Trends and inequities in use of maternal health care services in Indonesia, 1986–2012
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Purpose: Overall health status indicators have improved significantly over the past three decades in Indonesia. However, the country’s maternal mortality ratio remains high with a stark inequality by region. Fewer studies have explored access inequality in maternal health care service over time using multiple inequality markers. In this study, we analyzed Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) data to explore trends and inequities in use of any antenatal care (ANC), four or more ANC (ANC4+), institutional birth, and cesarean section (c-section) birth in Indonesia during 1986–2012 to inform policy for future strategies ending preventable maternal deaths.

Methods: Indonesian DHS data from 1991, 1994, 1997, 2002/3, 2007, and 2012 surveys were downloaded, merged, and analyzed. Inequity was measured in terms of variation in use by asset quintile, parental education, urban–rural location, religion, and region. Trends in use inequities were assessed plotting changes in rich:poor ratio, rich:poor difference, and concentration indices over period based on asset quintiles. Sociodemographic determinants for service use were explored using multivariable logistic regression analysis.

Findings: Between 1986 and 2012, institutional birth rate increased from 22% to 73% and c-section rate from 2% to 16%. Private sector was increasingly contributing in maternal health. There were significant access inequities by asset quintile, parental education, area of residence, and geographical region. The richest women were 5.45 times (95% CI: 4.75–6.25) more likely to give birth in a health facility and 2.83 times (95% CI: 2.23–3.60) more likely to give birth by c-section than their poorest counterparts. Urban women were 3 times more likely to use institutional birth and 1.45 times more likely to give birth by c-section than rural women. Use of all services was higher in Java and Bali than in other regions. Access inequity was narrowing over time for use of ANC and institutional birth but not for c-section birth.

Conclusion: Ongoing pro-poor health-financing strategies should be strengthened with introduction of innovative ways to monitor access, equity, and quality of care in maternal health.
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Introduction

Strategies for reduction of maternal mortality ratio (MMR) have undergone many changes since inception of the Safe Motherhood Initiative in 1987.¹ The current global recommendation is for all births to be guided by a skilled birth attendant supported by effective referral linkage with hospitals capable of performing blood transfusion and surgery.² Inequity has been identified as a key constraint in maternal health as existing data depict huge inequity between and within countries with regard to both access to care and levels of MMR.³ Several innovative demand side health financing models have been initiated in different contexts.⁴ However, their evaluations remain insufficient. In addition, monitoring of progress in Millennium Development
Goals (MDGs) paid inadequate attention to equity. The drive toward universal health coverage (UHC) is central to the post-2015 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) that prioritizes health equity with specific call to reduce inequality – referring to any quantifiable differences, variations, and disparities in different aspects of health across individuals or social groups, as well as within and between countries. Accordingly, a global framework has been developed to disaggregate SDG targets and indicators by sociodemographic strata in order to allow the assessment of equitable service distribution.  

Indonesia is home to around 13,000 islands with hundreds of diverse ethnic groups, grouped into 34 provinces, 98 municipalities, and 410 districts. Some regions are more developed in terms of economy, education, and infrastructure. The wealthiest, the urban, and those living in Java and Bali enjoy better availability and access to basic services including health. Health status indicators have improved, with life expectancy rising from 63 years in 1990 to 71 years in 2012, under-five mortality falling from 52 to 31 deaths per 1,000 live births and infant mortality falling from 41 to 26 deaths per 1,000 live births between 2000 and 2012. However, MMR remained high (210 deaths per 100,000 live births in 2010), and a stark inequality persists across regions. For example, in Papua – the most Eastern part of Indonesia – the MMR was 573 per 100,000 live births in 2010, more than double of the national estimate during that period. The high MMR with prevailing inequity fundamentally violates Indonesian women’s right to health.  

The government is striving for the highest possible standard of health for all women in the country. A number of evolving maternal health and health-financing strategies have been implemented. Important among them are the village midwife program, the insurance program for maternal and child health, and the placement and incentive programs in underserved areas. A National Health Insurance Program (Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional [JKN]) have been launched in 2014 to facilitate achieving UHC. Country data suggest some improvement in terms of service coverage, but not equity. Fewer studies in Indonesia have explored access inequity in maternal health over time using multiple inequality markers. Demographic and Health Surveys (DHS) provide unique opportunity for tracking health inequities over time and between countries. In this paper, we analyzed six consecutive Indonesian Demographic and Health Survey (IDHS) data to explore trends and inequities in access to key maternal health care services between 1986 and 2012 to inform policy for future strategies ending preventable maternal deaths.

Methods

With permission from MEASURE DHS (www.measuredhs.com), we downloaded, merged, and analyzed the 1991, 1994, 1997, 2002/3, 2007, and 2012 Indonesian DHS datasets to explore trends, inequities, and determinants of maternal health care service utilization in Indonesia. This study was exempt from review by the ethics committee because the data used were publicly available and no respondent information was obtained. Before receiving access to the data, an electronic registration form highlighting the desired data and plan for analysis was submitted and approved by MEASURE DHS. All data were reported in aggregate, and no attempt was made to identify study participants.

The current study explored service utilization among women in Indonesia who gave their last birth in the 3 years prior to each survey year. The outcome measures focused on the utilization of any antenatal care (any ANC), four or more ANC (ANC4+), institutional birth, and birth by cesarean section (c-section). The inclusion of any ANC and ANC4+ was based on World Health Organization (WHO) recommendation that ANC is fundamental to ensure the delivery of effective and appropriate screening, prevention, and treatment of complication around pregnancy. The recommendation suggests a minimum of four focused ANCs as a lower number of visits has been associated with increased likelihood of perinatal morbidity. Inequities were measured in terms of inequality in access by different sociodemographic characteristics including asset quintiles, parental education (none, primary, secondary, or higher), clusters of regions (Sumbawa, Java and Bali, Nusa Tenggara, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, or Maluku and Papua), areas of residence (urban or rural), and religions (Islam or others). Influence of maternal age (categorized into five age groups), birth order (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6+), and year of birth upon use of maternal health care services was also explored.

Asset quintiles were computed survey year-wise following principal component and factor analysis methods using information on construction material of floor, wall, and roof; the source of drinking water; the type of latrine; electricity supply; and ownership of radio, telephone, motorcycle, television, car, and bicycle. The trends and inequities were examined at 4-year time intervals (except for the year 2010–2012, which covered 3 years). Sociodemographic determinants were explored using multivariable logistic regression analysis, by quantifying both unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios (ORs) for each of the outcome variables using Wald tests to assess statistical significance (with 95% CIs and level of significance <0.05), taking into account survey design (sampling weights and strata) and clustering effect.
To look into trends in inequities, we used relative measurement of rich:poor ratio; absolute measurement of rich:poor difference; and the concentration index (with 95% CIs). The concentration index is considered as a better measurement of inequality, which quantifies how specific goods and services are distributed among different socioeconomic groups. The value of the index varies between +1 and −1; positive values indicate a higher concentration of services among higher socioeconomic groups and vice versa. If there is no socioeconomic-related inequity, the concentration index would be zero.\(^{25}\) Unadjusted and adjusted annual time trends for each of the outcome variables were also explored to determine whether the odds of use of maternal health care services were improving at the same rate in different socioeconomic groups. All statistical analyses were performed in Stata 14 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).\(^{26}\)

**Result**

**Trends in sociodemographic characteristics of women**

In this study, we included 104,220 women in the final analysis who gave birth between 1986 and 2012. Table 1 shows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Trends in sociodemographic characteristics in Indonesia (1986–2012)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No education</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>63.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paternal education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No education</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary</td>
<td>25.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Java and Bali</td>
<td>56.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumatera</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nusa Tenggara</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalimantan</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulawesi</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maluku and Papua</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>70.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group of the mother (years)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islam</td>
<td>87.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth order</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
considerable changes in sociodemographic characteristics of women over the past 25 years. The percentage of women with no education decreased from 15.3% in 1986–1989 to 2.2% in 2010–2012, while the percentage of husbands with no education reduced from 9.8% to 1.4% during the same period. The percentage of urban population increased from 29.1% in 1986–1989 to 48.8% in 2010–2012. During the study period, the percentage of households from the lowest asset quintile group dropped from 21.46% to 15.05% and those from the highest asset quintile group grew from 14.77% to 21.9%. There was a significant demographic shift as well; the proportion of adolescent mothers increased from 2.26% to 5.22%; the primigravidae mothers increased from 15.8% to 37.6%; and women with 6 or more birth order reduced from 16.2% to 3.72% between 1986 and 2012 (Table 1).

Trends in maternal health care service utilization

Figure 1 shows that between 1986 and 2012, the utilization of any ANC increased from 81% to 95%, the use of ANC4+ increased from 61% to 85%, institutional birth increased from 22% to 73%, and c-section birth increased from 2% to 16%. A sharp increase in institutional birth was observed after 1998. Population-based c-section rate increased steadily and just crossed the upper limit of global recommendation of 15% in 2012.

Table 2 presents the annual trends of use showing that during the study period, the utilization of any ANC services was actually decreasing at a rate of 5% per year, and the use of ANC4+ remained the same, while an increasing trend was observed for uptake of both institutional birth (5% per year) and c-section birth (4% per year). Annual trend for institutional birth was better among the poorer and rural women and in Nusa Tenggara region. For c-section birth, the trend was higher among wealthier households, in urban areas, and in Nusa Tenggara region. Annual trend for institutional birth was 7% among rural women and 3% among urban women. However, the annual trend for c-section favored the urban women (4%) than rural women (3%) and the richest women (5%) than the poorest (3%).

Place of birth

Figure 1 shows that institutional birth rate increased from 22% to 73% between 1986 and 2012; however, the increase was contributed mainly by the private sector facilities (Figure 2). Institutional birth in private facilities increased from 11.2% to 48.1%, while in public sector facilities the increase was only ~10% from 9.1% to 19.6%. There was no increase in home birth by skilled birth attendants since 1998.

Sociodemographic determinants of maternal health care service utilization

Multivariable logistic regression analysis showed statistically significant relationship between sociodemographic factors and use variables included in the analysis (Table 3). In the model where effects of covariates were controlled statistically, all sociodemographic variables evolved as significant predictors for use of any ANC, ANC4+, institutional birth, and birth by c-section. However, the strength...
Table 2  Annual trends in any ANC, ANC4+, institutional birth, and cesarean birth in Indonesia (1986–2012) stratified by asset quintile, area of residence, and place of residence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariates</th>
<th>Annual trends</th>
<th>ANC4+</th>
<th>Institutional birth</th>
<th>Cesarean birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any ANC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted OR</td>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
<td>Unadjusted OR</td>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset quintiles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorest</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99–1.00)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.95–0.96)</td>
<td>1.04 (1.03–1.04)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99–1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00–1.02)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.96–0.98)</td>
<td>1.04 (1.04–1.05)</td>
<td>1.02 (1.01–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00–1.01)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.94–0.97)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.05–1.06)</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rich</td>
<td>0.97 (0.96–0.98)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.89–0.91)</td>
<td>1.03 (1.02–1.04)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.97–0.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richest</td>
<td>0.93 (0.92–0.94)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.85–0.87)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.98–1.00)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.92–0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Java and Bali</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00–1.02)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.94–0.95)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.05–1.06)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.98–1.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumatera</td>
<td>0.98 (0.97–0.99)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.93–0.94)</td>
<td>1.02 (1.02–1.03)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.98–0.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nusa Tenggara</td>
<td>1.03 (1.02–1.05)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.98–1.00)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.07–1.09)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.04–1.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalimantan</td>
<td>1.02 (1.02–1.04)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.97–1.00)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.04–1.06)</td>
<td>1.02 (1.01–1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulawesi</td>
<td>1.01 (0.99–1.02)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.96–0.98)</td>
<td>1.04 (1.03–1.04)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99–1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maluku and Papua</td>
<td>0.98 (0.96–1.02)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.92–0.95)</td>
<td>0.99 (0.97–1.01)</td>
<td>0.96 (0.95–0.97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of residence</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1.01 (1.00–1.01)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.96–0.97)</td>
<td>1.04 (1.04–1.05)</td>
<td>1.01 (1.01–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>0.96 (0.95–0.97)</td>
<td>0.89 (0.88–0.89)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.99–1.00)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.93–0.95)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ANC, antenatal care; OR, odds ratio.
and direction of associations varied. There was significant use inequity by asset quintile, education, area of residence, and region. A mother from the richest quintile household was 5.45 times (adjusted OR: 5.45; 95% CI: 4.75–6.25) more likely to give birth in a health facility than a mother from the poorest quintile household. Similarly, the richest quintile mothers were 2.83 times (adjusted OR: 2.83; 95% CI: 2.23–3.60) more likely to give birth by c-section than their poorest counterpart. Education of mothers evolved as the number one predictor for use of c-section birth and for institutional birth. A mother with higher education was 4.36 times (adjusted OR: 4.36; 95% CI: 3.46–5.50) more likely to give birth at health facility and 3.12 times (adjusted OR: 3.12; 95% CI: 1.89–5.15) more likely to give birth by c-section than her illiterate counterpart. Utilization also varied significantly by religion, area of residence, and region. Uses were higher among non-Muslims, in urban area and in Java and Bali Sumatera region. By geographic location and using Java and Bali as the reference, there was lower propensity of women from other regions to use maternal health care services. Women from Maluku and Papua used the services the least, and they were about 50% less likely to use any ANC (adjusted OR: 0.45; 95% CI: 0.36–0.55), ANC4+ (adjusted OR: 0.53; 95% CI: 0.47–0.59), and institutional birth (adjusted OR: 0.45; 95% CI: 0.39–0.52), and about 20% less likely to give birth by c-section (adjusted OR: 0.76; 95% CI: 0.61–0.94) than women from Java and Bali. Older age and lower parity were positively correlated with service utilization (Table 3).

Trends in inequities in maternal health care service utilization

In Figures 3–6, we have plotted rich:poor ratio, rich–poor difference, and concentration indices based on asset quintiles to show changes in equity in maternal health care services utilization. Findings show signs of improvement in addressing access inequity in use of any ANC, ANC4+, and institutional births. For institutional birth, rich:poor ratio reduced from 20.3 to 2.6, while concentration index reduced from 0.53 to 0.15 between 1986–1989 and 2010–2012 (Figure 5). However, no sign of equity gain was observed for c-section (Figure 6).

Discussion

The current study revealed that Indonesia is experiencing a positive change in the social determinants of health over the past two to three decades. There has been a shift in demographic characteristics of women, from high to low parity and from older to younger age-group mothers. However, an increase in the proportion of adolescent mothers is worrying. Overall, utilization of maternal health care services has increased, reflecting improved coverage and access. There was variation in utilization across urban–rural and geographical regions. Women who lived in Java–Bali and in urban areas used maternal health care services more. Use inequity persisted for all use variables, and by all inequality measures. Nevertheless, access inequity in terms of asset quintile was reducing over time, and for all use variables except for c-section birth. Private sector was increasingly contributing...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey year groups</th>
<th>ANC4+</th>
<th>Any ANC</th>
<th>Institutional birth</th>
<th>Cesarean birth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% use</td>
<td>% use</td>
<td>% use</td>
<td>% use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted OR</td>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
<td>Unadjusted OR</td>
<td>Adjusted OR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
<td>(95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986–1989</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1.38 (2.72–1.91)</td>
<td>1.35 (2.00–2.75)</td>
<td>1.34 (2.12–1.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990–1993</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1.35 (2.64–2.54)</td>
<td>1.34 (2.17–2.50)</td>
<td>1.33 (2.10–2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994–1997</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1.35 (2.66–2.54)</td>
<td>1.34 (2.17–2.50)</td>
<td>1.33 (2.10–2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998–2001</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1.35 (2.66–2.54)</td>
<td>1.34 (2.17–2.50)</td>
<td>1.33 (2.10–2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002–2005</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>1.35 (2.66–2.54)</td>
<td>1.34 (2.17–2.50)</td>
<td>1.33 (2.10–2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006–2009</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1.35 (2.66–2.54)</td>
<td>1.34 (2.17–2.50)</td>
<td>1.33 (2.10–2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010–2012</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>1.35 (2.66–2.54)</td>
<td>1.34 (2.17–2.50)</td>
<td>1.33 (2.10–2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001–2004</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.35 (2.66–2.54)</td>
<td>1.34 (2.17–2.50)</td>
<td>1.33 (2.10–2.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005–2008</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.35 (2.66–2.54)</td>
<td>1.34 (2.17–2.50)</td>
<td>1.33 (2.10–2.53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of residence</th>
<th>Any ANC % use</th>
<th>ANC4+ % use</th>
<th>Institutional birth % use</th>
<th>Cesarean birth % use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Unadjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Java and Bali</td>
<td>88 Ref</td>
<td>74 Ref</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sumatera</td>
<td>82 0.62 (0.56–0.68)</td>
<td>63 0.59 (0.54–0.64)</td>
<td>32 0.70 (0.63–0.77)</td>
<td>5.9 0.94 (0.80–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nusa Tenggara</td>
<td>74 0.39 (0.34–0.44)</td>
<td>56 0.43 (0.38–0.48)</td>
<td>23 0.43 (0.37–0.51)</td>
<td>1.45 1.25 (1.08–1.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalimantan</td>
<td>80 0.53 (0.47–0.60)</td>
<td>63 0.57 (0.51–0.64)</td>
<td>24 0.47 (0.41–0.53)</td>
<td>0.52 0.45 (0.38–0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulawesi</td>
<td>78 0.42 (0.43–0.54)</td>
<td>64 0.36 (0.42–0.48)</td>
<td>25 0.54 (0.47–0.62)</td>
<td>3.1 0.64 (0.50–0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maluku and Papua</td>
<td>61 0.21 (0.17–0.26)</td>
<td>47 0.30 (0.25–0.36)</td>
<td>21 0.39 (0.31–0.48)</td>
<td>0.39 0.35 (0.28–0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Place of residence</td>
<td>Rural 81 Ref</td>
<td>61 Ref</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban 89 1.80 (1.66–1.96)</td>
<td>61 2.67 (2.46–2.89)</td>
<td>1.31 (1.21–1.41)</td>
<td>0.42 0.34 (0.28–0.40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ANC, antenatal care; OR, odds ratio.
Figure 3 Trend in use inequity for any ANC.
Abbreviation: ANC, antenatal care.

Figure 4 Trend in use inequity for ANC+.
Abbreviation: ANC, antenatal care.

Figure 5 Trend in use inequity for institutional birth.
earlier. Undeniably, health facilities, health workers, and other resources are more concentrated in urban areas and in Java–Bali, making the services more readily available in these locations. From the annual trend, it was obvious that worse-off regions such as Maluku and Papua consistently lagged behind. These provinces continue to experience health worker shortage, limited infrastructure, and high poverty rates. Government decentralization in 2001 has been criticized for persistent geographic inequity. Multiple challenges continued to hinder health sector improvement through decentralization, including complicated funding and disbursement, limited capacity, and lack of transparency and accountability of local government.

The government has implemented a number of health agendas. Community health centers in every subdistrict (Puskesmas) and village (Pustu) have been the cornerstone of Indonesian health system since the late 1960s. Maternal health care service was integrated through monthly community health post (Posyandu) with the involvement of community volunteer to increase ANC coverage and raise awareness for skilled attendance. To improve access to skilled attendance, birthing centers (Polindes) were established in every village. The availability of health workers was ensured through compulsory government services introduced in 1974, in which doctor, midwives, and nurse were deployed to different parts of Indonesia. Bidan di Desa (village midwife) was introduced in 1989, where existing nurses were given additional training on midwifery and deployed at a community level to conduct deliveries either in Polindes or at home. Unfortunately, due to difficult and isolated geographic location, smaller incentive package, and lack of opportunity for professional development, these jobs were not attractive to this new cadre of service providers leading to unfilled position and/or absenteeism. This situation may also explain the slow decrease in MMR despite the reduction in the proportion of home delivery. Furthermore, a study by the World Bank suggested a weak correlation between the availability of midwives and maternal and neonatal health outcomes in Indonesia, highlighting low quality of health care at the primary health care level. The condition is further impaired by a shortage of health facilities with proper equipment and supporting infrastructures, particularly in the Eastern part of Indonesia. This increasing shortage of human resources, supplies, logistic, and medicine also aggravated the discrepancy between geographic locations. Nevertheless, a full coverage of Puskesmas was achieved 20 years after its introduction with a relatively better distribution of health workers achieved in the latter part of the 1980s.

The low service fee allowed the demand for health services to increase and the overall health outcomes to improve until 1997 when the Asian financial crisis started. During the crisis, an increase in prices for health care affected government expenditure and reduced quality in public sector while increasing service fee. At the same time, the households faced unemployment and decline in real wages and coped by cutting expenses including for health care. Consequently, there was a remarkable decrease in public health services and widened use inequity across region. To ensure access to health care for poor households, government started distributing health cards (Jaring Penganman Sosial Bidang Kesehatan). However, the health cards were not considered effective because of ignorance of the beneficiaries and corruption among service providers.

Deterioration of quality in public sector has also prompted preference to seek care in private sector, which is considered to have better perceived quality with only a slight difference in

![Figure 6 Inequity in use of cesarean section over time.](https://example.com/figure6.jpg)
cost.\textsuperscript{37} Our findings support previous results, showing a bigger increase in private sector utilization during and after the crisis. In Indonesia, private sector consists largely of individual providers, especially midwives. Since decentralization, national government was no longer absorbing health workers into the government system, rather prompting doctor and midwives to find employment in private sector or open private practices.\textsuperscript{43} In addition, proliferation of private training institutions produced more graduates who could not find employment with government.\textsuperscript{45} It promoted these graduates to work as individual private providers, making them an important source of health care in Indonesia including maternal health.\textsuperscript{46} Unfortunately, government has minimal control of private sector with underdeveloped regulation and accreditation.\textsuperscript{47} This could have further negatively affected the quality of care.\textsuperscript{48}

To respond to the MMR stagnation, Indonesian government has introduced a number of initiatives on maternal and neonatal health. One notable program is the Flying Health Care introduced to serve remote and challenging areas in eight provinces in Sumatera, Kalimantan, Papua, and Maluku.\textsuperscript{49} The program places a team of medical professionals for at least 3 months and rotates the team throughout the year. To increase the availability of medical professionals in remote areas, the government also started a mandatory placement for specialist doctors, including new graduates from obstetrics and pediatrics program.\textsuperscript{50,51} However, these program would need the support of good health infrastructure within the remote areas and indeed underline the issue of quality of care.\textsuperscript{51}

To improve the referral system and quality of care, several innovative programs were introduced in the Eastern parts of Indonesia. East Nusa Tenggara province, for example, implemented a monitoring and referral system using short messaging service (SMS) for every pregnant woman since 2011, called the 2H2 program.\textsuperscript{52} The 2H2 program aimed to detect pregnant women who have high risk of complicated childbirth and prepare them for referral by monitoring their status 2 days before and after childbirth. In this program, the local health workers responsible for monitoring send information via SMS to the 2H2 program center at the primary health centers, who subsequently relay the records to designated referral BEmOC Puskesmas or CEmOC hospital.\textsuperscript{52} At the hospital level, East Nusa Tenggara province also implemented the Sister Hospital approach, aimed to improve the quality of health workers in guiding childbirth and dealing with obstetric emergencies. Under the approach, local district hospitals in East Nusa Tenggara province are coupled with well-established hospitals in Java. These well-established hospitals are contracted to send specialist doctors or final-year residents to provide basic and emergency maternal health care services and on-the-job training for health workers at the partner hospitals and health centers. The Sister Hospital approach managed to increase the availability of 24-hour obstetric care at the participating district hospitals.\textsuperscript{53}

The present study provides a multiyear trend in maternal health service utilization, which can be used as a benchmark for evaluation of recently launched Indonesia’s national health insurance program, the JKN. The program started in January 2014 with the ambitious goal to cover all Indonesian population by 2019. It consolidates all existing financial protection schemes into a single provider. The combined schemes include the pro-poor social insurance scheme (Askeskin or later known as Jamkesmas), scheme for government employee (Askses) and military personnel (ASABRI), mandatory private sector insurance (Jamsostek), local government-run insurance programs (Jamkesda), as well as specialized scheme for maternal health called Jampersal. In JKN, government fully subsidies the monthly premium for poor and near-poor, while the rest of the population is required to pay monthly premium on a mandatory basis. JKN provides a comprehensive continuum of care for maternal and newborn services, consisting of family-planning counselling and services, a minimum of four ANC visits, services for normal and complicated pregnancy and childbirth, as well as postpartum care.\textsuperscript{54}

The previous insurance schemes had varying experiences. Askeskin increased service utilization by the poor; however, it also increased out-of-pocket spending.\textsuperscript{55} Jampersal had a limited effect in increasing maternal health service utilization because of widespread perception of the benefit and quality,\textsuperscript{18} as well as the nonexistence of funding for referral transportation to address accessibility issue.\textsuperscript{18,56,57} The current Jampersal scheme within the newly established JKN program covers ambulance service to close the gap in geographical access\textsuperscript{58} and geographical inequity. Experiences from other countries such as India, Cambodia, and Ghana showed that transportation is an important determinant and including transportation cost in financial protection scheme significantly improves access to maternal health care services.\textsuperscript{59−62} In addition, JKN provides opportunity for monitoring and improving the quality of care, especially in private sector facilities.\textsuperscript{63} However, there are criticisms that JKN is concentrating more on hospital-level clinical services than on community-level preventive and promotive services.

Finally, one interesting finding from the study is that demand-side financing program in Indonesia did not result in overutilization of c-section services, as observed in other settings.\textsuperscript{64} C-section rate in Indonesia of 16% falls just slightly above WHO conservative recommendation of 10%−15%.\textsuperscript{65} This might also be another indication of persistent low utilization of health care in Indonesia in general.\textsuperscript{56,66}
Strength and limitations
The current study is the first to explore the trend in maternal utilization and equity over time in Indonesia. The use of concentration index is a better way to measure inequity and hopefully can reflect the real situation in the country. However, this study also has some limitations. First, the recall period in the six IDHS surveys varied between 3 and 5 years. This long recall period might introduce bias and affect the accuracy of the information provided by the respondents. Second, as the latest data used were from 2012 IDHS, the more recent changes in access or equity could not be captured in this study.

Conclusion and recommendation
The current study indicates potential health gains with improved social determinants of health in Indonesia, which at the same time also reduces health inequities. However, access inequity still persists across asset quintile groups and across regions. Indonesian government needs to continue closing this gap and finding innovative ways to monitor progress, in which DHS data can be an aid. Comprehensive health insurance program introduced in 2014 has the potential to do this, by improving coverage and quality of health care services and lowering the financial barrier to access the care. With the increasing role of private sector, especially solo providers, it is important to consider ways to include them in the scheme, as well as to monitor and improve the quality of care they deliver.
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