Risk factors for the discontinuation of roflumilast in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Kyung Hoon Kim,¹ Hye Seon Kang,² Ju Sang Kim,³ Hyoung Kyu Yoon,⁴ Sung Kyoung Kim,⁵ Chin Kook Rhee¹

¹Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul St Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, ²Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Bucheon St Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon, ³Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Incheon St Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Incheon, ⁴Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Yeouido St Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul, ⁵Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, St Vincent's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Suwon, Republic of Korea

Correspondence: Sung Kyoung Kim
Division of Pulmonary Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, St Vincent's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 93, Junbu-deero, Paldal-gu, Suwon, Gyeonggi-do 16247, Republic of Korea
Email kimsmd@gmail.com

Chin Kook Rhee
Division of Pulmonary, Allergy and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul St Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 222 Banpodaero, Seochogu, Seoul 06591, Republic of Korea
Tel +82 2 2258 6067
Fax +82 2 599 3589
Email chinkook77@gmail.com

Introduction: Roflumilast is a phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor, which can decrease exacerbation in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). However, adverse effects are a major barrier to medication use, and little is known regarding the risk factors for discontinuation of roflumilast in COPD patients.

Method: A search of the clinical databases identified all patients who were prescribed roflumilast between December 2012 and April 2015 in the four hospitals of The Catholic University of Korea, Korea. The study subjects were limited to patients who had taken 500 µg of roflumilast. We studied the factors associated with drug discontinuation and drug adverse events by univariate and multivariate analyses.

Results: Among 154 eligible patients, 54 (35.1%) discontinued their roflumilast prescription. Most patients were elderly, male, current or former smokers, and had moderate-to-severe airflow limitation. Low-body mass index (BMI) patients were more likely to undergo drug discontinuation (1-unit decrease in BMI: odds ratio [OR] =1.165, $p=0.006$; BMI <23 kg/m²: OR =2.960, $p=0.004$). Fifty-five patients (35.7%) had adverse events. Loss of appetite, diarrhea, nausea, headache, and weight loss were the most frequent adverse events. Low-BMI patients were more likely to experience adverse events (1-unit decrease in BMI: OR =1.151, $p=0.010$; BMI <23 kg/m²: OR =2.644, $p=0.009$).

Conclusions: The patient discontinuation and adverse events rates in this study were higher than in previous randomized controlled studies. Discontinuation of roflumilast in ethnic Koreans is more likely to occur in low-BMI patients. In a clinical setting, low-BMI patients can more easily discontinue roflumilast; clinicians should, therefore, provide greater care for these patients.

Keywords: phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, body mass index, adverse event

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) was ranked as the sixth leading cause of death in 1990, and as the fifth leading cause in 2002; it will be the fourth leading cause of death by 2030 globally.¹ Acute exacerbation of COPD has an independent negative prognostic impact, with mortality increasing with the frequency of severe exacerbations, particularly if these require admission to the hospital.²

Roflumilast is a highly selective phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor that received USA Food and Drug Administration approval in March 2011 for maintenance treatment of severe to very severe COPD, with symptoms of chronic bronchitis and a history of exacerbations, to reduce the risk of COPD exacerbations.³ The first Phase III randomized placebo-controlled study for roflumilast was published in 2005.⁴ In 2007,

a randomized, placebo-controlled study on the effect of 1 year of treatment with roflumilast on severe COPD was published.⁵ Additionally, two articles published in 2009 on roflumilast described four clinical studies (M2–124, M2–125, M2–127, and M2–128).^{6,7} In 2015, the Roflumilast and Exacerbations in patients receiving Appropriate Combination Therapy (REACT) study was published.⁸ These papers proved that roflumilast improved lung function and reduced the exacerbation rate; however, similar adverse events profiles and incidence rates were observed in each trial.^{4–8} Such a high incidence rate for adverse events is a major barrier for prescribing roflumilast in a clinical setting. Pulmonologists who prescribe roflumilast in clinical practice have felt that the proportion of patients who discontinue treatment is much greater than that seen in randomized controlled trials (RCTs). For this reason, it is common for patients to discontinue roflumilast in clinical practice. However, there are few reports on the frequency of adverse events linked to roflumilast in “real-world practice.” To our best knowledge, there has been only one report (a letter to editor and an abstract by same author) regarding discontinuation of roflumilast in real world. However, only 25 patients were enrolled in that study and there were no data regarding risk factors associated with roflumilast discontinuation.^{9,10} In this study, we aimed to assess the frequency of roflumilast side effects, the proportion of patients who discontinued the drug, and the risk factors associated with discontinuation by reviewing relatively large number of patients from multiple centers.

Materials and methods

The medical charts of 270 COPD patients who began roflumilast therapy between December 2012 and April 2015, in the four hospitals of the Catholic Medical Center, Korea, were reviewed to identify all those who had discontinued roflumilast. The four centers are Seoul St Mary’s Hospital, Yeouido St Mary’s Hospital, St Vincent Hospital, and Incheon St Mary’s Hospital. All in-patients and out-patients who were prescribed roflumilast at least once were included and had a clinical diagnosis of COPD (confirmed with a postbronchodilator [albuterol 400 µg] forced expiratory volume in 1 s [FEV₁]/forced vital capacity [FVC] ratio ≤70%) and chronic cough and sputum production. Patients were excluded from study enrolment if they were diagnosed with asthma or other relevant lung diseases (eg, lung cancer, bronchiectasis).

The data obtained from electronic medical records were sociodemographic information that included age and sex, in addition to clinical information including height, weight, body

mass index (BMI), smoking history, comorbidities, and pulmonary function test results. The use of roflumilast was thoroughly recorded including starting dates, dosages, end dates, reasons for discontinuation, adverse events, and the use of concomitant methylxanthine. We selected patients who were initially treated with 500 µg of roflumilast. Treatment discontinuation was defined as treatment interruption during the treatment period. Treatment interruption included a failure to comply with scheduled visits, an inability to tolerate medication side effects, and patients’ refusal to adhere to the treatment.

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of The Catholic University of Korea, Korea. The requirement for written informed consent from each patient was waived because of the retrospective nature of the study and lack of identifying information in the patient data. The statistical analysis was performed with SPSS software (ver. 24.0.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Chi-squared tests and independent *t*-tests were used to compare characteristics between discontinuation and continuation group. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression models were fitted with roflumilast discontinuation as a dependent variable. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the risk factors associated with roflumilast discontinuation. We also analyzed differences in adverse event rates between the two groups. Two-sided *p*-values of <0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

A total of 270 patients with new-onset roflumilast prescriptions during the period 2012–2015 were selected. From these patients, 16 dose-unknown patients, 93 patients treated with 250 µg of roflumilast, and 7 BMI-unknown patients were excluded. The mean age of the 154 patients who were included in the final analysis was 70.3±8.8 (mean ± SD) years (range: 41–87 years) (Figure 1). Table 1 shows the demographic and baseline characteristics of the study population.

Most participants were elderly, male, ex- or current smokers (more than 88%) with considerable previous tobacco consumption, and had moderate-to-severe airflow limitation (Table 1). The mean BMI was 21.8±3.45. The mean pre-bronchodilator FEV₁ was 1.07 L (SD, 0.43), and the mean post-bronchodilator FEV₁ was 1.12 L (SD, 0.42). Ninety-one patients (59.1%) had concomitant diseases. Forty patients (26.0%) had diabetes, 10 (6.5%) had cerebrovascular diseases, and 76 (49.4%) had cardiovascular diseases.

Figure 1 Process of patient recruitment.

Note: A total of 270 patients receiving new-onset roflumilast prescriptions between 2012 and 2015 were selected, and 16 dose-unknown patients, 93 patients treated with 250 µg of roflumilast, and 7 BMI-unknown patients were excluded.

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

Profiles of the drug discontinued group versus continued group

A total of 54 patients discontinued roflumilast and 100 patients continued with the drug. The rate of discontinuation was 35.1%. The two groups were compared to elucidate factors associated with continuation and discontinuation. There was no significant difference between the groups in age, sex, height, weight, pre-bronchodilator FEV₁, post-bronchodilator FEV₁, pre-bronchodilator FVC, post-bronchodilator FVC, or history of comorbidity. However, when compared with the drug continuation group, those who had discontinued roflumilast had a significantly lower BMI ($p=0.004$). Moreover, there was a significant difference in the percentage of underweight, normal, overweight, and obese between discontinuation and continuation groups ($p=0.035$). The normal and underweight patients discontinued roflumilast more often than the overweight and obese patients, as shown in Figure 2. There was no difference in smoking status between discontinuation and continuation groups ($p=0.171$).

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the patients who continued or discontinued roflumilast use

Characteristics	Total (N=154)	Discontinued (n=54)	Continued (n=100)	p-value
Age (years), mean (SD)	70.3 (8.8)	70.0 (9.2)	70.4 (8.6)	0.797
Male sex, n (%)	139 (90.3)	50 (92.6)	89 (89.0)	0.473
Body mass index (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	21.8 (3.45)	20.7 (3.00)	22.3 (3.54)	0.004
Cigarette pack-years, mean (SD)	38.0 (27.4)	40.2 (28.3)	36.8 (26.9)	0.463
Smoking status, n (%)*				
Current smoker	23 (15.3)	11 (20.8)	12 (12.4)	0.171
Former smoker	110 (73.3)	34 (64.2)	76 (78.4)	
Never smoker	17 (11.3)	8 (15.1)	9 (9.3)	
Pre-bronchodilator FEV ₁ (L), mean (SD)	1.07 (0.43)	1.09 (0.40)	1.05 (0.44)	0.592
Post-bronchodilator FEV ₁ (L), mean (SD)	1.12 (0.42)	1.14 (0.38)	1.11 (0.44)	0.671
% of predicted pre-bronchodilator FEV ₁ , mean (SD)	42.2 (14.6)	42.2 (14.1)	42.2 (14.9)	0.987
% of predicted post-bronchodilator FEV ₁ , mean (SD)	44.3 (14.2)	44.8 (13.7)	44.1 (14.6)	0.798
Post-bronchodilator FEV ₁ /FVC, mean (SD)	43.3 (14.2)	44.1 (15.7)	42.8 (13.5)	0.629
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease severity, n (%)				
Mild	4 (2.6)	1 (1.9)	3 (3.0)	0.815
Moderate	44 (28.6)	14 (25.9)	30 (30.0)	
Severe	85 (55.2)	30 (55.6)	55 (55.0)	
Very severe	21 (13.6)	9 (16.7)	12 (12.0)	
History of comorbidities, n (%)				
DM	40 (26.0)	12 (22.2)	28 (28.0)	0.435
Cardiovascular disease	76 (49.4)	23 (42.6)	53 (53.0)	0.218
CVA	10 (6.5)	3 (5.6)	7 (7.0)	1.000
Obesity, n (%)				
Underweight	29 (18.8)	12 (22.2)	17 (17.0)	0.035
Normal	64 (41.6)	29 (53.7)	35 (35.0)	
Overweight	40 (26.0)	9 (16.7)	31 (31.0)	
Obese	21 (13.6)	4 (7.4)	17 (17.0)	

Notes: Based on the results of domestic and international studies, the KSSO has categorized obesity as follows: <18.5 kg/m² = underweight; 18.5–22.9 kg/m² = normal; 23–24.9 kg/m² = overweight; and more than 25 kg/m² = obese. We used the KSSO definition of obesity in this study.¹² *n=150.

Abbreviations: CVA, cerebrovascular accident; DM, diabetes mellitus; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity; KSSO, Korean Society for the Study of Obesity.

Figure 2 Rates of roflumilast discontinuation by BMI group.

Notes: In the underweight and normal BMI groups, the rates of roflumilast discontinuation were 41.4% and 45.4%, respectively. On the other hand, in the overweight and obese BMI groups, the roflumilast discontinuation rates were 22.5% and 19.0%, respectively.

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

Factors associated with roflumilast discontinuation

Univariate Pearson's chi-squared analysis was used to evaluate the risk factors associated with roflumilast discontinuation. On univariate analysis, there was a significant difference between the low-BMI group and high-BMI group. The odds ratio (OR) of patients with a BMI below 23 was 2.911 (95% CI 1.393–6.083, $p=0.004$). However, other factors, including the severity of COPD, GOLD classification, smoking history, age, concomitant methylxanthine treatment, comorbidities, change of dose, and sex, were not significantly associated with roflumilast discontinuation. Table 2 shows the detailed results.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the risk factors associated with roflumilast discontinuation. On multivariate analysis, when adjusted by age, sex, and post-bronchodilator FEV₁ (%), the OR was 1.165 for a 1-unit decrease in BMI ($p=0.006$). Additionally, the OR of a BMI of <23 was 2.960 ($p=0.004$; Table 3).

Table 2 Factors associated with roflumilast discontinuation (univariate analysis)

Factor	OR	95% CI	p-value
Gender (female)	0.647	0.196–2.140	0.473
Smoking status (never)	1.738	0.628–4.810	0.283
Comorbidities (none)	1.407	0.720–2.749	0.318
Prescribed with methylxanthine simultaneously (no)	1.109	0.515–2.387	0.792
BMI <23 kg/m ²	2.911	1.393–6.083	0.004

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratio.

Adverse events of roflumilast

Adverse events were reported by 55 (35.7%) patients among the total population. Adverse events associated with roflumilast were more common in the discontinuation group than in the continuation group (40 [74.1%] versus 15 [15.0%], $p<0.001$). The most frequent adverse events were loss of appetite, diarrhea, nausea, headache, and weight loss. There was a significant difference in the incidence of loss of appetite, diarrhea, nausea, and headache between the two groups. However, there was no significant difference in the incidence of weight loss, itching, anxiety, insomnia, or facial flushing (Table 4).

We compared the differences between the group that experienced adverse events and the group that did not.

Table 3 Factors associated with roflumilast discontinuation (multivariate analysis)

Risk factor	OR	95% CI	p-value
Model A			
Age	1.006	0.966–1.047	0.778
BMI (per 1-unit decrease)	1.165	1.046–1.298	0.006
Sex (female)	0.659	0.191–2.277	0.510
% of predicted post-bronchodilator FEV ₁	0.993	0.970–1.016	0.540
Model B			
Age	1.006	0.966–1.047	0.789
BMI <23 kg/m ²	2.960	1.410–6.215	0.004
Sex (female)	0.566	0.163–1.964	0.370
% of predicted post-bronchodilator FEV ₁	0.996	0.973–1.020	0.755

Notes: Model A is the result of analyzing BMI as a continuous variable. Model B is the result of analyzing BMI as a nominal variable.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; OR, odds ratio.

Table 4 Types of adverse events in patients who continued or discontinued roflumilast use

Type of adverse event	Total	Discontinued	Continued	p-value
All adverse events	55 (35.7)	40 (74.1)	15 (15.0)	<0.001
Loss of appetite	14 (9.1)	9 (16.7)	5 (5.0)	0.036
Diarrhea	10 (6.5)	9 (16.7)	1 (1.0)	<0.001
Nausea	8 (5.2)	7 (13.0)	1 (1.0)	0.003
Headache	6 (3.9)	6 (11.1)	0 (0.0)	0.002
Weight loss	5 (3.2)	4 (7.4)	1 (1.0)	0.051
Itching	3 (1.9)	2 (3.7)	1 (1.0)	0.281
Anxiety	2 (1.3)	2 (3.7)	0 (0.0)	0.121
Insomnia	5 (3.2)	1 (1.9)	4 (4.0)	0.658
Facial flushing	1 (0.6)	1 (1.9)	0 (0.0)	0.351
Other*	14 (9.1)	9 (16.7)	5 (5.0)	0.036

Notes: *Other adverse events plus some adverse events that were not described in detail. Data shown as n (%).

There was no significant difference between the groups in age, sex, height, weight, smoking status, COPD severity, pre-bronchodilator FEV₁, post-bronchodilator FEV₁, pre-bronchodilator FVC, post-bronchodilator FVC, and history of comorbidities. However, when compared with the group that did not experience any adverse event, the group that had experienced adverse events had a significantly lower BMI ($p=0.004$). The normal and underweight patients experience adverse event more often than the overweight and obese patients, as shown in Figure 3. Table 5 shows the detailed results. On univariate analysis, there was a significant difference between the low-BMI group and high-BMI

group. The OR of patients with a BMI of <23 was 2.647 (95% CI 1.284–5.458, $p=0.007$; Table 6).

Furthermore, we compared the differences between those who experienced weight loss and those who did not. The group that had weight-loss side effect had significantly lower BMI than the group that had not experienced weight loss, 21.9 versus 18.5, $p=0.033$. Chi-squared test was used to compare the difference in the incidence of weight loss between underweight/normal group and overweight/obese group. There was no significant difference in incidence of weight loss between two groups, $p=0.077$. There were no weight-loss patients in overweight/obese patient group and all weight-loss patients belonged to underweight/normal patient group.

Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the risk factors associated with roflumilast adverse events. On multivariate analysis, when adjusted by age, sex, and post-bronchodilator FEV₁ (%), the OR was 1.151 for a 1-unit decrease in BMI ($p=0.010$). The OR of a BMI of <23 was 2.644 ($p=0.009$; Table 7).

Discussion

Among 154 Korean patients prescribed 500 µg of roflumilast, the drug discontinuation rate was 35.1%. A lower BMI was independently associated with drug discontinuation. On multivariate analysis, a low BMI was associated with drug discontinuation.

Figure 3 Rates of roflumilast adverse events by BMI group.

Notes: In the underweight and normal BMI groups, the roflumilast adverse events rates were 41.4% and 45.3%, respectively. On the other hand, in the overweight and obese BMI groups, the roflumilast adverse events rates were 27.5% and 14.3%, respectively.

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

Table 5 Comparison of patient characteristics by number of adverse events

Characteristics	Total (N=154)	More than one adverse event (n=55)	No adverse event (n=99)	p-value
Age (years), mean (SD)	70.3 (8.8)	69.9 (8.7)	70.5 (8.9)	0.665
Male sex, n (%)	139 (90.3)	51 (92.7)	88 (88.9)	0.441
Body mass index (kg/m ²), mean (SD)	21.8 (3.4)	20.7 (2.9)	22.4 (3.6)	0.004
Cigarette pack-years, mean (SD)	38.0 (27.4)	36.1 (28.4)	39.0 (26.8)	0.536
Smoking status, n (%) [*]				
Current smoker	23 (15.3)	9 (16.7)	14 (14.6)	0.820
Former smoker	110 (73.3)	38 (70.4)	72 (75.0)	
Never smoker	17 (11.3)	7 (13.0)	10 (10.4)	
Pre-bronchodilator FEV ₁ (L), mean (SD)	1.07 (0.43)	1.02 (0.36)	1.01 (0.46)	0.365
Post-bronchodilator FEV ₁ (L), mean (SD)	1.12 (0.42)	1.12 (0.39)	1.13 (0.44)	0.883
% of predicted pre-bronchodilator FEV ₁ , mean (SD)	42.2 (14.6)	39.4 (13.1)	43.7 (15.2)	0.074
% of predicted post-bronchodilator FEV ₁ , mean (SD)	44.3 (14.2)	43.0 (14.2)	45.1 (14.3)	0.402
Post-bronchodilator FEV ₁ /FVC, mean (SD)	43.3 (14.2)	43.8 (16.1)	42.9 (13.1)	0.736
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease severity, n (%)				
Mild	4 (2.6)	1 (1.8)	3 (3.0)	0.455
Moderate	44 (28.6)	12 (21.8)	32 (32.3)	
Severe	85 (55.2)	31 (56.4)	54 (54.5)	
Very severe	21 (13.6)	11 (20.0)	10 (10.1)	
History of comorbidities, n (%)				
DM	40 (26.0)	15 (27.3)	25 (25.3)	0.784
Cardiovascular disease	76 (49.4)	26 (47.3)	50 (50.5)	0.701
CVA	10 (6.5)	6 (10.9)	4 (4.0)	0.097
Obesity, n (%)				
Underweight	29 (18.8)	12 (21.8)	17 (17.2)	0.039
Normal	64 (41.6)	29 (52.7)	35 (35.4)	
Overweight	40 (26.0)	11 (20.0)	29 (29.3)	
Obese	21 (13.6)	3 (5.5)	18 (18.2)	

Notes: Based on the results of domestic and international studies, the Korean Society for the Study of Obesity (KSSO) has defined obesity categories as follows: <18.5 kg/m² = underweight; 18.5–22.9 kg/m² = normal; 23–24.9 kg/m² = overweight; and more than 25 kg/m² = obese. We used the KSSO definition of obesity in this study.¹² *n=150.

Abbreviations: CVA, cerebrovascular accident; DM, diabetes mellitus; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; FVC, forced vital capacity.

The major side effects of roflumilast were loss of appetite (9.1%), diarrhea (6.5%), nausea (5.2%), and headache (3.9%), and all of these adverse events were associated with drug discontinuation (all $p < 0.05$).

In the roflumilast clinical development trials, the rate of patient withdrawal because of adverse events was similar, at around 10%–15%. In the first RCT on roflumilast in 2005, the discontinuation rates because of adverse events in 500 and 250 µg roflumilast groups were 15.1% and 9.3%,

respectively.⁴ In a 2007 RCT by Calverley et al, the drug discontinuation rate because of adverse events was 13.5% in the 500 µg group.⁵ In a 2009 RCT, the M2–127 trial (roflumilast + salmeterol), the discontinuation rate because of adverse events

Table 6 Factors associated with roflumilast adverse events (univariate analysis)

Factor	OR	95% CI	p-value
Gender (female)	0.627	0.190–2.073	0.441
Smoking status (never)	1.281	0.458–3.585	0.637
Comorbidities (none)	0.943	0.482–1.846	0.864
Prescribed with methylxanthine simultaneously (no)	0.770	0.343–1.728	0.526
BMI <23 kg/m ²	2.647	1.284–5.458	0.007

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratio.

Table 7 Factors associated with roflumilast adverse events (multivariate analysis)

Risk factor	OR	95% CI	p-value
Model A			
Age	1.001	0.960–1.043	0.969
BMI (per 1-unit decrease)	1.151	1.034–1.281	0.010
Sex (female)	0.699	0.198–2.459	0.576
% of predicted post-bronchodilator FEV ₁	1.015	0.990–1.041	0.230
Model B			
Age	1.000	0.960–1.042	0.987
BMI <23 kg/m ²	2.644	1.270–5.505	0.009
Sex (female)	0.610	0.174–2.142	0.440
% of predicted post-bronchodilator FEV ₁	1.019	0.994–1.044	0.141

Notes: Model A is the result of analyzing BMI as a continuous variable. Model B is the result of analyzing BMI as a nominal variable.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 second; OR, odds ratio.

was 16.5% and that of M2–128 (roflumilast + tiotropium) was 8.9%.⁷ In 2009, another two RCTs were performed, both with dosage of 500 µg of roflumilast, and the discontinuation rates because of adverse events were 15.5% (M2–124) and 13.1% (M2–125).⁶ In the 2015 REACT trial, the 500 µg roflumilast (once daily) plus inhaled corticosteroid and long-acting beta2 agonist combination group discontinuation rate (because of adverse events) was 8.5%.⁸ Unlike the above RCT results, the patient discontinuation rate because of adverse events in the present study was 33.8%; this was much higher than those of the above RCTs.

The main reasons for discontinuation of roflumilast in the above studies were diarrhea, nausea, or headache.^{4–8,11} Similarly, the most prevalent side effects in the present study were gastrointestinal symptoms including diarrhea, weight loss, and nausea.^{4–8,11} The average rate of withdrawal in RCTs was 12.6%.^{2,6–8,12} However, in our clinical practice-based study, the rate of discontinuation of roflumilast was higher (33.8%) than this. The possible cause of the significant difference in withdrawal between the five previous RCTs and our study is the difference in baseline characteristics of the participants in those studies versus our study. In our study, the lower the BMI, the higher the probability of discontinuity. In particular, among the baseline characteristics, the BMI of our Korean patients was significantly lower than that of western patients, suggesting that the adverse events were greater, and thus withdrawal was more frequent, in this group. This suggests that 500 µg of roflumilast may be too much for Koreans. Also, there may be other possible reasons for discontinuation of roflumilast. There were some patients who did not feel any beneficial effect of roflumilast and some patients who just did not want administration of roflumilast. These were the minor reasons for discontinuation of roflumilast.

There is currently little information on the optimal management of roflumilast treatment in patients with COPD in a clinical setting. However, it was certain that most patients who discontinued their drug prescriptions were associated with side effects in clinical setting.

As far as we are aware, our study is the largest evaluation ever conducted on the discontinuation profiles and incidence of adverse events of roflumilast in patients treated in real-world clinical settings. There have been few studies of roflumilast discontinuation and adverse events outside of drugs trials, and there are currently no studies on its risk factors. Clinical trials for roflumilast are not based on the clinical practice setting and their programs differ greatly from our clinical setting. We captured data in clinical practice instead of under research conditions, so that our findings

are more reflective of the real world. We, therefore, believe that our study demonstrates real clinical practice-based rates of discontinuation and side effects. Moreover, our study is the first to clarify the risk factors for the discontinuation of roflumilast in patients with COPD in a clinical setting via univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses. Our study suggests that in a clinical setting, low-BMI patients can more easily discontinue roflumilast; thus, clinicians should take greater care of these patients.

Our study had several limitations. First, the retrospective design is associated with potential bias, including regarding the encoding of data from existing charts. Adverse events of roflumilast have been checked by a pulmonologist who prescribed it in the outpatient clinic. However, there was a limit in revealing the reason for every discontinuation of roflumilast by retrospective chart review. Second, other risk factors may also be present that were not measured. Finally, although this was a multicenter study, our study population was not large and was confined to patients visiting the pulmonology clinics of four tertiary referral hospitals.

In conclusion, this study showed that the patients more likely to discontinue medication were those with a low BMI. This information would, therefore, be useful for planning pretreatment consultations, and for improving treatment compliance in patients with COPD who require roflumilast.

Acknowledgment

This study was presented orally at the 118th Korean Society of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Society Fall Conference 2014; October 24, 2014; Seoul, Korea.

Disclosure

CKR received consulting and/or lecture fees from MSD, AstraZeneca, Novartis, GSK, Takeda, Mundipharma, Sandoz, Boehringer-Ingelheim, and Teva-Handok. The authors report no other conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Mathers CD, Loncar D. Projections of global mortality and burden of disease from 2002 to 2030. *PLoS Med*. 2006;3:e442.
2. Soler-Cataluna JJ, Martinez-Garcia MA, Roman Sanchez P, Salcedo E, Navarro M, Ochando R. Severe acute exacerbations and mortality in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax*. 2005;60(11):925–931.
3. Tashkin DP. Roflumilast: the new orally active, selective phosphodiesterase-4 inhibitor, for the treatment of COPD. *Expert Opin Pharmacother*. 2014;15:85–96.
4. Rabe KF, Bateman ED, O'Donnell D, Witte S, Bredenbroker D, Bethke TD. Roflumilast—an oral anti-inflammatory treatment for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2005;366:563–571.

5. Calverley PM, Sanchez-Toril F, McIvor A, Teichmann P, Bredenbroeker D, Fabbri LM. Effect of 1-year treatment with roflumilast in severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2007;176(2):154–161.
6. Calverley PM, Rabe KF, Goehring UM, Kristiansen S, Fabbri LM, Martinez FJ; M2-124 and M2-125 study groups. Roflumilast in symptomatic chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: two randomised clinical trials. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9691):685–694.
7. Fabbri LM, Calverley PM, Izquierdo-Alonso JL, et al; M2-127 and M2-128 study groups. Roflumilast in moderate-to-severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease treated with longacting bronchodilators: two randomised clinical trials. *Lancet*. 2009;374(9691):695–703.
8. Martinez FJ, Calverley PM, Goehring UM, Brose M, Fabbri LM, Rabe KF. Effect of roflumilast on exacerbations in patients with severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease uncontrolled by combination therapy (REACT): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2015;385(9971):857–866.
9. Wondl E, Hunt EB, Kennedy MK, Plant BJ, Henry MT, Murphy DM. Real-life experience with roflumilast therapy in COPD patients at Cork University Hospital. *Irish J Med Sci*. 2014;183:S506–S507.
10. Wondl E, Hunt EB, Kennedy MP, Henry MT, Plant BJ, Murphy DM. Roflumilast in COPD. *Chest*. 2015;148:e31.
11. Giembycz MA, Field SK. Roflumilast: first phosphodiesterase 4 inhibitor approved for treatment of COPD. *Drug Des Dev Ther*. 2010;4:147–158.
12. Kim MK, Lee WY, Kang JH, et al. Clinical practice guidelines for overweight and obesity in Korea. *Endocrinol Metab (Seoul)*. 2014;29:(4)405–409.

International Journal of COPD

Publish your work in this journal

The International Journal of COPD is an international, peer-reviewed journal of therapeutics and pharmacology focusing on concise rapid reporting of clinical studies and reviews in COPD. Special focus is given to the pathophysiological processes underlying the disease, intervention programs, patient focused education, and self management protocols.

Submit your manuscript here: <http://www.dovepress.com/international-journal-of-chronic-obstructive-pulmonary-disease-journal>

Dovepress

This journal is indexed on PubMed Central, MedLine and CAS. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use. Visit <http://www.dovepress.com/testimonials.php> to read real quotes from published authors.