Combined phacocanaloplasty for open-angle glaucoma and cataract: 12 months results
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Purpose of the study: To evaluate efficacy, safety, and success rates of canaloplasty combined with phacoemulsification and intraocular lens implantation in patients with open-angle glaucoma (OAG) and visually significant cataract.

Patients and methods: A prospective interventional noncomparative case series carried out in Minia University Hospital from April 2015 to October 2016 on 20 eyes of 18 patients who had visually significant cataract and primary OAG. All the cases had combined phacocanaloplasty. Preoperative best-corrected visual acuity, intraocular pressure (IOP), and number of antiglaucoma medications were collected and compared to postoperative levels, and complications rates were recorded.

Results: 20 eyes of 18 patients (5 males and 15 females), with a mean age 57.6 years (range 48–69 years), underwent phacocanaloplasty. Preoperative mean IOP was 25.20±1.009 mmHg. Postoperative IOP decreased to a mean of 14.20±0.9, 14.85±0.8, and 15.85±0.7 mmHG at 3, 6, and 12 months, respectively, with 37% reduction from preoperative IOP level at one year follow-up visit (P=0.0005). The number of antiglaucoma medications dropped from mean of 1.55 preoperatively to 0.35 postoperatively. LogMAR of best-corrected visual acuity improved from 0.6950±0.07 preoperatively to 0.3670±0.05, 0.3460±0.056, and 0.03370±0.052 at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively (P=0.0005). Complications were limited to mild hyphemia (one case), mild corneal edema (one case), and mild inflammatory membrane (one case) that resolved in the first week after surgery.

Conclusion: Canaloplasty combined with clear corneal phacoemulsification and intraocular lens implantation may be a safe and effective procedure to lower IOP in adult patients with OAG and visually significant cataract.
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Introduction
The main goal of glaucoma treatment is reduction of intraocular pressure (IOP). The classic treatment algorithm consists of initial medical therapy followed by glaucoma surgery if necessary, with laser therapy sometimes used to fill the gap between medical therapy and surgery. Many variations of this paradigm exist. The most popular glaucoma surgery utilized is the trabeculectomy with the use of Mitomycin C, which redirects aqueous from the anterior chamber to a subconjunctival bleb. This procedure, while very effective at lowering IOP, is associated with multiple risks and complications. Intraoperative and postoperative risks include hypotony, hyphema, choroidal detachment, and suprachoroidal hemorrhage. The blebs may be associated with bleb leak, bleb encapsulation, bleb dysesthesia, blebitis, and bleb-associated endophthalmitis.
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Such complications have encouraged many glaucoma surgeons to seek out a less invasive IOP-lowering surgery. Recently, circumferential viscodilation and tensioning of Schlemm’s canal using a flexible microcatheter (canaloplasty) in combination with deep sclerectomy has raised interest for the treatment of open-angle glaucoma (OAG) in adults.7

Combined surgery to treat both glaucoma and cataract has been of interest due to the frequent coincidence of the two diseases in elderly patients. The reduction in surgical trauma from two separate surgical procedures and the high incidence of cataract development after glaucoma surgery are factors supporting the application of a combined procedure in appropriate patients.4–6

Clear corneal phacoemulsification and posterior chamber intraocular lens (IOL) implantation can be combined with a nonpenetrating surgical approach involving microcatheter dilation of the entire circumference of Schlemm’s canal, in conjunction with placement of a trabecular tensioning suture in a procedure called canaloplasty in adult patients with cataract and OAG.7

Although there multiple studies discussing the efficacy and safety of canaloplasty, the data on canaloplasty in combination with phacoemulsification are limited.8,9 The aim of this study was to assess efficacy, safety, and success rates of the IOP-lowering effect obtained by canaloplasty combined with phacoemulsification and IOL implantation in patients with OAG and visually significant cataract.

Patients and methods
This was a prospective, interventional, noncomparative case series carried out in Minia University Hospital, Minia, Egypt, from April 2015 to October 2016 on 20 eyes of 18 patients who had visually significant cataract and primary OAG.

All study candidates agreed to participate and signed written informed consent. The study was conducted after approval from the ethical committee of Minia University Faculty of medicine. The study meets Declaration of Helsinki and Hospital’s Ethical Clearance.

Patients enrolled in the study had visually significant cataract and OAG, no history of previous glaucoma surgery, and an IOP goal in the low-to-mid teens. Exclusion criteria included the following: angle-closure glaucoma (primary or secondary), uveitic glaucoma, angle recession glaucoma, and presence of clear lens. Patients with failed cannulation or complications to penetrating surgery were excluded from the study.

All patients had complete baseline ophthalmic examination before operation that included history of glaucoma, medication use, IOP, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA), gonioscopy, and slit-lamp and fundus evaluations.

Surgical procedure
A peribulbar block anesthesia and akinesia were used preoperatively. Phacoemulsification with temporal clear corneal incision and posterior chamber IOL implant were performed on all patients (Figure 1A). We performed phacoemulsification before canaloplasty. The side port and clear corneal incisions were planned in a manner as to not intersect the corneal traction suture that is necessary for canaloplasty. Traction suture with 6.0 vicryl (double pass) was used to expose the site of surgery on the upper sclera, and the eye was tracked inferiorly (Figure 1B).

Canaloplasty technique
1. Limbal peritomy followed by exposure of bare sclera through clean dissection of conjunctiva and Tenon’s capsule was performed, and wet-field cautery was used for hemostasis. No antifibrotics were used.
2. The superficial scleral flap: it is approximately one-third to one-half scleral thickness. We created 5×5 mm trap-ezoid flaps and dissected this flap into the clear cornea up to approximately one millimeter in the clear cornea.

Figure 1 Clear corneal phacoemulsification (A) and Vicryl 6/0 traction suture (B).
This superficial scleral flap was retracted with traction suture (Figure 2).

3. The deep scleral flap: the size was about one-half to one millimeter smaller than the superficial flap. The dissection plane was immediately superficial to the choroid. Choroidal exposure may occur. Anterior dissection of the deep scleral flap can lead to unroofing of the Schlemm’s canal. Our anatomical landmark to reach Schlemm’s canal was the cross-striations of the scleral spur, as this is immediately anterior (Figure 3).

4. The eye was then decompressed by removing some fluid through the side port in order to decrease the risk of anterior chamber perforation.

5. After releasing pressure in the anterior chamber, we dissected the deep flap anteriorly. We further dissected in this plane past Schwalbe’s line to create the descemetic window. Aqueous was seen percolating through the trabecular Descemet’s window.

6. Both ends of the canal were dilated using sodium hyaluronate injection (Healon) in the canal. The microcatheter (Glaucolight; DORC International, Zuidland, the Netherlands) was inserted into the canal, and the light of the microscope was dimmed to allow visualization of the illuminating optical fiber through sclera. If resistance was encountered, we retracted the microcatheter and inserted it through the opposite ostium. After successful 360° cannulation of Schlemm’s canal, a 10-0 polypropylene suture (Prolene, Ethicon, Neuchatel, Switzerland) was tied to the tip of the microcatheter which was then retracted, thus introducing the suture throughout the circumference of the canal. The suture was removed from the microcatheter and carefully tied to allow adequate tension on Schlemm’s canal while avoiding inadvertent trabeculotomy. The suture tension was done just to allow proper 360° distention of Schlemm’s canal (Figure 4).

7. The deep scleral flap was excised followed by closing the superficial flap in a watertight manner with interrupted 9.0 vicryl sutures (Figure 5).

8. Tenon’s capsule and conjunctiva were then closed with 8-0 Vicryl at the limbus (Figure 5), and the surgery was concluded with application of antibiotic–steroid ointment.

Postoperative care

Postoperatively, patients were placed on steroid and fourth-generation fluoroquinolone eye drops five times per day for 2 weeks. Then, the patient continued only steroid drops for another 2 weeks.

Patients were seen on 1 day, 1 week, 2 weeks, and 1, 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively.
Data collected included BCVA, IOP, the need for antiglaucoma drugs, and any postoperative complications.

The primary outcomes included mean IOP and mean number of glaucoma medications at the 3-, 6-, and 12-month follow-up visits. Secondary outcomes included the use of glaucoma medications and visual acuity.

**Statistical analysis**

Data were collected, coded, and subjected to statistical analysis using SPSS statistical package version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). For descriptive statistics, mean and standard deviation (SD) were used to describe quantitative data. Kolmogorov–Smirnov normality test was used to differentiate between parametric data and nonparametric data. For analytical statistics, Wilcoxon signed ranks test was used for comparison of two related parameters preoperatively and postoperatively. P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

This was prospective, interventional, noncomparative case series carried out in Minia University Hospital from April 2015 to October 2016 on 20 eyes of 18 patients who had visually significant cataract and primary OAG.

There were 5 males (25%) and 15 females (75%) with a mean age 57.6 years (range 48–69 years). The mean preoperative visual acuity was 0.6950±0.07 LogMAR, and mean preoperative IOP was 25.20 mmHg ±1.009 (Table 1).

**Changes in IOP**

There was clinically significant reduction in IOP in the postoperative period, where IOP decreased to a mean of 14.20±0.9, 14.85±0.8, and 15.85±0.7 mmHG at 3, 6, and 12 months, respectively, with 37% reduction from preoperative IOP level at the one year follow-up visit (P=0.0005) (Figure 6 and Table 2). The use of IOP-lowering medications was not discontinued before surgery, and the number of glaucoma medications decreased from a mean of 1.55 (range 0–3) preoperatively to 0.35 postoperatively, and the number of eyes with controlled IOP without antiglaucoma medications reached 12 eyes (60%) at 12 months postoperatively.

**Visual acuity changes**

There was rapid visual recovery in comparison to combined phacotrabeculectomy as this is a blebless operation with no IOP fluctuation postoperatively (Figures 7 and 8). All eyes gained improvement in visual acuity at 12 months postoperatively. LogMAR of BCVA improved

---

**Reference**

For the full reference, please see the original publication.
Intraoperative and postoperative complications

Intraoperatively, we had one case with mild hyphema, and the conjunctiva was not sutured in one irritable patient. As regards the postoperative complications, we had mild corneal edema in 1 eye, mild inflammatory membrane in 1 eye, recessed conjunctiva in 1 eye, mild hyphema in 1 eye, and astigmatism due to tensioning (2DC) in 2 eyes.

Discussion

Primary OAG and visually significant cataract commonly coexist in elderly people, leading to limitation of daily activities and increasing dependence on antiglaucoma medications. Multiple studies demonstrate that phacoemulsification may produce long-term decrease in IOP in glaucoma patients. This effect is thought to be produced by three mechanisms: decreased secretion of aqueous humor due to production of free radicals or partial ciliary body detachment, improved uveoscleral outflow due to increased synthesis of endogenous prostaglandins, and improved trabecular outflow due to increased space in the anterior chamber resulting in increased posterior traction on the scleral spur and expansion of the trabecular meshwork and Schlemm’s canal (SC), and washing out effect on trabecular meshwork.

Viscodilation of SC using a flexible microcatheter with subsequent suture tensioning is designed to be a blebless procedure that requires no antifibrotic agents and has been suggested to restore the natural outflow system of the eye. Canaloplasty targets the pathologic site of maximal aqueous outflow resistance in OAG theoretically by stretching SC and adjacent juxtacanalicular outflow system, and a pilocarpine-like effect on the trabecular meshwork (TM) may occur as well. The effect of suture tensioning may actually alter the anatomical structure of the TM to favor aqueous outflow over time. This and/or delayed reopening of distal collector channels has been proposed to offer mild, late IOP reduction remotely after surgery.

So, by combining the two procedures we can get long-term reduction of IOP, improved visual acuity, and decreased number of antiglaucoma medications.

In our case series of 20 eyes of 18 patients we achieved a 37% reduction in IOP at one year follow-up from the preoperative IOP value, and the number of glaucoma medications decreased from a mean of 1.55 (range 0–3) preoperatively to 0.35 postoperatively, and the number of eyes with controlled IOP without antiglaucoma medications reached 12 eyes (60%) at 12 months postoperatively.

As all patient had visually significant cataract preoperatively, LogMAR of BCVA was also assessed, and this improved from 0.6950±0.07 preoperatively to 0.3670±0.056, 0.3460±0.056, and 0.03370±0.052 at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively.

Table 1 Patient demographics and baseline characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combined phaco and canaloplasty</th>
<th>( n = 20 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>15 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>57.6 (48–69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (range)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No antiglaucoma drugs</td>
<td>5 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One antiglaucoma drug</td>
<td>10 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two antiglaucoma drugs</td>
<td>4 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three antiglaucoma drugs</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean initial visual acuity</td>
<td>0.6950±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean initial IOP, mmHg (± SD)</td>
<td>25.20±1.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IOP, intraocular pressure; SD, standard deviation.

Table 2 IOP at baseline, 3, 6, and 12 months posttreatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Combined phaco and canaloplasty</th>
<th>( n = 20 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative IOP, mmHg (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>25.20±1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>14.20±0.9*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>14.85±0.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>15.85±0.7*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *\( P=0.0005 \). There was no statistically significant reduction in IOP from 3, 6 to 12 months postoperatively (\( P=0.234 \)).
As regards complications, we had one case with mild hyphema, and conjunctiva was not sutured in one irritable patient. Mild corneal edema was present in 1 eye, mild inflammatory membrane and astigmatism due to tensioning 2DC were seen in 2 eyes. Even in the eye that had recessed conjunctiva, there was no leakage and IOP was controlled, and this means that this surgery does not depend on bleb formation.

In a small case series of Japanese patients receiving canaloplasty or phacocanaloplasty, performed by Fujita et al., 11 eyes of 9 Japanese patients with POAG underwent canaloplasty (3 eyes) or phacocanaloplasty (8 eyes). The mean preoperative IOP was 23.4±5.5 mmHg on 2.8±0.6 medications. At 1, 3, 6, and 12 months, the mean IOP was 13.7±2.8 mmHg, 12.8±3.5 mmHg, 14.0±4.4, and 15.0±4.1, respectively. The mean postoperative medications significantly decreased to 1.2±0.8 (P<0.01). A qualified success rate with and IOP <21, 18, or 16 mmHg with or without medications at 12 months was achieved in 81.8%, 54.5%, and 54.5%, respectively. The mean postoperative complications were reported in 5 eyes (9.3%) and included hyphema (n=3, 5.6%), Descemet tear (n=1, 1.9%), and iris prolapse (n=1, 1.9%). Transient IOP elevation of more than 30 mmHg was observed in 4 eyes (7.3%) 1 day postoperatively.

Although there is agreement with our results, this study was a multicenter one with 11 surgeons who had different surgical skills and learning curves, and also more number of eyes, 54 versus 20 eyes in our study, was studied. In our study, we excluded the cases that had penetration of the anterior chamber or failed cannulation to see the results of the successful phacocanaloplasty.

In retrospective comparative chart review of consecutive cases by Arthur et al., 14 thirty-seven patients underwent phacoemulsification and 32 patients had phacocanaloplasty. Follow-up was 21.8±10.1 versus 18.8±9.6 months for phacoemulsification and phacocanaloplasty, respectively (P=0.21). Age (yr) (74.7±9.8 versus 76.1±8.3, P=0.54), sex (P=81), and laser status (P=0.75) were similar between the
groups. Preoperatively, mean ± SD logMAR visual acuity (0.5±0.7 versus 0.5±0.5, P=0.77), IOP (16.2±4.6 versus 18.2±5.1, P=0.13), and medications used (1.4±1.1 versus 1.3±0.7, P=0.75) were similar for phacoemulsification and phacocanaloplasty, respectively. At 24-month follow up of phacoemulsification (n=17) and phacocanaloplasty (n=11) mean ± SD were respectively: logMAR visual acuity 0.2±0.2 versus 0.4±0.7, P=0.29; IOP 14.1±4.0 versus 12.9±3.8, P=0.43; and Meds 1.5±1.2 versus 0.3±0.5, P=0.005. Rates of successful IOP lowering without medications for phacoemulsification versus phacocanaloplasty at 12 months were 34% versus 75%, respectively (P=0.003). The studied mean reduction in IOP was higher with the combined procedure, similar to our case series when compared to phacoemulsification alone.

Our case series was prospective and interventional, with patient selection that addressed two significant problems in one surgical session. There is relatively long follow-up of 12 months and low incidence of postoperative complications compared to penetrating glaucoma surgery either performed alone or in combination with phacoemulsification. The main drawbacks are small sample size due to high cost of the procedure, nonrandomized nature of the study, and noncomparative nature with liability to selection bias.

Table 3 Visual acuity at baseline, 3, 6, and 12 months post-treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Combined phaco and canaloplasty n=20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative VA, LogMAR (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>0.695±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 months</td>
<td>0.376±0.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>0.346±0.056*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>0.337±0.052*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: *P=0.005. There was no statistically significant difference in LogMAR between 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively (P=0.435).

Conclusion

A combination of canaloplasty with phacoemulsification results in a decreased number of glaucoma medications while increasing the complete success rate for IOP-lowering effect without medication. Prospective long-term randomized studies are needed to validate our findings.
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