The independent relationship between triglycerides and coronary heart disease

Alan Morrison¹
John E Hokanson²

¹SCRIBCO, Blue Bell, PA, USA; ²Department of Epidemiology, Colorado School of Public Health, University of Colorado at Denver, Denver, CO, USA

Aims: The aim was to review epidemiologic studies to reassess whether serum levels of triglycerides should be considered independently of high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) as a predictor of coronary heart disease (CHD).

Methods and results: We systematically reviewed population-based cohort studies in which baseline serum levels of triglycerides and HDL-C were included as explanatory variables in multivariate analyses with the development of CHD (coronary events or coronary death) as dependent variable. A total of 32 unique reports describing 38 cohorts were included. The independent association between elevated triglycerides and risk of CHD was statistically significant in 16 of 30 populations without pre-existing CHD. Among populations with diabetes mellitus or pre-existing CHD, or the elderly, triglycerides were not significantly independently associated with CHD in any of 8 cohorts. Triglycerides and HDL-C were mutually exclusive predictors of coronary events in 12 of 20 analyses of patients without pre-existing CHD.

Conclusions: Epidemiologic studies provide evidence of an association between triglycerides and the development of primary CHD independently of HDL-C. Evidence of an inverse relationship between triglycerides and HDL-C suggests that both should be considered in CHD risk estimation and as targets for intervention.
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Introduction

Serum cholesterol levels are important components of guidelines for determining risk and treatment of coronary heart disease (CHD). Low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), the primary component of total cholesterol, is recognized as the most important lipid risk factor. Cardiovascular risk assessment in US and UK guidelines is based on the Framingham algorithm, in which the 10-year risk of CHD is calculated from levels of total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), and from demographic and clinical factors.¹² Serum triglyceride levels are not a factor in quantifying CHD risk in these guidelines. A different basis for cardiovascular risk assessment has been adopted by the joint European societies.³ European guidelines base their treatment recommendations on the ten-year risk of coronary death, which is calculated from the plasma level of total cholesterol and nonlipid risk factors.⁴⁵ Both the UK and European guidelines, however, recognize that elevated triglycerides increase the risk of CHD.⁶⁷

Triglycerides do appear in international guidelines in considering therapy. Hypertriglyceridemia is recognized as a therapeutic target in UK guidelines. In European and US guidelines, combined elevated triglycerides and low HDL-C are to be considered when deciding on therapy.

The position of triglycerides in the guidelines is largely secondary to that of HDL-C. Given that the relationship between triglycerides and CHD is based on...
epidemiological studies, the objective here was to review the literature describing those studies to reassess whether triglycerides should be considered independently of HDL-C as a predictor of CHD and target for treatment.

**Methods**

Articles describing population-based cohort studies were identified in a February 2008 update of searches described in published meta-analyses. Articles were included in this literature review if they met the following criteria: cohort study of risk of cardiovascular disease; measurement of triglycerides at baseline; a multiple regression analysis of the independent association between triglycerides and risk of CHD, with HDL-C as an explanatory variable; article published in the peer-reviewed literature; and article in English. A total of 46 articles met these inclusion criteria. To avoid double counting, we considered only one analysis of any cohort (except for subpopulations) – typically the most recent publication meeting the inclusion criteria. Multiple articles reporting the Copenhagen Male Study (CMS), Framingham Heart Study (FHS), Nurses’ Health Study (NHS), Community Health Study (CHS), and occupational groups reporting the Copenhagen Male Study (CMS) were included.

The primary outcome measure was a multivariate estimate of the statistical significance of the independent association between triglycerides and CHD, with HDL-C and other lipids (LDL-C, triglycerides, etc.) as explanatory variables. A secondary outcome measure was an univariate analysis of the statistical significance of the association between triglycerides and CHD, typically based on an ANOVA comparison of triglyceride concentrations in patients who did and did not subsequently experience CHD. CHD was classified as coronary events and coronary deaths. Outcomes described by authors as myocardial infarction (MI), CHD, or ischemic heart disease, were reclassified as coronary events.

Examination of the articles indicated that no consistent definition of the independent variable (triglyceride concentration) was applied and, in most reports of multivariate models of the association between triglycerides and CHD, a P value was the only information provided, frequently as P < 0.05 or nonsignificant (NS) (P > 0.05). Therefore, a descriptive approach was taken: we enumerated the number of cohorts in which triglycerides were or were not a significant independent predictor of CHD. We also summarized results by various study characteristics – the study population, study...
size (patient-years of follow-up), fasting status of patients at baseline lipid measurement, the type of multivariate model (proportional hazards models or unconditional multiple logistic regression), the definition of the independent variable (triglyceride concentration), and lipid variables included in the model. We took the median point for these variables and simply enumerated the number of cohorts above and below the median in which triglycerides were independently associated with the development of CHD. The number of patient-years of follow-up was as reported in the original articles or, if not cited, was calculated from the number of patients and the average years of follow-up; if the average years of follow-up was not reported, the study duration was used.

Results

Consistent association between triglycerides and CHD in univariate analyses

In univariate analyses of patients without pre-existing CHD, the plasma triglyceride concentration was significantly associated with CHD in 16 of 16 cohorts with coronary events as outcome\textsuperscript{11,18,21,22,25,27,30,39,40,44–46,52,56} and in 6 of 6 cohorts with coronary death as outcome.\textsuperscript{11,36,49,50} This was also the case in 5 of 5 studies of diabetic patients with coronary events as outcome.\textsuperscript{31,32,34,42,43} In only one study, in which patients had a prior MI, were triglycerides not significantly associated with recurrent coronary events.\textsuperscript{37} Representative relative risks (RRs) of coronary events, adjusted for matching demographic and other nonlipid factors, were RR 2.41 (95% CI 1.43–4.07) for men in the HPFUS cohort and 3.5 (1.8–7.3) for women in the NHS cohort (RR comparing the top and bottom quintiles of triglyceride levels).\textsuperscript{40,44}

Inconsistent association between triglycerides and CHD in multivariate models including HDL-C

The pertinent results of multivariate analyses in which triglycerides, HDL-C, and other lipids were entered as independent variables are summarized in Table 1. The relationship between triglycerides and subsequent coronary events or coronary death was statistically significant in 16 instances\textsuperscript{14,20,22,27,30,38,45,46,48–50,52,54–56} (CCHS,\textsuperscript{54} CMS,\textsuperscript{38} CSCHDS,\textsuperscript{52} MELANY,\textsuperscript{55} MRFIT,\textsuperscript{22} NHSSII,\textsuperscript{48} PHS,\textsuperscript{46} and PROCAM\textsuperscript{14} for men; 4CF\textsuperscript{17} and APCSC\textsuperscript{38} for men/women; and ARIC,\textsuperscript{45} AMORIS,\textsuperscript{50} CCHS,\textsuperscript{54} FHS,\textsuperscript{20} EWET,\textsuperscript{49} and WHS\textsuperscript{56} for women) and not significant in 14 instances\textsuperscript{11,18,20,21,23,25,36,39,40,44,45,50} (ARIC,\textsuperscript{45} CUORE,\textsuperscript{23} FHS,\textsuperscript{20} GRIPS,\textsuperscript{18} HPFUS,\textsuperscript{40} LRC-CPPT,\textsuperscript{11} QCVS,\textsuperscript{19} ULSAM,\textsuperscript{21} WCGS,\textsuperscript{25}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Numbers of cohorts in which triglycerides were or were not predictive of CHD independently of HDL-C, by selected study characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic</td>
<td>Outcome variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All studies</td>
<td>CHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-risk populations</td>
<td>Coronary events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary populations</td>
<td>CHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>CHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>CHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>CHD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome variable</td>
<td>Coronary deaths</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal events</td>
<td>Coronary events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort size</td>
<td>Coronary events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;52,000 patient-years</td>
<td>Coronary events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;52,000 patient-years</td>
<td>Coronary events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglyceride measurement</td>
<td>Coronary events</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CHD, coronary heart disease (defined as either coronary events or coronary death).
AMORIS,90 LRC-FS,11 and ROG36 for men; and NHS44 and LRC-FS11 for women) in analyses of populations without pre-existing CHD. In studies of high risk populations, the relationship between triglycerides and subsequent coronary events was not statistically significant in any of the 8 cohorts.31,32,34,37,41–43,47

Although inconclusive, there is some indication that the association between triglycerides and CHD may be more frequently detectable among women and among populations without pre-existing CHD. Among populations without pre-existing CHD, triglycerides were significantly associated with CHD in 6 of 8 analyses of women,30,45,49,50,54,56 2 of 2 analyses of men/women,27,38 and 8 of 20 analyses of men.14,22,30,46,48,52,54,55 As indicated, the relationship between triglycerides and coronary events was significant in none of 8 high risk populations.

The association between triglyceride levels and subsequent coronary events was not correlated with cohort size in populations without pre-existing CHD. In 12 cohorts of between 6,835 and 50,848 patient-years, the association was significant in 6 cohorts; in 12 cohorts of 53,890 to 796,671 patient-years, the association between triglyceride levels and subsequent coronary events was significant in 8 (cohorts not listed).

In an analysis of the WHS, Bansal and colleagues recently argued that nonfasting but not fasting triglyceride levels were independently associated with cardiovascular events (fasting triglycerides were significantly predictive of coronary events when the proportional hazards model included as covariates age, blood pressure, smoking status, use of hormone replacement therapy, triglycerides, and HDL-C, but not when diabetes mellitus, body mass index, and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein were also added).56 Referring to participants without pre-existing CHD, we observed that triglycerides were a significant independent predictor of coronary events in 6 of 6 populations with nonfasting blood samples46,48,54,56 and 9 of 19 instances with fasting blood samples14,20,22,30,38,45,49,52,55 (Patients in all analyses of high risk populations provided fasting blood samples).

Details of the multivariate modeling did not appear to affect whether triglycerides were significant. Both significant and nonsignificant associations between triglycerides and coronary events occurred in both proportional hazards models (9 significant14,22,27,38,48,54,56 and 3 nonsignificant11,21,23) and multiple logistic regression models (3 significant10,46,52 and 5 nonsignificant18,25,39,40,44). The independent variable (triglyceride concentration) was entered into some models as a continuous variable, sometimes log-transformed; in other cases, triglyceride concentration was treated as a categorical variable, and divided into tertiles, quartiles, or quintiles. However, the definition of the triglyceride variable did not appear to affect whether triglycerides were significant (cohorts not listed). We also considered the lipid and nonlipid variables that were included in the models, but none of these factors appeared to vary systematically by triglyceride result. LDL-C, for example, was a significant independent variable in 10 studies with coronary events as dependent variable, among which triglycerides were significant in 414,22,30,48 and nonsignificant in 6,11,18,39,40,44,57 In 6 other studies, in which total cholesterol was significant, triglycerides were significant in 427,46,48,52 and nonsignificant in 2,23,25 And in 3 studies in which apolipoprotein B was significant, triglycerides were significant in 148 and nonsignificant in 2,40,57

Discussion

Statistically significant and quite strong negative correlations between triglycerides and HDL-C were reported in some of the cohorts analyzed, with values ranging from −0.26 to −0.58.32,38,40,44,46,51,52,56,58,59 Given this negative correlation, we considered whether triglycerides and HDL-C were mutually exclusive predictors of CHD. That is, we considered whether HDL-C and triglycerides were identified in the same multivariate model as independent predictors of coronary events. Of 20 analyses with coronary events as outcome for patients without pre-existing CHD, there were 6 instances in which HDL-C was a significant independent predictor of CHD but triglycerides were not, and 6 instances in which triglycerides were significant but HDL-C was not. There were 4 instances in which both triglycerides and HDL-C were significant independent predictors of CHD and 4 instances in which neither was significant. This suggests that a negative correlation between triglycerides and HDL-C may have obscured the relationship between triglyceride levels and risk of CHD.

Previously, investigators have taken a meta-analytic approach to determine whether triglycerides are significantly predictive of CHD independently of HDL-C.5–10,60 Beginning in 1996,8 these authors concluded that triglycerides were a risk factor for cardiovascular disease in the general population, independently of HDL-C. A pooled, multivariable-adjusted RR, with respect to a 1 mmol/L increase in serum triglycerides, was reported as RR 1.14 (95% CI 1.05−1.28) for six studies of men and RR 1.37 (95% CI 1.13−1.66) for two of studies of women.8 The most recent (2007) pooled analysis included 11 articles reporting multivariable adjustment for HDL-C.60
The meta-analytic approach, however, is limited by a dependence on reporting in the literature of data in a form suitable for statistical pooling. Given the variety of analytic approaches taken in the primary studies, statistical pooling across all studies depends on a process of assumption, inference, and imputation.\(^6\) In contrast, our descriptive approach did not require any particular data reporting format and allowed analysis of a much larger data set of 32 articles reporting 38 cohorts.

The epidemiological data in the current analysis provide support for triglycerides as a predictor of CHD independently of HDL-C, but possibly only in certain categories of patient or level of CHD risk. Triglycerides were not independently predictive of CHD in 8 of 8 high risk cohorts, perhaps because the association between triglycerides and CHD is relatively weak and is outweighed by other factors in high-risk populations. Triglycerides were predictive of CHD independently of HDL-C in about half of the lower risk, primary cohorts. This may reflect random error and a relatively weak association that might fall on either side of the cut-point of \(P = 0.05\) (The disadvantage of our descriptive approach is that it does not account for the numerical strength of an association, but simply whether it falls on either side of a cut-point). Consistent with these arguments, triglycerides were most often (in 6 of 8 cohorts) independently predictive of CHD in the lower-risk, primary populations of women.

The data also point to the inverse correlation of triglycerides and HDL-C in their role as risk factors. The inverse relationship between triglyceride and HDL-C levels likely reflects their respective participation in lipid transport and reverse cholesterol transport. The inverse relationship holds in the effects of lipid-modifying drugs. Niacin,\(^6\) fibrates,\(^6\) statins,\(^3,6\) CETP inhibitors,\(^6\) and gemcabene\(^6\) all concomitantly increase HDL-C and decrease triglyceride levels. Certain genetic changes also have inverse effects on HDL-C and triglycerides. Mutations in ABCA1, a gene encoding a protein involved in cholesterol transport, result in lowered HDL-C and raised triglycerides levels, in conjunction with an increased risk of CHD.\(^6\) Similarly, a mutation in the gene encoding lipoprotein lipase, and causing a lipoprotein lipase deficiency, decreases HDL-C levels, while increasing triglycerides levels and the risk of CHD.\(^6\)–\(^7\) The opposite effects are seen with a mutation in the lipoprotein lipase gene that results in increased enzyme activity: HDL-C is increased, while triglyceride levels and the risk of CHD are decreased.\(^7\) The inverse relationship does not seem to be absolute, however, since other genetic alterations indicate that the inverse relationship between HDL-C and triglyceride levels can be uncoupled. Subjects with an inherited hepatic lipase deficiency have hypertriglyceridemia in combination with increased HDL-C, associated with premature atherosclerosis and increased risk of CHD.\(^7\)–\(^8\) This suggests that the cardio-protective effect of elevated HDL-C is not seen in the absence of the inverse relationship with triglycerides.

**Conclusions**

Epidemiological evidence indicates that plasma triglyceride levels are predictive of CHD. The relationship between triglycerides and CHD is stronger and more consistently observed in populations without elevated CHD risk (eg, without pre-existing CHD). Population based screening for elevated triglycerides may identify individuals at elevated risk for CHD who may not otherwise be detected. Multivariate analyses indicate that the strong inverse relationship between triglycerides and HDL-C may reflect a common pathophysiologic process. This suggests that high triglycerides or low HDL-C or both might be considered for use in CHD risk estimation and that both triglycerides and HDL-C may be considered targets for therapeutic or lifestyle interventions.
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