One-year survival rate of renal transplant: factors influencing the outcome
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Background: Renal transplantation remains the treatment of choice for end-stage renal disease, as the procedure not only improves quality of life, but also markedly increases patients’ survival rates. Organ and patient survival rates are important issues of interest post-transplantation.

Aim: To determine the 1-year survival rate of renal transplant, we studied graft function, which is a predictor of survival, among those who received a kidney transplant in the time period between February 2012 and February 2013 at Montaserie Organ Transplantation Hospital.

Materials and methods: This is a retrospective cohort study planned to determine patient and organ survival rates after kidney transplantation from living and deceased donors during a 1-year period. We also tried to clarify factors resulting in graft loss. Designated variables were collected using checklists and subsequently entered into SPSS software version 17 and analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method and descriptive statistics.

Results: From 173 patients included in the study, 67.1% (n=116) were female. The mean age of the recipients was 33±12.85 years. In the majority of cases, cause of end-stage renal disease was not clear (n=89, 51.44%). Urinary tract infection (23.1%) was the commonest post-operative complication, followed by delayed graft function, which was diagnosed in 22 (12.7%) recipients. Seventeen cases of graft rejection (9.8%) were recorded and 4 (2.3%) of these cases underwent nephrectomy that will be regarded as graft loss in this paper. Therefore, 1-year graft survival was 90.2%. Graft survival in cadaveric and live-donor recipients was 90.8% and 88.7%, respectively. As there was no mortality reported among graft recipients, 1-year patient survival rate was 100%. It was found that graft rejection was marginally, but not significantly, higher with female donors. (p=0.05).

Conclusion: One-year graft survival in our cohort was comparable with reports from the large centers in the world. Female donor can be a possible negative factor for graft outcome.
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Introduction

Renal transplant is the treatment of choice for end-stage renal disease (ESRD). Following a successful renal transplantation, recipients regain renal function, which typically reaches as high as ~60% of donor’s previous function after graft stabilization.1 Thereafter, a gradual decline in renal function may typically occur. The decreased function rate may be influenced by numerous variables, including donor- and recipient-related characteristics, delayed graft function (DGF) and acute rejection.2 We studied the patients’ data who received a renal transplant in a time period of 1 year in a single transplant center in Iran. The main purpose of this study was to analyze the data, describe the rates of patient and graft survival and to find other risk factors, as predictors of patient and/or graft survival.
Materials and methods
A retrospective cohort study was conducted at Montaserie Organ Transplantation Hospital, the first and the only organ transplant center in Eastern Iran, founded in 2012 by Professor Aliasghar Yarmohammadi. Clinical data was used to identify all renal transplant cases from both cadaveric and living donor between February 2012 and February 2013. We analyzed demographic and laboratory data at the time of admission and in 3 follow-up visits until 1 year after the transplantation. The main outcome measures were patient and graft survival, and patterns of graft function, as measured by creatinine levels.

Full data were available describing the history of care and outcome of almost all patients who had received at least 1 renal transplant at Montaserie Hospital, amounting to 173 subjects over the period of data collection.

The following data were collected: patient gender; age of donors and recipients at the time of transplantation; co-existence of relevant medical conditions; serial serum creatinine levels; episodes of DGF following transplantation; acute rejection episodes (AREs) and graft survival. Patient survival was defined as the time between the final transplant and recorded death. Graft survival was defined as the time between any transplant and ESRD where ESRD was defined in the transplant unit as either the need to return to dialysis, need of a re-transplant or a serum creatinine level of >5.6 mg/dL. DGF is defined as the need for dialysis within the first 7 days post-transplantation. AREs were identified on either a histological basis; from a 10% increase in serum creatinine (with no other clinical explanation); or 3 or more consecutive prescriptions of methyl prednisolone for management.

Inclusion criteria were all the patients who had renal transplant at Montaserie Hospital in the targeted time frame. Patients were excluded from the study if the full data were missing. Deceased patients were included in the study if the transplanted kidney was functional at the time of death. Related variables collected through checklists were entered into SPSS software version 17 and analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method and descriptive statistics.

This study has been approved by the research ethics committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. In order to access hospital records, patients’ consent was not required by the research ethics committee as these records can be used for research purposes up on authorization by the director of Montaserie Hospital, Professor Aliasghar Yarmohammadi, although patients’ personal information will remain confidential.

Results
Demographics
From February 2012 to February 2013, there were 173 renal transplant recipients in our center, out of which, 120 (69.4%) underwent cadaveric graft and the rest had living donors (n=53, 30.6%) (Figure 1).

One hundred and sixteen of recipients were female (67.1%) and 57 were male (32.9%). Also, there were 83 male donors (48%) and 90 female donors (52%) (Figure 2).

The median age of the recipients was 33±12.85 years and for donors was 29.7±12.98 years.

In the majority of cases, the condition leading to ESRD was not clear (n=89, 51.44%). Among those with a known underlying cause, the most common conditions were hypertension (HTN) (n=24, 13.9%), diabetes mellitis (pre-existing) (DM) (n=16, 9.2%), chronic pyelonephritis (CPN) (n=13, 7.5%), urological anomalies (n=6, 3.5%), vesicoureteral reflux (n=6, 3.5%), polycystic kidney disease (n=5, 2.9%), glomerulonephritis (GN) (n=4, 2.3%), nephrotic syndrome (n=4, 2.3%), Alport syndrome (n=3, 1.7%), neurogenic bladder (n=2, 1.2%), and acute tubular necrosis (n=1, 0.6%), respectively (Figure 3). As there was a big proportion of unknown causes for ESRD due to incomplete patient past medical records, it makes it impossible to draw any conclusions on this matter.

Process measures
The mean 24 hours urine output on the first, third, and seventh day post-transplant was 3829.7 mL, 2655.1 mL, and 2978 mL, respectively (Figure 4).

Serial serum creatinine levels were measured on day 1, 3, and 7 after transplant. Also, it was recorded at 1; 3 and 12 months post-transplantation follow-up visits. We observed that the levels gradually decreased over time (Figure 5).

Post-transplant complications
In our study, the most common complication after transplant was urinary tract infection (23.1%), followed by hypovolemia leading to blood transfusion (13.3%). DGF was the third most common (12.7%) complication after the renal transplant. A total of 17.3% of our patients suffered from >1 post-operative complication (Figure 6).

Graft rejection and graft survival rates
Within 1 year, 17 cases of graft rejection (9.8%) were recorded. Therefore, 1-year graft survival was 90.2% in our center. Graft survival in cadaveric and live-donor
recipients were 90.8% and 88.7%, respectively. Despite showing higher graft survival rates from cadaver, the difference between 2 groups was not statistically significant (Figure 7).

**Association between graft survival/rejection and demographic features**

The table below shows the association between demographic features and graft outcome in details. None of these factors reached statistical significance. However, female gender was borderline significant for graft rejection ($p=0.050$) (Table 1).

In our study, we could not find any relationship between underlying cause of ESRD and graft survival rates, which may be due to not knowing the majority of patients’ ESRD culprits. (Table 2).

**Graft loss and mortality**

Within 1 year, 4 cases of graft loss (2.3%), which was defined as a need for extraction of the transplanted kidney, were recorded. Based on these findings, 1-year patient survival rate was calculated as 100% (Table 3).

**Discussion**

ESRD is a serious illness with significant health consequences and high treatment costs. As seen worldwide, the prevalence of ESRD has significantly increased over the past decade. In the USA, the number of patients registered to ESRD program has increased from 10,000 in 1973 to 527,283 in 2008. In Iran, the incidence of ESRD has increased from 49.9 per million population (pmp) in 2000 to 63.8 pmp in 2006. Although, the reason behind this is not clear, increase in
Figure 3 Underlying causes for ESRD in the study population.
Abbreviations: ATN, acute tubular necrosis; CPN, chronic pyelonephritis; DM, diabetes mellitus (pre-existing); ESRD, end-stage renal disease; GN, glomerulonephritis; HTN, hypertension; PKD, polycystic kidney disease; VUR, vesicoureteral reflux.

Figure 4 The 24-hour urine output 7 days after renal transplant.
prevalence of medical conditions such as obesity, DM, HTN, and cardiovascular disease may be the culprit. Another possible contributory factor is a longer life expectancy of ESRD patients compared with the past.\textsuperscript{5,6} GN is the most commonly recognized cause of ESRD worldwide.\textsuperscript{7,8} In our study, the underlying cause of ESRD was unclear in the majority of the patients. HTN was the most common known condition leading to ESRD followed by DM and CPN.

Renal transplantation is the treatment of choice for patients with ESRD. A recent study estimated that projected life expectancy increased a further 3–17 years in those patients who underwent renal transplantation compared with patients who received hemodialysis.\textsuperscript{1} Although there are 3 different resources for organ attainment, including live related, live unrelated, and deceased donors, organ shortage is still the biggest obstacle restricting transplantation.\textsuperscript{9}

In Iran, the rate of renal transplantation approximates 24 grafts per 1 million populations per year and almost half of ESRD patients are still on hemodialysis.\textsuperscript{3,9} Living unrelated donors are the largest (85%) transplantation source.\textsuperscript{10} Cadaveric donation is lower than expected in Iran due to cultural, legal, and medical issues.\textsuperscript{11} Analysis of data from the United States Renal Data System suggests that projected graft survival has improved in the short term for both live donor and cadaveric donor transplant recipients. Patient survival for cadaveric transplant recipients
Graft survival rates in cadaveric and living donor recipients.

Table 1 Association between graft survival and demographic features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Graft rejection</th>
<th>Statistical analysis, p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female Donor</td>
<td>101 (64.7%)</td>
<td>15 (88.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender Recipient</td>
<td>80 (51.3%)</td>
<td>10 (58.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Donor</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Recipient</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>36.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Association between ESRD underlying causes and graft survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESRD Causes of graft rejection</th>
<th>HTN</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>Chronic pyelonephritis</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>Unknown</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>n</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Statistical analysis with Pearson Chi-Square (calculated by Monte Carlo method) (p=0.960).

Abbreviations: DM, diabetes mellitus (pre-existing); ESRD, end-stage renal disease; HTN, hypertension.

Table 3 Characteristics of 4 patients with graft loss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor type</th>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Recipient</th>
<th>ESRD cause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cadaveric</td>
<td>29 F</td>
<td>44 M</td>
<td>PKD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadaveric</td>
<td>18 F</td>
<td>45 M</td>
<td>HTN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadaveric</td>
<td>18 F</td>
<td>27 F</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living</td>
<td>32 F</td>
<td>48 M</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ESRD, end-stage renal disease; F, female; HTN, hypertension; M, male; PKD, polycystic kidney disease.

was 95% after 1 year and 86% at 5 years, and for living donor transplants 98% after 1 year and 93% at 5 years.1

The reviewed literature indicated that survival rates for living-donor graft was higher than that of cadaveric grafts;1,2,12,13 In contrast, our findings demonstrated a higher cadaveric graft survival rates (90.8%) compared with living-donor grafts (88.7%). This could be due to our younger donor population (mean age=29.7±12.98 years), which is probably the result of higher fatal road accidents in Iran. In other countries, the donor age is on the rise and it has been proven that grafts from older donors, result in a lower survival rate.14–16

Based on previously published studies, serum creatinine levels can be used as an indicator of graft rejection following renal transplantation.1,17 Overall 1-year graft survival in our cohort (90.2%) was satisfactory and comparable with reports from large centers in developed countries.18–20 For instance, an
American study conducted by Cecka reported 1-year survival rates of 89% and 95% in 31,720 cadaveric grafts and 14,162 live-donor grafts, respectively.

It was also suggested that the measurement of serum creatinine levels 1 year post-transplant could be a useful indicator of potential long-term allograft survival. This could be considered as a predictor of acceptable long-term survival rates in our center. However, to clarify such association, it is crucial to observe our patients over a longer period of time. The graft survival rate is linked to numerous factors, including DGF, AREs, immunosuppressive regimen, and panel reactive antibodies. Similarly, a large number of donor- and recipient-related factors, including age, gender, graft source, and primary renal diagnosis can affect the outcomes. Due to retroactive nature of this study, we could not analyze all the aforementioned parameters. In our population, no statistically significant association between studied variants and graft survival rates were found, which could be due to low frequency of graft rejection in our center. However, we found that graft rejection was marginally, but not significantly, higher with female donors (p=0.05). This finding was mentioned in other literature, in which they documented short- and long-term graft survival was lower with female donors irrespective of recipient’s gender. In another large study, kidney graft function was significantly better at 1 and 10 years post-transplantation among organ recipients from male donors. There are various potential explanations for this fact and one of them would focus on body mass index (BMI) is an important factor that has a significant effect on gender-dependant responses to renal transplantation. This is understandable because a higher BMI results in a greater demand and formation of more nephrons in kidneys in order to compensate the higher demand, which subsequently leads to development of fewer nephrons in female’s kidneys compared with males. As a result, female donors provide fewer nephrons compared with males.

This was a retrospective study, and consequently it was necessary to make key assumptions about specific details of transplantation. These assumptions were made using appropriate clinical judgment in an attempt to best reflect the data present in the database and reflect clinical practice during the study. Future prospective studies are required to further investigate the nature of the relationship between the previously mentioned factors and long-term clinical outcomes; in addition, donor-recipient gender matching may be a factor to be considered in the future studies to improve graft outcome.

The following study had several limitations due to the conventional and inefficient patient records filing system that resulted in difficulty accessing important data and in several instances unavailability of the parameters required for this study.

**Conclusion**

Many of the technical problems surrounding renal transplantation have been overcome. The main problems that those patients who require transplants face nowadays are equity of access to transplantation and the availability of donor organs. Cadaveric organ transplantation is one of the preferred ways of treating patients with end-stage organ failure. In our study, we demonstrated that cadaveric graft survival is within acceptable international rates, although not statistically significantly higher than that of live-donor. Prompt diagnosis of brain death to provide optimal support to potential donors and trying to increase the donation rate must be the main focus of all transplant centers.
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