Microhook ab interno trabeculotomy, a novel minimally invasive glaucoma surgery
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Abstract: Trabeculotomy (LOT) is performed to reduce the intraocular pressure in patients with glaucoma, both in children and adults. It relieves the resistance to aqueous flow by cleaving the trabecular meshwork and the inner walls of Schlemm’s canal. Microhook ab interno LOT (μLOT), a novel minimally invasive glaucoma surgery, incises trabecular meshwork using small hooks that are inserted through corneal side ports. An initial case series reported that both μLOT alone and combination of μLOT and cataract surgery normalize the intraocular pressure during the early postoperative period in Japanese patients with glaucoma. Microhook can incise the inner wall of Schlemm’s canal without damaging its outer wall easier than the regular straight knife that is used during goniotomy. Advantages of μLOT include: a wider extent of LOT (two-thirds of the circumference), a simpler surgical technique, being less invasiveness to the ocular surface, a shorter surgical time than traditional ab externo LOT, and no requirement for expensive devices. In this paper, the surgical technique of μLOT and tips of the technique are introduced.
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Introduction
Trabeculotomy (LOT) is performed to reduce the intraocular pressure (IOP) in patients with glaucoma, both children and adults. It relieves the resistance to aqueous flow by cleaving the trabecular meshwork and the inner walls of Schlemm’s canal.1–4 Because there is no bleb involved in decreasing the IOP reduction, there is less likelihood of LOT causing vision-threatening complications, for example, flat anterior chamber (AC), bleb leaks, hypotony maculopathy, choroidal detachment, and bleb infections that can occur after trabeculectomy performed with antifibrotic agents.1,5

The ab externo approach has been used to perform LOT in combination with metal trabeculotomes that incise one-third of the meshwork,1–4 or with 5-0 and 6-0 polypropylene sutures and a microcatheter that incise the full 360° of the meshwork.6–8 More recently, surgeons also have reported LOT techniques that are used with the ab interno approaches.9,10 My colleagues and I initially reported the case of both eyes of 1 patient with steroid-induced glaucoma who underwent a novel ab interno LOT, which we referred to as microhook LOT (μLOT) (Figure 1A–C).11 Because of the substantial IOP decrease in that case and less ocular surface invasiveness, we began to perform the procedure in other cases.

In this commentary paper for our previous publications,11–14 I introduce the surgical technique of μLOT and tips of the technique.
Surgical technique
With the technique described in this paper, µLOT incises the trabecular meshwork up to two-thirds of the circumference in total (Figure 2A); this is wider than the traditional ab externo LOT (Figure 2B). During µLOT surgery, 3 types of microhooks, that is, straight (M-2215S, Figure 3A), angled-right (M-2215R, Figure 3B), and angled-left (M-2215L, Figure 3B), are used. For operability, a straight hook is used to incise the nasal angle (Figure 3A) and the right-angled and left-angled hooks are used to incise the temporal angle (Figure 3B). Currently, these hooks can be obtained from the manufacturer (Inami & Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) in Japan. Standard sub-tenon anesthesia using 2% lidocaine or intracameral anesthesia using 1% lidocaine is induced before the procedure. Viscoelastic material (1% sodium hyaluronate, Opegan Hi, Santen Pharmaceutical, Osaka, Japan) is injected into the AC through the clear corneal ports created using a 20-gauge micro-vitrectomy (MVR) knife (Mani, Utsunomiya, Japan) at the 2–3 and 9–10 o’clock positions. Using a Swan-Jacob gonioprism lens (Ocular Instruments, Bellevue, WA, USA) to observe the angle opposite from the corneal port, a microhook is inserted into the AC through the corneal port. The tip of the microhook is then inserted into the Schlemm’s canal and moved circumferentially to incise the inner wall of the Schlemm’s canal and trabecular meshwork. (C) Schematic drawing of meshwork incision by the tip of the hook. Courtesy of Inami Co. Ltd.
meshwork over 3 clock hours. Using the same procedure, LOT is performed in the opposite angle using a microhook that is inserted through another corneal port. After the viscoelastic material is aspirated, the corneal ports are closed by corneal stromal hydration. At the end of surgery, 1.65 mg of dexamethasone sodium phosphate (Decadron, Aspen Japan, Tokyo, Japan) is injected subconjunctivally and 0.3% ofloxacin ointment (Tarivid, Santen Pharmaceutical) is applied. Finally, 1.5% levofloxacin (Nipro, Osaka, Japan) and 0.1% betamethasone (Sanbetason, Santen Pharmaceutical) are applied topically 4 times daily for 3–4 weeks postoperatively in all cases. When simultaneous cataract surgery is required, I usually do small incisional cataract surgery before performing µLOT through a 2.2-mm-wide clear corneal incision created at the 9–10 o’clock position (ie, temporal incision for the right eye and nasal incision for the left eye). The video of the surgery performed with the technique described in this paper is available on the Internet (see video online; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=VPWhsEsfrn8).

Tips of the technique
For good visualization of angle structure, proper positioning of the patient’s head and surgical microscope is important. Figures 4A and 4B show the regular position of patient’s head and microscope. For approaching the temporal angle, I tilt the patient’s head to the temporal side, and turn the microscope toward the nasal side (Figure 4C and D). After that, for approaching nasal angle, I tilt the patient’s head to the nasal side, and turn the microscope toward the temporal side (Figure 4E and F). In the initial case report, I used a regular straight Sinskey hook to incise the meshwork. Because the tip of a regular Sinskey hook is dull, I had to create a small goniotomy using an MVR knife before I insert the tip of the hook into the Schlemm’s canal. By sharpening the tip into a spatula shape, the microhook used currently can be inserted directly into the Schlemm’s canal without the need to create an entry site, which results in a simplified surgical technique and shorter surgical time. To minimize damage to the outer wall of the Schlemm’s canal while inserting the tip of the hook into the Schlemm’s canal, I recommend perforating the meshwork by gently sliding, rather than stabbing, the tip into the meshwork surface. After insertion, depth of the tip can be monitored by visualizing the tip through the trabecular meshwork; the inability to visualize the tip through the meshwork usually indicates that the tip has been inserted too deep. With adequate direction and depth, only minor resistance is felt when moving the tip circumferentially; any resistance usually indicates that the tip has been inserted too deep. To avoid unintended tissue damage around the trabecular meshwork, the correct insertion, direction, and depth of the tip of the hook should be monitored carefully during the procedure. Proper opening of the trabecular meshwork can be observed using microscope-integrated optical coherence tomography (OCT) (RESCAN 700, Carl Zeiss Meditec Japan, Tokyo, Japan) in combination with a gonioprism intra-operatively or by anterior-segment OCT (Casia 2, Tomey Corporation, Nagoya, Japan) post-operatively.

Ethics approval
All procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1964, as revised in 2013. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients for being included in the study. This manuscript includes text extracts from my medical files to support the clinical details provided.
previous publications\textsuperscript{13} that were used with permission of Japanese Ophthalmological Society.

**Discussion**

In the initial case series, µLOT alone decreased the IOP from the preoperative value of 25.9 to 14.7 mmHg, a 43% decrease at the final 6-month evaluation,\textsuperscript{12} and µLOT combined with cataract surgery decreased the IOP from the preoperative value of 16.4 to 11.8 mmHg, a 28% decrease at the final 9.5-month evaluation.\textsuperscript{13} In this case series, hyphema with niveau formation and hyphema washout were the most common postoperative complications and intervention, respectively.\textsuperscript{12,13} Transient IOP spike, cataract progression, vitreous hemorrhage, and macular edema were the other complications reported.\textsuperscript{12,13} Although most of the surgical complications are relatively minor and resolve spontaneously, the decision to perform µLOT should be carefully considered in glaucomatous eyes with advanced visual field defects because post-surgical IOP spike can be related to the decrease in visual acuity.\textsuperscript{13}

Incision of the inner wall without damaging the outer wall of the Schlemm’s canal can sometimes be difficult when using a straight knife (ie, goniometry); therefore, µLOT seems to be an easier procedure than goniometry. Conjunctival and scleral sparing with the ab interno technique, short surgical time (<10 minutes), moderate IOP reduction, and no bleb-related complications fulfill the conditions of minimally invasive glaucoma surgery,\textsuperscript{15,16} as happens with the recent techniques

**Figure 4** Side view (A, C, E) and overhead view (B, D, F) of positions of the patient’s head and surgical microscope during microhook ab interno trabeculotomy in the left eye.

**Notes:** (A) and (B) show regular positions of the patient’s head and microscope. (C) and (D) show that, for approaching the temporal angle, the patient’s head is tilted to the temporal side, and the microscope is turned towards the nasal side. (E and F) show that, for approaching the nasal angle, the patient’s head is tilted to the nasal side, and the microscope is turned towards the temporal side.
Microhook ab interno trabeculotomy of ab interno LOT/trabeculectomy and gonio-bypass surgeries such as the Trabectome (NeoMedix Corp., Tustin, CA, USA),\textsuperscript{17} iStent (Glaukos, Laguna Hills, CA, USA),\textsuperscript{18} gonioscopy-assisted transluminal LOT,\textsuperscript{9,10} canaloplasty,\textsuperscript{19,20} dual-blade trabeculectomy (New World Medical Inc., Rancho Cucamonga, CA, USA),\textsuperscript{21,22} and TRAB360 surgery (Sight Sciences Inc., Menlo Park, CA, USA). Because the tip of the hook is much smaller than the other gonio-surgery devices (Figure 5), to me, intracameral manipulation of the hook is easier than the other devices. Another advantage of µLOT is that expensive devices are not needed. A perfusion study of autopsy eyes reported that incisions in the trabecular meshwork for 1, 4, and 12 o’clock hours eliminated 30%, 44%, and 51%, respectively, of outflow resistance, at the perfusion pressure of 7 mmHg, and 30%, 56%, and 72%, respectively, of outflow resistance at the perfusion pressure of 25 mmHg,\textsuperscript{23} indicating that wider extent of LOT with µLOT than other surgeries such as traditional ab externo LOT, trabeculectome, and dual-blade trabeculectomy can be another advantage, but this requires testing in future comparative.

\textbf{Figure 5} Handpieces (A) and tips of the handpieces (B–E) of various gonio-surgery devices.
studies for these procedures. I believe that the µLOT is worth further evaluation in a larger and longer longitudinal study or in a comparative study of other surgeries such as ab externo LOT and other trabecular meshwork surgeries.
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