Implantation of intracorneal ring segments in pediatric patients: long-term follow-up
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Purpose: To analyze the long-term outcomes of intracorneal ring segments (ICRS) implantation for keratoconus management in pediatric patients.

Methods: Retrospective case series review of the long-term (>5 years) outcomes of Intacs® ICRS implantation for keratoconus in pediatric patients (age <18 years old at the time of surgery) between January 2008 and December 2011 at Ophthalmology Department of Hospital de Santo António. Demographic data, follow-up time, preoperative and postoperative uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) and best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) in decimal scale, and corneal topography were evaluated. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS for windows (version 24). Significance was set at p<0.0125.

Results: Fourteen eyes of 14 patients, with a mean age of 15.36 years (range 10–18 years), were included in this study. All patients had been diagnosed with keratoconus with reported progression in the 6 months prior to surgery. Follow-up time was 6.36±0.97 years. UCVA and BCVA improved after ICRS implantation (p<0.0125). Keratometry (K) minimum (Kmin) and K maximum (Kmax) decreased after surgery (p<0.0125). During follow-up, UCVA, BCVA, Kmin, and Kmax values ranged, showing a tendency to worsen at the end of follow-up. However, statistically significant differences were not observed.

Conclusion: ICRS implantation showed good visual and topographic results in pediatric patients. Long-term follow-up suggests that, despite ICRS implantation, there is still progression of keratoconus. To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports regarding the long-term efficacy of ICRS implantation in pediatric patients.
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Introduction

Classically, keratoconus is a bilateral, corneal, ectatic noninflammatory condition, the hallmark of which is progressive corneal steepening and thinning, 1 which leads to irregular astigmatism and secondary loss of best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA). The onset of keratoconus is common during the second decade of life, with great variability in progression, which may last until the third or fourth decade of life. 2 Keratoconus behaves differently in pediatric patients, presenting a more aggressive course in this age group. There is no gender predominance; however, affected younger patients are more often males. 3

The treatment for keratoconus should be personalized, depending on the severity of disease, the amount of vision loss, the progression rate, and the psychosocial aspect of the patient. The therapeutic options can vary from eyeglasses and contact lenses to intracorneal ring segments (ICRS) implantation, corneal transplantation,
and cross-linking associated or not with photorefractive keratectomy. The traditional conservative management of keratoconus begins with eyeglasses correction and contact lenses. When vision can no longer be corrected with eyeglasses and/or contact lenses, surgical options should be considered. ICRS are small devices of synthetic material which can be used to reshape the abnormal cornea, inducing a geometric change of central curvature. This leads to an improvement in topographic abnormalities, reducing refractive error, and improving visual acuity. Classically, the goal of segment implantation is to delay or avoid corneal grafts. There are many types of ICRS devices. Intacs® (Addition Technology Inc., Fremont, CA, USA) are semicircular pieces of polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) with fixed outer diameter and width, available in different thicknesses. There is an additional Intacs design named severe keratoconus (SK) designed with rounded edges to potentially reduce the incidence of visual symptoms. Ferrara® and Keraring® are other types of ICRS commonly used to treat corneal ectatic diseases.

Many studies report the efficacy of ICRS implantation in the treatment of keratoconus, including long-term follow-up (superior to 5 years). Some studies suggested that this surgical treatment has the potential to halt the progression of keratoconus, but there is still no definitive scientific evidence to confirm that.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports regarding the long-term efficacy of ICRS implantation in pediatric patients. The purpose of this study is to report long-term follow-up of ICRS implantation in pediatric population in the management of keratoconus.

Methods

Retrospective review of the medical records of patients with keratoconus submitted for ICRS implantation in pediatric patients, between January 2008 and December 2011, to Ophthalmology Department of Centro Hospitalar do Porto, Oporto, Portugal, was performed. This study included only patients who completed at least 5 years of follow-up. Patients were excluded from ICRS implantation if any of the following criteria was present: advanced keratoconus with curvatures >60.0 diopters (D) and significant apical opacities or scarring, previous hydrops, corneal thickness <400 μm in the planned site of ICRS implantation, and intense atopia. These are the criteria used, in our department, to define candidates not suitable for ICRS implantation. When both eyes of the same patient met the inclusion criteria, it was decided to include only one eye for statistical analysis. In these cases, we chose to randomly select one of the eyes as recommended by Statistical Guidelines for the analysis of data obtained from one or both eyes.

This study was approved by the local ethics committee of Centro Hospitalar do Porto, EPE. All patients provided informed consent to use their medical records.

In these patients, Intacs and Intacs SK (Addition Technology Inc.) ICRS were used. The surgeries were performed by three corneal surgeons, using a standard technique of tunnel creation by mechanical dissection. ICRS were implanted according to a preoperative plan and an implantation nomogram provided by the manufacturers of Intacs ICRS.

The demographic data, follow-up time, preoperative and postoperative uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) and BCVA in decimal scale were evaluated. Corneal topography and thickness were obtained at preoperative visit and at 6–12 months, 24–36 months, and at the last visit (≥5 years postoperative) using the Bausch & Lomb’s Orbscan® IIz.

Statistical analysis was done using SPSS for windows (version 24; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). After checking the normality of data using the Shapiro–Wilk test, the Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare preoperative and postoperative parameters. As the analysis was performed at four time points, the Bonferroni correction was applied. Therefore, statistical significance was established at p<0.0125 (two-sided).

Results

Fourteen eyes of 14 patients were included in this study. The mean age of patients at the time of surgery was 15.36±2.84 years (range 10–18 years old), with 64.3% being males and 35.7% females. All patients had been diagnosed with keratoconus with reported progression in the 6 months prior to surgery. All procedures were uneventful. Intacs SK were implanted in four eyes, while conventional Intacs were used in 10 eyes (Figure 1). In seven eyes, single-segment Intacs were implanted. Follow-up time was 6.36±0.97 years.

In one case, ICRS extrusion occurred 7 months after surgery spontaneously. As the patient remained with satisfactory visual acuity (BCVA 0.6 in decimal scale) and no significant progression was observed, corneal transplantation was not performed. During the considered follow-up, there were no other complications to report.

Baseline average UCVA and BCVA were 0.07±0.09 and 0.34±0.21, respectively, and they improved to 0.25±0.15 and 0.54±0.17 after ICRS implantation (p<0.01 and p=0.011, respectively). During follow-up, both UCVA and BCVA...
remained almost constant, reaching, at the end of follow-up, 0.3±0.21 and 0.55±0.13 without statistically significant differences from those observed at 6–12 months postoperatively, (Tables 1 and 2).

Central corneal thickness remained stable after the surgery, ranging from 416.43±47.38 to 409.75±54 μm 6–12 months after surgery (p=0.14). K minimum (Kmin) decreased from 48.9±3.25 to 45.29±3.45 D (p=0.001) and K maximum (Kmax) reduced from 55.92±4.56 to 51.4±3.33 D (p=0.002) 6–12 months after surgery. During follow-up, Kmin and Kmax values ranged (Table 1), showing a tendency to increase at the end of follow-up. However, statistically significant differences were not observed (Table 3).

Until the end of follow-up, no patient needed additional procedures, besides the use of optical correction with glasses or contact lenses.

**Discussion**

Keratoconus typically presents in teenagers and progresses until the third or fourth decade.² The disease behavior is different in pediatric patients when compared with adults, since it may present at more aggressive stage and progress more rapidly.³,¹⁵ These aspects make the disease management in this age very challenging. Contact lenses may not be well tolerated and, despite the introduction of lamellar transplantation techniques and the better visual outcomes and graft survival in patients with keratoconus than in grafts performed for other indications, corneal transplantation in pediatric patients can be associated with higher incidence of complications.¹⁶–¹⁸ Treatments such as corneal collagen cross-linking and ICRS should be considered in this age.

In a meta-analysis, McAnena et al showed that standard corneal collagen cross-linking seems to be effective in halting the progression of keratoconus in pediatric patients.¹⁹ However, concerning ICRS implantation in these populations, studies are lacking. Although some studies included younger patients and some suggested that they can halt the progression of keratoconus, the authors found no study including only pediatric patients or patients <15 years. These are the reasons why, in our study, we intended to evaluate the long-term outcomes of ICRS implantation in pediatric patients.

Since the first report of ICRS implantation outcomes for the treatment of keratoconus, several authors have demonstrated the efficacy of this technique in improving keratometric readings in this disease. ICRS implantation leads to a flattening of the central cornea and regularization of the asymmetry of the tissue, resulting in a reduction of keratometric readings, with a mean reduction between 3 and 5 D.²⁰–²³ In addition, the majority of these studies report a gain in both the uncorrected and best-corrected visual acuities. Similar to the cited studies, in our study we observed an improvement of keratometric values, UCVA, and BCVA after ICRS implantation. Kmax and Kmin decreased, on average,

### Table 1 Visual outcomes and keratometry readings before and after ICRS implantation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Preoperative</th>
<th>6–12 months postoperative</th>
<th>24–36 months postoperative</th>
<th>&gt;60 months postoperative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCVA (range)</td>
<td>0.07±0.09 (0.01–0.32)</td>
<td>0.25±0.15 (0.05–0.5)</td>
<td>0.3±0.2 (0.05–0.5)</td>
<td>0.3±0.21 (0.05–0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA (range)</td>
<td>0.34±0.21 (0.05–0.63)</td>
<td>0.54±0.17 (0.3–0.8)</td>
<td>0.56±0.1 (0.4–0.8)</td>
<td>0.55±0.13 (0.4–0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central corneal thickness (μm), range</td>
<td>416.43±47.38 (335–494)</td>
<td>409.75±54 (356–472)</td>
<td>410.45±43.44 (335–475)</td>
<td>412.56±47.18 (338–485)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K minimum (D) (range)</td>
<td>48.9±3.25 (43.2–52.9)</td>
<td>45.29±3.45 (37.4–51.4)</td>
<td>46.34±3.45 (38.2–51.3)</td>
<td>46.38±3.42 (38–51.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K maximum (D) (range)</td>
<td>55.92±4.56 (47.5–62.4)</td>
<td>51.4±3.33 (46.7–56.8)</td>
<td>51.65±3.71 (46.4–56.0)</td>
<td>52.19±3.60 (47.3–57.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; D, diopters; ICRS, intracorneal ring segments; K, keratometry; UCVA, uncorrected visual acuity.
Table 2 Visual outcomes during follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Compared period</th>
<th>Compared values</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCVA</td>
<td>Preoperative vs 6–12 months postoperative</td>
<td>0.07±0.09 vs 0.25±0.15</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preoperative vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>0.07±0.09 vs 0.3±0.21</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–12 months vs 24–36 months postoperative</td>
<td>0.25±0.15 vs 0.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24–36 months vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>0.3±0.2 vs 0.3±0.21</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–12 months vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>0.25±0.15 vs 0.3±0.21</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCVA</td>
<td>Preoperative vs 6–12 months postoperative</td>
<td>0.34±0.21 vs 0.54±0.17</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preoperative vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>0.34±0.21 vs 0.55±0.13</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–12 months vs 24–36 months postoperative</td>
<td>0.54±0.17 vs 0.56±0.1</td>
<td>0.831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24–36 months vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>0.56±0.1 vs 0.55±0.13</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–12 months vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>0.54±0.17 vs 0.55±0.13</td>
<td>0.944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: BCVA, best-corrected visual acuity; UCVA, uncorrected visual acuity.

Table 3 Keratometry changes after ICRS implantation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Compared period</th>
<th>Compared values</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>K Maximum</td>
<td>Preoperative vs 6–12 months postoperative</td>
<td>55.92±4.56 vs 51.4±3.33</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preoperative vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>55.92±4.56 vs 52.19±3.60</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–12 months vs 24–36 months postoperative</td>
<td>51.4±3.33 vs 51.65±3.71</td>
<td>0.504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24–36 months vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>51.65±3.71 vs 52.19±3.60</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–12 months vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>51.4±3.33 vs 52.19±3.60</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K Minimum</td>
<td>Preoperative vs 6–12 months postoperative</td>
<td>48.9±3.25 vs 45.29±3.45</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preoperative vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>48.9±3.25 vs 46.38±3.42</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–12 months vs 24–36 months postoperative</td>
<td>45.29±3.45 vs 46.34±3.45</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24–36 months vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>46.34±3.45 vs 46.38±3.42</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6–12 months vs &gt;60 months postoperative</td>
<td>45.29±3.45 vs 46.38±3.42</td>
<td>0.052</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ICRS, intracorneal ring segments; K, keratometry.

3.59 and 3.18 D, respectively, which agree with the values observed in previous studies.

As noted earlier, in our patients we used Intacs ICRS, both conventional and SK. We did not analyze differences between these two devices since we had a small sample and previous reports showed that implantation of conventional and SK Intacs had comparable outcomes.24–25 Also, we did not study differences between using single- or double-segment implantation. In literature, some authors claim that single-segment implantation provides better results,26 while others claim that the results vary with topographic pattern of keratoconus27 or with spherical equivalent to correct.28,29 As there are several factors that can influence the selection of one or two segments and since our sample is small, we decided not to evaluate these outcomes.

In the matter of the stability of the results of ICRS implantation in long-term follow-up, we found contradictory results in the literature. While some authors report long-term stability of surgical results, Vega-Estrada et al25,30 and Alío et al31 observed that the long-term stability of ICRS implantation depends on the progression pattern of keratoconus at the time of surgery, suggesting that in cases of stable keratoconus the results remained stable, in opposition to progressive disease where the short-term outcomes could change after a long period of time. In our study, we observed, in fact, changes in keratometric readings over time. However, these differences were not statistically significant. In our study, the fact that, the included patients were aged between 10 and 18 years with a great temporal edge of disease progression may be the reason for not getting statistically significant differences in keratometric readings over time. Regarding visual acuity, we observed maintenance of the visual gains achieved with ICRS implantation. Thus, once our results suggest that ICRS implantation shows good visual and topographic results in pediatric patients. Although not statically significant, long-term follow-up of these patients suggests that ICRS implantation does not halt the progression of keratoconus.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study that evaluates the effect of ICRS implantation in such young patients and with such a long follow-up.
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