Pneumococcal vaccination and chronic respiratory diseases
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Abstract: Patients with COPD and other chronic respiratory diseases are especially vulnerable to viral and bacterial pulmonary infections, which are major causes of exacerbations, hospitalization, disease progression, and mortality in COPD patients. Effective vaccines could reduce the burden of respiratory infections and acute exacerbations in COPD patients, but what is the evidence for this? This article reviews and discusses the existing evidence for pneumococcal vaccination efficacy and its changing role in patients with chronic respiratory diseases, especially COPD. Specifically, the recent Community-Acquired Pneumonia Immunization Trial in Adults (CAPITA) showed the efficacy of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in older adults, many of whom had additional risk factors for pneumococcal disease, including chronic lung diseases. Taken together, the evidence suggests that pneumococcal and influenza vaccinations can prevent community-acquired pneumonia and acute exacerbations in COPD patients, while pneumococcal vaccination early in the course of COPD could help maintain stable health status. Despite the need to prevent pulmonary infections in patients with chronic respiratory diseases and evidence for the efficacy of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine, pneumococcal vaccine coverage and awareness are low and need to be improved. Respiratory physicians need to communicate the benefits of vaccination more effectively to their patients who suffer from chronic respiratory diseases.
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Introduction

Patients with chronic respiratory diseases (CRDs), especially those with COPD, exhibit altered lung defenses and frequently have multiple comorbidities, which include cardiovascular disease, diabetes, metabolic syndrome, chronic anemia, osteoporosis, depression, and cancer.¹,² Such adverse conditions make these patients especially vulnerable to viral and bacterial pulmonary infections, which are major causes of exacerbations, hospitalization, and disease progression.³,⁴ Pneumonic infections in COPD patients have a major impact on morbidity, mortality, and health-care costs.⁵,⁶ Since vaccines are among the most effective means of preventing infectious diseases and their consequences, preventing pulmonary infections in COPD patients through vaccination could reduce the burden of COPD and its complications, as well as further declines in patient health. For many years, pneumococcal vaccination in adults has relied primarily on polysaccharide vaccines. More recently, however, a large study demonstrated the efficacy of pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) in older adults (Community-Acquired Pneumonia Immunization Trial in Adults [CAPITA]), in which many of the participants had additional risk factors for pneumococcal disease.⁶ Following these new developments, a symposium was held at the 2016 European Respiratory Society International Congress (September 5, 2016, London, UK) to examine and discuss the changing role of pneumococcal vaccination in patients with CRD, especially COPD. One major aim of these discussions was to document whether vaccination...
with PCV should be included among other strategies known to benefit COPD patients, such as smoking cessation, respiratory therapy, trigger avoidance, and influenza vaccination, to reduce the risk of exacerbations and respiratory events.

Pneumococcal diseases in patients with chronic respiratory diseases

The global burdens of respiratory diseases remain among the highest of all diseases and the most frequent causes of death. COPD and lower respiratory tract infections (LRTIs) are the third- and fourth-leading causes of death, respectively, after heart disease and stroke, with ~55% of all LRTI deaths attributable to pneumococcal pneumonia. In Europe, pneumonia is the leading cause of respiratory hospitalizations and the second leading cause of death due to respiratory disease after lung cancer. The situation is compounded by the nearly 23 million Europeans with moderate–severe COPD who account for 1.1 million hospitalizations and 150,000 deaths each year. COPD is frequently associated with comorbidities that contribute greatly to patient mortality. Among these, coronary artery disease and congestive heart failure play a major role. Hospitalizations and deaths due to COPD can be expected to rise in the future as the disease progresses in the 17 million additional persons in the EU, who are estimated to be living with mild-stage COPD. Currently, pneumonia, COPD, and asthma account for 55.7% of respiratory hospital admissions and 47.3% of respiratory deaths in the EU.

Patients with COPD, other CRDs, or other underlying chronic conditions are at high risk of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), which imposes a substantial disease burden on the European population. Each year, there are an estimated 3.37 million cases of CAP, almost a third of which require hospitalization. A retrospective cohort analysis of health-care claims in the US determined the risk of pneumococcal pneumonia to be 2.7 times higher in adults ≥65 years old compared to adults 50–64 years old (Figure 1). In general, the incidence of pneumococcal pneumonia increased after the age of 65 years and was severalfold higher in persons of all ages living with chronic diseases, such as diabetes, heart disease, asthma, or lung disease, or with underlying conditions, such as smoking or alcoholism, compared to those with no known risk conditions. Among adults ≥65 years old, the incidence of pneumococcal pneumonia was 7.7-fold higher in persons with CRD compared to those without comorbidity (Figure 1). Further, incidence increased exponentially in patients who had multiple comorbidities (“risk stacking”). In patients with three at-risk conditions, incidence was 9–16 times higher than in healthy adults without any known risk factors and twice as high as in immunocompromised patients, who are considered to be at high risk.

The prevalence of chronic conditions that put people at risk is also high, as shown in another US study in which 32.1% of adults 45–64 years old and 61.6% of adults ≥65 years of age had at least two chronic conditions and were considered at high risk of CAP. As the mean age of the population continues to increase, the prevalence of persons with multiple chronic conditions is also expected to rise. In Europe, the incidence of CAP was found to be more than 20-fold higher in persons with COPD (22.4 per 1,000 person-years) than in the general population (1.07–1.2 per 1,000 person-years).

Figure 1 Incidence of pneumococcal pneumonia in adults with underlying conditions in the US, 2007–2010.

Notes: By age group and chronic condition. The retrospective cohort analysis utilized health-care claims data from 2007 through 2010 to compare the rates of pneumococcal disease among persons with certain chronic conditions versus their age-matched healthy counterparts. The databases included medical claims (ie, facility and professional services) and outpatient pharmacy claims from private US health plans. Data from Shea et al.
with the incidence dramatically higher in persons with severe COPD (forced expiratory volume in 1 second \[FEV_1\] <50% of expected).15,16 In Sweden, the risk of severe/invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) was fivefold higher in persons with COPD or pulmonary fibrosis and twice as high in persons with asthma than in the general population.17

COPD patients may be more susceptible to respiratory infections, because their mucociliary clearance mechanisms are impaired, and production of the specific cell adhesion molecules that mediate attachment of bacteria and viruses is increased in their airways. Expression of platelet adhesion factor receptor (a cell adhesion molecule for Streptococcus pneumoniae and untypable Haemophilus influenzae) and more recently ICAM1 (a cell adhesion molecule for rhinovirus) have been found to be significantly elevated in COPD patients and smokers compared to normal controls.18,19 Elevated levels of these proteins may increase the risk of respiratory infection and bacterial colonization. In addition, certain treatments, such as inhaled corticosteroids, can further increase the risk of pneumonia in COPD patients. This risk appears to increase with the dose of the drug, regardless of disease severity, although it does not appear to be associated with a concomitant increase in mortality.20

Preventing exacerbations in COPD patients is a major treatment objective. Among the predominant triggers of exacerbations are LRTI, environmental contaminants, pollution, and poor compliance with pulmonary rehabilitation regimes or with long-term oxygen therapy.21 However, approximately two-thirds of exacerbations are associated with bacterial or viral LRTI or bacterial colonization of the lungs,15,22,23 and the spectrum of microorganisms associated with these infections appears to vary with disease severity.24 In a study of hospitalized COPD patients, Streptococcus spp. were more prevalent in patients with less severe airway obstruction, whereas Haemophilus spp. were more prevalent in moderate disease and Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas spp. in severe disease.24 This finding suggests that pneumococcal vaccination should be envisaged particularly in patients with mild airflow obstruction.

Although there has been some debate on whether pneumonia should be considered a cause or differential diagnosis of acute exacerbation of COPD (AECOPD), another important observation is that pneumonic AECOPD tends to be more severe than nonpneumonic AECOPD. In analyses of COPD-related hospitalizations, pneumonic AECOPD in Denmark accounted for 36.1% of all first-time hospitalizations for AECOPD and resulted in more ICU admissions (12.5% vs 7.7%), longer median hospitalization stay (9 vs 5 days), and higher 30-day mortality (12% vs 8%) than nonpneumonic AECOPD (Figure 2A–C).25,26 The severity of pneumonic AECOPD is further substantiated by a UK study that found in-hospital mortality and 90-day mortality to be significantly higher in patients with pneumonic AECOPD compared to patients with nonpneumonic AECOPD (Figure 2D)27 and by a Serbian study that found pneumonia to be the second-most frequent autopsy-confirmed cause of death (28%) after heart failure (37%) in COPD patients who died within 24 hours of hospital admission.28

Pneumonia not only bodes poorly for the outcome of COPD patients but also has profound implications for healthcare systems. Direct medical costs and productivity costs associated with hospitalization for CAP are twice as high in patients with COPD compared to those in patients with no comorbidity.29 COPD patients with CAP are also ninefold more likely to be hospitalized and fourfold more likely to visit the ER, both of which drive the first-year health-care costs for these patients to an excess of US$22,348 over the costs of COPD patients without CAP.6 Excess costs in COPD patients with CAP also remain high over the second year after hospitalization and have been estimated to be $6,000.7

In summary, the global burden of COPD is substantial, and the risk and frequency of pneumococcal pneumonia in these patients, as well as in others with multiple comorbidities, is many times higher than in healthy adults without comorbidities. Viral and bacterial pneumonic infections are a major cause of respiratory events in COPD patients. The outcomes of these infections tend to be more severe and more deadly than in COPD patients with nonpneumonic AECOPD, leading to longer, more expensive hospitalizations and greater overall costs. Preventing pneumonia in COPD patients could provide substantial benefits to these patients, as well as to the community.

**Pneumococcal vaccines: clinical evidence of efficacy and recommendations**

Multivalent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccines (PPVs) have existed for many years, and the 23-valent vaccine (PPV23) is recommended by many public health agencies for adults ≥65 years of age and other high-risk groups. Its use comes with possible caveats, however, because the immunoresponses to PPV23 tend to wane over time, and demonstrations of clinical efficacy against CAP and IPD in at-risk populations have been inconsistent.30–32
However, in a recently updated Cochrane meta-analysis published after our symposium, pneumococcal vaccination (primarily with PPV23) was associated with statistically significant efficacy against CAP and AECOPD in COPD patients. In contrast, another recent review found the evidence for the clinical efficacy of PPV23 in high-risk and at-risk populations to be inconsistent, despite some short-term protection. In view of the historically uncertain and variable clinical efficacy of PPV23, the World Health Organization and public health agencies in Europe and the US recognize that there remains an unmet medical need to protect older adults and high-risk groups against pneumococcal pneumonia.

Overall, PCVs tend to be more consistently immunogenic than PPVs and to increase the duration and memory of antipneumococcal immunoreponses. Vaccination with
PPV produces an immunoresponse that is limited to B-cell stimulation and antibody production.\textsuperscript{39,40} In contrast, PCVs contain pneumococcal polysaccharide antigens covalently linked to an immunogenic carrier protein that together induce T-cell-dependent humoral immunoresponses (Figure 3) and stimulate T cells to help B cells produce antibodies to the vaccine and generate immune memory.\textsuperscript{40–43} These characteristics can improve the magnitude and duration of the initial immunoresponse and potentially allow the immune system to respond more effectively to subsequent exposure to vaccine-type (VT) pneumococcal strains. In studies that have compared humoral immunoresponses to PCV and PPV in normal vaccine-naïve adults or older adults, short-term immunoglobulin and functional antibody responses were generally superior with PCV.\textsuperscript{40–46} This has also been demonstrated in COPD patients, in whom superior functional antibody responses persisted for at least 2 years.\textsuperscript{47,48} In some studies, however, the in vitro B-cell-specific responses of older adults vaccinated with PCV or PPV23 did not differ significantly immediately after vaccination\textsuperscript{49,50} or after 6 months.\textsuperscript{45} In healthy adults, long-term immunoresponses with PPV23 remain above baseline for as long as 10 years, though booster immunizations are generally recommended after 5 years.\textsuperscript{51} To our knowledge, the long-term immunogenicity of PCV in normal healthy adults beyond 2 years has not been reported, but significant immunoresponses are known to persist for up to 5 years in HIV-positive adults.\textsuperscript{52}

PCVs are recommended for all children and have been shown to prevent nasopharyngeal carriage of VT strains and to protect children against mucosal diseases, IPD, and otitis media.\textsuperscript{53–57} Childhood vaccination programs that include PCV have also demonstrated significant reductions in pneumococcal diseases in adults (herd protection) as an indirect consequence of lower pneumococcal carriage in children.\textsuperscript{58,59}

CAPITA was conducted to assess the clinical efficacy of PCV13 in older adults. It was a large prospective randomized, placebo-controlled trial in >84,000 participants ≥ 65 years of age.\textsuperscript{6} The primary outcome was the efficacy of preventing a first episode of VT CAP. With up to 5 years of follow-up, vaccine efficacy in the per-protocol population was 45.6% against VT CAP and 45% against abacteremic, noninvasive VT CAP (Figure 4). Vaccine efficacy was 30.6% against pneumococcal CAP and 75% against VT IPD. In an exploratory post hoc analysis of the at-risk population in the study, which included over 42% of the participants in each group, 10% of which had lung disease, vaccine efficacy was similar: 40.3% against VT CAP and 30.2% against pneumococcal CAP.\textsuperscript{97} Furthermore, the magnitude and duration of humoral immunoresponses in at-risk adults assessed by opsonophagocytic assay geometric mean antibody titers were...
Finally, another post hoc analysis predicted that vaccine efficacy would decline from 65% for adults 65 years of age to 40% for adults 75 years of age, suggesting that patients with indications of PCV should be vaccinated as early as possible. While these results are encouraging, post hoc analyses should be viewed with caution, and the efficacy of PCV13 for preventing VT pneumococcal disease has yet to be demonstrated in a randomized clinical trial designed to address this issue specifically. It should be noted that in such a hypothetical trial, the necessary sample size to demonstrate efficacy is likely to be very large, and recruiting the minimum number of CRD patients for the treatment and control arms would be extremely difficult, especially in the context of populations benefiting from herd immunity arising from pediatric vaccination programs.

Recently published studies have also investigated the efficacy of PPV23 in older adults. A meta-analysis of 17 eligible studies in adults ≥60 years of age found pooled efficacies of PPV23 against IPD (45%–73%) and pneumococcal pneumonia (48%–64%) by any serotype. Another meta-analysis of studies in adults ≥50 years of age also found evidence of significant vaccine efficacy for PPV23 against IPD (50%–54%) and against all-cause CAP (4%–17%). Both studies concluded that efficacies of PPV23 and PCV13 against VT pneumococcal disease were comparable. In a multicenter prospective study in adults ≥65 years of age treated for CAP, PPV23 efficacy was 27.4% (95% CI: 3.2%–45.6%) against all pneumococcal pneumonia.

The US Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices currently recommends PCV13 followed by PPV23 for all adults ≥65 years of age who have not previously received pneumococcal vaccine and in persons ≥19 years of age who

---

**Figure 4** Efficacy of PCV13 in older adults: results of the CAPITA study.

**Notes:** CAPITA was a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial that evaluated the efficacy of 13-valent polysaccharide conjugate vaccine (PCV13) versus placebo in 84,496 adults ≥65 years of age. The primary objective was vaccine efficacy in preventing a first episode of vaccine-type pneumococcal community-acquired pneumonia (CAP). A secondary objective was vaccine efficacy in preventing a first episode of vaccine-type abacteremic (AB) and noninvasive (NI) pneumococcal CAP. The figure illustrates post hoc analyses of the cumulative episodes of primary and secondary efficacy end points in the per-protocol population. (A) Cumulative first episodes of vaccine-type CAP from vaccination to 5-year follow-up. Vaccine efficacy was 45.6% (95.2% CI: 21.8%–62.5%, P<0.001). (B) Cumulative first episodes of vaccine-type AB and NI CAP from vaccination to 5-year follow-up. Vaccine efficacy was 45% (95.2% CI: 14.2%–65.3%, P=0.007). (C) Cumulative first episodes of vaccine-type invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) from vaccination to 5-year follow-up. Vaccine efficacy was 75% (95% CI: 41.4%–90.8%, P=0.001).


**Abbreviation:** CAPITA, Community-Acquired Pneumonia Immunization Trial in Adults.
are at high risk of pneumococcal disease due to underlying medical conditions. Several European scientific societies also recommend PCV13 for immunocompromised adults and all persons ≥65 years of age, along with at least one dose of PPV23 given 2–6 months afterward. PCV13 is now being progressively implemented into national programs across Europe. As of August 2016, PCV13 is recommended for use in high-risk populations in >25 countries, for use in at-risk populations in >16 countries, and for use in older adults (>50 or ≥65 years of age) in >14 countries.

PCV13 is recommended to be given before PPV23. This schedule is based on the observation that the responses to the serotypes common to both vaccines were stronger if PCV13 were administered first. Of note, PCV13 can be given concomitantly with a seasonal inactivated influenza vaccine without impacting immunoresponses to either vaccine, which can help avoid missed opportunities for vaccination.

In summary, PCV13 has been developed to provide improved protection for older adults and adults with chronic conditions, such as COPD, who are at high risk of pneumococcal infection and pneumonia. In the pivotal CAPITA study, PCV13 induced specific and efficacious immunoresponses in adults ≥65 years of age with or without underlying chronic conditions that elevate the risk of pneumonia, and vaccine efficacy against VT CAP and VT IPD persisted for up to 5 years. As a result, an increasing number of countries across Europe are including PCV13 in their vaccination recommendations.

Role of pneumococcal vaccination in patients with chronic respiratory diseases

Current European Respiratory Society and European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases guidelines were published before the recent results on PCV, and thus recommended only PPV23 for older adults and adults with risk factors for pneumococcal disease, such as age ≥65 years, congestive heart failure, COPD, and a previous history of pneumonia. Specific recommendations on pneumococcal vaccination are lacking in many guidelines on CRDs from international societies. For COPD, pneumococcal vaccination is recommended in current GOLD guidelines, which mention the increased immunogenicity of PCV13, but do not propose a clear position on whether it should be preferred over PPV23.

Because LRTIs are an important trigger for exacerbations, preventing them in COPD patients could also help prevent exacerbations. Early prevention of exacerbations may also be critically important for preventing disease progression and subsequent events, as exacerbations can indicate or precede a feed-forward pattern of increased susceptibility to exacerbation and in some cases a frequent exacerbation phenotype. In the natural history of COPD, each exacerbation is associated with an increased risk of subsequent exacerbations, which tend to occur with greater frequency and severity. It is well known that patients can enter a vicious cycle of infection, exacerbation, and disease progression. With each exacerbation, further tissue destruction and functional impairment can occur along with worsening mucociliary clearance and increased bacterial colonization, all of which increase the risk of developing an LRTI and another exacerbation. The reality of this concept was further demonstrated by comparing the exacerbation frequencies of COPD patients after a CAP episode to those in COPD patients who had not had CAP (Figure 5). The cumulative risk of exacerbation in the first year after CAP was 1.5 times higher in patients who had had CAP (17%) compared to those who had not (11.4%; P<0.001).

Clinical evidence of pneumococcal vaccine efficacy in COPD

In principle, pneumococcal vaccination should prevent LRTI and acute exacerbations in COPD patients, but interpreting the existing evidence for the clinical efficacy of PPV in these high-risk patients has been somewhat problematic. In a 2010 Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis of seven studies, the overall vaccine effect against pneumonia was of borderline significance compared to placebo, with an OR of 0.72 (95% CI: 0.51–1.01), with no significant effects on either exacerbations or cardiorespiratory mortality. While these results suggested that PPV may confer some protection in COPD patients, the lack of statistical significance for any outcome and the heterogeneity of the studies rendered the evidence inconclusive. The evidence for vaccine efficacy improved somewhat in the 2017 update of this Cochrane review, which included a reanalysis of the same studies used in the 2010 meta-analysis of pneumococcal vaccination efficacy (primarily PPV23) against CAP, and concluded that vaccination was associated with significant reductions in the likelihood of experiencing CAP (OR: 0.62, 95% CI: 0.43–0.89) or AECOPD (OR: 0.6, 95% CI: 0.39–0.9).

A recent review of pneumococcal vaccine efficacy studies in persons with underlying risk factors, such as COPD or a CRD, vaccine efficacy against any-cause CAP was found to vary widely between −338% and 43%, with an overall efficacy of 29% (95% CI: 39%–63%) in adults aged ≥50 years with CRD. In a randomized controlled trial in 596 COPD patients, PPV23 efficacy against CAP was significant at 76% (95% CI: 20–93, P=0.013) in patients <65 years of age, nearly significant at 48% (95% CI: 27–80, P=0.076) in those with severe airflow obstruction (<40% FEV₁), and
strongest for both factors combined (91% [95% CI: 35–99, 
P=0.002]). However, vaccine efficacy was not significant for the overall cohort (24% [95% CI: −24–54, 
P=0.333]).

In a study of the combined efficacy of PPV23 and influenza vaccination in preventing acute exacerbation in adult CRD patients, acute exacerbation frequency was significantly lower in the PPV23 + influenza vaccination group than in the influenza vaccination-alone group (P=0.022), and there was a significant additive effect of PPV23 in COPD patients (P=0.037). In view of the limited numbers of studies available to date, the evidence on the role of PPV for protecting COPD patients from CAP can be considered inconsistent.

In contrast, seasonal influenza vaccine has demonstrated significant efficacy in preventing exacerbations in COPD patients compared to placebo, with a reported weighted mean difference between treatments of −0.37 (95% CI: −0.64 to −0.11, 
P=0.006) in a meta-analysis of two randomized trials. If influenza vaccine is administered concomitantly with PPV23, the two vaccines provide an additive effect in reducing the risk of hospitalization for pneumonia, death, death from influenza, and death from pneumonia.

Another potential benefit of an effective pneumococcal vaccination could be a reduction in antibiotic treatments. This has not been studied in COPD patients, but has been estimated in children by comparing antibiotic treatment days for S. pneumoniae infections in countries with high childhood PCV coverage versus countries without such coverage. Globally, an estimated 11.4 million antibiotic treatment days were avoided in countries with PCV coverage, a reduction of 47%. Reducing antibiotic treatment in COPD patients could also help delay or prevent colonization of the lungs by antibiotic resistant bacteria.

### Real-life vaccine coverage of the target population

Despite the availability of effective pneumococcal vaccines and recommendations from most national health authorities, coverage remains suboptimal in many settings. Recent coverage rates have been fairly high in the UK (70% in persons ≥65 years of age in 2014–2015) and in Spain (76% in persons ≥60 years of age in 2010), lower in Ireland (36% in persons ≥65 years of age and 18% in high-risk adults in 2013), and very low in Norway (15%–30% in persons ≥65 years of age in 2014–2015), Germany (15% in high-risk persons in 2014), and France (~5% in persons ≥65 years of age in 2010–2011).
An indication of the reasons behind these suboptimal coverage rates may lie in the perceptions and vaccine awareness of older adults. A recent survey (PneuVUE, Pfizer, Paris, France) measured public awareness of pneumonia and vaccine perceptions in adults ≥50 years of age across nine EU countries. The survey found that 85% of these adults trusted vaccines, 27% avoided vaccines (for safety reasons), and 29% feel they “didn’t need” vaccines because they were not at risk. However, high proportions of respondents reported that they did follow their physician’s advice (92%) and that they preferred to obtain additional medical information from their doctor (92%). Overall, pneumococcal vaccine awareness was low, and the most frequent reason given (55%) for not being vaccinated was that it was never offered by their doctor.

To summarize, evidence-based guidelines recommend pneumococcal vaccination in persons with CRD, and PCV13 has already been included in a number of national recommendations, including those in the US, France, Portugal, Spain, Italy, and others. Some countries, such as Germany and the UK, continue to consider the evidence and favor the broader serotype coverage of PPV23. In patients with COPD, preventing LRTIs, which frequently involve *S. pneumoniae*, appears critical, because they can alter disease status and speed disease progression, thus increasing the risk of subsequent exacerbation. Including pneumococcal vaccination in early care for COPD may improve the longer-term natural history of the disease, although further evidence is needed. Despite recommendations, overall pneumococcal vaccination coverage is poor across Europe and public awareness and knowledge of pneumococcal disease and vaccines is low. Since patients rely on their physicians as the main source of health information, physicians need to do a better job of informing their at-risk patients about the dangers of pneumonia and the benefits of pneumococcal vaccination.

**Conclusion**

Global CRD burdens are high and contribute to substantial excess health-care use, hospitalizations, and global morbidity and mortality. Patients with COPD and other CRDs are at high risk of CAP, IPD, and exacerbations that further increase health-care burdens and mortality. In COPD, airway infections are important triggers of exacerbations and hasten disease progression. There is evidence for the efficacy of PPV23 in older adults, but evidence for protection in CRD patients has been considered inconclusive. The CAPITA study provided clinical evidence that PCV13 is effective at preventing CAP in older adults, including those with comorbidities. Post hoc analyses of CAPITA are consistent with PCV13 efficacy in patients with comorbidities, but these results should be viewed with caution. Indeed, vaccine efficacy in adults is likely to vary according to the pneumococcal vaccines used in pediatric vaccination programs and efficacy may be low or difficult to demonstrate in settings where pediatric uptake of pneumococcal vaccine is high and the consequent burden of disease in adults is reduced. However, given the substantially higher risk of respiratory infection and dire consequences of such infections in patients with COPD or CRD, vaccination is warranted in these patients, regardless of the level of herd protection present in the community.

Influenza and pneumococcal infections are important contributors to CAP and acute exacerbations in COPD patients, and pneumococcal and influenza vaccinations together may have an additive preventive effect. Vaccination early in the course of COPD could help maintain stable health status, although further demonstration is needed. Despite the great burden of pneumonia and pneumococcal infection, the need for vaccination in patients with CRD–COPD, the evidence on pneumococcal vaccine efficacy, and widespread national recommendations, improvements are needed in pneumococcal vaccine coverage, knowledge, and awareness. As the main source of medical information for the public, physicians need to communicate more effectively the benefits of vaccination with PCV to their patients, especially those with CRDs.
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