The oral bioavailability, excretion and cytochrome P450 inhibition properties of epiberberine: an in vivo and in vitro evaluation
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Abstract: Epiberberine (EPI) is a novel and potentially effective therapeutic and preventive agent for diabetes and cardiovascular disease. To evaluate its potential value for drug development, a specific, sensitive and robust high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry assay for the determination of EPI in rat biological samples was established. This assay was used to study the pharmacokinetics, bioavailability and excretion of EPI in rats after oral administration. In addition, a cocktail method was used to compare the inhibition characteristics of EPI on cytochrome P450 (CYP450) isoforms in human liver microsomes (HLMs) and rat liver microsomes (RLMs). The results demonstrated that EPI was rapidly absorbed and metabolized after oral administration (10, 54 or 81 mg/kg) in rats, with T₁/₂ of 0.37–0.42 h and T_{max} of 0.49–2.73 h. The C_{max} and area under the curve values for EPI increased proportionally with the dose, and the oral absolute bioavailability was 14.46%. EPI was excreted mainly in bile and feces, and after its oral administration to rats, EPI was eliminated predominantly by the kidneys. A comparison of the current half-maximal inhibitory concentration and Kᵢ values revealed that EPI demonstrated an obvious inhibitory effect on CYP2C9 and CYP2D6. Furthermore, its effect was stronger in HLM than in RLM, more likely to be a result of noncompetitive inhibition.

Keywords: epiberberine, bioavailability, excretion kinetics, CYP inhibition type

Introduction

Epiberberine (EPI; Figure 1), one of the main active protoberberine alkaloids, is found in “Rhizoma Coptidis” (Huanglian).¹ Rhizoma Coptidis is a famous Chinese herb, which has been widely used in folk medicine for more than 2000 years. Modern pharmacological studies suggest that EPI has broad biological activity, including antihyperlipidemic²–⁶ and antihyperglycemic⁷–¹¹ effects as well as anti-inflammatory,¹²,¹³ anti-Alzheimer and antioxidant effects,¹⁴ and inhibits urease activity.¹⁵ In addition, EPI interacts with the human organic cation transporters (OCTs) 1, 2 and 3¹⁶ and the cytochrome P450 (CYP450) enzyme.¹⁷ When considering binding affinity to DNA and aldose reductase inhibitory activity, EPI is more active than other coptis alkaloids including berberine, coptisine, palmatine and jatrorrhizine.¹⁸ More importantly, the results for acute and subchronic toxicity in mice and rats indicate EPI is a safe compound and its toxicity is lower compared with that of the other coptis alkaloids.¹⁹,²⁰ This evidence suggests that EPI holds promise as a therapeutic and preventive agent for cardiovascular disease, metabolic syndrome, Alzheimer’s disease and oxidative stress-related disease, diabetic complications, diabetes mellitus and other diseases. Until now, although EPI has been confirmed to carry enviable pharmacological activity,
few studies have been conducted to investigate absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) as well as its pharmacokinetic characterizations for drug development. The evaluation of the EPI inhibitory effects on CYP450 isoforms has been focused on continuously; however, the results are not sufficiently comprehensive, and further research is needed.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to establish a sensitive and robust high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) assay to measure EPI concentrations in biological samples. The results of the assay were then used to confirm the following pharmacokinetic properties: 1) the plasma pharmacokinetics; 2) the biliary, urinary and fecal excretion; 3) the relative inhibition of CYP450 isoforms in the mixed human liver microsome (HLM) and rat liver microsome (RLM) systems; and 4) the inhibition type.

Materials and methods
Chemicals and reagents
EPI (purity >98%) was purchased from Chengdu Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Chengdu, China). Berberine (the internal standard [IS], purity ≥98%) was obtained from the National Institute for Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products. HLM and RLM were procured from Huizhi Kangtai Tech (iPhase Pharmaceutical Services, Beijing, China). α-Metoprolol, dapson, phenacetin, chloroazoxane and tolbutamide were Sigma (Sigma–Aldrich Chemical Co., St. Louis, USA) products purchased from Beijing Bayer Biology Biotechnology Co., Ltd. 4-Hydroxytoluene sulfonylurea was obtained from Beijing Leon Technology Co., Ltd. α-Hydroxymetoprolol was procured from TRC Co., Ltd (Toronto, Canada). Methanol, acetonitrile and formic acid (HPLC grade) were supplied by Thermo Fisher Technologies Inc. (Pittsburgh, MA, USA). The distilled deionized water used in all experiments was purified by a Milli-Q water purification system (Merck Millipore Co., Darmstadt, Germany). In addition, the remainder of the reagents and chemicals were analytically pure and were purchased from domestic markets.

Animals and experimental design
Male Sprague Dawley rats, weighing 200±20 g, were purchased from the Laboratory Animal Centre of Vital River (Beijing, China) and given an animal feed production certificate number (SCXK, 2012-0001). The rats were maintained in a breeding room under controlled temperature (25°C), humidity (55%±5%) and lighting (12-hour light, 12-hour dark). About 12 h prior to each experiment, the rats were fasted with free access to water. The animal experiments were performed according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals prepared by the Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources. Ethical approvals for the animal experiments were obtained from the animal ethics committee of Beijing University of Chinese Medicine.

Pharmacokinetic studies
A total of 24 rats were randomly divided into two groups: group 1 (n=18) and group 2 (n=6). In addition, rats in group 1 were orally administered at doses of 10, 54 and 81 mg/kg (six rats per dose), while rats in group 2 were intravenously injected at a dose of 10 mg/kg. Blood samples (each 0.3 mL) were collected from the postorbital venous plexuses of each rat into heparinized tubes at various time points after oral administration and intravenous dosing (group 1 and group 2, respectively Table 1). After centrifugation at 15,000×g for 10 min, the plasma samples were obtained. Then, a 100 µL plasma

Table 1 Protocol for the pharmacokinetic and excretion study of EPI in rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Routes</th>
<th>Dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Collection times (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>10, 54, 81</td>
<td>0.083, 0.25, 0.3, 0.33, 0.37, 0.42, 0.58, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 16, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Plasma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.033, 0.083, 0.13, 0.17, 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 6, 480, 12, 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Urine, feces</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>54, 81</td>
<td>0–3–0, 0–3–6–9–9–12, 12–24, 24–36, 36–48, 48–60, 60–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Bile</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>54, 81</td>
<td>0–3–3–6–9–9–12, 12–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Urine, feces</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Bile</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Blank bile</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Blank solvent</td>
<td>0–24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Blank bile</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
<td>Blank solvent</td>
<td>0–24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: EPI, epiberberine.
The reactions were quenched by adding 100 μL acetonitrile with phenacetin (100 μM) as an IS. The mixture was centrifuged at 15,000×g for 10 min, and an aliquot of supernatant was transferred to an auto-injector vial for HPLC analysis.

Determination of enzyme inhibition kinetics

To evaluate the order of inhibition kinetics and calculate the inhibition parameters, various concentrations of EPI were added to reaction mixtures containing various concentrations of tolbutamide (for CYP2C9) or metoprolol (for CYP2D6; Table 2). After a 120-minute incubation at 37°C, the reactions were quenched by adding 100 μL acetonitrile with phenacetin (100 μM) as an IS. The mixture was centrifuged at 15,000×g for 10 min, and an aliquot of supernatant was transferred to an auto-injector vial for the analysis of substrate metabolites (4-hydroxytolbutamide and α-hydroxymetoprololin) by HPLC or HPLC-MS/MS. Dixon and Lineweaver plots were adapted to determine the inhibition type, and a second plot of the slope from the Lineweaver–Burk plot versus EPI concentration was utilized to calculate the inhibitory constant (K_i) values.

Apparatus and chromatographic conditions

LC-MS/MS was used to quantify the EPI in the rat bio-samples. The EPI concentrations were determined using a Thermo Fisher Scientific TSQ Quantum triple quadrupole system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) equipped with an electrospray ionization source in selected reaction monitoring (SRM) mode. Following optimization of the setting parameters, the instrument was operated in positive mode with an ion spray voltage of 3,400 V. The capillary temperature was set at 300°C. The SRM transitions were m/z 336.1→320.2 for EPI and berberine (IS; Figure S1). The analytes were chromatographically separated by an Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18 column (150×4.6 mm, 5 μm; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) using an acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid aqueous solution (30:70, v/v) as a mobile phase at a flow rate of 1 mL/min.

A specific CYP450 cocktail assay for the simultaneous measurement of five substances (tolbutamide, metoprolol, dapsone, CYP2C9, metoprolol, phenacetin (CYP1A2), chlorzoxazone (CYP2E1) and dapsone (CYP3A4) were used at final concentrations of 10 μM (55 μM for dapsone). EPI was dissolved in methanol, and the final concentration of methanol in the solution was less than 0.5% (v/v). After a 5-minute preincubation at 37°C, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) was added to the mixture to initiate the reaction. The reactions were quenched by adding 100 μL of acetonitrile and 20 μL of schisandrol A (31.5 μg/mL) as an IS. The mixture was centrifuged at 15,000×g for 10 min, and an aliquot of supernatant was transferred to an auto-injector vial for HPLC analysis.

Table 2 A series of concentrations of EPI and a series of concentrations of specific substrates of CYP450 isoforms for Dixon and Lineweaver–Burk plots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isoforms</th>
<th>Concentration of EPI (μM)</th>
<th>Substrates</th>
<th>Concentration of substrates (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C9</td>
<td>2.5, 5, 10 and 20</td>
<td>Tolbutamide</td>
<td>12.5, 25, 50 and 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
<td>2.5, 5, 10 and 20</td>
<td>Metoprolol</td>
<td>5, 10, 20 and 40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CYP450, cytochrome P450; EPI, epiberberine.
phenacetin, chlorzoxazone and dapsone) in microsomes was achieved on the column, as described earlier, using a previously validated HPLC method.21

4-Hydroxytolbutamide and phenacetin (IS) in HLM were analyzed using reversed-phase HPLC consisting of a mobile phase flow (1 mL/min) of 25% acetonitrile and 75% of 0.1% formic acid in water at 40°C. The eluent was monitored at 230 nm using a ultraviolet (UV) detector.

α-Hydroxymetoprololin and phenacetin (IS) in HLM and RLM were analyzed via the previously described HPLC-MS/MS system and the same type column. The mobile phase consisted of a mixture of acetonitrile and diammmonium hydrogen phosphate buffer (pH 3.4; 25:75, v/v, %) with a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min at 30°C. Following optimization of the setting parameters, the instrument was operated in positive mode with an ion spray voltage of 2,700 V. The capillary temperature was set at 200°C. The SRM transitions were m/z 284.1→116.2 for α-hydroxymetoprolol and m/z 180.1→138.3 for phenacetin (IS; Figure S2).

Data analysis
A noncompartmental analysis utilizing WinNonlin 5.2 software (Pharsight, St Louis, MO, USA) was employed to calculate the pharmacokinetic parameters. The parameters are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The cumulative excretion percentage was calculated via the following formula:

\[
\text{Cumulative excretion percentage (\%)} = \frac{\text{Cumulative excretion amount}}{\text{Dose}} \times 100\%.
\]

Results

Method validation
Figures S3 and S4 show typical chromatograms of plasma, urine, feces and bile samples, including blank samples, each blank spiked with EPI and IS, and samples of plasma, urine, feces and bile after oral administration. The developed method was determined to be selective, and no endogenous interference or other impurities were observed. The retention time of EPI and IS was 4.61 and 7.54 min, respectively.

Representative chromatograms of α-hydroxymetoprololin and 4-hydroxytolbutamide in microsomes are presented in Figures S5 and S6, including blank, blank spiked with reference substances and IS and microsome samples following incubation. The developed method was determined to be selective, and no endogenous interference or other impurities were observed.

The linearity results for EPI in rat samples and α-hydroxymetoprololin and 4-hydroxytolbutamide in microsomes are summarized in Tables S1 and S2. The detection limit was sufficient for the study requirements.

The precision and accuracy were determined by five replicate analyses of quality control samples at high, medium and low concentrations. The precision of the assay method, including intraday and inter-day precision (relative standard deviation [RSD]), was <15%. The accuracy is presented as the relative error within ±15%. The analyses revealed that the samples were stable when stored at −20°C for 7 days or 4°C in plastic auto-sampler vials for 8 h.

The results of extraction recoveries and matrix effects for EPI in rat samples and α-hydroxymetoprololin and 4-hydroxytolbutamide in microsomes are summarized in Tables 3 and 4.

Pharmacokinetics and oral bioavailability of EPI in rats
The mean concentration–time curves for EPI in rat plasma following oral and intravenous administration are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. Selected pharmacokinetic parameters are presented in Table 5. Table 5 summarizes that for three oral doses of EPI, the parameters for the mean time to peak concentration (T_{max}), half-life (T_{1/2}) and residence time (mean residence time, MRT) were 0.37–0.42, 0.49–2.73 and 0.38–4.39 h, respectively.

Table 3 Extraction recovery and matrix effect for EPI in rat blood, urine, feces and bile (X ± s, n=5)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>EPI concentration added (μg/mL)</th>
<th>Blood (%)</th>
<th>Urine (%)</th>
<th>Feces (%)</th>
<th>Bile (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extraction</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>87.40±1.94</td>
<td>87.83±1.28</td>
<td>106.67±3.09</td>
<td>85.79±4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recoveries</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>90.30±1.97</td>
<td>97.27±1.23</td>
<td>101.55±4.67</td>
<td>86.34±3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>92.57±3.25</td>
<td>101.64±2.78</td>
<td>93.12±5.50</td>
<td>88.83±1.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>81.52±6.83</td>
<td>92.15±2.60</td>
<td>106.67±2.90</td>
<td>96.61±3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>effects</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>90.39±1.07</td>
<td>108.53±0.87</td>
<td>101.55±4.67</td>
<td>98.94±1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>95.53±1.40</td>
<td>100.09±1.99</td>
<td>93.12±5.50</td>
<td>105.01±1.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: EPI, epiberberine.
Bioavailability, excretion and cYP450 inhibition of EPI

Urinary, fecal and biliary excretion kinetics of EPI in rats

The cumulative excretion rates of EPI in rat urine and feces within 72 h and bile within 24 h following 54 or 81 mg/kg oral administration are illustrated in Figure 4. The total cumulative excretion percentages of EPI within 72 h at 54 and 81 mg/kg were 17.03% and 3.84% in urine and 25.04% and 16.83% in feces, respectively. The cumulative percentages of EPI excreted in bile at two dosages were 34.51% and 53.42% within 24 h. In addition, Figure 4 shows that the oral administration of lower doses results in a higher cumulative percentage of EPI in urine and feces.

The effect of EPI on CYP450 in RLM and HLM

The cocktail method was used to simultaneously determine the substrate content of CYP2D6, CYP3A4, CYP1A2, CYP2E1 and CYP2C9 for evaluating the activity of CYP isoforms at different concentrations of EPI in RLM and HLM. The half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values (Table 6) were estimated from the inhibition curves as shown in Figure S7.

The results suggest that EPI has no obvious inhibitory effect on CYP3A4, CYP1A2, CYP2E1 and CYP2C9 but exhibits significant inhibition of CYP2D6 in RLM, with an IC50 value of 19.45 μM. However, in HLM, EPI significantly inhibited CYP2D6, CYP2C9, CYP3A4 and CYP2E1 but not CYP1A2, and the IC50 values were 11.86, 8.18, 81.50 and 66.28 μM.

To further characterize the inhibition of CYP2D6 and CYP2C9 by EPI, enzyme inhibition kinetic experiments were conducted. Based on nonlinear regression analysis of the inhibition data and the Dixon plots as shown in Figures 5–7, EPI exhibited anticompetitive inhibition against CYP2D6 activity in RLM, with KI values of 55.26 μM (Figure 5). However, in HLM, EPI exhibited anticompetitive inhibition against the activity of CYP2D6 and CYP2C9 with KI values of 25.44 μM (Figure 6) and 5.64 μM (Figure 7).

Discussion

The LC-MS/MS methods for the bioanalysis of EPI have been previously reported. However, gradient elution chromatography was applied to determine multicomponents of Chinese medicines including EPI. The analysis times for one run were 20.0 and 22.0 min. It is the time-consuming analysis for pure EPI. The method with isocratic elution is more suitable for the analysis of EPI.
In this study, which consumed only 8.0 min to complete one run analysis, greatly reduced the sample analysis time and promoted analysis efficiency.

In the current experiments, we used LC-MS/MS to measure the pharmacokinetics of EPI following its oral and intravenous administration in rats. The area under the curve (AUC₀→t) and C_max of EPI displayed significant dose dependence. In addition, EPI exhibited the properties of rapid absorption and moderate elimination with a T_max of 0.37–0.42 h, a T½ of 0.49–0.53 (2.73 h) and an MRT of 3.87–4.39 h at different doses, respectively. The result showed that the T½ (2.73 h) of EPI at intragastric (ig) (81 mg/kg) dose is much longer than that at doses of ig (10 mg/kg) and ig (54 mg/kg). The possible reason may relate to physicochemical property of EPI. According to the preliminary study of our laboratory, the equilibrium solubility of EPI was 0.981±0.017 mg/mL in pH 7.4 phosphate-buffered saline. The apparent oil–water partition coefficient (log P) of EPI was 0.080±0.032 (1.00) in pH 7.4 n-octanol–buffer system. In addition, the apparent permeability coefficients (P_app) of EPI in duodenum, jejunum, ileum and colon were 0.17×10⁻⁵, 0.17×10⁻⁵, 0.19×10⁻⁵ and 0.15×10⁻⁵ cm/s, respectively. Based on the abovementioned results, it is concluded that EPI belongs to the class of poor solubility and permeability compounds. This might lead to accumulation and retention in the gastrointestinal tract due to poor dissolution and uneven dispersion, which can then slow down the elimination rate after oral administration at a high dose.

Furthermore, the pharmacokinetic parameters of EPI were

Table 5 Pharmacokinetic parameters of EPI after oral and intravenous administration to rats and oral bioavailability (X±s, n=6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Dosage</th>
<th>Oral (10 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Oral (54 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Oral (81 mg/kg)</th>
<th>Intravenous (10 mg/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T_max (h)</td>
<td>0.37±0.12</td>
<td>0.38±0.15</td>
<td>0.42±0.17</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C_max (ng/mL)</td>
<td>28.09±8.53</td>
<td>109.00±25.37</td>
<td>281.81±49.32</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T½ (h)</td>
<td>0.49±0.16</td>
<td>0.53±0.12</td>
<td>2.73±1.94</td>
<td>2.73±1.94</td>
<td>0.3935±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC₀→t (h·ng/mL)</td>
<td>62.68±20.67</td>
<td>336.93±59.32</td>
<td>826.17±408.38</td>
<td>523.82±29.48</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V_z (L)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.010±0.020</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (L/h·kg)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.019±0.005</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT₀→t (h)</td>
<td>3.87±1.34</td>
<td>4.13±2.01</td>
<td>4.39±1.78</td>
<td>0.38±0.32</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F (%)</td>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td>19.54</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F̄</td>
<td>14.46±4.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the curve; C_max, peak drug concentration in plasma; CL, clearance; EPI, epiberberine; F, bioavailability; MRT, mean residence time; T_max, the time to reach peak drug concentration in plasma; V_z, apparent volume of distribution.

Figure 4 Mean cumulative excretion percentage–time profiles of EPI in rat urine (A), feces (B) and bile (C) following oral administration (n=6).

Abbreviation: EPI, epiberberine.
Table 6 IC₅₀ values for EPI on CYP450 isoforms in liver microsomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isoform</th>
<th>IC₅₀ values (μM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RLM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP1A2</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2C9</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2D6</td>
<td>19.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP2E1</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYP3A4</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CYP450, cytochrome P450; EPI, epiberberine; HLM, human liver microsome; IC₅₀, half-maximal inhibitory concentration; RLM, rat liver microsome.

Figure 5 Inhibition kinetic analysis of EPI toward CYP2D6-catalyzed metoprolol hydroxylation in RLM.
Notes: (A) Dixon plot of inhibition effect of EPI toward CYP2D6-catalyzed α-hydroxymetoprolol formation. (B) Lineweaver–Burk plot of inhibitory effect of EPI toward CYP2D6-catalyzed α-hydroxymetoprolol formation. (C) Secondary plot of slopes from Lineweaver–Burk plot versus EPI concentrations.
Abbreviations: EPI, epiberberine; RLM, rat liver microsome.

Figure 6 Inhibition kinetic analysis of EPI toward CYP2D6-catalyzed metoprolol hydroxylation in HLM.
Notes: (A) Dixon plot of inhibition effect of EPI toward CYP2D6-catalyzed α-hydroxymetoprolol formation. (B) Lineweaver–Burk plot of inhibitory effect of EPI toward CYP2D6-catalyzed α-hydroxymetoprolol formation. (C) Secondary plot of slopes from Lineweaver–Burk plot versus EPI concentrations.
Abbreviations: EPI, epiberberine; HLM, human liver microsome.
is different from the result of a previous study indicating the renal elimination of berberine as the main excretory pathway. Previous studies have indicated that coptis alkaloids were the substrates and inhibitors of some drug transporters, such as P-glycoprotein and OCTs. This phenomenon could be attributed to differences between compounds in terms of lipophilicity or interaction with transporters.

Statistically, more than 90% of the drug–drug interactions were mediated by the CYP450 enzyme, of which ~70% were caused by enzyme inhibition and about 23% were induced by enzymes. Therefore, it is key to elucidate the mechanism of EPI inhibition on CYP450 isoforms and identification of the inhibition type. Our study showed that EPI had obvious inhibitory effects on CYP2C9 and CYP2D6, similar to previous results. A comparison of the current IC₅₀ and Kᵢ values showed that the inhibitory effect of EPI on CYP2D6 and CYP2C9 was stronger in HLM than in RLM and the inhibition was entirely noncompetitive. In addition, the Cₘₐₓ of EPI in rat was reported to be 109.0 ng/mL (0.32 μM) at medium dose and the Cₘₐₓ/Kᵢ was calculated to be 0.013 and 0.057 for CYP2D6 and CYP2C9, respectively. These in vitro–in vivo extrapolation (IV-IVE) results indicated low possibility of in vivo inhibition. However, many factors, such as processing of herbs and environmental complexity, might influence the IV-IVE results that could possibly strengthen the in vivo inhibition effect. Based on the results of this study and previous results for HLM, combining EPI or Rhizoma Coptidis with CYP2D6 or CYP2C9 substrates might result in clinical metabolism-mediated EPI–drug interactions.

Conclusion

As a coptis alkaloid, EPI has a shorter T₁/₂ and higher oral bioavailability in rats than its isomer berberine. EPI is excreted primarily through bile and feces and eliminated predominantly by the kidneys; in addition, EPI may exit via enterohepatic circulation. In RLM and HLM, EPI showed different degrees of CYP enzyme inhibition.
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Figure 7 Inhibition kinetic analysis of EPI toward CYP2C9-catalyzed tolbutamide hydroxylation in HLM.

Notes: (A) Dixon plot of inhibition effect of EPI toward CYP2C9-catalyzed 4-hydroxytolbutamide formation. (B) Lineweaver–Burk plot of inhibitory effect of EPI toward CYP2C9-catalyzed 4-hydroxytolbutamide formation. (C) Secondary plot of slopes from Lineweaver–Burk plot versus EPI concentrations.

Abbreviations: EPI, epiberberine; HLM, human liver microsome.