Management of disseminated intravascular coagulation: current insights on antithrombin and thrombomodulin treatments
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Abstract: Sepsis and septic shock are frequently complicated by disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC), which decreases the survival rate of patients with sepsis. In the past, large international randomized controlled trials (RCTs) using physiological anticoagulants for sepsis-induced DIC were not performed; however, RCTs have been conducted for sepsis and/or septic shock. In these trials, physiological anticoagulants did not show any beneficial effects compared with placebo for the treatment of sepsis and/or septic shock. In Japan, DIC treatments using antithrombin (AT) and/or recombinant human soluble thrombomodulin (rhTM) are common for patients with sepsis-induced DIC. Recently, large propensity score analyses demonstrated that AT and rhTM improved survival in patients with sepsis-induced DIC. Furthermore, post hoc analyses and meta-analyses that selected patients with sepsis-induced DIC from the previous large international RCTs indicated that physiological anticoagulants improved survival without increasing the associated sepsis-induced DIC bleeding. DIC treatments, such as AT and rhTM, may demonstrate beneficial effects when they are targeted at patients with sepsis-induced DIC only.
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Introduction

Sepsis and septic shock are forms of systemic inflammatory and anti-inflammatory response syndrome, which can result in life-threatening organ dysfunction caused by severe infection. Sepsis and septic shock are frequently complicated by disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). Two decades ago, Rangel-Frausto et al reported that the frequency of DIC complication gradually increased as severity of sepsis increased. Recently, in Japan, several reports have indicated that half of the patients with sepsis and septic shock who were treated in intensive care units (ICUs) also had DIC. Furthermore, the mortality among patients with sepsis-induced DIC was higher than that among patients without sepsis-induced DIC (Figure 1).

In the 2012 International Guidelines from the Surviving Sepsis Campaign, there are no details about the treatment of sepsis-induced DIC. However, in the guidelines newly published in 2016, a chapter regarding anticoagulant therapies has been added, the target of which is general sepsis and not sepsis-induced DIC.

In the present paper, we review the existing evidence regarding various treatments for sepsis-induced DIC and their efficacy.
Targeted anticoagulant treatments
In the past two decades, several large randomized controlled trials (RCTs) using physiological anticoagulants (the KyberSept trial for AT,11 the OPTIMIST trial for recombinant tissue factor pathway inhibitor,14 the PROWESS [and PROWESS-SHOCK, ADDRESS] study for recombinant human activated protein C)15–17 were performed for sepsis and septic shock. None of these trials indicated the beneficial effects of treatment with physiological anticoagulants compared with the placebo.13–17 Furthermore, in a meta-analysis of RCTs, Freeman et al demonstrated that various physiological anticoagulant therapies did not improve treatment outcomes; rather, they were associated with significantly increased risk of bleeding complications among patients with sepsis and septic shock.18

In a post hoc analysis, where data of patients with sepsis-induced DIC were extracted from these large RCTs, anticoagulant therapies were found to improve patient outcomes.19,20 Recently, Umemura et al conducted a meta-analysis of RCTs which examined the efficacy and safety of anticoagulant therapy in patients with sepsis.21 The meta-analysis showed that although anticoagulant therapy resulted in no survival benefits and increased bleeding complications in the overall population of patients with sepsis, it improved survival without increasing the frequency of bleeding complications in patients with sepsis-induced DIC.21 Therefore, anticoagulant therapy was recommended for patients with sepsis-induced DIC only.

Antithrombin
AT is an important physiological anticoagulant, similar to protein C and thrombomodulin (TM), which is estimated to

Table 1 Drugs and frequency of DIC treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombin</td>
<td>Any DIC treatments</td>
<td>31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombomodulin</td>
<td></td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protease inhibitors</td>
<td></td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heparin</td>
<td></td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any DiC treatments</td>
<td>International sepsis registry</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antithrombin</td>
<td>Japanese national administrative database</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: DIC, disseminated intravascular coagulation; ICU, intensive care unit.
inhibit 80% of the coagulation activity against thrombin and various coagulation factors. However, in sepsis-induced DIC, AT activity is commonly decreased as a result of excessive thrombin generation, increased vascular permeability, degraded acceleration of AT, and impaired synthesis of AT in the liver. Decreased AT is associated with sepsis severity and high mortality.

Recently, interaction between AT and vascular endothelial cells has been discussed. AT plays a role in the protection of endothelial cells by binding to the glycosaminoglycans, and suppressing capillary leakage. Furthermore, AT binding to the glycosaminoglycans exerts an anti-inflammatory effect in sepsis. Therefore, in septic patients with lower AT activity, AT supplementation is required to potentially improve outcomes.

The effects of high-dose AT treatment (18,000 U/5 days–30,000 U/4 days) in patients with sepsis were investigated in several RCTs. Some trials reported the beneficial effects of AT treatment among septic patients with decreased platelet counts and AT activity. The largest RCT (the KyberSept trial) demonstrated that high-dose AT therapy did not improve the mortality rate and increased the frequency of bleeding complications in patients with sepsis. However, a subgroup analysis of the KyberSept trial demonstrated that AT administration significantly decreased mortality rate in patients with sepsis-induced DIC and did not increase the frequency of bleeding complications. Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis of three RCTs by Umemura et al also indicated that AT treatment resulted in beneficial effects on mortality in patients with sepsis-induced DIC only.

In Japan, septic DIC patients with AT activity ≤70% are clinically approved for AT supplementation therapy (4,500 U/3 days). Recently, several large propensity score analyses indicated that AT supplementation therapy decreased mortality rate in patients with sepsis-induced DIC. Based on the Japanese national administrative database, Tagami et al demonstrated that AT supplementation therapy decreased in-hospital mortality in patients with severe pneumonia and perforation of the lower intestinal tract. Also, in the Japan-Septic DIC study, AT supplementation therapy decreased early in-hospital mortality in patients with sepsis-induced DIC treated in the ICU. Furthermore, in patients with sepsis-induced DIC who had very low AT activity (<45%), AT supplementation therapy provided survival benefits. However, optimal AT doses (high or low) as well as the target of keeping AT activity are still unclear.

Recombinant human soluble thrombomodulin

Physiological TM binds directly to thrombin with a high affinity, inhibiting thrombin activity and forming a thrombin–TM complex. The TM-bound thrombin complex converts protein C into activated protein C which binds to protein C receptor on the vascular endothelial cell surface. This prominent role of thrombin–TM complex in activating protein C is important in suppressing the coagulation and inflammatory systems.

In Japan, rhTM was developed and approved for use clinically in 2008 after a Phase III RCT. Similar to the action of physiological TM on the vascular endothelial cell surface, rhTM has an active extracellular domain, can bind to thrombin, and can activate protein C. Additionally, rhTM was shown to have a unique mechanism of action, in which thrombin generation is suppressed via activation of protein C without direct inhibition of thrombin activity, when used at therapeutic plasma concentrations.

In a Phase III double-blind RCT performed in Japan, rhTM treatment improved DIC scores and reduced the frequency of bleeding symptoms in patients with DIC-associated sepsis and hematological malignancy more than heparin treatment. Furthermore, a post hoc analysis restricted to sepsis-induced DIC patients indicated a trend towards better outcomes in the rhTM group, compared to those in the heparin group. Some retrospective studies have indicated that rhTM reduced in-hospital mortality among patients with sepsis-induced DIC in clinical settings. In a Japanese nationwide multicenter registry study (Japan-Septic DIC study), propensity matching analysis demonstrated that rhTM significantly improved in-hospital all-cause survival without increasing the frequency of bleeding complications in the rhTM group (hazard ratio: 0.781 [95% CI: 0.624–0.977, P = 0.030]) (Figure 2).

Although, in a Phase IIb RCT conducted in Europe, rhTM treatment did not show direct survival benefits in overall septic patients with suspected DIC, the greatest survival benefit was observed in patients with respiratory or cardiac dysfunction and coagulopathy. Furthermore, in a meta-analysis which included three RCTs and nine observational cohort studies (not including the results of the Japan-Septic DIC study), rhTM treatment was associated with a reduced mortality trend among patients with sepsis-induced DIC. In conclusion, investigators recommended weekly rhTM treatment with moderate quality of evidence after evaluation using the Grading of Evidence, Assessment, Development and
Evaluation approach. Although rhTM is being widely used in clinical settings for treatment of DIC in Japan, rhTM is currently being evaluated by a Phase III international RCT in other countries.

Conclusion
This paper reviewed the current treatment strategies for the management of sepsis-induced DIC. There are no existing guidelines with recommendations for the treatment of sepsis-induced DIC specifically. Although DIC treatments such as AT and rhTM are adjunct therapies for sepsis, they can demonstrate survival benefits when the treatment is provided to those with sepsis-induced DIC rather than all patients with sepsis.
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