 2 (VA 0.15 to 0.05), group 3 (VA 0.52 to 0.22), and group 4 (VA > 0.52).

Abbreviation: ns, not significant.

been in the early stages of development. The median age of children in group 1 (ie, VA ≤ logMAR 0.00) was greater than in the other groups.

According to objective measures of refractive error using the Retinomax system, the mean value of refractive error shift to myopia was approximately −1.00 D. This result was obtained by measurement without cycloplegia, and the median (mean) spherical equivalent value with cycloplegia in groups 1, 2, and 3 may have moved closer to emmetropia. Since group 4 showed significant hypermetropia, most defects in VA in group 4 may have been caused by amblyopia due to hypermetropic anisometropia or hypermetropia. The number of refractive errors (eg, cylindrical...
power, anisometropia, and corneal astigmatism) in children in group 1 was the lowest among all groups. There was a trend showing that the extent of these refractive errors was related to UNVA; therefore, examination of manifest refraction may serve as a rough estimation of VA.

In analyzing stereopsis, the percentage of subjects who had 60 arcseconds or better was lower in the poorer UNVA groups. Additionally, our study revealed similar tendencies to those described in previous reports, in which stereopsis correlated with VA. The percentage of subjects in group 1 who had 80 arcseconds or worse in near stereopsis was 8.9%. Thus, we can suspect VA defects solely by performing stereopsis testing when the subjects’ stereopsis is 80 arcseconds or worse. In contrast, eye position correlated poorly with VA. The percentage of children with no strabismus, and the black bar signifies that the value was unmeasurable. (B) Eye position. Bar graph with 100% stacked columns. The white bar shows the percentage of children with no strabismus, and the gray bar shows the percentage of children with intermittent strabismus; the black bar signifies manifest strabismus. Uncorrected near visual acuity was significantly associated with stereopsis (P < 0.05, Cramer’s V = 0.45) and eye position (P < 0.05, Cramer’s V = 0.12). Group 1 (VA ≤ 0.00 [logMAR]), group 2 (VA 0.15 to 0.05), group 3 (VA 0.52 to 0.22), and group 4 (VA > 0.52).

Figure 3 Comparison of binocular function among groups.
Notes: (A) Stereopsis. Bar graph with 100% stacked columns. The white bar shows the percentage of children with ≤ 60 arcseconds, and the gray bar shows the percentage of children with ≥ 80 arcseconds; the black bar signifies that the value was unmeasurable. (B) Eye position. Bar graph with 100% stacked columns. The white bar shows the percentage of children with no strabismus, and the gray bar shows the percentage of children with intermittent strabismus; the black bar signifies manifest strabismus.

Table 2 Details of the eye position in each group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number, n (%)</td>
<td>608 (100)</td>
<td>114 (100)</td>
<td>27 (100)</td>
<td>11 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of no-shift, n (%)</td>
<td>423 (69.5)</td>
<td>73 (64.0)</td>
<td>18 (66.7)</td>
<td>7 (63.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of exophoria, n (%)</td>
<td>174 (28.6)</td>
<td>36 (31.5)</td>
<td>9 (33.3)</td>
<td>2 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of intermittent exotropia, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (0.5)</td>
<td>2 (1.8)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of exotropia, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (0.5)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of esophoria, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (0.7)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of intermittent esophoria, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of esotropia, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (0.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of unmeasurable, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Numbers are shown as number (percent). Group 1 (VA ≤ 0.00 [logMAR]), group 2 (VA 0.15 to 0.05), group 3 (VA 0.52 to 0.22), and group 4 (VA > 0.52).

We believe that future studies that will include the results of vision disorders. Our results showed a similar tendency to that reported by previous studies. Therefore, we suggest that examination of binocular function for preschool-aged children undergoing vision screening is an important supplement in VA testing and the detection of strabismus. In addition, it was reported that the proportion of children who could not be tested was 9.7% and 2.1% in the stereopsis and cover tests, respectively. When VA and stereopsis tests fail due to low compliance of children, qualitative testing using the cover and cover–uncover tests may be a useful index for detecting suspected VA defects.

The study was limited in that the best-corrected VA and the refractive error with cycloplegia were not taken into consideration due to screening constraints. The sensitivity and specificity of testing in this protocol could be determined with the addition of gold standard examinations for providing a complete ocular assessment of the child after this protocol is implemented, as has been shown in previous reports. We believe that future studies that will include the results...
of detailed examinations by eyecare professionals (eg, ophthalmologists, optometrists, and orthoptists) after vision screening are necessary (ie, follow-up studies).

Conclusion
In this study, relationships of refractive error, corneal power, stereopsis, and eye position with UNVA were evaluated. Therefore, in addition to VA testing, we suggest that binocular function tests warrant consideration in vision screening protocols.
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