Diagnostic significance of circulating long noncoding RNA PCAT6 in patients with non-small cell lung cancer

Aim: We have previously shown that the long noncoding RNA prostate cancer-associated transcript 6 (PCAT6) promoted the proliferation and invasion of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) cells. In this study, the diagnostic significance of tissue and serum PCAT6 was evaluated in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC).

Materials and methods: Tissue expression of PCAT6 was systematically evaluated in five Gene Expression Omnibus datasets (GSE19804, GSE18842, GSE30219, GSE19188, and GSE27262). Circulating and tissue expressions of PCAT6 were detected by quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction in NSCLC patients from Union Hospital.

Results: PCAT6 was significantly increased in lung cancer tissues and could be used to distinguish LUAD from adjacent normal tissues with an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of 0.9210 (p < 0.0001; sensitivity, 98.82%; specificity, 78.57%) in GSE30219, 0.9333 (p < 0.0001; sensitivity, 86.67%; specificity, 90.77%) in GSE19188, 0.9584 (p < 0.0001; sensitivity, 92.00%; specificity, 96.00%) in GSE27262, and 0.9574 (p < 0.0001; sensitivity, 95.89%; specificity, 87.67%) in patients from Union Hospital. As for lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC), the AUC of PCAT6 was 0.9567 (p < 0.0001; sensitivity, 100%; specificity, 85.71%) in GSE30219, 0.9795 (p < 0.0001; sensitivity, 96.30%; specificity, 92.31%) in GSE19188, and 0.9942 (p < 0.0001; sensitivity, 100%; specificity, 98.04%) in patients from Union Hospital. We further noticed that the plasma levels of PCAT6 were significantly increased in 73 LUAD and 51 LUSC patients compared with 39 healthy controls (p < 0.0001). The AUC of circulating PCAT6 was 0.9213 (p < 0.0001; sensitivity, 87.67%; specificity, 97.44%) in LUAD and 0.9583 (p < 0.0001; sensitivity, 94.12%; specificity, 100%) in LUSC.

Conclusion: Together with our previous findings, our results suggest that PCAT6 could be used as a potential diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in NSCLC.
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Introduction

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) has become the main cause of cancer-related deaths in China, and the mortality of this disease has increased for more than four times during the past three decades.1,2 Furthermore, NSCLC could remain a major health problem for at least the next 50 years.3 Although the development of new diagnostic and treatment strategies has promoted the survival of NSCLC patients, the overall 5-year survival rate is still <20%, mainly because it is usually detected at an advanced stage.4 Diagnosis of NSCLC at an early stage is one way that can improve a patient’s survival; however, early diagnosis remains a challenge due to the lack of specific biomarkers.
In recent years, methods for lung cancer diagnosis include the use of imaging techniques and blood-fluid tests for tumor markers. For example, the largest lung cancer screening trial showed that screening high-risk group with computerized tomography (CT) or low-dose CT relatively reduced mortality by 20% compared to chest radiography. However, it often leads to overdiagnosis and unnecessary surgeries due to increased false-positive results, and the cumulative exposure to radiation resulted by annual examinations also represents a considerable health risk. Thus, developing blood-fluid tests for the diagnosis of early stage NSCLC is clinically important, as blood samples are easily acquired in a relatively noninvasive manner compared with biopsy or surgery. The most widely used serum biomarkers of lung cancer screening include carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), cytokeratin 19 fragment (CYFRA21-1), and neuron-specific enolase (NSE). However, the sensitivity and specificity of these biomarkers is commonly ranged between 50% and 90%, and false-negative rate is commonly >50% for the diagnosis of NSCLC at an early stage. Given these limitations, identification of novel serum biomarkers with high sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis of NSCLC is of immediate need.

During the past decade, mounting evidence has confirmed that dysregulation of lncRNAs, acting either as oncogenes or as tumor suppressors, is an important cause of certain cancers. Increasing evidence have shown that long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) has led to the development of a new field of molecular diagnosis of cancer. Plasma level of long intergenic non-protein-coding RNA 152 (LINC00152) is significantly elevated and has the potential to be used as a blood-based biomarker for the diagnosis of gastric cancer in patients. IncRNA16 (ENST00000539303) is significantly elevated in plasma samples of lung cancer patients, and GAS5 expression is decreased in NSCLC plasma samples, thus making lncRNAs a potential biomarker of lung cancer diagnosis.

lncRNA prostate cancer-associated transcript 6 (PCAT6) was first identified in keratinocyte-enhanced cellular proliferation and colony formation of prostate cancer cells in an androgen-independent way. In lung cancer, PCAT6 was also found to be upregulated using Affymetrix HG-U133 plus 2.0 array with an lncRNA classification pipeline. Previously, we have confirmed that PCAT6 is significantly upregulated in cancer tissues compared with adjacent normal tissues and positively correlated with metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) patients. In addition, PCAT6 was found to be negatively correlated with overall survival of lung cancer patients with retrospective analysis. Therefore, we set out to investigate whether serum PCAT6 was increased in LUAD and lung squamous cell carcinoma (LUSC), two major types of NSCLC, and has the potential to be used as a noninvasive diagnostic biomarker of NSCLC.

Materials and methods

GEO lung cancer gene expression data

To identify PCAT6 expression in lung cancer, we searched relevant Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) datasets (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo). As a result, five panels of lung cancer gene expression datasets, including GSE27262, GSE19804, GSE19188, GSE30219, and GSE18842, were selected to compare PCAT6 expression between lung cancer tissues and normal tissues. Table 1 summarizes the details of these five datasets.

Participants and tissue samples

Seventy-three plasma samples, biopsy specimens of LUAD tissues and adjacent normal tissues, 51 plasma samples and biopsy specimens of LUSC tissues and adjacent normal tissues were collected from the department of thoracic surgery of Union Hospital (Wuhan, People’s Republic of China). Tissue biopsy specimens were collected and immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until to be used. Thirty-nine control plasma samples were collected from healthy donors without cancer. Approximately 4 mL of venous blood was collected from each participant, and plasma was separated within 2 h by centrifugation at 1,200 g for 10 min at 4°C to spin down blood cells, followed by centrifugation at 12,000 g for 10 min at 4°C to completely remove cellular components or cell debris. The supernatant plasma was then carefully collected and stored at −80°C until to be used. The study was approved by the Ethical Review Board for Research

Table 1 Characteristics of five GEO datasets included in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GEO dataset</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of controls</th>
<th>No. of lung cancer patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GSE19804</td>
<td>Lu et al18</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60 (paired LUAD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE18842</td>
<td>Sanchez-Palencia et al19</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46 (NSCLC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE30219</td>
<td>Rousseaux et al20</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>85 (LUAD), 61 (LUSC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE19188</td>
<td>Hou et al21</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>45 (LUAD), 27 (LUSC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE27262</td>
<td>Wei et al22</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25 (paired LUAD)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.
of Union Hospital, affiliated to Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology. All the participants had signed the written informed consent.

RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis
Total RNA was extracted from tissue specimens using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and plasma RNA was extracted using TRIzol LS reagent (Invitrogen). The isolated RNA concentration was calculated and normalized with RNase-free water and then reverse-transcribed into cDNA using PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit with gDNA Eraser (RR047A; Takara, Dalian, People’s Republic of China). Reverse transcription conditions were performed as follows: 42 °C for 15 min, 85 °C for 5 s, followed by storage at 4 °C. All cDNA samples were stored at −80 °C until use.

Quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction
Quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was conducted using SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, all the reactions were carried out on an ABI7500 real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), and 2 μL cDNA was used as template. The qRT-PCR amplification was performed as follows: an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 45 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 55 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. The 2−ΔΔCt method was used to quantify the fold change of PCAT6 expression in tumor samples versus normal control samples as we previously described.17 GAPDH was used as an internal control, and all reactions were performed in triplicate. The primer sequences were as follows: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), 5′-GGGAGCCAAAAGGGTGATTCAT-3′ (forward) and 5′-GAGTTCCTTCCAGATACCAA-3′ (reverse); PCAT6, 5′-CAGGAACCCCTCTTACTCTC-3′ (forward) and 5′-CTAGGGATGTGTCCGAAGGA-3′ (reverse).15

Statistical analysis
All data are presented as mean ± SD from at least three separate experiments and analyzed by using the GraphPad Prism V.6.00 software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, USA). Comparison between two groups for statistical significance was performed with two-tailed Student’s t-test. For more groups, one-way ANOVA followed by Newman–Keuls post hoc test was used. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were established to evaluate the diagnostic value of PCAT6 for differentiating tumors from controls. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Tissue PCAT6 is upregulated and has a significant diagnostic value in LUAD and LUSC.

In a previous study, we have confirmed that PCAT6 expression is increased in patients with LUAD and predicts a poor overall survival.13 Then, we further evaluated PCAT6 expression in lung cancer patients from five GEO datasets (GSE19804,18 GSE18842,19 GSE30219,20 GSE19188,21 and GSE2726222). As shown in Table 1, these five GEO datasets include patients with NSCLC, that is, LUAD and LUSC. PCAT6 expression was significantly increased in tissues of LUAD and LUSC compared with normal noncancerous tissues in these five datasets (Figure 1). Then, we further evaluated the diagnostic value of PCAT6 in these five datasets using ROC analyses. The results showed that the value of the area under the ROC curve (AUC) was 0.9578.

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1** Tissue expression of PCAT6 significantly increased in NSCLC patients from GEO datasets.

**Notes:** Tissue expression of PCAT6 in cancer and normal tissues of NSCLC patients from five GEO datasets, which were used on the same platform, GPL570, and conducted on the GeneChip Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array. The probe ID of PCAT6 is 231233_at. PCAT6 expression data was downloaded from GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/). *p<0.001. Tissue expression of PCAT6 was significantly increased in LUAD patients from GSE19804 (A); GSE27262 (B), GSE30219 (D), GSE19188 (E). PCAT6 expression also increased in LUSC patients from GSE30219 (D) and GSE19188 (E). GSE18842 (C) was not described the detailed subtype of NSCLC patients, but PCAT6 expression was increased in total NSCLC patients.

**Abbreviations:** GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PCAT6, prostate cancer-associated transcript 6.
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Together, these results indicate that PCAT6 has a potential significance with respect to sensitivity and specificity in the diagnosis of NSCLC.

Plasma PCAT6 has a diagnostic potential significance in the diagnosis of NSCLC

Plasma samples are easily acquired in a relatively noninvasive manner compared with biopsy or surgery. Thus, developing noninvasive techniques for the diagnosis of early stage NSCLC is clinically important. To examine whether plasma PCAT6 had diagnostic potential, plasma from the abovementioned 73 LUAD patients, 51 LUSC patients, and 39 normal healthy donors was collected. Plasma PCAT6 expression was significantly increased in LUAD and LUSC patients compared with normal healthy donors (p<0.0001, Figure 4A). Then, we further evaluated the correlation between plasma PCAT6 and the patients’ clinicopathological characteristics (Table 2). Plasma expression of PCAT6 was positively correlated with TNM stage (p<0.0001) and metastasis status (p<0.0001) of LUAD and LUSC, respectively. But it was not correlated with tumor size and smoking history. The diagnostic value of plasma PCAT6 was also evaluated, and the results showed that PCAT6 had an AUC value of 0.9213 (95% CI 0.8663–0.9763; Figure 4B) for

Figure 2 ROC curves of tissue PCAT6 expression for differentiating NSCLC tissue from normal tissue.
Notes: The AUC was calculated. The diagnostic value of PCAT6 for (A–D) LUAD patients and (E–F) LUSC patients in individual GEO datasets.
Abbreviations: AUC, area under the ROC curve; GEO, Gene Expression Omnibus; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; ROC, receiver operating characteristics; PCAT6, prostate cancer-associated transcript 6.
Figure 3 The tissue expression and diagnostic value of PCAT6 for NSCLC patients from Union Hospital.

Notes: Seventy-three paired LUAD tissues and normal counterparts (A), and 51 paired LUSC tissues and normal counterparts (C) were collected from the department of thoracic surgery of Union Hospital (Wuhan, People’s Republic of China). The diagnostic values of tissue PCAT6 expression for LUAD (B) and LUSC (D) were evaluated by qRT-PCR. *p < 0.0001.

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PCAT6, prostate cancer-associated transcript 6; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction.

Figure 4 The diagnostic potential of circulating PCAT6 for NSCLC.

Notes: (A) The expression of PCAT6 in serum samples from 39 HD, LUAD, and LUSC patients was evaluated by qRT-PCR. *p < 0.0001. The diagnostic potential of circulating PCAT6 for LUAD (B) and LUSC (C) was evaluated.

Abbreviations: AUC, area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve; HD, healthy donors; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PCAT6, prostate cancer-associated transcript 6; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction.
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Table 2 Analysis of the relationship between circulating PCAT6 level and clinicopathological characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>LUAD</th>
<th>PCAT6 expression (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>LUSC</th>
<th>PCAT6 expression (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.517±1.435</td>
<td>0.9259</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.636±1.406</td>
<td>0.2399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.549±1.222</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.231±1.122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.571±1.392</td>
<td>0.8073</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.841±1.155</td>
<td>0.7521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2.492±1.362</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.703±1.455</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.618±1.372</td>
<td>0.4608</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2.941±1.441</td>
<td>0.0743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2.371±1.367</td>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.156±0.956</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (longest dimension)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5 cm</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2.557±1.151</td>
<td>0.8886</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2.723±1.217</td>
<td>0.9088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 cm</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2.510±1.483</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.769±1.611</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I–II</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.437±0.481</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.676±0.544</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III–IV</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3.094±1.334</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.321±1.337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.926±1.359</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>3.067±1.387</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.391±0.434</td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.679±0.513</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; PCAT6, prostate cancer-associated transcript 6.

Table 3 Performance of PCAT6 in the differential diagnosis of NSCLC from healthy controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtypes</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
<th>Youden index (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GSE1904</td>
<td>96.67</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>90.83</td>
<td>81.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GSE27262</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>96.00</td>
<td>94.00</td>
<td>88.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GSE30219</td>
<td>98.82</td>
<td>78.57</td>
<td>95.96</td>
<td>77.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GSE19188</td>
<td>86.67</td>
<td>90.77</td>
<td>89.09</td>
<td>77.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73 tissue samples</td>
<td>95.89</td>
<td>87.67</td>
<td>91.76</td>
<td>83.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>73 plasma samples</td>
<td>87.67</td>
<td>97.44</td>
<td>90.18</td>
<td>85.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GSE30219</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>85.71</td>
<td>97.33</td>
<td>85.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GSE19188</td>
<td>96.30</td>
<td>92.31</td>
<td>93.48</td>
<td>88.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51 tissue samples</td>
<td>98.04</td>
<td>99.02</td>
<td>98.04</td>
<td>98.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>51 plasma samples</td>
<td>94.12</td>
<td>96.67</td>
<td>94.12</td>
<td>94.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; LUSC, lung squamous cell carcinoma; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; PCAT6, prostate cancer-associated transcript 6.

Discussion

So far, numerous studies have shown that IncRNAs play an important role in tumor occurrence, invasion, and metastasis. With the implementation of next-generation sequencing platforms for molecular diagnostics, an increasing number of IncRNAs, such as MALAT1, colon cancer-associated transcript 2 (CCAT2), LINC01133, and GAS5, have been identified to be correlated with lung cancer, which were reported with the potential to be used as biomarkers for the diagnosis, prognosis, and personalized treatment indicators for NSCLC.5,14,16,23–25 However, none of the currently identified biomarkers are sensitive or specific enough for reliable NSCLC screening in clinical settings.26 Thus, identification of novel IncRNA-based biomarkers for the early diagnosis of NSCLC will have significant clinical benefits.

In a previous study, we have determined the biological role of lncRNA PCAT6 in the progression of LUAD and found that PCAT6 was significantly elevated in lung cancer tissues and positively correlated with tumor size, TNM stage, and metastasis. The sensitivity and specificity of PCAT6 for distinguishing LUAD and LUSC from healthy controls was 87.67%/97.44% and 94.12%/100%, respectively (Table 3). Together, these results indicate that plasma PCAT6 may be exploited as a promising noninvasive biomarker of NSCLC.

LUAD and 0.9583 (95% CI 0.9109–1; Figure 4C) for LUSC.
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stage, and lymph node metastasis. Therefore, PCAT6 may have the diagnostic potential in NSCLC. In this study, the expression and diagnostic value of PCAT6 in cancer tissues was first examined in 349 NSCLC patients from five GEO datasets (GSE19804, GSE18842, GSE30219, GSE19188, and GSE27262). The expression of tissue PCAT6 was consistently increased and showed a great diagnostic value (AUC > 0.9; sensitivity, 86.67%–100%; specificity, 78.57%–96%) in NSCLC patients included in the five GEO datasets. The result was further reconfirmed in samples from our local hospital, including 73 LUAD patients and 51 LUSC patients. Therefore, these results suggest that PCAT6 may have the potential to be used as a diagnostic marker of NSCLC patients.

Determination of blood-based circulating biomarkers is a simple, inexpensive, and noninvasive test that greatly facilitates early diagnosis of cancer, even earlier than CT imaging. To date, no study has quantified the expression level and the diagnostic potential of PCAT6 in plasma. Here, we first investigated the diagnostic value of PCAT6 in plasma distinguishing NSCLC patients, including LUAD and LUSC, from healthy controls. The results showed that plasma PCAT6 had an AUC value of 0.9213 (95% CI 0.8663–0.9763) for LUAD and 0.9583 (95% CI 0.9109–1) for LUSC, significantly higher than GAS5 (0.832, 95% CI 0.754–0.893) and CEA (0.700, 95% CI 0.611–0.779) according to a previous report. Furthermore, the sensitivity and specificity of PCAT6 for distinguishing LUAD and LUSC from healthy controls were 87.67%/97.44% and 94.12%/100%, respectively, which were significantly higher than the combination of GAS5 and CEA (86.7%/90.9%). Thus, these results indicated that plasma PCAT6 may be exploited as a promising noninvasive biomarker of NSCLC, even better than the previously reported biomarkers.

Conclusions

In summary, our study first systematically evaluated the diagnostic potency of PCAT6 in NSCLC patients from five GEO datasets and our local hospital, and identified that circulating PCAT6 is a potential biomarker of NSCLC diagnosis. Furthermore, PCAT6 also predicted a poor overall survival of LUAD patients in our previous study. Thus, PCAT6 may play a pivotal role in carcinogenesis and progression of NSCLC. Unfortunately, we only confirmed that PCAT6 regulates p53 and c-myc expressions in an indirect manner, and the exact molecular mechanisms of PCAT6 in NSCLC remain unclear and require further investigation.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no 8100032) and the Natural Science Foundation of Hubei Province (No 02.07.16040048).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References


