The effects of naloxone on human breast cancer progression: in vitro and in vivo studies on MDA.MB231 cells
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Background: Naloxone is viewed as a specific competitive opioid antagonist acting at the level of opioid receptors (μ, δ, and κ) with blended agonist-adversary or agonist action. The role of naloxone in tumor cell growth has been poorly studied in human cancer cell lines.

Materials and methods: In the present study, we report findings from in vitro and in vivo experiments performed to evaluate the effects of naloxone on human breast cancer cell growth and progression. In vitro assays were conducted on estrogen receptor-negative human breast carcinoma cells, MDA.MB231, treated with naloxone at different concentrations (10–100 μM). In vivo experiments were performed on a mouse model of human triple-negative breast cancer generated by using MDA.MB231 injected subcutaneously in mice. Naloxone was daily intraperitoneally injected in mice at 0.357 mg/kg for 2 weeks and at 0.714 mg/kg for the next 2 weeks. MicrovesSEL formation was detected by fluorescein isothiocyanate-dextran (100 μL) injected into the tail vein of mice and confirmed by immunohistochemistry with CD31 on mice tumor sections.

Results: In vitro tests showed that the cell proliferation of MDA.MB231 was inhibited by naloxone in a dose-dependent manner, whereas the cell death was increased. In vivo studies demonstrated that tumors of mice treated with naloxone were significantly smaller than those observed in the control groups, as long as naloxone was administered. Finally, naloxone was not able to impair the microvesSEL formation in tumors of treated mice.

Conclusion: Our data showed, for the first time, that naloxone reduced breast cancer progression without affecting angiogenesis.
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Introduction

Naloxone is a specific, competitive opioid antagonist acting at opioid receptors (μ, δ, and κ) with an agonist or mixed agonist–antagonist activity. It has been reported that naloxone has multiple activities in numerous processes. It acts as an immunoadjuvant in cancer immunotherapy,1–3 and it regulates, in conjunction with other opioids, the apoptosis in human cancer cells.7,8 In addition, it has been proved that naloxone can influence the proliferation and neurogenesis in cultured rat hippocampal progenitors.9 Moreover, naloxone is known as the principal antagonist of morphine, an opiate-based drug largely used to relieve pains of cancer patients,10–13 through a complex action on receptors localized in the brain.14,15

To date, several in vitro and in vivo studies, performed on various human cancer cell lines or animal models of cancer,21–26 showed that morphine has a role in the regulation of cancer cell growth and progression with contrasting results depending on the different doses of the drug used in the experiments.27 We and others previously...
demonstrated that morphine acts as a breast cancer-promoting drug at clinically relevant doses.26,28 Additionally, we reported an enhancement of angiogenesis,29,30 a crucial process connected with cancer, in breast tumors induced by morphine.

A promoting role in chemotherapy of breast cancer cells induced by morphine by enhancing properties of cancer stem cells has also been reported.31 On the opposite facet, fewer studies have been conducted on the possible roles of naloxone in tumor cancer progression. Kikuchi et al showed that naloxone was able to inhibit human ovarian cancer cell growth, in experimental in vitro and in vivo studies.32 Similar results were also reported for MCF-7 breast cancer cells.33,34

Here we reported, for the first time, findings on the role of naloxone in the regulation of the estrogen receptor (ER)-negative human breast carcinoma cells, MDA.MB231 cell growth, and microvessel formation in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC). Our data showed that naloxone reduced breast cancer progression without significant effect on tumor angiogenesis.

Materials and methods

Materials

Naloxone hydrochloride was purchased from Molteni. Different doses of naloxone (1, 10, and 100 μM) were used for in vitro experiments on MDA.MB231 cells. The antibody against CD31 was obtained from DAKO (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). The anti-p53 antibody was provided by Imgenex (San Diego, CA, USA). 3,3-diaminobenzidine horseradish peroxidase (HRP) substrate was obtained from Vector Laboratories (USA). Antibiotics (penicillin and streptomycin), Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM), and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Invitrogen (Grand Island, NY, USA). Tris, glycine, NaCl, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), and bovine serum albumin were obtained from Sigma Chemical (St Louis, MO, USA).

Cell culture

The human ER-negative breast cancer cell line MDA.MB231 was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC® HTB-26™ Manassas, VA, USA). Cells were cultured in DMEM (Hyclone, UT, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, antibiotics (penicillin 100 U/mL; streptomycin 100 μg/mL), and l-glutamine (2 mM) in 5% of CO2 and humidified atmosphere at 37°C.

Scratch wound-healing assay

The scratch wound-healing assay was used to evaluate the migration of MDA.MB231 cells. Cells were seeded into six-multiwell plates and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 1% FBS. At the time of confluence, cells were incubated in the absence or presence of naloxone (1, 10, and 100 μM) for 48 h. Then, uniform wounds were scraped with sterile 200 μL pipette tips, and the floating cells were removed by washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Cell invasion on the slit of the confluent well was assessed at 0, 24, and 48 h, in each condition, by light microscopy. To measure wound areas, we used ImageJ software and analyzed the degree of wound closure at 48 h based on the area of control condition as 1.00.

3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay

The effect of the drug on cell proliferation was determined by using TACS (MTT) cell proliferation assay (Trevigen, Gaithersburg). The cells (2,000 per well) were incubated with or without naloxone in triplicate in a 96-well plate and then incubated for 2, 4, and 6 days at 37°C. An MTT solution was added to each well and incubated for 2 h at 37°C. An extraction buffer (20% SDS and 50% dimethylformamide) was added, and the cells were incubated overnight at 37°C. The absorbance of the cell suspension was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader (DAS Technologies, Chantilly, VA, USA).

In vitro apoptosis assay by flow cytometry

Cells were washed and suspended in 0.5 mL of PBS, fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-Annexin V, and propidium iodide (PI). Cells were incubated for 30 min on ice in the dark and analyzed by flow cytometry (FACScan, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) by measurements of fluorescence emission at 530 and 575 nm. The apoptotic cells were stained with FITC-Annexin V, while necrotic cells were stained with PI. The apoptotic fraction was obtained by dividing the number of apoptotic cells by the total number of cells (minimum of 104 cells). Data were analyzed using Cell Quest software (Becton Dickinson). All data were reproduced by three independent experiments.

Western blot analysis

Western blotting analysis was performed according to the protocol previously described.28,39 β-actin was used as a loading control. Densitometry was used to measure p53’s protein levels by using ImageJ 1.37v software.

Mice

A total of 16 eight-week-old female nude mice were purchased from Harlan, San Pietro al Natisone, Italy. Mice were housed five per cage in the standard mice Plexiglas cages.
and maintained on a 12 h light:12 h dark cycle (lights on at 7.00 am) in a temperature-controlled room (°C), with food and water ad libitum at all times.

Xenograft mouse model of breast cancer

Xenograft mouse model of breast cancer was generated by using MDA.MB231 breast cancer cells (2.5×10^6) injected subcutaneously in each mouse as we previously described.26 The mice were anesthetized with Zoletil 100 (Virbac) solution, injected intraperitoneally according to their weight, as previously described.33 After randomization, mice (eight mice/group) were divided into two groups on the basis of different treatments: 1) normal saline (vehicle); 2) naloxone hydrochloride at 0.357 mg/kg daily for 2 weeks and then 0.714 mg/kg for the next 2 weeks. Tumor volumes were measured once a week by using a digital caliper, and volumes were calculated by using the following formula: volume (mm³) = length × width × width/2. Normally distributed data were represented as mean ± standard error of the mean. Paired t-test one-tailed analysis was used to examine the significance of differences among two groups (Graph Pad Prism 5.0).

FITC-dextran (100 µL) was injected into the tail vein of mice to detect microvessels formation by using Macroflu microscope as we previously described.28

The study was approved by the Italian Animal Ethics Committee of Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori Fondazione G. Pascale of Naples. All experiments were performed by also following the European Directive 63/2010/UE and the Italian Law (DL 116/92, authorized by Minister of Health, Italy; Prot.N. 905/2013). This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations that cover all scientific procedures involving the use of live animals.

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining

IHC staining was performed by Dr Giosuè Scognamiglio of Department of Pathology of IRCSS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori Fondazione G. Pascale. Serial sections of tumors from controls and treated mice were embedded in paraffin and fixed with paraformaldehyde. After being washed in PBS, the slides were blocked with protein block solution (DakoCytomation) for 20 min and then incubated overnight with anti-CD31 antibodies (mouse monoclonal, clone JC70A, DAKO 1:10 dilution). After the incubation, the slides were washed and then incubated with biotinylated link universal antiserum followed by HRP–streptavidin conjugate (LSAB + kit). The slides were rinsed, and the color was developed using 3,3′-diaminobenzidine hydrochloride as a chromogen. Finally, sections were rinsed in distilled water, counterstained with hematoxylin, and mounted with DPX mounting medium for evaluation. Pictures were captured with a Photometrics CoolSNAP CF color camera (Nikon, Lewisville, TX, USA) and analyzed with Image-Pro Plus software (Version 6.0, Media Cybernetics, Bethesda, MD, USA). Digital images of at least three different areas of each section (from three different sections per tumor) were examined. Vascular endothelial cells that stained positively for CD31 were counted. Microvessel density (MVD) was counted according to protocols previously described.36–38

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS version 18.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). All experiments mentioned above were repeated three times. Data were presented as mean ± SD. Two-tailed t-test and χ² test were used for comparisons between the different groups. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Naloxone inhibits the proliferation and enhances the apoptosis of MDA.MB231 cells

To understand the effects of naloxone on the proliferation of MDA.MB231 cells, we performed in vitro studies by qualitative and quantitative tests. Scratch wound-healing assay demonstrated that naloxone inhibited the migration of MDA.MB231 cells at 48 h in a dose-dependent manner, with the major effect observed at 100 µM (Figure 1A–D). MTT assay and flow cytometry analysis confirmed these data (Figure 2A and B). To assess if naloxone influenced the apoptosis in MDA.MB231 cells, we performed western blotting analysis of p53 expression on cell lysate extracted from MDA.MB231 cells not treated and treated with naloxone (Figure 3). Taken together, our data showed that naloxone was able to promote apoptosis and to inhibit proliferation of breast cancer cells in a dose-dependent manner.

Naloxone inhibited tumor growth without affecting angiogenesis in mouse model of triple-negative breast cancer

In vivo experiments were conducted on a xenograft mouse model of TNBC, generated by subcutaneous injection of MDA.MB231 cells as described in the methods explained earlier, to assess the antitumor effects of naloxone. Naloxone was able to retard tumor growth in the treated mice with respect to controls mainly on day 35 after the beginning of treatment (Figure 4). Measurements of mice’s body weights did not show differences between the two groups of animals,
thus suggesting minimal toxicity induced by naloxone (data not shown).

As angiogenesis plays significant roles in cancer appearance and progression, we hypothesized that breast tumor growth was inhibited by naloxone via impairment of angiogenesis. For this purpose, we tested the effect of naloxone on the intratumoral MVD by injection of FITC-dextran into the tail vein of mice. Our results showed that microvessel formation was not inhibited by naloxone in tumors from naloxone-treated mice with respect to controls (Figure 5A and B). An IHC analysis with CD31 and counts for MVD (differences were not statistically significant)

Figure 1 Naloxone inhibits the migration of MDA.MB231 cells.
Notes: MDA.MB231 cells were incubated in medium alone as Ctr (A), (B) 1 μM naloxone (N1), (C) 10 μM naloxone (N10), and (D) 100 μM naloxone (N100). Cell migration rates were quantitatively assessed by counting the number of cells in the denuded area at 0, 24, and 48 h after wound induction. At 48 h after wound induction, there were clearly fewer cells in the denuded area of naloxone-treated cells than untreated cells. (E) Wound closure was analyzed at 48 h after wounding. Values were mean ± SE for three independent experiments. *P<0.05.
Abbreviation: Ctr, control.

Figure 2 Naloxone inhibits proliferation and increases apoptosis in MDA.MB231 cells in a dose-dependent manner.
Notes: (A) 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide assay results show an enhancement of proliferation in breast cancer cells treated with naloxone with respect to Ctr cells. Data are representative of three independent experiments. (B) In vitro apoptosis assay by flow cytometry showed the effect of the naloxone on MDA-MB.231 cell’s apoptosis. The treatments with naloxone at 100 μM enhanced the apoptosis level on the breast cancer cell line. Data presented as mean ± SD. Significance: **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 by analysis of variance, compared with Ctr.
Abbreviation: Ctr, control.
in tumor tissues from control and naloxone-treated mice confirmed these data (Figure 6A–C). Taken together, these results suggest that naloxone inhibits breast tumor growth without affecting angiogenesis.

Discussion
The role of naloxone in the regulation of tumor cell growth has not been extensively studied. An inhibitory role of naloxone in human ovarian cancer cell growth was reported by Kikuchi et al by in vitro and in vivo experiments. Specifically, the authors showed that naloxone impaired the growth of cells derived from human serous cystadenocarcinoma of the ovary, named KF, in the concentration range of 30–120 μM through inhibition of protein synthesis in KF cells. These results were also confirmed in a mouse model of ovarian cancer. Similar data were also reported for MCF-7 breast cancer cells. For these reasons, in order to elucidate the role of naloxone in the regulation of tumor growth we performed in vitro and in vivo studies on the MDA.MB231 breast cancer cells. It is interesting to underline that MDA.MB231 cells selected for these experiments are basal-like TNBC cells. Thus, they do not express the ER, progesterone receptor, or human epidermal growth factor receptor type 2 (HER2). Conventional endocrine therapy and HER2 targeted therapy on patients with TNBC fail because these patients lack both hormone receptors and do not express HER2. So, TNBC mouse model represents an optimal model to test the roles of the drugs in the regulation of cancer cell growth and angiogenesis. Our data showed that naloxone is able to inhibit tumor breast growth in vitro and in vivo, probably through a mechanism mediated by p53. These data are in accordance with the previous in vitro and in vivo reports from Gupta et al, in MCF-7 cells. The authors demonstrated that as morphine enhanced angiogenesis and breast tumor growth by the regulation of cell-cycle pathway at high doses, naloxone reduced breast tumor growth without affecting angiogenesis. On the contrary, Ishikawa et al in a study conducted on a mouse model of sarcoma and leukemia showed that naloxone was not able to inhibit tumor growth, but its effects were limited to antagonize the immunosuppressive action of morphine. These different data are probably due to several factors, such as different dosages, in vitro and in vivo system used, and the undiscovered molecular mechanism underlying the effect of naloxone on tumor growth. Thus, more studies are needed...
to clarify this issue. Interesting results will be obtained by a study, ongoing in our laboratory, on the effects of combination of naloxone with morphine on breast tumor growth and angiogenesis in a mouse model of TNBC.

The results obtained from these experiments allow us to shed a light on the role of naloxone in the regulation of breast cancer development and progression, by antagonizing the effects of morphine, and to develop novel naloxone-based therapeutic compounds, for breast cancer therapy.

Conclusion

Here we reported, for the first time, findings on the role of naloxone in the regulation of the ER-negative human breast carcinoma cells, and MDA.MB231 cell growth in TNBC. Our data showed that naloxone reduced breast cancer progression without affecting angiogenesis. Future studies will be necessary to dissect the mechanisms underlying the roles of naloxone in cancer growth and progression.
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